







合 / Design Patterns / Creational patterns

# **Abstract Factory Design Pattern**

#### Intent

- Provide an interface for creating families of related or dependent objects without specifying their concrete classes.
- A hierarchy that encapsulates: many possible "platforms", and the construction of a suite of "products".
- The new operator considered harmful.

#### **Problem**

If an application is to be portable, it needs to encapsulate platform dependencies. These "platforms" might include: windowing system, operating system, database, etc. Too often, this encapsulation is not engineered in advance, and lots of <code>#ifdef</code> case statements with options for all currently supported platforms begin to procreate like rabbits throughout the code.

#### **Discussion**


Provide a level of indirection that abstracts the creation of families of related or dependent objects without directly specifying their concrete classes. The "factory" object has the responsibility for providing creation services for the entire platform family. Clients never create platform objects directly, they ask the factory to do that for them.

This mechanism makes exchanging product families easy because the specific class of the factory object appears only once in the application - where it is instantiated. The application can wholesale replace the entire family of products simply by instantiating a different concrete instance of the abstract factory.

Because the service provided by the factory object is so pervasive, it is routinely implemented as a Singleton.


#### **Structure**

The Abstract Factory defines a Factory Method per product. Each Factory Method encapsulates the new operator and the concrete, platform-specific, product classes. Each "platform" is then modeled with a Factory derived class.



## **Example**

The purpose of the Abstract Factory is to provide an interface for creating families of related objects, without specifying concrete classes. This pattern is found in the sheet metal stamping equipment used in the manufacture of Japanese automobiles. The stamping equipment is an Abstract Factory which creates auto body parts. The same machinery is used to stamp right hand doors, left hand doors, right front fenders, left front fenders, hoods, etc. for different models of cars. Through the use of rollers to change the stamping dies, the concrete classes produced by the machinery can be changed within three minutes.



# **Check list**

- 1. Decide if "platform independence" and creation services are the current source of pain.
- 2. Map out a matrix of "platforms" versus "products".
- 3. Define a factory interface that consists of a factory method per product.

- 4. Define a factory derived class for each platform that encapsulates all references to the new operator.
- 5. The client should retire all references to new, and use the factory methods to create the product objects.

#### Rules of thumb

- Sometimes creational patterns are competitors: there are cases when either
  Prototype or Abstract Factory could be used profitably. At other times they are
  complementary: Abstract Factory might store a set of Prototypes from which to
  clone and return product objects, Builder can use one of the other patterns to
  implement which components get built. Abstract Factory, Builder, and Prototype
  can use Singleton in their implementation.
- Abstract Factory, Builder, and Prototype define a factory object that's responsible
  for knowing and creating the class of product objects, and make it a parameter of
  the system. Abstract Factory has the factory object producing objects of several
  classes. Builder has the factory object building a complex product incrementally
  using a correspondingly complex protocol. Prototype has the factory object (aka
  prototype) building a product by copying a prototype object.
- Abstract Factory classes are often implemented with Factory Methods, but they can also be implemented using Prototype.
- Abstract Factory can be used as an alternative to Facade to hide platform-specific classes.
- Builder focuses on constructing a complex object step by step. Abstract Factory
  emphasizes a family of product objects (either simple or complex). Builder returns
  the product as a final step, but as far as the Abstract Factory is concerned, the
  product gets returned immediately.
- Often, designs start out using Factory Method (less complicated, more customizable, subclasses proliferate) and evolve toward Abstract Factory, Prototype, or Builder (more flexible, more complex) as the designer discovers where more flexibility is needed.

# Support our free website and own the eBook!

- 22 design patterns and 8 principles explained in depth
- 406 well-structured, easy to read, jargon-free pages
- · 228 clear and helpful illustrations and diagrams
- · An archive with code examples in 4 languages
- All devices supported: EPUB/MOBI/PDF formats





# **Code examples**

Java	Abstract Factory in Java	Abstract Factory in Java
C++	Abstract Factory in C++	Abstract Factory in C++: Before and after
PHP	Abstract Factory in PHP	Abstract Factory in PHP
Delphi	Abstract Factory in Delphi	
Python	Abstract Factory in Python	

★ More info, diagrams and examples of the Abstract Factory design pattern you can find on our new partner resource Refactoring.Guru.

**READ NEXT** 

Builder →

### **RETURN**

Design Patterns
AntiPatterns
Refactoring
UML

Creational patterns

My account Forum Contact us About us

© 2007-2020 SourceMaking.com All rights reserved.

Terms / Privacy policy