Dataset: https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/kelvinkelue/credit-card-fraud-prediction

Timeline

04/28:

- Zoom meeting:
 - Discuss expectations of the project, overall framework and timelines
 - Priority tasks:
 - Strong EDA, each person conducting their own
 - Addressing all required points in the rubric
 - Turner has been notified
 - If he says no or there are issues, go with 50k dataset

03/31:

- Meet with Turner and double check if he hasn't responded regarding our dataset
- Make final decision on the dataset
- Start EDA

04/03

- Meet to discuss findings of our EDA (each person performs)
- Discussion:
 - Adam checked glm assumptions (multicolinearity and VIFs)
 - Adam condensed datapoints by sector, education, and CONTINENT of origin
 - Relationships could be designated as relation within the household
- Tasks:
- Start on Objective 1
- Build a general framework for our final EDA:
 - Start with treemap by country
 - Rename all columns:

Convert from country to continent, and the explanation for how and why each country was classified accordingly
 # Define the new levels for native_country

```
new levels <- list(
 EAsia = c("Vietnam", "Laos", "Cambodia", "Thailand", "China", "Hong", "Taiwan",
"Philippines", "Japan"),
 SAsia = c("India", "Iran"),
 NorthAmerica = c("Canada", "Mexico", "United-States"),
 CentrAmerica = c("Cuba", "Dominican-Republic", "Guatemala", "Haiti", "Honduras",
"Jamaica", "Trinadad&Tobago", "Nicaragua", "El-Salvador", "", "?"),
 SouthAmerica = c("Ecuador", "Peru", "Columbia", "South"),
 Europe = c("France", "Germany", "Greece", "Holand-Netherlands", "Italy", "Hungary",
"Ireland", "Poland", "Portugal", "Scotland", "England", "Yugoslavia"),
 USTerritory = c("Outlying-US(Guam-USVI-etc)", "Puerto-Rico")
)
Condense workclass category:
new levels <- list(
 other = c("", "?"),
 clerical = c("Adm-clerical"),
 midskill = c("Craft-repair", "Machine-op-inspct", "Transport-moving"),
 lowskill = c("Handlers-cleaners", "Other-service", "Priv-house-serv", "Armed-Forces"),
 highskill = c("Sales", "Tech-support", "Protective-serv", "Prof-specialty",
"Exec-managerial"),
 agriculture = c("Farming-fishing")
)
Condense marital status category:
# The new marital status categories
new levels <- list(
 divorce = c("Divorced", "Separated"),
 married = c("Married-AF-spouse", "Married-civ-spouse", "Married-spouse-absent"),
 notmarried = c("", "Never-married"),
 widowed = c("Widowed")
Condense education level:
# The new education level categories
new levels <- list(
 no_edu = c("", "Preschool"),
 primary = c("1st-4th", "5th-6th"),
 secondary = c("7th-8th"),
 highsch = c("9th", "10th", "11th", "12th", "HS-grad"),
 assoc = c("Assoc-acdm", "Assoc-voc", "Some-college"),
 undergrad = c("Bachelors", "Prof-school"),
 master = c("Masters"),
 phd = c("Doctorate")
```

- Convert all missing variables in the same way (with mode of that variable)
- Split our Test and Train sets the same:
 - 80:20
 Set a seed for reproducibility
 set.seed(1234)

 # Calculate the number of rows to select
 sample_size <- round(0.8 * nrow(adult))

 # Create a random sample of indices
 index <- sample(1:nrow(adult), size = sample_size, replace = FALSE)

 # Create the training set using the selected indices
 training_set <- adult[index,]

 # Create the testing set with the remaining indices
 testing_set <- adult[-index,]
 nrow(training_set_LR)
 nrow(testing_set_LR)

 Make a new column from capital gains and capital loss: invested. Yes or no, depending if they have a 0 or EITHER a gain or a loss

04/06

- Meet to discuss findings for Objective 1
- Start on Objective 2

- Adam: random forest

- Steven: PCA?

- Joel: LDA? and QDA?

Notes:

- For objective 1, make sure you have PCA done for your model to see if you can simplify the model in any way
- Fine-tune your final models from Objective, check that assumptions for logistic regression are met
- Look for outliers that might need to be removed
- Show attempts to add complexity:
 - Show that adding multiplicative interaction term didn't help

- Make sure we have slides that iterate that added complexity did not help the model
- Explain that altering threshold optimized the model we did choose
- Talk with Turner: Can we condense our data set by changing factor levels for interpretability (education level and continent, for example
- Show performance doesn't change much when we use untransformed data (justification for using our transformed dataset)
- Each person should take their final model and try adding complexity. Show that the complexity helps or doesn't make a difference
- Once you have your final model, build your interpretation of the coefficients with confidence intervals (written interpretation is required; just showing the table of coefficients is not enough. State the findings so there is no confusion)
- Add EDA and Objective 1 findings to Powerpoint Draft so we can talk with Turner
- Start on objective 2:
 - Build a predictive model for the purposes of prediction, not interpretability (so PCA should be possible)
 - Report performance metrics: Sensitivity, Specificity, Prevalence, PPV,
 NPV, and AUROC, threshold
- Have records of all your code so we can build an RMD file

04/10

- Meet to discuss findings for Objective 2
- Start on conclusions, final report, and presentation

04/14

- Showcase to Dr. Turner
- Start refining final products

04/15

- Finish all deliverables, record video

04/17

Have final video edited