

All About Spinors

...on *flat* spacetime

Sean Ericson

UO

Theory meeting, June 27, 2024



Special and General Covariance

Some Philosophical Motivation

Special and General Covariance

Some Philosophical Motivation

- *Special covariance*: For any two families of inertial observers O and O' related by an isometry, any set of physical measurements observable by O is observable by O'

Special and General Covariance

Some Philosophical Motivation

- *Special covariance*: For any two families of inertial observers O and O' related by an isometry, any set of physical measurements observable by O is observable by O'
 - ▶ Expresses invariance of physical laws under isometries

Special and General Covariance

Some Philosophical Motivation

- *Special covariance*: For any two families of inertial observers O and O' related by an isometry, any set of physical measurements observable by O is observable by O'
 - ▶ Expresses invariance of physical laws under isometries
 - ▶ Implies an action of the group of isometries on the space of physical states $\tilde{\phi}_g : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$

Special and General Covariance

Some Philosophical Motivation

- *Special covariance*: For any two families of inertial observers O and O' related by an isometry, any set of physical measurements observable by O is observable by O'
 - ▶ Expresses invariance of physical laws under isometries
 - ▶ Implies an action of the group of isometries on the space of physical states $\tilde{\phi}_g : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$
- *General covariance*: For a physical entity described by a tensor field $T^{a\cdots}_{b\cdots}$, the equations governing the field should be of the form $\tilde{f}(T, \partial T, \cdots, g_{ab}, \partial g_{ab}, \cdots)$

Special and General Covariance

Some Philosophical Motivation

- *Special covariance*: For any two families of inertial observers O and O' related by an isometry, any set of physical measurements observable by O is observable by O'
 - ▶ Expresses invariance of physical laws under isometries
 - ▶ Implies an action of the group of isometries on the space of physical states $\tilde{\phi}_g : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$
- *General covariance*: For a physical entity described by a tensor field $T^{a\cdots}_{b\cdots}$, the equations governing the field should be of the form $\tilde{f}(T, \partial T, \cdots, g_{ab}, \partial g_{ab}, \cdots)$
 - ▶ Expresses the invariance of physical laws under diffeomorphisms

Special and General Covariance

Some Philosophical Motivation

- *Special covariance*: For any two families of inertial observers O and O' related by an isometry, any set of physical measurements observable by O is observable by O'
 - ▶ Expresses invariance of physical laws under isometries
 - ▶ Implies an action of the group of isometries on the space of physical states $\tilde{\phi}_g : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$
- *General covariance*: For a physical entity described by a tensor field $T^{a\cdots}_{b\cdots}$, the equations governing the field should be of the form $\tilde{f}(T, \partial T, \cdots, g_{ab}, \partial g_{ab}, \cdots)$
 - ▶ Expresses the invariance of physical laws under diffeomorphisms
 - ▶ G.C. \implies S.C.

Special and General Covariance

Some Philosophical Motivation

- *Special covariance*: For any two families of inertial observers O and O' related by an isometry, any set of physical measurements observable by O is observable by O'
 - ▶ Expresses invariance of physical laws under isometries
 - ▶ Implies an action of the group of isometries on the space of physical states $\tilde{\phi}_g : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$
- *General covariance*: For a physical entity described by a tensor field $T^{a\cdots}_{b\cdots}$, the equations governing the field should be of the form $\tilde{f}(T, \partial T, \cdots, g_{ab}, \partial g_{ab}, \cdots)$
 - ▶ Expresses the invariance of physical laws under diffeomorphisms
 - ▶ G.C. \implies S.C.
- Special/General covariance \rightarrow Special/General relativity

Minkowski Space and Quantum Theory

Minkowski Space and Quantum Theory

- Consider $(\mathbb{R}^4, \eta_{ab})$, $\mathcal{S} = \{\psi \in \mathcal{H} : |\psi|^2 = 1\} / \sim$

Minkowski Space and Quantum Theory

- Consider $(\mathbb{R}^4, \eta_{ab})$, $\mathcal{S} = \{\psi \in \mathcal{H} : |\psi|^2 = 1\} / \sim$
 - ▶ $\psi \sim \psi' \iff \psi = e^{i\alpha} \psi'$

Minkowski Space and Quantum Theory

- Consider $(\mathbb{R}^4, \eta_{ab})$, $\mathcal{S} = \{\psi \in \mathcal{H} : |\psi|^2 = 1\} / \sim$
 - ▶ $\psi \sim \psi' \iff \psi = e^{i\alpha} \psi'$
- Isometry group: $G = ISO(3, 1)^+$

Minkowski Space and Quantum Theory

- Consider $(\mathbb{R}^4, \eta_{ab})$, $\mathcal{S} = \{\psi \in \mathcal{H} : |\psi|^2 = 1\} / \sim$
 - ▶ $\psi \sim \psi' \iff \psi = e^{i\alpha} \psi'$
- Isometry group: $G = ISO(3, 1)^+$
- Associate with $\tilde{\phi}_g$ a map $U_g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ which preserves \sim

Minkowski Space and Quantum Theory

- Consider $(\mathbb{R}^4, \eta_{ab})$, $\mathcal{S} = \{\psi \in \mathcal{H} : |\psi|^2 = 1\} / \sim$
 - ▶ $\psi \sim \psi' \iff \psi = e^{i\alpha} \psi'$
- Isometry group: $G = ISO(3, 1)^+$
- Associate with $\tilde{\phi}_g$ a map $U_g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ which preserves \sim
 - ▶ U_g can be rephased to be (anti-)unitary

Minkowski Space and Quantum Theory

- Consider $(\mathbb{R}^4, \eta_{ab})$, $\mathcal{S} = \{\psi \in \mathcal{H} : |\psi|^2 = 1\} / \sim$
 - ▶ $\psi \sim \psi' \iff \psi = e^{i\alpha} \psi'$
- Isometry group: $G = ISO(3, 1)^+$
- Associate with $\tilde{\phi}_g$ a map $U_g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ which preserves \sim
 - ▶ U_g can be rephased to be (anti-)unitary
- Composition: $\tilde{\phi}_{g_1} \circ \tilde{\phi}_{g_2} = \tilde{\phi}_{g_1 g_2} \implies U_{g_1} U_{g_2} = e^{i\theta} U_{g_1 g_2}$

Minkowski Space and Quantum Theory

- Consider $(\mathbb{R}^4, \eta_{ab})$, $\mathcal{S} = \{\psi \in \mathcal{H} : |\psi|^2 = 1\} / \sim$
 - ▶ $\psi \sim \psi' \iff \psi = e^{i\alpha} \psi'$
- Isometry group: $G = ISO(3, 1)^+$
- Associate with $\tilde{\phi}_g$ a map $U_g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ which preserves \sim
 - ▶ U_g can be rephased to be (anti-)unitary
- Composition: $\tilde{\phi}_{g_1} \circ \tilde{\phi}_{g_2} = \tilde{\phi}_{g_1 g_2} \implies U_{g_1} U_{g_2} = e^{i\theta} U_{g_1 g_2}$
 - ▶ Wigner ('39): can set phases so $\theta = n\pi$, i.e. $U_{g_1} U_{g_2} = \pm U_{g_1 g_2}$

Minkowski Space and Quantum Theory

- Consider $(\mathbb{R}^4, \eta_{ab})$, $\mathcal{S} = \{\psi \in \mathcal{H} : |\psi|^2 = 1\} / \sim$
 - ▶ $\psi \sim \psi' \iff \psi = e^{i\alpha} \psi'$
- Isometry group: $G = ISO(3, 1)^+$
- Associate with $\tilde{\phi}_g$ a map $U_g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ which preserves \sim
 - ▶ U_g can be rephased to be (anti-)unitary
- Composition: $\tilde{\phi}_{g_1} \circ \tilde{\phi}_{g_2} = \tilde{\phi}_{g_1 g_2} \implies U_{g_1} U_{g_2} = e^{i\theta} U_{g_1 g_2}$
 - ▶ Wigner ('39): can set phases so $\theta = n\pi$, i.e. $U_{g_1} U_{g_2} = \pm U_{g_1 g_2}$
- \mathcal{H} a rep. space for a unitary rep. (up to sign) of $ISO(3, 1)^+!$

Minkowski Space and Quantum Theory

- Consider $(\mathbb{R}^4, \eta_{ab})$, $\mathcal{S} = \{\psi \in \mathcal{H} : |\psi|^2 = 1\} / \sim$
 - ▶ $\psi \sim \psi' \iff \psi = e^{i\alpha} \psi'$
- Isometry group: $G = ISO(3, 1)^+$
- Associate with $\tilde{\phi}_g$ a map $U_g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ which preserves \sim
 - ▶ U_g can be rephased to be (anti-)unitary
- Composition: $\tilde{\phi}_{g_1} \circ \tilde{\phi}_{g_2} = \tilde{\phi}_{g_1 g_2} \implies U_{g_1} U_{g_2} = e^{i\theta} U_{g_1 g_2}$
 - ▶ Wigner ('39): can set phases so $\theta = n\pi$, i.e. $U_{g_1} U_{g_2} = \pm U_{g_1 g_2}$
- \mathcal{H} a rep. space for a unitary rep. (up to sign) of $ISO(3, 1)^+!$
- Bargmann ('54): reps up to sign are *exactly* the true reps of the universal cover

Universal Covering Spaces

Universal Covering Spaces

- The universal cover $\mathcal{U}(M)$ of a topological space M is a simply connected space which covers M

Universal Covering Spaces

- The universal cover $\mathcal{U}(M)$ of a topological space M is a simply connected space which covers M
- Construction:

Universal Covering Spaces

- The universal cover $\mathcal{U}(M)$ of a topological space M is a simply connected space which covers M
- Construction:
 - ▶ Cut M such that it becomes simply connected with boundary

Universal Covering Spaces

- The universal cover $\mathcal{U}(M)$ of a topological space M is a simply connected space which covers M
- Construction:
 - ▶ Cut M such that it becomes simply connected with boundary
 - ▶ Glue together copies to eliminate the boundaries

Universal Covering Spaces

- The universal cover $\mathcal{U}(M)$ of a topological space M is a simply connected space which covers M
- Construction:
 - ▶ Cut M such that it becomes simply connected with boundary
 - ▶ Glue together copies to eliminate the boundaries
- Lie group structure of M is naturally lifted to $\mathcal{U}(M)$

Universal Covering Spaces

- The universal cover $\mathcal{U}(M)$ of a topological space M is a simply connected space which covers M
- Construction:
 - ▶ Cut M such that it becomes simply connected with boundary
 - ▶ Glue together copies to eliminate the boundaries
- Lie group structure of M is naturally lifted to $\mathcal{U}(M)$
- Fundamental group of $ISO(3,1)^+$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow$ double cover

Universal Covering Spaces

- The universal cover $\mathcal{U}(M)$ of a topological space M is a simply connected space which covers M
- Construction:
 - ▶ Cut M such that it becomes simply connected with boundary
 - ▶ Glue together copies to eliminate the boundaries
- Lie group structure of M is naturally lifted to $\mathcal{U}(M)$
- Fundamental group of $ISO(3, 1)^+$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow$ double cover
- In fact, $\mathcal{U}(ISO(3, 1)^+) \cong ISL(2, \mathbb{C})$

Spinors, Spinorial Tensors, and Spinor Space

Spinors, Spinorial Tensors, and Spinor Space

- Let $W \cong \mathbb{C}^2$

Spinors, Spinorial Tensors, and Spinor Space

- Let $W \cong \mathbb{C}^2$
 - ▶ *Dual space* W^* : linear maps $\lambda_A : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

Spinors, Spinorial Tensors, and Spinor Space

- Let $W \cong \mathbb{C}^2$
 - ▶ *Dual space* W^* : linear maps $\lambda_A : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - ▶ *Conjugate dual space* \overline{W}^* : anti-linear maps $\lambda_{A'} : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

Spinors, Spinorial Tensors, and Spinor Space

- Let $W \cong \mathbb{C}^2$
 - ▶ *Dual space* W^* : linear maps $\lambda_A : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - ▶ *Conjugate dual space* \overline{W}^* : anti-linear maps $\lambda_{A'} : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - ▶ *Conjugate space* \overline{W} : the dual space of \overline{W}^*

Spinors, Spinorial Tensors, and Spinor Space

- Let $W \cong \mathbb{C}^2$
 - ▶ *Dual space* W^* : linear maps $\lambda_A : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - ▶ *Conjugate dual space* \overline{W}^* : anti-linear maps $\lambda_{A'} : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - ▶ *Conjugate space* \overline{W} : the dual space of \overline{W}^*
- Tensor of type $(k, l; k', l')$:

$$T_{B_1 \dots B_l B'_1 \dots B'_{l'}}^{A_1 \dots A_k A'_1 \dots A'_{k'}} : (W^*)^k \times (W)^l \times (\overline{W}^*)^{k'} \times (\overline{W})^{l'} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

Spinors, Spinorial Tensors, and Spinor Space

■ Let $W \cong \mathbb{C}^2$

- ▶ *Dual space* W^* : linear maps $\lambda_A : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
- ▶ *Conjugate dual space* \overline{W}^* : anti-linear maps $\lambda_{A'} : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
- ▶ *Conjugate space* \overline{W} : the dual space of \overline{W}^*

■ Tensor of type $(k, l; k', l')$:

$$T_{B_1 \dots B_l B'_1 \dots B'_{l'}}^{A_1 \dots A_k A'_1 \dots A'_{k'}} : (W^*)^k \times (W)^l \times (\overline{W}^*)^{k'} \times (\overline{W})^{l'} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

■ Space of type $(0,2;0,0)$ antisymmetric tensors is 1-dimensional

Spinors, Spinorial Tensors, and Spinor Space

- Let $W \cong \mathbb{C}^2$
 - ▶ *Dual space* W^* : linear maps $\lambda_A : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - ▶ *Conjugate dual space* \overline{W}^* : anti-linear maps $\lambda_{A'} : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - ▶ *Conjugate space* \overline{W} : the dual space of \overline{W}^*
- Tensor of type $(k, l; k', l')$:

$$T_{B_1 \dots B_l B'_1 \dots B'_{l'}}^{A_1 \dots A_k A'_1 \dots A'_{k'}} : (W^*)^k \times (W)^l \times (\overline{W}^*)^{k'} \times (\overline{W})^{l'} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

- Space of type $(0,2;0,0)$ antisymmetric tensors is 1-dimensional
- Spinor space: (W, ϵ_{AB})

Spinors, Spinorial Tensors, and Spinor Space

- Let $W \cong \mathbb{C}^2$
 - ▶ *Dual space* W^* : linear maps $\lambda_A : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - ▶ *Conjugate dual space* \overline{W}^* : anti-linear maps $\lambda_{A'} : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
 - ▶ *Conjugate space* \overline{W} : the dual space of \overline{W}^*
- Tensor of type $(k, l; k', l')$:

$$T_{B_1 \dots B_l B'_1 \dots B'_{l'}}^{A_1 \dots A_k A'_1 \dots A'_{k'}} : (W^*)^k \times (W)^l \times (\overline{W}^*)^{k'} \times (\overline{W})^{l'} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

- Space of type $(0,2;0,0)$ antisymmetric tensors is 1-dimensional
- Spinor space: (W, ϵ_{AB})
 - ▶ $\lambda^A \in W$ is called a *spinor*

Spinors, Spinorial Tensors, and Spinor Space

■ Let $W \cong \mathbb{C}^2$

- ▶ *Dual space* W^* : linear maps $\lambda_A : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
- ▶ *Conjugate dual space* \overline{W}^* : anti-linear maps $\lambda_{A'} : W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$
- ▶ *Conjugate space* \overline{W} : the dual space of \overline{W}^*

■ Tensor of type $(k, l; k', l')$:

$$T_{B_1 \dots B_l B'_1 \dots B'_{l'}}^{A_1 \dots A_k A'_1 \dots A'_{k'}} : (W^*)^k \times (W)^l \times (\overline{W}^*)^{k'} \times (\overline{W})^{l'} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

- Space of type $(0,2;0,0)$ antisymmetric tensors is 1-dimensional
- Spinor space: (W, ϵ_{AB})
 - ▶ $\lambda^A \in W$ is called a *spinor*
 - ▶ Tensors over W are called *spinorial tensors*

Spinor Conventions

Spinor Conventions

- (Un)primed index order irrelevant: $T^{AD'B}{}_C \leftrightarrow T^{AB}{}_C{}^{D'}$

Spinor Conventions

- (Un)primed index order irrelevant: $T^{AD'B}{}_C \leftrightarrow T^{AB}{}_C{}^{D'}$
- Conjugation maps $(k, l; k', l')$ tensors to $(k', l'; k, l)$ tensors:

$$T^A{}_{BC} \leftrightarrow \overline{T}^{A'}{}_{B'C'}$$

Spinor Conventions

- (Un)primed index order irrelevant: $T^{AD'B}{}_C \leftrightarrow T^{AB}{}_C{}^{D'}$
- Conjugation maps $(k, l; k', l')$ tensors to $(k', l'; k, l)$ tensors:

$$T^A{}_{BC} \leftrightarrow \overline{T}^{A'}{}_{B'C'}$$

- $\epsilon^{AB}, \epsilon_{AB}$ raise/lower unprimed indices; $\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}$ for primed

Spinor Conventions

- (Un)primed index order irrelevant: $T^{AD'B}{}_C \leftrightarrow T^{AB}{}_C{}^{D'}$
- Conjugation maps $(k, l; k', l')$ tensors to $(k', l'; k, l)$ tensors:

$$T^A{}_{BC} \leftrightarrow \overline{T}^{A'}{}_{B'C'}$$

- $\epsilon^{AB}, \epsilon_{AB}$ raise/lower unprimed indices; $\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}$ for primed
- Contraction occurs over *first* index of ϵ :

$$\phi_A = \epsilon_{BA} \phi^B = -\epsilon_{AB} \phi^B$$

Spinor Conventions

- (Un)primed index order irrelevant: $T^{AD'B}{}_C \leftrightarrow T^{AB}{}_C{}^{D'}$
- Conjugation maps $(k, l; k', l')$ tensors to $(k', l'; k, l)$ tensors:

$$T^A{}_{BC} \leftrightarrow \overline{T}^{A'}{}_{B'C'}$$

- $\epsilon^{AB}, \epsilon_{AB}$ raise/lower unprimed indices; $\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}$ for primed
- Contraction occurs over *first* index of ϵ :

$$\phi_A = \epsilon_{BA} \phi^B = -\epsilon_{AB} \phi^B$$

► $\implies \phi_A \phi^A = 0$

Spinor Conventions

- (Un)primed index order irrelevant: $T^{AD'B}{}_C \leftrightarrow T^{AB}{}_C{}^{D'}$
- Conjugation maps $(k, l; k', l')$ tensors to $(k', l'; k, l)$ tensors:

$$T^A{}_{BC} \leftrightarrow \overline{T}^{A'}{}_{B'C'}$$

- $\epsilon^{AB}, \epsilon_{AB}$ raise/lower unprimed indices; $\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}$ for primed
- Contraction occurs over *first* index of ϵ :

$$\phi_A = \epsilon_{BA} \phi^B = -\epsilon_{AB} \phi^B$$

► $\implies \phi_A \phi^A = 0$

- $\delta^A{}_B = \mathbb{I}_W$ differs by a sign from $\delta_C{}^D = \mathbb{I}_{W^*}$

Spinor Conventions

- (Un)primed index order irrelevant: $T^{AD'B}{}_C \leftrightarrow T^{AB}{}_C{}^{D'}$
- Conjugation maps $(k, l; k', l')$ tensors to $(k', l'; k, l)$ tensors:

$$T^A{}_{BC} \leftrightarrow \overline{T}^{A'}{}_{B'C'}$$

- $\epsilon^{AB}, \epsilon_{AB}$ raise/lower unprimed indices; $\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}$ for primed
- Contraction occurs over *first* index of ϵ :

$$\phi_A = \epsilon_{BA} \phi^B = -\epsilon_{AB} \phi^B$$

▶ $\implies \phi_A \phi^A = 0$

- $\delta^A{}_B = \mathbb{I}_W$ differs by a sign from $\delta_C{}^D = \mathbb{I}_{W^*}$

▶ \rightarrow use $\epsilon^A{}_B, \epsilon_C{}^D$ and their conjugates to avoid confusion

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

- Let $L^A_B : W \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

- Let $L^A_B : W \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation
 - ▶ $\det(L) := \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{AB} \epsilon_{CD} L^A_C L^B_D$

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

- Let $L^A_B : W \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation
 - ▶ $\det(L) := \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{AB} \epsilon_{CD} L^A_C L^B_D$
- $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ is simply all L with $\det(L) = 1$

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

- Let $L^A_B : W \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation
 - ▶ $\det(L) := \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{AB} \epsilon_{CD} L^A_C L^B_D$
- $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ is simply all L with $\det(L) = 1$
 - ▶ Polar decomp: $L = UH \rightarrow 6$ real d.o.f.

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

- Let $L^A_B : W \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation
 - ▶ $\det(L) := \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{AB} \epsilon_{CD} L^A_C L^B_D$
- $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ is simply all L with $\det(L) = 1$
 - ▶ Polar decomp: $L = UH \rightarrow$ 6 real d.o.f.
 - ▶ Simply connected Lie group $\cong S^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

- Let $L^A_B : W \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation
 - ▶ $\det(L) := \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{AB} \epsilon_{CD} L^A_C L^B_D$
- $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ is simply all L with $\det(L) = 1$
 - ▶ Polar decomp: $L = UH \rightarrow$ 6 real d.o.f.
 - ▶ Simply connected Lie group $\cong S^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$
 - ▶ $\det(L) = 1 \iff L^A_C L^B_D \epsilon_{AB} = \epsilon_{CD}$

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

- Tensors $\phi^{AA'} \in W_{1,0;1,0}$ comprise a \mathbb{C}^4 vector space

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

- Tensors $\phi^{AA'} \in W_{1,0;1,0}$ comprise a \mathbb{C}^4 vector space
 - ▶ Let $\{o^A, \iota^A\}$ be a basis for W with $o_A \iota^A = 1$

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

- Tensors $\phi^{AA'} \in W_{1,0;1,0}$ comprise a \mathbb{C}^4 vector space
 - ▶ Let $\{o^A, \iota^A\}$ be a basis for W with $o_A \iota^A = 1$
 - ▶ A basis for $W_{1,0;1,0}$ can be given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 t^{AA'} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(o^A \bar{o}^{A'} + \iota^A \bar{\iota}^{A'} \right) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 x^{AA'} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(o^A \bar{\iota}^{A'} + \iota^A \bar{o}^{A'} \right) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 y^{AA'} &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left(o^A \bar{\iota}^{A'} - \iota^A \bar{o}^{A'} \right) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 z^{AA'} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(o^A \bar{o}^{A'} - \iota^A \bar{\iota}^{A'} \right) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

- Tensors $\phi^{AA'} \in W_{1,0;1,0}$ comprise a \mathbb{C}^4 vector space
 - ▶ Let $\{o^A, \iota^A\}$ be a basis for W with $o_A \iota^A = 1$
 - ▶ A basis for $W_{1,0;1,0}$ can be given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 t^{AA'} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(o^A \bar{o}^{A'} + \iota^A \bar{\iota}^{A'} \right) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 x^{AA'} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(o^A \bar{\iota}^{A'} + \iota^A \bar{o}^{A'} \right) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 y^{AA'} &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left(o^A \bar{\iota}^{A'} - \iota^A \bar{o}^{A'} \right) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 z^{AA'} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(o^A \bar{o}^{A'} - \iota^A \bar{\iota}^{A'} \right) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

- Under conjugation, $\overline{W}_{1,0;1,0} = W_{1,0;1,0}$

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

- Tensors $\phi^{AA'} \in W_{1,0;1,0}$ comprise a \mathbb{C}^4 vector space

- ▶ Let $\{o^A, \iota^A\}$ be a basis for W with $o_A \iota^A = 1$
- ▶ A basis for $W_{1,0;1,0}$ can be given by

$$\begin{aligned} t^{AA'} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(o^A \bar{o}^{A'} + \iota^A \bar{\iota}^{A'} \right) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ x^{AA'} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(o^A \bar{\iota}^{A'} + \iota^A \bar{o}^{A'} \right) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ y^{AA'} &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left(o^A \bar{\iota}^{A'} - \iota^A \bar{o}^{A'} \right) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ z^{AA'} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(o^A \bar{o}^{A'} - \iota^A \bar{\iota}^{A'} \right) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

- Under conjugation, $\overline{W}_{1,0;1,0} = W_{1,0;1,0}$

- ▶ $\phi^{AA'} \in W_{1,0;1,0}$ s.t. $\overline{\phi}^{AA'} = \phi^{AA'}$ are called *real*

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

- $\{t^{AA'}, x^{AA'}, y^{AA'}, z^{AA'}\}$ defined above are clearly real

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

- $\{t^{AA'}, x^{AA'}, y^{AA'}, z^{AA'}\}$ defined above are clearly real
- They span a 4-real dimensional space $V \subset W_{1,0;1,0}$

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

- $\{t^{AA'}, x^{AA'}, y^{AA'}, z^{AA'}\}$ defined above are clearly real
- They span a 4-real dimensional space $V \subset W_{1,0;1,0}$
- Define $g : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g_{AA'BB'} := \epsilon_{AB}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}$

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

- $\{t^{AA'}, x^{AA'}, y^{AA'}, z^{AA'}\}$ defined above are clearly real
- They span a 4-real dimensional space $V \subset W_{1,0;1,0}$
- Define $g : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g_{AA'BB'} := \epsilon_{AB}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}$
 - ▶ g is nondegenerate with signature $(+, -, -, -)$; a Lorentz metric!

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

- $\{t^{AA'}, x^{AA'}, y^{AA'}, z^{AA'}\}$ defined above are clearly real
- They span a 4-real dimensional space $V \subset W_{1,0;1,0}$
- Define $g : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g_{AA'BB'} := \epsilon_{AB}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}$
 - ▶ g is nondegenerate with signature $(+, -, -, -)$; a Lorentz metric!
- Define $\lambda : V \rightarrow V$ by $\lambda^{AA'}_{BB'} := L^A_B \bar{L}^{A'}_{B'}$

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

- $\{t^{AA'}, x^{AA'}, y^{AA'}, z^{AA'}\}$ defined above are clearly real
- They span a 4-real dimensional space $V \subset W_{1,0;1,0}$
- Define $g : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g_{AA'BB'} := \epsilon_{AB}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}$
 - ▶ g is nondegenerate with signature $(+, -, -, -)$; a Lorentz metric!
- Define $\lambda : V \rightarrow V$ by $\lambda^{AA'}_{BB'} := L^A_B \bar{L}^{A'}_{B'}$
 - ▶ Automatically, $\lambda^{AA'}_{CC'} \lambda^{BB'}_{DD'} g_{AA'BB'} = g_{CC'DD'}$

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

- $\{t^{AA'}, x^{AA'}, y^{AA'}, z^{AA'}\}$ defined above are clearly real
- They span a 4-real dimensional space $V \subset W_{1,0;1,0}$
- Define $g : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g_{AA'BB'} := \epsilon_{AB}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}$
 - ▶ g is nondegenerate with signature $(+, -, -, -)$; a Lorentz metric!
- Define $\lambda : V \rightarrow V$ by $\lambda^{AA'}_{BB'} := L^A_B \bar{L}^{A'}_{B'}$
 - ▶ Automatically, $\lambda^{AA'}_{CC'} \lambda^{BB'}_{DD'} g_{AA'BB'} = g_{CC'DD'}$
 - ▶ But this means $\lambda \in O(3, 1)$ (in fact, $SO(3, 1)^+$)!!

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

- $\{t^{AA'}, x^{AA'}, y^{AA'}, z^{AA'}\}$ defined above are clearly real
- They span a 4-real dimensional space $V \subset W_{1,0;1,0}$
- Define $g : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g_{AA'BB'} := \epsilon_{AB}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}$
 - ▶ g is nondegenerate with signature $(+, -, -, -)$; a Lorentz metric!
- Define $\lambda : V \rightarrow V$ by $\lambda^{AA'}_{BB'} := L^A_B \bar{L}^{A'}_{B'}$
 - ▶ Automatically, $\lambda^{AA'}_{CC'} \lambda^{BB'}_{DD'} g_{AA'BB'} = g_{CC'DD'}$
 - ▶ But this means $\lambda \in O(3, 1)$ (in fact, $SO(3, 1)^+$)!!
 - ▶ $L_1, L_2 \rightarrow \lambda \implies L_1 = \pm L_2$ (a double cover)

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

- $\{t^{AA'}, x^{AA'}, y^{AA'}, z^{AA'}\}$ defined above are clearly real
- They span a 4-real dimensional space $V \subset W_{1,0;1,0}$
- Define $g : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g_{AA'BB'} := \epsilon_{AB}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}$
 - ▶ g is nondegenerate with signature $(+, -, -, -)$; a Lorentz metric!
- Define $\lambda : V \rightarrow V$ by $\lambda^{AA'}_{BB'} := L^A_B \bar{L}^{A'}_{B'}$
 - ▶ Automatically, $\lambda^{AA'}_{CC'} \lambda^{BB'}_{DD'} g_{AA'BB'} = g_{CC'DD'}$
 - ▶ But this means $\lambda \in O(3, 1)$ (in fact, $SO(3, 1)^+$)!!
 - ▶ $L_1, L_2 \rightarrow \lambda \implies L_1 = \pm L_2$ (a double cover)
- Let $\{t^a, x^a, y^a, z^a\}$ be a basis for $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

- $\{t^{AA'}, x^{AA'}, y^{AA'}, z^{AA'}\}$ defined above are clearly real
- They span a 4-real dimensional space $V \subset W_{1,0;1,0}$
- Define $g : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g_{AA'BB'} := \epsilon_{AB}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}$
 - ▶ g is nondegenerate with signature $(+, -, -, -)$; a Lorentz metric!
- Define $\lambda : V \rightarrow V$ by $\lambda^{AA'}_{BB'} := L^A_B \bar{L}^{A'}_{B'}$
 - ▶ Automatically, $\lambda^{AA'}_{CC'} \lambda^{BB'}_{DD'} g_{AA'BB'} = g_{CC'DD'}$
 - ▶ But this means $\lambda \in O(3, 1)$ (in fact, $SO(3, 1)^+$)!!
 - ▶ $L_1, L_2 \rightarrow \lambda \implies L_1 = \pm L_2$ (a double cover)
- Let $\{t^a, x^a, y^a, z^a\}$ be a basis for $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$
- Define $\sigma^a_{AA'} := t^a t_{AA'} - x^a x_{AA'} - y^a y_{AA'} - z^a z_{AA'}$

$SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $SO(3, 1)^+$

- $\{t^{AA'}, x^{AA'}, y^{AA'}, z^{AA'}\}$ defined above are clearly real
- They span a 4-real dimensional space $V \subset W_{1,0;1,0}$
- Define $g : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g_{AA'BB'} := \epsilon_{AB}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}$
 - ▶ g is nondegenerate with signature $(+, -, -, -)$; a Lorentz metric!
- Define $\lambda : V \rightarrow V$ by $\lambda^{AA'}_{BB'} := L^A_B \bar{L}^{A'}_{B'}$
 - ▶ Automatically, $\lambda^{AA'}_{CC'} \lambda^{BB'}_{DD'} g_{AA'BB'} = g_{CC'DD'}$
 - ▶ But this means $\lambda \in O(3, 1)$ (in fact, $SO(3, 1)^+$)!!
 - ▶ $L_1, L_2 \rightarrow \lambda \implies L_1 = \pm L_2$ (a double cover)
- Let $\{t^a, x^a, y^a, z^a\}$ be a basis for $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$
- Define $\sigma^a_{AA'} := t^a t_{AA'} - x^a x_{AA'} - y^a y_{AA'} - z^a z_{AA'}$
 - ▶ σ is an isomorphism between $\text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$ and $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$

Spinors and Null Vectors

Spinors and Null Vectors

- Let $\psi^A \in W$, then $\psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} =: k^{AA'} \in \text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$

Spinors and Null Vectors

- Let $\psi^A \in W$, then $\psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} =: k^{AA'} \in \text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$
 - ▶ $k_{AA'} k^{AA'} = g_{AA' BB'} k^{AA'} k^{BB'} = \epsilon_{AB} \bar{\epsilon}_{A' B'} \psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} \psi^B \bar{\psi}^{B'} = 0$

Spinors and Null Vectors

- Let $\psi^A \in W$, then $\psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} =: k^{AA'} \in \text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$
 - ▶ $k_{AA'} k^{AA'} = g_{AA' BB'} k^{AA'} k^{BB'} = \epsilon_{AB} \bar{\epsilon}_{A' B'} \psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} \psi^B \bar{\psi}^{B'} = 0$
 - ▶ $k^{AA'}$ is thus a null vector (ψ^A “square root” of a null vector?)

Spinors and Null Vectors

- Let $\psi^A \in W$, then $\psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} =: k^{AA'} \in \text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$
 - ▶ $k_{AA'} k^{AA'} = g_{AA' BB'} k^{AA'} k^{BB'} = \epsilon_{AB} \bar{\epsilon}_{A' B'} \psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} \psi^B \bar{\psi}^{B'} = 0$
 - ▶ $k^{AA'}$ is thus a null vector (ψ^A “square root” of a null vector?)
- Let $\psi^A, \phi^A \in W$, then $\psi_A \bar{\psi}_{A'} \phi^A \bar{\phi}^{A'} = |\psi_A \phi^A|^2 > 0$

Spinors and Null Vectors

- Let $\psi^A \in W$, then $\psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} =: k^{AA'} \in \text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$
 - ▶ $k_{AA'} k^{AA'} = g_{AA' BB'} k^{AA'} k^{BB'} = \epsilon_{AB} \bar{\epsilon}_{A' B'} \psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} \psi^B \bar{\psi}^{B'} = 0$
 - ▶ $k^{AA'}$ is thus a null vector (ψ^A “square root” of a null vector?)
- Let $\psi^A, \phi^A \in W$, then $\psi_A \bar{\psi}_{A'} \phi^A \bar{\phi}^{A'} = |\psi_A \phi^A|^2 > 0$
 - ▶ $k_\psi^{AA'}, k_\phi^{AA'}$ on the same side of the light cone: future direction

Spinors and Null Vectors

- Let $\psi^A \in W$, then $\psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} =: k^{AA'} \in \text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$
 - ▶ $k_{AA'} k^{AA'} = g_{AA' BB'} k^{AA'} k^{BB'} = \epsilon_{AB} \bar{\epsilon}_{A' B'} \psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} \psi^B \bar{\psi}^{B'} = 0$
 - ▶ $k^{AA'}$ is thus a null vector (ψ^A “square root” of a null vector?)
- Let $\psi^A, \phi^A \in W$, then $\psi_A \bar{\psi}_{A'} \phi^A \bar{\phi}^{A'} = |\psi_A \phi^A|^2 > 0$
 - ▶ $k_\psi^{AA'}, k_\phi^{AA'}$ on the same side of the light cone: future direction
 - ▶ $\text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$ has a natural time orientation

Spinors and Null Vectors

- Let $\psi^A \in W$, then $\psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} =: k^{AA'} \in \text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$
 - ▶ $k_{AA'} k^{AA'} = g_{AA'BB'} k^{AA'} k^{BB'} = \epsilon_{AB} \bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'} \psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} \psi^B \bar{\psi}^{B'} = 0$
 - ▶ $k^{AA'}$ is thus a null vector (ψ^A “square root” of a null vector?)
- Let $\psi^A, \phi^A \in W$, then $\psi_A \bar{\psi}_{A'} \phi^A \bar{\phi}^{A'} = |\psi_A \phi^A|^2 > 0$
 - ▶ $k_\psi^{AA'}, k_\phi^{AA'}$ on the same side of the light cone: future direction
 - ▶ $\text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$ has a natural time orientation
- Def. $\epsilon_{AA'BB'CC'DD'} := \epsilon_{AB}\epsilon_{CD}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'C'}\bar{\epsilon}_{B'D'} - \epsilon_{AC}\epsilon_{BD}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}\bar{\epsilon}_{C'D'}$

Spinors and Null Vectors

- Let $\psi^A \in W$, then $\psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} =: k^{AA'} \in \text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$
 - ▶ $k_{AA'} k^{AA'} = g_{AA'BB'} k^{AA'} k^{BB'} = \epsilon_{AB} \bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'} \psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} \psi^B \bar{\psi}^{B'} = 0$
 - ▶ $k^{AA'}$ is thus a null vector (ψ^A “square root” of a null vector?)
- Let $\psi^A, \phi^A \in W$, then $\psi_A \bar{\psi}_{A'} \phi^A \bar{\phi}^{A'} = |\psi_A \phi^A|^2 > 0$
 - ▶ $k_\psi^{AA'}, k_\phi^{AA'}$ on the same side of the light cone: future direction
 - ▶ $\text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$ has a natural time orientation
- Def. $\epsilon_{AA'BB'CC'DD'} := \epsilon_{AB}\epsilon_{CD}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'C'}\bar{\epsilon}_{B'D'} - \epsilon_{AC}\epsilon_{BD}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}\bar{\epsilon}_{C'D'}$
 - ▶ $\text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$ has a natural orientation

Spinors and Null Vectors

- Let $\psi^A \in W$, then $\psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} =: k^{AA'} \in \text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$
 - ▶ $k_{AA'} k^{AA'} = g_{AA'BB'} k^{AA'} k^{BB'} = \epsilon_{AB} \bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'} \psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} \psi^B \bar{\psi}^{B'} = 0$
 - ▶ $k^{AA'}$ is thus a null vector (ψ^A “square root” of a null vector?)
- Let $\psi^A, \phi^A \in W$, then $\psi_A \bar{\psi}_{A'} \phi^A \bar{\phi}^{A'} = |\psi_A \phi^A|^2 > 0$
 - ▶ $k_\psi^{AA'}, k_\phi^{AA'}$ on the same side of the light cone: future direction
 - ▶ $\text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$ has a natural time orientation
- Def. $\epsilon_{AA'BB'CC'DD'} := \epsilon_{AB}\epsilon_{CD}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'C'}\bar{\epsilon}_{B'D'} - \epsilon_{AC}\epsilon_{BD}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}\bar{\epsilon}_{C'D'}$
 - ▶ $\text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$ has a natural orientation
- Null flag: $F^{AA'BB'} := \psi^A \psi^B \bar{\epsilon}^{A'B'} + \bar{\psi}^{A'} \bar{\psi}^{B'} \epsilon^{AB}$

Spinors and Null Vectors

- Let $\psi^A \in W$, then $\psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} =: k^{AA'} \in \text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$
 - ▶ $k_{AA'} k^{AA'} = g_{AA'BB'} k^{AA'} k^{BB'} = \epsilon_{AB} \bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'} \psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} \psi^B \bar{\psi}^{B'} = 0$
 - ▶ $k^{AA'}$ is thus a null vector (ψ^A “square root” of a null vector?)
- Let $\psi^A, \phi^A \in W$, then $\psi_A \bar{\psi}_{A'} \phi^A \bar{\phi}^{A'} = |\psi_A \phi^A|^2 > 0$
 - ▶ $k_\psi^{AA'}, k_\phi^{AA'}$ on the same side of the light cone: future direction
 - ▶ $\text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$ has a natural time orientation
- Def. $\epsilon_{AA'BB'CC'DD'} := \epsilon_{AB}\epsilon_{CD}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'C'}\bar{\epsilon}_{B'D'} - \epsilon_{AC}\epsilon_{BD}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}\bar{\epsilon}_{C'D'}$
 - ▶ $\text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$ has a natural orientation
- Null flag: $F^{AA'BB'} := \psi^A \psi^B \bar{\epsilon}^{A'B'} + \bar{\psi}^{A'} \bar{\psi}^{B'} \epsilon^{AB}$
 - ▶ $F^{AA'BB'} = -F^{BB'AA'}, F_{AA'BB'} F^{AA'BB'} = F_{AA'BB'} \psi^B \bar{\psi}^{B'} = 0$

Spinors and Null Vectors

- Let $\psi^A \in W$, then $\psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} =: k^{AA'} \in \text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$
 - ▶ $k_{AA'} k^{AA'} = g_{AA'BB'} k^{AA'} k^{BB'} = \epsilon_{AB} \bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'} \psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} \psi^B \bar{\psi}^{B'} = 0$
 - ▶ $k^{AA'}$ is thus a null vector (ψ^A “square root” of a null vector?)
- Let $\psi^A, \phi^A \in W$, then $\psi_A \bar{\psi}_{A'} \phi^A \bar{\phi}^{A'} = |\psi_A \phi^A|^2 > 0$
 - ▶ $k_\psi^{AA'}, k_\phi^{AA'}$ on the same side of the light cone: future direction
 - ▶ $\text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$ has a natural time orientation
- Def. $\epsilon_{AA'BB'CC'DD'} := \epsilon_{AB}\epsilon_{CD}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'C'}\bar{\epsilon}_{B'D'} - \epsilon_{AC}\epsilon_{BD}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}\bar{\epsilon}_{C'D'}$
 - ▶ $\text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$ has a natural orientation
- Null flag: $F^{AA'BB'} := \psi^A \psi^B \bar{\epsilon}^{A'B'} + \bar{\psi}^{A'} \bar{\psi}^{B'} \epsilon^{AB}$
 - ▶ $F^{AA'BB'} = -F^{BB'AA'}$, $F_{AA'BB'} F^{AA'BB'} = F_{AA'BB'} \psi^B \bar{\psi}^{B'} = 0$
 - ▶ $\implies F^{AA'BB'} = k^{AA'} m^{BB'} - k^{BB'} m^{AA'}$ for some $m^{AA'}$

Spinors and Null Vectors

- Let $\psi^A \in W$, then $\psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} =: k^{AA'} \in \text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$
 - ▶ $k_{AA'} k^{AA'} = g_{AA'BB'} k^{AA'} k^{BB'} = \epsilon_{AB} \bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'} \psi^A \bar{\psi}^{A'} \psi^B \bar{\psi}^{B'} = 0$
 - ▶ $k^{AA'}$ is thus a null vector (ψ^A “square root” of a null vector?)
- Let $\psi^A, \phi^A \in W$, then $\psi_A \bar{\psi}_{A'} \phi^A \bar{\phi}^{A'} = |\psi_A \phi^A|^2 > 0$
 - ▶ $k_\psi^{AA'}, k_\phi^{AA'}$ on the same side of the light cone: future direction
 - ▶ $\text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$ has a natural time orientation
- Def. $\epsilon_{AA'BB'CC'DD'} := \epsilon_{AB}\epsilon_{CD}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'C'}\bar{\epsilon}_{B'D'} - \epsilon_{AC}\epsilon_{BD}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}\bar{\epsilon}_{C'D'}$
 - ▶ $\text{Re}[W_{1,0;1,0}]$ has a natural orientation
- Null flag: $F^{AA'BB'} := \psi^A \psi^B \bar{\epsilon}^{A'B'} + \bar{\psi}^{A'} \bar{\psi}^{B'} \epsilon^{AB}$
 - ▶ $F^{AA'BB'} = -F^{BB'AA'}$, $F_{AA'BB'} F^{AA'BB'} = F_{AA'BB'} \psi^B \bar{\psi}^{B'} = 0$
 - ▶ $\implies F^{AA'BB'} = k^{AA'} m^{BB'} - k^{BB'} m^{AA'}$ for some $m^{AA'}$
 - ▶ $\psi, \psi' \rightarrow F \iff \psi = \pm \psi'$

A Couple Neat Identities

A Couple Neat Identities

- Let T_{ab} ($T_{AA'BB'}$) be a tensor on $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ ($\text{Re}[W_{0,1;0,1}]$)

A Couple Neat Identities

- Let T_{ab} ($T_{AA'BB'}$) be a tensor on $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ ($\text{Re}[W_{0,1;0,1}]$)
- Antisymmetrization:

A Couple Neat Identities

- Let T_{ab} ($T_{AA'BB'}$) be a tensor on $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ ($\text{Re}[W_{0,1;0,1}]$)
- Antisymmetrization:
 - ▶ $T_{[ab]} = T_{(AB)[A'B']} + T_{[AB](A'B')} = \phi_{AB}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'} + \bar{\phi}_{A'B'}\epsilon_{AB}$
 with $\phi_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}T_{(AB)A'}{}^{A'}$ symmetric

A Couple Neat Identities

- Let T_{ab} ($T_{AA'BB'}$) be a tensor on $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ ($\text{Re}[W_{0,1;0,1}]$)
- Antisymmetrization:
 - ▶ $T_{[ab]} = T_{(AB)[A'B']} + T_{[AB](A'B')} = \phi_{AB}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'} + \bar{\phi}_{A'B'}\epsilon_{AB}$
 with $\phi_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}T_{(AB)A'}{}^{A'}$ symmetric
- Symmetrization:

A Couple Neat Identities

■ Let T_{ab} ($T_{AA'BB'}$) be a tensor on $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ ($\text{Re}[W_{0,1;0,1}]$)

■ Antisymmetrization:

► $T_{[ab]} = T_{(AB)[A'B']} + T_{[AB](A'B')} = \phi_{AB}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'} + \bar{\phi}_{A'B'}\epsilon_{AB}$
 with $\phi_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}T_{(AB)A'}{}^{A'}$ symmetric

■ Symmetrization:

► $T_{(ab)} = T_{(AB)(A'B')} + T_{[AB][A'B']} = T_{(AB)(A'B')} + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_{AB}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}T$
 where $T = T_A{}^A{}_A{}^A = T_a T^a$

A Couple Neat Identities

- Let T_{ab} ($T_{AA'BB'}$) be a tensor on $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ ($\text{Re}[W_{0,1;0,1}]$)

- Antisymmetrization:

► $T_{[ab]} = T_{(AB)[A'B']} + T_{[AB](A'B')} = \phi_{AB}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'} + \bar{\phi}_{A'B'}\epsilon_{AB}$
 with $\phi_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}T_{(AB)A'}{}^{A'}$ symmetric

- Symmetrization:

► $T_{(ab)} = T_{(AB)(A'B')} + T_{[AB][A'B']} = T_{(AB)(A'B')} + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_{AB}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}T$
 where $T = T_A{}^A{}_A{}^A = T_a T^a$

► Note also that $T_{[AB]A'}{}^{A'} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{AB}T$

A Couple Neat Identities

- Let T_{ab} ($T_{AA'BB'}$) be a tensor on $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$ ($\text{Re}[W_{0,1;0,1}]$)

- Antisymmetrization:

► $T_{[ab]} = T_{(AB)[A'B']} + T_{[AB](A'B')} = \phi_{AB}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'} + \bar{\phi}_{A'B'}\epsilon_{AB}$
 with $\phi_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}T_{(AB)A'}{}^{A'}$ symmetric

- Symmetrization:

► $T_{(ab)} = T_{(AB)(A'B')} + T_{[AB][A'B']} = T_{(AB)(A'B')} + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_{AB}\bar{\epsilon}_{A'B'}T$
 where $T = T_A{}^A{}_A{}^A = T_a T^a$

► Note also that $T_{[AB]A'}{}^{A'} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{AB}T$

- $\partial_{AA'}\partial_B{}^{A'} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{AB}\square$, where $\square = \partial_{AA'}\partial^{AA'}$

The Universal Enveloping Algebra

The Universal Enveloping Algebra

- Given a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the *universal enveloping algebra* $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the unique unital associative algebra whose representations correspond exactly to the representations of \mathfrak{g}

The Universal Enveloping Algebra

- Given a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the *universal enveloping algebra* $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the unique unital associative algebra whose representations correspond exactly to the representations of \mathfrak{g}
- Construction

The Universal Enveloping Algebra

- Given a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the *universal enveloping algebra* $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the unique unital associative algebra whose representations correspond exactly to the representations of \mathfrak{g}
- Construction
 - ▶ Form the *Tensor algebra* $T(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \cdots$

The Universal Enveloping Algebra

- Given a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the *universal enveloping algebra* $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the unique unital associative algebra whose representations correspond exactly to the representations of \mathfrak{g}
- Construction
 - ▶ Form the *Tensor algebra* $T(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \cdots$
 - ▶ Recursively lift $[\cdot, \cdot]$ from \mathfrak{g} to $T(\mathfrak{g})$

The Universal Enveloping Algebra

- Given a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the *universal enveloping algebra* $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the unique unital associative algebra whose representations correspond exactly to the representations of \mathfrak{g}
- Construction
 - ▶ Form the *Tensor algebra* $T(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \cdots$
 - ▶ Recursively lift $[\cdot, \cdot]$ from \mathfrak{g} to $T(\mathfrak{g})$
 - ▶ Fully lifted, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ obeys Leibniz's law: $T(\mathfrak{g})$ is a *poisson algebra*

The Universal Enveloping Algebra

- Given a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the *universal enveloping algebra* $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the unique unital associative algebra whose representations correspond exactly to the representations of \mathfrak{g}
- Construction
 - ▶ Form the *Tensor algebra* $T(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \cdots$
 - ▶ Recursively lift $[\cdot, \cdot]$ from \mathfrak{g} to $T(\mathfrak{g})$
 - ▶ Fully lifted, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ obeys Leibniz's law: $T(\mathfrak{g})$ is a *poisson algebra*
 - ▶ $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is what remains after “modding out” the poisson structure, i.e. $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) = T(\mathfrak{g}) / \sim$ where the equivalence relation is given by $[a, b] = a \otimes b - b \otimes a$

The Universal Enveloping Algebra

- Given a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the *universal enveloping algebra* $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the unique unital associative algebra whose representations correspond exactly to the representations of \mathfrak{g}
- Construction
 - ▶ Form the *Tensor algebra* $T(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \cdots$
 - ▶ Recursively lift $[\cdot, \cdot]$ from \mathfrak{g} to $T(\mathfrak{g})$
 - ▶ Fully lifted, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ obeys Leibniz's law: $T(\mathfrak{g})$ is a *poisson algebra*
 - ▶ $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is what remains after “modding out” the poisson structure, i.e. $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) = T(\mathfrak{g}) / \sim$ where the equivalence relation is given by $[a, b] = a \otimes b - b \otimes a$
- Casimir elements

The Universal Enveloping Algebra

- Given a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the *universal enveloping algebra* $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the unique unital associative algebra whose representations correspond exactly to the representations of \mathfrak{g}
- Construction
 - ▶ Form the *Tensor algebra* $T(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \cdots$
 - ▶ Recursively lift $[\cdot, \cdot]$ from \mathfrak{g} to $T(\mathfrak{g})$
 - ▶ Fully lifted, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ obeys Leibniz's law: $T(\mathfrak{g})$ is a *poisson algebra*
 - ▶ $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is what remains after “modding out” the poisson structure, i.e. $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) = T(\mathfrak{g}) / \sim$ where the equivalence relation is given by $[a, b] = a \otimes b - b \otimes a$
- Casimir elements
 - ▶ *Center* $Z(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$: all elements that commute with all of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$

The Universal Enveloping Algebra

- Given a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the *universal enveloping algebra* $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the unique unital associative algebra whose representations correspond exactly to the representations of \mathfrak{g}
- Construction
 - ▶ Form the *Tensor algebra* $T(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \cdots$
 - ▶ Recursively lift $[\cdot, \cdot]$ from \mathfrak{g} to $T(\mathfrak{g})$
 - ▶ Fully lifted, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ obeys Leibniz's law: $T(\mathfrak{g})$ is a *poisson algebra*
 - ▶ $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is what remains after “modding out” the poisson structure, i.e. $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) = T(\mathfrak{g}) / \sim$ where the equivalence relation is given by $[a, b] = a \otimes b - b \otimes a$
- Casimir elements
 - ▶ *Center* $Z(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$: all elements that commute with all of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$
 - ▶ *Casimir elements* form a basis of $Z(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$

The Universal Enveloping Algebra

- Given a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , the *universal enveloping algebra* $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the unique unital associative algebra whose representations correspond exactly to the representations of \mathfrak{g}
- Construction
 - ▶ Form the *Tensor algebra* $T(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}) \cdots$
 - ▶ Recursively lift $[\cdot, \cdot]$ from \mathfrak{g} to $T(\mathfrak{g})$
 - ▶ Fully lifted, $[\cdot, \cdot]$ obeys Leibniz's law: $T(\mathfrak{g})$ is a *poisson algebra*
 - ▶ $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is what remains after “modding out” the poisson structure, i.e. $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) = T(\mathfrak{g}) / \sim$ where the equivalence relation is given by $[a, b] = a \otimes b - b \otimes a$
- Casimir elements
 - ▶ *Center* $Z(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$: all elements that commute with all of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$
 - ▶ *Casimir elements* form a basis of $Z(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$
 - ▶ Casimir representatives proportional to identity

Representations and Hilbert Space

Representations and Hilbert Space

- $\mathcal{U}(ISL(2, \mathbb{C}))$ has two independent Casimir elements: P^2 and S^2 with eigenvalues m^2 and $s(s+1)$, respectively.

Representations and Hilbert Space

- $\mathcal{U}(ISL(2, \mathbb{C}))$ has two independent Casimir elements: P^2 and S^2 with eigenvalues m^2 and $s(s + 1)$, respectively.
- Classes:

Representations and Hilbert Space

- $\mathcal{U}(ISL(2, \mathbb{C}))$ has two independent Casimir elements: P^2 and S^2 with eigenvalues m^2 and $s(s+1)$, respectively.
- Classes:
 1. $m^2 > 0$

Representations and Hilbert Space

- $\mathcal{U}(ISL(2, \mathbb{C}))$ has two independent Casimir elements: P^2 and S^2 with eigenvalues m^2 and $s(s+1)$, respectively.
- Classes:
 1. $m^2 > 0$
 2. $m^2 = 0$; nontrivial translations

Representations and Hilbert Space

- $\mathcal{U}(ISL(2, \mathbb{C}))$ has two independent Casimir elements: P^2 and S^2 with eigenvalues m^2 and $s(s+1)$, respectively.
- Classes:
 1. $m^2 > 0$
 2. $m^2 = 0$; nontrivial translations
 - 2.1 Helicity parameterization: $s = 0, \pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm 1, \pm\frac{3}{2} \dots$

Representations and Hilbert Space

- $\mathcal{U}(ISL(2, \mathbb{C}))$ has two independent Casimir elements: P^2 and S^2 with eigenvalues m^2 and $s(s+1)$, respectively.
- Classes:
 1. $m^2 > 0$
 2. $m^2 = 0$; nontrivial translations
 - 2.1 Helicity parameterization: $s = 0, \pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm 1, \pm\frac{3}{2} \dots$
 - 2.2 "Continuous spin"

Representations and Hilbert Space

- $\mathcal{U}(ISL(2, \mathbb{C}))$ has two independent Casimir elements: P^2 and S^2 with eigenvalues m^2 and $s(s+1)$, respectively.
- Classes:
 1. $m^2 > 0$
 2. $m^2 = 0$; nontrivial translations
 - 2.1 Helicity parameterization: $s = 0, \pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm 1, \pm\frac{3}{2} \dots$
 - 2.2 "Continuous spin"
 3. $m^2 = 0$; trivial translations

Representations and Hilbert Space

- $\mathcal{U}(ISL(2, \mathbb{C}))$ has two independent Casimir elements: P^2 and S^2 with eigenvalues m^2 and $s(s+1)$, respectively.
- Classes:
 1. $m^2 > 0$
 2. $m^2 = 0$; nontrivial translations
 - 2.1 Helicity parameterization: $s = 0, \pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm 1, \pm\frac{3}{2} \dots$
 - 2.2 "Continuous spin"
 3. $m^2 = 0$; trivial translations
 4. $m^2 < 0$ (tachyons!)

Representations and Hilbert Space

- $\mathcal{U}(ISL(2, \mathbb{C}))$ has two independent Casimir elements: P^2 and S^2 with eigenvalues m^2 and $s(s+1)$, respectively.
- Classes:
 1. $m^2 > 0$
 2. $m^2 = 0$; nontrivial translations
 - 2.1 Helicity parameterization: $s = 0, \pm\frac{1}{2}, \pm 1, \pm\frac{3}{2} \dots$
 - 2.2 "Continuous spin"
 3. $m^2 = 0$; trivial translations
 4. $m^2 < 0$ (tachyons!)
- Physically relevant cases are 1 and 2.1

Representations and Hilbert Space

- Let $n = 2s$. Class 1 reps selected by

$$(\square + m^2) \phi^{A_1 \dots A_n} = 0$$

Representations and Hilbert Space

- Let $n = 2s$. Class 1 reps selected by

$$(\square + m^2) \phi^{A_1 \dots A_n} = 0$$

- or, equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{AA'} \phi^{A_1 \dots A_n} &= \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \xi_{A'_1}^{A_2 \dots A_n} \\ \partial_{AA'} \xi_{A'_1}^{A_2 \dots A_n} &= -\frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \phi^{A_1 \dots A_n} \end{aligned}$$

Representations and Hilbert Space

- Let $n = 2s$. Class 1 reps selected by

$$(\square + m^2) \phi^{A_1 \dots A_n} = 0$$

- or, equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{AA'} \phi^{A_1 \dots A_n} &= \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \xi_{A'_1}^{A_2 \dots A_n} \\ \partial_{AA'} \xi_{A'_1}^{A_2 \dots A_n} &= -\frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} \phi^{A_1 \dots A_n} \end{aligned}$$

- For $s = 1/2$, the pair $(\phi^A, \xi_{A'})$ is known as a *Dirac* spinor, and the above equations are just the Dirac equation

Representations and Hilbert Space

- For class 2.1, previous equations do not select irreducible reps

Representations and Hilbert Space

- For class 2.1, previous equations do not select irreducible reps
- Irreps for class 2.1 given by

$$\partial_{A'_1 A_1} \phi^{A_1 \dots A_n} = 0$$

Representations and Hilbert Space

- For class 2.1, previous equations do not select irreducible reps
- Irreps for class 2.1 given by

$$\partial_{A'_1 A_1} \phi^{A_1 \dots A_n} = 0$$

- ▶ $s = \frac{1}{2}$: Weyl neutrino equation

Representations and Hilbert Space

- For class 2.1, previous equations do not select irreducible reps
- Irreps for class 2.1 given by

$$\partial_{A'_1 A_1} \phi^{A_1 \dots A_n} = 0$$

- ▶ $s = \frac{1}{2}$: Weyl neutrino equation
- ▶ $s = 1$: Maxwell's equations

Representations and Hilbert Space

- For class 2.1, previous equations do not select irreducible reps
- Irreps for class 2.1 given by

$$\partial_{A'_1 A_1} \phi^{A_1 \dots A_n} = 0$$

- ▶ $s = \frac{1}{2}$: Weyl neutrino equation
- ▶ $s = 1$: Maxwell's equations
- ▶ $s = 2$: Linearized GR

Representations and Hilbert Space

- We need an inner product for this Hilbert space

Representations and Hilbert Space

- We need an inner product for this Hilbert space
- Define the (conserved) *particle current vector*:

$$j^{AA'}(\phi, \psi) := (-i)^{n-1} \left(\bar{\phi}^{A' A'_2 \dots A'_n} \partial_{A'_2 A_2} \cdots \partial_{A'_n A_n} \psi^{AA_2 \dots A_n} \right. \\ \left. + \bar{\xi}^{AA'_2 \dots A'_n} \partial_{A'_2 A_2} \cdots \partial_{A'_n A_n} \zeta^{A' A_2 \dots A_n} \right)$$

where ξ and ζ are the auxiliary fields corresponding to ϕ and ψ , respectively

Representations and Hilbert Space

- We need an inner product for this Hilbert space
- Define the (conserved) *particle current vector*:

$$j^{AA'}(\phi, \psi) := (-i)^{n-1} \left(\bar{\phi}^{A'A'_2 \dots A'_n} \partial_{A'_2 A_2} \cdots \partial_{A'_n A_n} \psi^{AA_2 \dots A_n} \right. \\ \left. + \bar{\xi}^{AA'_2 \dots A'_n} \partial_{A'_2 A_2} \cdots \partial_{A'_n A_n} \zeta^{A'A_2 \dots A_n} \right)$$

where ξ and ζ are the auxiliary fields corresponding to ϕ and ψ , respectively

- Define an inner product by integrating the normal component of the particle current of a Cauchy surface Σ :

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle := \int_{\Sigma} j^{AA'} n_{AA'} dV$$

Summary

Summary

- The natural action of $ISL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on spinorial tensor fields $\phi^{A_1 \dots A_n}$ gives rise to all known physical fields

Summary

- The natural action of $ISL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on spinoral tensor fields $\phi^{A_1 \dots A_n}$ gives rise to all known physical fields
 - ▶ For even n (bosons), the representations are true reps of the Poincaré group (spinors not actually required)

Summary

- The natural action of $ISL(2, \mathbb{C})$ on spinoral tensor fields $\phi^{A_1 \dots A_n}$ gives rise to all known physical fields
 - ▶ For even n (bosons), the representations are true reps of the Poincaré group (spinors not actually required)
 - ▶ For odd n (fermions), the reps are only reps up to sign, and spinors are necessary to describe them

References

- [1] Wikipedia.
- [2] R. M. Wald. *General Relativity*. The University of Chicago Press, 1984.