

Homework guidelines:

- Each problem I assign, unless otherwise stated, is asking you to prove something. Give a full mathematical proof using only results from class or Wade.
- Submit a PDF or JPG to gradescope. The grader has  $\sim 250$  proofs to grade: please make his job easier by submitting each problem on a different page.
- If you submit your homework in Latex, you get 2% extra credit.

### Problems (5 total, 10 pts each)

**Problem 1.** Consider, for each  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ , the series

$$S_p := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k(1+k)^{p/2}}.$$

For which values of  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  is the series  $S_p$  convergent? For each  $p$  for which  $S_p$  is convergent, determine whether  $S_p$  is absolutely or conditionally convergent. Provide rigorous arguments for all statements.

*Proof.* We will first rewrite  $S_p := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k(1+k)^{p/2}}$  as  $S_p := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} * \frac{1}{k(1+k)^{p/2}}$ . Then by the alternating series test we know a series of the form  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k a_k$  if  $a_k \downarrow$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  converges; therefore, for  $S_p$  it will converge when  $\frac{1}{k(1+k)^{p/2}} \downarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $p > 0$  we have  $\frac{1}{k(1+k)^{p/2}}$  will allow us to use the comparison test with  $\frac{1}{k^n}$  where  $n > 1$  since  $p > 0$  which means that by the p-test this series will converge and that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k(1+k)^{p/2}}$  will converge absolutely since  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{k(1+k)^{p/2}} \right| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(1+k)^{p/2}}$  as we were using. For  $p \in (-2, 0]$  we have that by the alternating series test, that we need to evaluate  $\frac{1}{k(1+k)^{p/2}}$  and we can see that  $\frac{1}{k(1+k)^{p/2}} \downarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  therefore, we know that the series would converge. However, this series does not converge absolutely on this range, if we consider the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(1+k)^{p/2}}$  with negative  $p$  as it is in this range we get that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k+1)^{p/2}}{k}$  for  $p \in (-2, 0]$ . If we consider  $p = 0$  we get  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}$  which is the harmonic series which we already know is divergent. For other values  $p \in (-2, 0)$  we get we get similarly divergent series. Lastly, for  $p \in (-\infty, -2)$  this series diverges because  $\frac{1}{k(1+k)^{p/2}}$  does not approach 0. Therefore, this series converges absolutely for  $p > 0$ , converges conditionally for  $p \in (-2, 0]$ , and the diverges for  $p \leq -2$ .  $\square$

Given a (possibly divergent) series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  with partial sums  $s_n, n \geq 1$ , the *Cesaro averages* are the sequence of values  $\sigma_n$  given by

$$\sigma_n = \frac{s_1 + \cdots + s_n}{n}.$$

We say that  $S$  is *Cesaro summable* to some value  $L$  if  $\lim_n \sigma_n = L$ .

**Problem 2.** Prove the following version of Tauber's theorem: if  $a_k \geq 0$  for all  $k \geq 1$  and  $\sum_1^{\infty} a_k$  is Cesaro-summable to some  $L$ , then  $\sum_1^{\infty} a_k$  converges to  $L$ .

*Hint: It will be useful to first prove that*

$$\sigma_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{n}\right) a_k$$

for all  $n$ .

**Claim**  $\sigma_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{k-1}{n}\right) a_k$

*Proof.* Let us consider the value of  $\sigma_n = \frac{s_1 + s_2 + \cdots + s_n}{n} = \frac{1}{n}(s_1 + s_2 + \cdots + s_n) = \frac{1}{n}(\sum_{k=1}^1 a_k + \sum_{k=1}^2 a_k + \cdots + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k) = \frac{1}{n}((a_1) + (a_1 + a_2) + \cdots + (a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n)) = \frac{1}{n}(n(a_1) + (n-1)(a_2) + \cdots + 2(a_{n-1}) + a_n) = a_1 + \frac{(n-1)a_2}{n} + \cdots + \frac{2a_{n-1}}{n} + \frac{a_n}{n} = (a_1 - 0) + (a_2 - \frac{(2-1)a_2}{n}) + (a_3 - \frac{(3-1)a_3}{n}) + \cdots + (a_n - \frac{(n-1)a_n}{n}) = \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - \frac{k-1}{n})a_k$ . Therefore, we have that  $\sigma_n = \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - \frac{k-1}{n}) a_k$  as desired.  $\square$

Now we will prove the original statement.

*Proof.* Let assumptions be as above, that is to say that  $\lim_{\infty} \sigma_n = L$  for our series. This implies that  $\lim_n \sigma_n = \lim_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - \frac{k-1}{n})a_k = L$ . Therefore if we take  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k - L = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - \frac{k-1}{n})a_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\frac{k-1}{n} - 1)a_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k + (\frac{k-1}{n} - 1)a_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(1 + \frac{k-1}{n} - 1) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(\frac{k-1}{n})$ . Therefore, we know by Dirichlet's test, since  $\frac{k-1}{n} \downarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  implies that the whole series converges. Therefore, this all implies that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$  converges to  $L$ .  $\square$

**Problem 3.** Given the formula  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$ , find the exact value of

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k-1)^2}$$

and prove your answer.

*Proof.* We know that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} = 1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \dots$  and that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k-1)^2} = 1 + \frac{1}{3^2} + \frac{1}{5^2} + \dots$ , so we will recognize that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k-1)^2}$  are the odd terms of  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2k^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k-1)^2} \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k-1)^2} \\ \frac{3}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k-1)^2} \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k-1)^2} &= \frac{3}{4} * \frac{\pi^2}{6} \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k-1)^2} &= \frac{\pi^2}{8}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Problem 4.** Let  $f_n, f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, n \geq 1$  be continuous functions defined on some set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  uniformly on  $E$  if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in E} |f_n(x) - f(x)| = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let assumptions be as above. Let us assume that  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  uniformly on  $E$ , that is to say that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq N$  implies  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  for all  $x \in E$ . This implies that  $0 \leq \sup |f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon$  for all  $n > N$ . It then follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup |f_n(x) - f(x)| = 0$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in E} |f_n(x) - f(x)| = 0$ , and let  $\epsilon > 0$ . We want to show that there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n > N$  implies that  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in E$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in E} |f_n(x) - f(x)| = 0$ , there exists  $N$  such that  $n > N$  implies that  $\sup |f_n(x) - f(x)| - 0 = \sup |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Then, by the definition of a supremum, we have that  $|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon$  for  $x \in E$  and  $n > N$  as desired.

Therefore, for  $f_n, f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, n \geq 1$  where  $f_n$  is a continuous function on  $E$ , then  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  uniformly on  $E$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in E} |f_n(x) - f(x)| = 0$ .  $\square$

**Problem 5.** Let  $f_n, f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, n \geq 1$  be functions. Assume the following:

- (a) there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $|f_n(x)| \leq M$  for all  $n \geq 1, x \in [a, b]$  (i.e.,  $(f_n)$  is *uniformly bounded*);
- (b)  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on any closed subinterval  $[c, d] \subset (a, b)$ ;
- (c)  $g$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  and satisfies  $g(a) = g(b) = 0$ .

Prove that under these conditions,  $f_n g \rightarrow fg$  uniformly.

*Proof.* Let assumptions be as above. Let us define  $h = f_n g$ ; therefore, we want to show that  $h$  converges uniformly to  $fg$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , since  $g$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$ , it has a maximum value  $C$  on  $[a, b]$ . There is  $N \in \mathbb{R}$  such that if  $n > N$  and  $x \in [a, b]$  then  $|f_n - f| < \frac{\epsilon}{C}$ . So if  $n > N$  and  $x \in [a, b]$  then  $|h - fg| = |f_n g - fg| = |g||f_n - f| < C(\frac{\epsilon}{C} = \epsilon$ . Therefore,  $f_n g$  converges uniformly to  $fg$  as desired.  $\square$