

# Machine Learning Engineer Nanodegree

---

## Capstone Project Report

Prakhar Choudhary

May 23, 2017

## Domain Background

The stock market has always been a goldmine of adventures for mathematicians and statisticians.

They keep trying to find patterns such that the behaviour of stock market can be predicted, however the huge amount of data and buy/sell decisions carried out everyday makes it almost impossible to be analysed manually. This is where computers come in. Early research on stock market prediction was based on random walk theory and the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH)[1], which ironically is not so efficient. However in the recent few years the emergence of new organised data, high computational power and machine learning algorithms has given us the ability to use computer for predicting the behaviour of stock market.

## Problem Statement

The goal of this project is to apply sentiment analysis and machine learning principles to find the correlation between "public sentiment" and "market sentiment"[2] and use it to predict stock market movement. Furthermore the project will learn from new data every day from the previous day's data(using Yahoo Finance API).

The project is inspired from a research paper by Anshul Mittal and Arpit Goel on "Stock Prediction Using Twitter Sentiment Analysis".[2]

## Datasets and Inputs

Two main datasets will be used in the project which are:

1. Dow Jones Industrial Average (DJIA) values from June 2009 to December 2009. The data was obtained using Yahoo! Finance and includes the open, close, high and low values for a given day.

2. Publicly available Twitter data.

This data was collected in the following manner:

- a. A web scraper automatically scraped about 2000 tweets for each day. These tweets contained words like 'feel', 'feels', or 'I'm feeling', to ensure the tweets conveys a sentiment.

- b. The prepared dataset of tweets was then labeled either 0 or 1, on the basis, whether the tweet is predicted to be positive or negative by the Sentiment Analysis classifier developed by me.
- c. Later, once all the tweets were tagged with a binary sentiment scheme, each day's positive and negative index was calculated by finding the ratio of count of one kind of tweets over the count of all tweets for a day.

### 3. Final Dataset

The final data set is collection of data points/values for the categories, Close, Open, High, Low, positive sentiment index and negative sentiment index of each day from 18 June 2009 to 16 December 2009.

## Project Development:

- Data Preprocessing:

- *Filling the missing data for the DJIA dataset*

The DJIA dataset had values missing for several days. It must be because the DJIA is not operational on Saturdays, Sundays and national Holidays. Hence, to find values for such dates, a concave function was used. The missing data is approximated using a simple technique by Goel [2]. Stock data usually follows a concave function. So, if the stock value on a day is  $x$  and the next value present is  $y$  with some missing in between. The first missing value is approximated to be  $(y+x)/2$  and the same method is followed to fill all the gaps.

- *Cleaning the tweets*

Tweets consists of many acronyms, emoticons and unnecessary data like pictures and URL's. So tweets are preprocessed to represent correct emotions of public. For preprocessing of tweets we employed three stages[3] of filtering: Tokenization, Stop words removal and regex matching for removing special characters.

1) Tokenization: Tweets are split into individual words based on the space and irrelevant symbols like emoticons are removed. We form a list of individual words for each tweet.

```
In [2]: # Processing into tokens
from nltk.stem.porter import PorterStemmer

porter = PorterStemmer()

def tokenizer(text):
    return text.split()

def tokenizer_porter(text):
    # return [porter.stem(word) for word in text.split()]
    for word in text.split():
        try:
            return porter.stem(word)
        except Exception:
            return word
```

2) Stopword Removal: Words that do not express any emotion are called Stopwords. After splitting a tweet, words like a, is, the, with etc. are removed from the list of words.

3) Regex Matching for special character Removal: Regex matching in Python is performed to match URLs and are replaced by the term URL. Often tweets consists of hashtags(#) and @ addressing other users. They are also replaced suitably. For example, #Microsoft is replaced with Microsoft and @Billgates is replaced with USER. Prolonged word showing intense emotions like coooooooooo! is replaced with cool! After these stages the tweets are ready for sentiment classification. Also all the emoticons were removed from their positions in the tweets and appended at last.

```
In [1]: import re

def preprocessor(text):
    try:
        text = re.sub('<[^>*>', ' ', text) # removes HTML from tweets
    except:
        text = text
    try:
        text = re.sub('(http|https)://[^\ ]+', '', text) # removes all the hyperlinks
    except:
        text = text
    try:
        text = re.sub('\s\s+', ' ', text) # removes all the extra whitespaces
    except:
        text = text
    try:
        emoticons = re.findall('(?:[;]|=)(?:-)?(?:\)|\(|D|P|[\^T_T])', text) #find all emoticons
    except:
        text = text
    try:
        text = re.sub('[\W]+', ' ', text.lower()) + ''.join(emoticons).replace('-', '') # appends emmoticons at t
    except:
        text = text
    return text
```

- Sentiment Analysis:

- I had originally proposed a POMS model based approach which would help me find the emotional indices for a day in form of a fractional value each(between 0 and 1) for 4 different sentiments: Calm, Happy, Alert, and Kind.
- However, I realised that not only was the task quite laborious and difficult to automate since not only did we have to prepare an entire dictionary of words corresponding to each sentiment, but also prepare an daily score by calculating the aggregate count of words for each sentiment divided by the total number of words.
- Hence, I followed a different approach in project. I downloaded a dataset of Tweets tagged with a binary sentiment scheme, i.e, 1 for positive and 0 for negative and trained a Logistic Regression Classifier on it. To obtain the best result I used the estimator along with GridSearchCV.
- The above approach returned an accuracy of 76.0% on the testing set. Now in the paper [3] it is mentioned that even human accuracy lies between 70-79% so i

deemed this accuracy good enough.

```
In [49]: clf = gs_lr_tfidf.best_estimator_
print('Test Accuracy: %.3f' % clf.score(X_test, y_test))
Test Accuracy: 0.760
```

- Then I used this classifier to tag all the tweets as either positive(1) or negative(0).
- Since, each day had about 2000 tweets and aggregate sentiment had to be calculated for finding correlation between twitter sentiment and DJIA price index.
- Hence I found the positive and negative index of the day by finding the ratio of number of tweets of one kind over the total number of tweets for the day.
- This however posed another problem. All days had almost a 66% negative index and 33% positive index and hence I could no longer clearly state whether the day was positive one or a negative one.
- Hence in the final dataset i added both positive and negative index of the day as a feature so that the slightly varying values of the will still be meaningful.

- **Model Training and Prediction:**

- Firstly, I created the targets for my dataset. These targets are actually the next day's closing price for everyday's record.
- Since the dataset is a time series I could not simply shuffle the dataset hence, I simply split the initial 80% data into training set and the remaining 20% data in testing set.
- Now the problem can be treated in two ways:

- Classification**

- Herein, the targets are were updated to either 1 or 0 depending on the comparison whether they were larger or smaller than the previous day's closing price. This means if the target value is greater than the day's closing price the target would be set as 1 else 0.
    - This ensures we have a dataset on which we can now carryout binary classification.
    - This dataset was then trained upon by three classification algorithms:
      - a) Logistic Regression
      - b) SVM(kernel: rbf)
      - c) SGDClassifier
    - All the above three algorithms gave the same accuracy of 63.89%.

- Regression**

- For a numerical dataset, like ours, another approach is to train a supervised regressor on the time series data and then predict for each record it to see if the value is above the previous day's closing price or

not. This gives us a prediction label of 1 or 0 which is then compared to the testing targets for calculating accuracy.

- We used 3 different regression algorithms:
  - a) Lasso
  - b) ElasticNet
  - c) RandomForest
- While the first two returned 55.56% accuracy while the RandomForestRegressor returned 36.11% accuracy.

- The final results can be tabularized as:

S.no.	Approach type	Method used	Accuracy
1	Classification	Logistic Regression	63.89%
2	Classification	SVM(kernel='rbf')	63.89%
3	Classification	SGDClassifier	63.89%
4	Regression	Lasso	55.56%
5	Regression	ElasticNet	55.56%
6	Regression	RandomForest	36.11%

## Future Works

The origin paper [1] was successful in achieving an accuracy of 87% while the one by Anshul and Arpit[2] achieved an accuracy of 75%. Hence, even after submission and acceptance of the project I will continue to work on it. The major changes required in my opinion are:

1. Larger dataset.(Both the above paper mentioned usage of a dataset of 400+ million tweets while mine was merely 350K tweets, hence a much larger dataset is required to obtain better results.
2. The sentiment tagging was quite primitive and hence will be improved. Not only will I use multi class tagging but will also look for better and latest researches.
3. I did not use the neural networks based learning which served as a crucial agent in improving the accuracy in referred paper[2]. Hence, I will look into neural nets based learning to improve the accuracy.

## Libraries and Packages

1. Scikit-learn: [http://scikit-learn.org/stable/user\\_guide.html](http://scikit-learn.org/stable/user_guide.html)
2. Nltk: <http://www.nltk.org/>
3. Scraping twitter: <https://github.com/prakharchoudhary/TwitterAdvSearch>

## Acknowledgement

- [1] J. Bollen and H. Mao. "Twitter mood as a stock market predictor". IEEE Computer, 44(10):91-94
- [2] Mittal Anshul, and Arpit Goel. "Stock prediction using twitter sentiment analysis." Stanford University, CS229(2011 <http://cs229.stanford.edu/proj2011/GoelMittalStockMarketPrediction-UsingTwitterSentimentAnalysis.pdf>) (2012).
- [3] Venkata Sasank Pagolu, Kamal Nayan Reddy Challa, Ganapati Panda, Babita Majhi. "Sentiment Analysis of Twitter Data for Predicting Stock Market Movements". arXiv:1610.09225v1 [cs.LR] 28 Oct, 2016