

Homework 6

Sean Eva

April 2022

1. (a) $\mu(12) = \mu(2 * 2 * 3) = 0$
 (b) $\mu(15) = \mu(3 * 5) = (-1)^2 = 1$
 (c) $\mu(30) = \mu(2 * 3 * 5) = (-1)^3 = -1$
 (d) $\mu(50) = \mu(2 * 5 * 5) = 0$
 (e) $\mu(1001) = \mu(7 * 11 * 13) = (-1)^3 = -1$
 (f) $\mu(2 * 3 * 5 * 7 * 11 * 13) = (-1)^6 = 1$
 (g) $\mu(10!) = \mu(10 * 9 * 8 * 7 * 6 * 5 * 4 * 3 * 2) = \mu(2 * 5 * 9 * 8 * 7 * 6 * 5 * 4 * 3 * 2) = 0$
11. *Proof.* Consider two nonnegative integers $n = 36k + 8$ and $n + 1 = 36k + 9$. n is divisible by 4 which implies that it has a square of 2 in the set of its factors and the second number, $n + 1$ is divisible by 9 which implies that it has a square of 3 in its factors. Since both of these numbers contain squares in their prime factorizations, then their Mobius Function evaluations are 0. This $\mu(n) + \mu(n + 1) = \mu(36k + 8) + \mu(36k + 9) = 0 + 0 = 0$. Therefore, there are infinitely many consecutive positive integers such that their summation of their Mobius Function evaluations are 0. \square
15. *Proof.* Consider an identity function $h(n) = n$. Then if n is a positive integer, then $n = \sum \phi(d)$. Therefore, $h(n) = n = \sum \phi(d)$. Now using Mobius inversion formula we get $\phi(n) = \sum \mu(d)h(n/d) = \sum \mu(d)(n/d) = n \sum \mu(d)/d$. Therefore, if n is a positive integer, then $\phi(n) = n \sum \mu(d)/d$. \square
17. *Proof.* Consider a multiplicative function f with $f(1) = 1$. If F is multiplicative, then f is also multiplicative. Thus, both f and μ are also multiplicative. Now, as f and μ are multiplicative, then their product μf is also multiplicative. Similarly, the summation $\sum \mu(d)f(d)$ is also multiplicative. Therefore, $\sum \mu(d)f(d) = \mu(p^a)f(p^a) + \mu(p^{a-1})f(p^{a-1}) + \dots + \mu(p)f(p) + \mu(1)f(1)$. According to the definition, for exponents greater than 1, the value of $\mu(p^i) = 0$. Therefore, we can simplify this to be $\sum \mu(d)f(d) = \mu(p)f(p) + \mu(1)f(1) = 1 - f(p)$. Now, as $n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}$ we get that $\sum \mu(d)f(d) = (1 - f(p_1))(1 - f(p_2)) \dots (1 - f(p_k))$. \square
23. *Proof.* Consider the identity given by $\sum \mu(d)f(d) = (1 - f(p_1))(1 - f(p_2)) \dots (1 - f(p_k))$ where f is a multiplicative function with $f(1) = 1$. And $n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_k^{a_k}$ as a prime factorization. We are able to write $\sum \mu^2(d)$ in the form of the identity stated above because it can be considered $f(d) = \mu(d)$ and also $\mu(1) = 1$. Therefore, $\sum \mu^2(d) = (1 - \mu(p_1))(1 - \mu(p_2)) \dots (1 - \mu(p_k))$ for primes p_i . Since $\mu(p) = -1$ for prime p we know that $\sum \mu^2(d) = (1 - (-1))(1 - (-1)) \dots (1 - (-1)) = 2 * 2 * \dots * 2 = 2^k$. Therefore, we know that $\sum \mu^2(d) = 2^k$ where k is the number of distinct prime factors of d . \square
4. NPWJE APNSP QESW
38. If we let $p_1 p_2 \dots p_m$ and $q_1 q_2 \dots q_m$ be two different plain text streams. If $k_1 k_2 \dots k_m$ be the keystream used to encrypt the two plain texts $E_{k_i}(p_i) = k_i + p_i \pmod{2}$ and $E_{k_i}(q_i) = k_i + q_i \pmod{2}$ and the corresponding ciphertext streams are $E_{k_i}(p_i) + E_{k_i}(q_i) = k_i + p_i + k_i + q_i \pmod{2} = 2k_i + p_i + q_i \pmod{2} = p_i + q_i \pmod{2}$. It can then also be shown that if someone can encrypt a bit string and have access to the resulting cipher string, the key string can be found. If we have a key of 0 then the cipher text would be the same as the plain text and we would know that the key is 0. Similarly, if the key is 1 then we would know this because the cipher text would be different from the plain text.

1. $p = 97, q = 151$
3. It is known that $P \leq n$. If $(P, n) \neq 1$, then there must be greatest common divisors of P and n must be one of factors of n that is p or q . $(P, n) = p$ or $(P, n) = q$. Now, using the Euclidean algorithm to find the greatest common factors of (P, n) , the Euclidean Algorithm will give us one of the factors of n and divide n by this calculated factor to get another factor. Therefore, if plaintext P is not relatively prime to the enciphering modulus, then the cryptanalyst can factor n .
4. For any integer n , there are n integers up to and including n . Now consider the given $n = pq$. Therefore, the following are the integers that are not relatively prime to n : $p, 2p, 3p, \dots, qp; q, 2q, 3q, \dots, (p-1)q$. Therefore, there are $q + p - 1$ integers up to n that are not relatively prime to n . This is also the number of ways the interested event is expected to occur. Therefore, the required probability is $\frac{q+p-1}{n} = \frac{q}{n} + \frac{p}{n} - \frac{1}{n} = \frac{q}{pq} + \frac{p}{pq} - \frac{1}{pq} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{pq}$.
12. Fermat's Factorization method hints that an odd number can be written as a difference of two squares that when factored as $a^2 - b^2 = (a + b)(a - b)$. If the primes are close together, then b will be a small number that will be easily found even under guess and check and even faster using a computer based algorithm.
13. Consider a plaintext P . Now consider two exponents e_1, e_2 . If we have $(e_1, e_2) = a$ then there are some x, y such that $a = xe_1 + ye_2$. The encryption of the first part is given as $C_1 = p^{e_1} \pmod{n}$ and the second part is $C_2 = P^{e_2} \pmod{n}$ where C_1, C_2 are the cipher texts. Now, since we now know C_1, C_2, e_1, e_2 we are able to easily compute x, y as $C_1^x, C_2^y = P^{e_1x} P^{e_2y} = P^{e_1x + e_2y} = P^a \pmod{n}$. If a is relatively small, then it may not be difficult to compute the a^{th} root of P^a and thereby to recover P .
14. Let us say the three modules are pairwise, relatively prime. We can use the Chinese remainder theorem to solve the system of congruences and give us a least non-negative integer $x = p^3 \pmod{(n_1, n_2, n_3)}$. By construction $p < x_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, we will have $p^3 < x_1, x_2, x_3$. It is guaranteed by the theorem that x must be a perfect cube whose cube root is easily computable. And this will be a plaintext $= p$.
12. The objective is to show that if the integers ' a ' and ' b ' are relatively prime and $(\text{ord}_n a, \text{ord}_n b) = 1$ then $\text{ord}_n ab = \text{ord}_n a \text{ord}_n b$. As the integers a and b are prime integers, therefore $(a, n) = 1, (b, n) = 1$ which implies that $(\text{ord}_n a, \text{ord}_n b) = 1$. Now consider that $\text{ord}_n a = k_1$ and $\text{ord}_n b = k_2$. Therefore, $a^{k_1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ and $a^{k_2} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ implies that $a^{k_1 k_2} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}, b^{k_1 k_2} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. Now, if we multiply both of these equations we get that $(ab)^{k_1 k_2} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ which means that $\text{ord}_n ab = k_1 k_2$. Therefore, it is clear that from the above that $\text{ord}_n ab = \text{ord}_n a \text{ord}_n b$ as desired.
16. From Euler's Theorem we have that for a positive integer a relatively prime to another integer n , $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. Therefore, for a positive integer m a prime number we can write that, $a^{m-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. We also know that $\text{ord}_m a | \phi(m)$. Therefore, if $\text{ord}_m a = m - 1$ then it must divide $\phi(m)$. We also know that $\phi(m) \leq m - 1$. Therefore, $\phi(m) = m - 1$, which implies finally that the positive integer m must be a prime.
12. Let p be a prime and let r be a primitive root of p . Then the inverse $r^{-1} = r^{p-2}$ is a primitive root as well. Thus, we can group the primitive roots in pairs of mutually inverse roots whenever r and r^{-1} are different from each other. If we investigate when r and r^{-1} can coincide we get that $r \equiv r^{-1} \pmod{p}$ and $r^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ implies that $r \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ which are not primitive roots if $p > 3$. So for $p > 3$, the primitive roots group in pairs of mutually inverse primitive roots and their total product is congruent to $1 \pmod{p}$. If $p = 2$ there is only one primitive root, 1. So the least positive residue of the product of all primitive roots is again $1 \pmod{p}$. If $p = 3$ the only primitive root is $-1 \equiv 2$. In this case, the least positive residue of the product of all primitive roots equal 2.
16. $\mathbb{F}_p^x = mn - 3$ are elements in the field of p elements $-\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}/2q\mathbb{Z}$. The mapping $x \rightarrow 2x$ is a homomorphism which implies that $\mathbb{Z}/2q\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}$ is also a homomorphism. The kernel is $q\mathbb{Z}/2q\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ which has order 2. So, the image is a subgroup of index 2, and so has order q , and so is generated by any non-zero element. In multiplicative language, squares in \mathbb{F}_p^x form a subgroup of order

q generated by any element whose square is not 1. Each $a, 1 < a < p-1$ is such an element. For such a , $\text{ord}_p a^2 = q$. As $\text{ord}_p(p-1) = 2$, $p-1$ is not a square. So, $(p-1)a^2 \notin (\#_p^x)^2$ for some $1 < a < p-1$. But its square is a^4 which also generates a^2 . So $\langle (p-1)a^2 \rangle$ must be all of $\#_p^x$, so $(p-1)a^2 = p - a^2$ is primitive.