

Homework 2

Sean Eva

June 15, 2024

Problem 1. Say whether or not the following the following subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 are connected:

$$A = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1\}, \quad B = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, xy = 1\} \cup \{(0, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2, y \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

$$C = \mathbb{R}^2 - \mathbb{Q}^2, \quad D = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; \|x\| > 1, \|y\| > 1\}.$$

Claim. A is connected.

Proof. Let $(a, b), (c, d) \in A$. We will construct a path between them that lies entirely in A. Without loss of generality, assume that $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$. Let us then define the continuous function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ by $f(x) = ((1-x)a + xc, (1-x)b + xd)$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Then for $f(0) = (a, b)$ and $f(1) = (c, d)$, and since $f(x)$ is in A for all x and we have that A is path-connected implying that A is connected as desired. \square

Claim. B is not connected.

Proof. By definition of B, we know that $(1, 1)$ and $(-1, -1)$ are in B but there is no path that lies entirely in B. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that there does exist a path $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow B$ that connects $(1, 1)$ and $(-1, -1)$. Then $f(t) = (x(t), y(t))$ for some continuous functions $x(t), y(t)$. Since $f(t)$ lies on the $xy = 1$ for all t , we have that $x(t)y(t) = 1$ for all t . This then implies that $y(t) = \frac{1}{x(t)}$. Now consider this as t approaches 0. Since $x(t)$ approaches 1 and $y(t)$ approaches infinity, we have that the path f cannot be continuous at $t = 0$. Therefore, we find that B is not connected. \square

Claim. C is connected.

Proof. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that C is not connected. That is to say then that there exists open sets $U, V \in \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $C \subset U \cup V, C \cap U \neq \emptyset, C \cap V \neq \emptyset, U \cap V = \emptyset$. Let $(a, b) \in U, (c, d) \in V$. Since the rationals are dense in \mathbb{R} , we can choose a rational point (q, r) that is close to (a, b) , and an irrational point (p, s) close to (c, d) . Then we have that $(q, r) \in U, (p, s) \in V$ and $(q, r), (p, s) \in C$. This contradicts the fact that U, V are disjoint. Thus we have that C is connected. \square

Claim. D is connected.

Proof. Let $(a, b), (c, d) \in D$. We will construct a path between these two points that lies entirely in D. Without loss of generality, assume that $|a| < |c|$ and $|b| < |d|$. Let us define a continuous function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ by $f(t) = ((1-t)a + tc, (1-t)b + td)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Then we have that $f(0) = (a, b), f(1) = (c, d)$, and since we have that $|f(t)| > 1$ for all t , we know that $f(t)$ is in D for all t . Thus, we know that D is path-connected and similarly, is connected. \square

Problem 2. Show that S^1 , $[0, 1]$, $[0, 1)$, \mathbb{R} , and \mathbb{R}^2 are not homeomorphic to each other.

Proof. $(S^1, [0, 1])$: If you remove a point from S^1 we will still have a connected space. However, if we remove a point from $[0, 1]$ say $\{1/2\}$ the set is no longer connected. Thus, we know they are not homeomorphic.

$(S^1, [0, 1))$: If you remove a point from S^1 we will still have a connected space. However, if we remove a point from $[0, 1)$ say $\{1/2\}$ the set is no longer connected. Thus, we know they are not homeomorphic.

(S^1, \mathbb{R}) : If you remove a point from S^1 we will still have a connected space. However, if we remove a point from \mathbb{R} say $\{0\}$ the set is no longer connected. Thus, we know they are not homeomorphic.

(S^1, \mathbb{R}^2) : If we remove two distinct points from S^1 , it will not necessarily be connected anymore. However, if you remove two distinct points from \mathbb{R}^2 then it will still be connected. Thus, we know they are not homeomorphic.

$([0, 1], [0, 1))$: Suppose these two are homeomorphic, which implies that we can construct a homeomorphism $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$. Then if we let $B = [0, 1] - \{g^{-1}(0), g^{-1}(1)\}$, $g_B : B \rightarrow (0, 1)$ is a homeomorphism. However, $g^{-1}(0) \neq g^{-1}(1)$ so at most one of these can be 1 meaning one must lie in the interval $(0, 1)$. Suppose without the loss of generality that $g^{-1}(0) \in (0, 1)$. Then it follows that $B = (0, g^{-1}(0)) \cup (g^{-1}(0), 1] - \{g^{-1}(1)\}$ is not connected, whereas $(0, 1)$ is connected, so the two cannot be homeomorphic. From this contradiction, then, we conclude that $(0, 1]$ and $[0, 1]$ are not homeomorphic.

$([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$: Suppose we have a continuous bijection $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that $f(a) = 0$. Then if we consider $x = a - 1$ and $y = a + 1$. Since f is injective, it follows that $f(x), f(y) \neq 0$. Let $0 < c < \min\{f(x), f(y)\}$. By the Intermediate Value Theorem, it follows that $f(x') = c$ for some $x < x' < a$ and $f(y') = c$ for some $a < y' < y$; but then $x' \neq y'$ but $f(x') = f(y')$, so f is not injective which is a contradiction. Thus we have that they are not homeomorphic.

$([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^2)$: To show this, it is simple to see that if we remove a point from the range $[0, 1]$, for example $\{1/2\}$, this set then becomes unconnected. However, if we remove a point, let's say the origin, from \mathbb{R}^2 , the set is still connected. Therefore, we find that these are not homeomorphic.

$([0, 1), \mathbb{R})$: Let us specifically choose to remove the point $\{0\}$ from $[0, 1)$. However, if we remove any point from \mathbb{R} the result will always be disconnected. Thus, we know that they are not homeomorphic.

$([0, 1), \mathbb{R}^2)$: To show this, it is simple to see that if we remove a point from the range $[0, 1)$, for example $\{1/2\}$, this set then becomes unconnected. However, if we remove a point, let's say the origin, from \mathbb{R}^2 , the set is still connected. Therefore, we find that these are not homeomorphic.

$(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2)$: To show this, it is simple to see that if we remove a point from \mathbb{R} take for example $\{0\}$, then the space \mathbb{R} would no longer be connected. However, if we remove the origin from \mathbb{R}^2 , then the space would still be connected because we could simply go around the hole. Therefore, these two are not homeomorphic.

□

Problem 3. Classify the letters of the alphabet (in capital) by homeomorphic.

There are five homeomorphism classes for the letters of the alphabet $\alpha = \{A, R\}$, $\beta = \{C, I, K, L, M, N, S, U, V, W, Z\}$, $\gamma = \{D, O\}$, $\delta = \{E, F, G, T, Y\}$, $\epsilon = \{H, K\}$. These are decided by simple transformations from one letter to the next to form the homeomorphism.

Problem 4. Show a space X is compact if and only if every collection of closed sets $\{C_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ having the finite intersection property has $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} C_\alpha \neq \emptyset$.

Hint: Think about the complements of the C_α 's

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Assume that X is compact. Let C be a collection of closed subsets of X having the finite intersection property. Let $U = \{c^c : c \in C\}$. Then we know that U is a collection of open sets. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $\bigcup U = X$, and then since X is compact, we know that there exists some finite subcover U^* of U . Let us label the sets in $U^* = \{c_1^c, \dots, c_n^c\}$ for $c_i \in C$ for all i . Since C has the finite intersection property, we have that $c_1 \cap \dots \cap c_n \neq \emptyset$ which contradicts the fact that U^* is a cover for X . Then it must be that $\bigcup U \neq X$ and if we take the complements we get $\bigcap C \neq \emptyset$.

(\Leftarrow) Now we will assume that C is a collection of closed subsets of X having the finite intersection property, that is to say that $\bigcap C \neq \emptyset$. Let U be an open cover of X and let $C = \{u^c : u \in U\}$, so C is a collection of closed subsets. Since U is an open cover, we have that $\bigcup U = X$ which implies that $\bigcap C = \emptyset$. By this assumption, we then have that $u_1^c \cap \dots \cap u_n^c = \emptyset$ for some finite subset of C . If we then take the complements, we get that $U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n = X$ for some finite subset of U . Thus, X is compact as desired.

Therefore, we have shown that X is compact if and only if every collection of closed sets having the finite intersection property has $\bigcap C \neq \emptyset$. \square

Problem 5. Show that $\{\frac{1}{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}^*\} \cup \{0\}$ is compact.

Proof. Let $S = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$ as in the problem statement. We are going to first use that for any $\epsilon > 0$ we have that $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}, m\epsilon \Rightarrow -\epsilon < \frac{1}{m} < \epsilon$. Then, we get that $\frac{1}{m} \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. Thus we have that $(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ is a neighborhood of 0 that contains a point of S other than 0. This then implies that 0 is the only accumulation point of S . Let us then define $S' = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}, x_n \in S(0, \frac{1}{n}, x_{n+1} \neq x_n)\}$. In a similar fashion, we know that 0 is the only accumulation point of S' and for S . Additionally, we know that S is bounded by $[0, 1]$. Therefore, we know that S is compact as desired. \square

Problem 6. Show that $\{\frac{1}{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}^*\} \cup \{0\}$ is not homeomorphic to \mathbb{N}

Proof. If these two sets were homeomorphic to each other, that would imply that the Hausdorff property would be preserved between the two topologies. For the topology $\{\frac{1}{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$ it is easy to show that it is Hausdorff with the limit point of 0. However, the set \mathbb{N} is not Hausdorff. Thus, since the Hausdorff property is preserved under homeomorphism, then we know that these two topologies are not homeomorphic. \square