

# Project Step 3 Final Version: Design HTML Interface (Group / On Canvas)

[Submit Assignment](#)

---

**Due** Tuesday by 2:59am      **Points** 3      **Submitting** a file upload  
**File Types** zip and pdf      **Available** Jan 31 at 3:50am - Feb 11 at 2:59am 11 days

---

## Instructions

Here, you turn in the Final Version of the Project Step 3, as a group. It's expected that you have fixed your submission based on the peer review(s) you received for the Draft version.

In addition to all the things described in the instructions for [Project Step 3](#), you are also required to add the following sections at the top of your **PDF** file:

### Feedback by the peer reviewer

Include verbatim the review that your Draft submission received in the Group Review. If you did not receive any reviews, mention that. You would include feedback that both members of the Project Group received.


### Actions based on the feedback

List briefly the actions that you chose to take based on the above feedback. If you decided not to act on a specific suggestion, you need to describe in detail your reasoning.

### Upgrades to the Draft version

If you are making any changes to the files based on your own changed design decisions, they should be listed under this section.

### Team evaluation form

Complete the [team evaluation form](#)  and attach that to the end of your PDF document.

## How should I get started?

Use the feedback that you received from your classmates to fix your Draft version. And as you learn about database normalization this week, attempt to normalize your database to the Third Normal Form.

You can continue using Piazza to seek feedback as well as bounce off ideas with your classmates. Finally, if you have any questions post on Piazza or ask in the TA or Instructor's office hours.

## Point

This is worth 3 points of your Project grade. It will be graded by the graders for validity based on the rubric.

### Project Step 3 V3

Criteria	Ratings				Pts
A ZIP archive	<b>0.6 pts</b> <b>Full Marks</b> A ZIP archive containing: PDF file containing information from previous steps, a URL to an index.html listing all your HTML pages. URL on the top of your PDF file . Name your zip file like projectgroupX_stepX_DRAFT/FINAL.zip. e.g. ProjectGroup42_Step3_DRAFT.zip		<b>0.3 pts</b> <b>SLIGHTLY Incorrect</b> NO MORE THAN ONE item incorrect (missing ZIP, URL to HTML pages in PDF, zip file not	<b>0 pts</b> <b>&gt;1 Incorrect</b> MORE THAN ONE item incorrect (missing ZIP, URL to HTML pages in PDF, zip file not named correctly) Schema, Screen captures.	0.6 pts
Updated Project Outline, DB Overview, ERD & Schema & Team Evaluation	<b>0.6 pts</b> <b>Full Marks</b> Correctly updates Project Outline, DB Overview, ERD & Schema according to course concepts. Evaluates team performance thoroughly. Excellent	<b>0.4 pts</b> <b>ATTEMPTS to Update</b> Makes attempt to update Project Outline, DB Overview, ERD, Schema and evaluate team performance though incomplete, incorrect or hampered by poor application of course concepts, incorrect notation, inconsistencies, writing or other issues. Average quality	<b>0.2 pts</b> <b>Has Issues</b> Some effort to detail updates Project Outline, DB Overview, ERD, Schema and evaluate team performance. Attempts to evaluate team performance but form is incomplete. Needs Improvement.	<b>0 pts</b> <b>NOT Updated</b> Largely fails to update Project Outline, DB Overview, ERD & Schema according to course concepts. Missing team performance evaluation. Needs improvement	0.6 pts
Fixes based on Feedback from Previous Steps	<b>0.6 pts</b> <b>Full Marks</b> Includes details of all things you were told to fix		<b>0 pts</b> <b>MISSING feedback details</b> Missing detail of all things you were told to fix		0.6 pts
Reasons to fix/not fix feedback writing quality	<b>0.6 pts</b> <b>Full Marks</b> Revisions to fix feedback items OR not to fix is described and accurate. OR mentions didn't receive any feedback for a specific step from grader or reviewer.	<b>0.3 pts</b> <b>ATTEMPTS to describe Update</b> Makes some effort to accurately describe revisions to fix feedback items OR not to fix, but hampered by incorrect application of course concepts. OR does not describe revisions AND does NOT mention didn't receive any feedback for a specific step from grader or reviewer.		<b>0 pts</b> <b>DOES NOT Describe Update</b> Does not describe revisions	0.6 pts

Criteria	Ratings			Pts
HTML Pages quality	<b>0.6 pts</b> <b>Full Marks</b> Includes Static HTML pages for ALL CS340 Project functionalities in terms relevant to your database. For example "Add entries to each table" could be "Add a new BSG person", "Add a new Certification", and so on. Excellent quality	<b>0.4 pts</b> <b>ATTEMPTS to be Complete</b> Some minor inconsistencies between Project entities, attributes and relationships and representations HTML pages. Average quality.	<b>0 pts</b> <b>NOT Complete</b> HTML pages have significant (2 or more) inconsistencies between entities, attributes and relationships in Project. Needs improvement.	0.6 pts
Total Points: 3				