

# BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

**Docket Number:** RUTHERFORD-012-PROV

**Total Sheets:** 7

---

## FIGURE 1 - System Architecture Overview

Sheet 1 of 7

Figure 1 illustrates the overall system architecture of the defensive cybersecurity testing framework showing the relationship between the vulnerability discovery system and existing PQC implementations. The diagram shows:

- Input layer (100) accepting implementations from cuPQC (102), LibOQS (104), and other PQC libraries (106)
  - GPU-accelerated testing core (110) with CUDA kernels (112) and tensor cores (114)
  - Vulnerability discovery engine (120) with attack simulation (122) and pattern recognition (124)
  - Compliance validation layer (130) supporting multiple standards
  - Output layer (140) generating vulnerability reports (142), compliance certificates (144), and migration recommendations (146)
- 

## FIGURE 2 - CUDA Kernel Architecture

Sheet 2 of 7

Figure 2 depicts the CUDA kernel architecture optimized for parallel quantum attack simulation:

- Thread block organization (200) with 1024 threads per block
  - Shared memory allocation (210) for attack state storage
  - Warp-level primitives (220) for synchronized vulnerability detection
  - Global memory access patterns (230) optimized for coalesced reads
  - Early termination logic (240) triggered upon vulnerability discovery
  - Attack vector distribution (250) across streaming multiprocessors
- 

## FIGURE 3 - Tensor Core Optimization

Sheet 3 of 7

Figure 3 shows tensor core optimization for adversarial syndrome decoding attacks:

- Matrix multiplication units (300) configured for cryptanalysis
  - Tensor core array (310) with 640 tensor cores per SM
  - Mixed precision operations (320) using FP16/INT8
  - Syndrome matrix decomposition (330) for lattice attacks
  - Parallel correlation computation (340) for side-channel analysis
  - Performance metrics display (350) showing 10-100x speedup
- 

## FIGURE 4 - Vulnerability Discovery Data Flow

### Sheet 4 of 7

Figure 4 illustrates the vulnerability discovery data flow and automated weakness identification:

- Implementation ingestion module (400)
  - Static analysis pipeline (410) for code inspection
  - Dynamic testing framework (420) with runtime monitoring
  - Side-channel measurement apparatus (430)
  - Quantum attack simulator (440) implementing Grover's and Shor's algorithms
  - Vulnerability classification system (450) categorizing findings by severity
  - Automated reporting engine (460)
- 

## FIGURE 5 - Multi-Standard Compliance Pipeline

### Sheet 5 of 7

Figure 5 presents the multi-standard compliance report generation pipeline:

- Standard parsers (500) for NIST FIPS 203/204/205
  - ETSI TR 103 619 validator (510)
  - ISO/IEC 18033-2 checker (520)
  - Common Criteria EAL4+ evaluator (530)
  - Unified compliance engine (540) cross-referencing requirements
  - Report generator (550) with customizable templates
  - Certification issuance module (560)
-

# FIGURE 6 - Migration Recommendation Algorithm

## Sheet 6 of 7

Figure 6 depicts the migration recommendation algorithm based on Mosca's theorem:

- Input parameters (600): X (data sensitivity), Y (migration time), Z (quantum threat)
  - Risk calculation engine (610) implementing  $X + Y \geq Z$
  - Vulnerability assessment integration (620)
  - Timeline generator (630) with milestone tracking
  - Priority matrix (640) ranking systems by risk
  - Recommendation engine (650) with actionable steps
  - Dashboard visualization (660)
- 

# FIGURE 7 - Implementation Layer Separation

## Sheet 7 of 7

Figure 7 shows the architectural separation between implementation libraries and testing layer:

- Implementation layer (700) containing cuPQC (702), LibOQS (704), DPCrypto (706)
  - API abstraction layer (710) providing unified interface
  - Testing framework (720) operating independently
  - Vulnerability discovery modules (730) targeting each implementation
  - Results aggregation layer (740)
  - Feedback loop (750) to implementation providers
  - Clear boundary (760) between defensive testing and cryptographic operations
- 

# DRAWING CONVENTIONS

All figures use the following conventions:

- Solid lines indicate data flow
- Dashed lines indicate control flow
- Dotted lines indicate optional connections
- Shaded boxes represent GPU-accelerated components
- Double borders indicate security-critical modules

- Reference numerals are consistent across all figures
- 

**Total Pages: 2**

**End of Drawing Descriptions**