## 4.3 Normalisation

## 4.3.1 Database Design

Proposed database table (entity/relation) is similar in style to a spreadsheet - each row (record/tuple) contains data
pertaining (relating) to a single item. In this spreadsheet-style database table each row represents an individual
employee (EmployeeID - EmployeeName - Salary) along with his/her department (DeptName - DeptLocation) - in
addition the column (attribute/field) DeptBudget characteristic of each department location is also recorded.

EmployeeID*	EmployeeName	Salary	DeptName	DeptLocation	DeptBudget		
100 Sean		35,000	Sales	Dublin	750,000		
101	Mary	36,000	Sales	Dublin	750,000		
102	John	40,000	Sales	Dublin	750,000		
104	Albert	55,000	R&D	Galway	1,500,000		
105	Conor	52,000	R&D	Galway	1,500,000		
106	Maeve	50,000	R&D	Galway	1,500,000		
107	Tom	50,000	R&D	Galway	1,500,000		
108	Alice	44,500	HR	Limerick	250,000		

Columns relating to the company's departments (DeptName - DeptLocation - DeptBudget) have a lot of duplication each time an employee is added to the database will require information to be re-entered into the table. This
duplication of information is error-prone - each new employee added results in details of the assigned department to
be duplicated into the table. This database table is not well designed given this sort of duplication of information
should not happen. If the company's R&D department budget reduced it would mean having this detail changed
numerous times. Not having this updated on some employee records could have consequences for the company.

DeptName	DeptLocation	DeptBudget		
Salos	Dublin	750,000		
Sales	Dublin	750,000		
Sales	Dublin	750.000		
R&D	Galway	1,500,000		
R≪D	Galway	1,500,000		
R&D	Galway	1,500,000		
R&D	Gaiway	1,500,000		
HR	Limerick	250,000		

• To avoid such redundant data - updating the budget for the R&D department in one place and have each employee (EmployeeID) just **referencing** the name of the company department (DeptName) rather than duplicating would be the best option.

• Breaking the table down into two smaller related tables makes the data in the database easier to update and less prone to anomalies. Proposed database table broken down into smaller interlinked tables via **normalisation**. With less columns as opposed to one large table with many columns lends to the definition of a **database** as a **collection** of **related data** organised so that the **data** can be **easily accessed**, **managed** and **updated**.

nployeeID*	EmployeeName	Salary			
.00	Sean	35,000	1997		
101	Mary	36,000	DeptName*	DeptLocation	1
102	John	40,000	Sales	Dublin	
104	Albert	55,000	R&D	Galway	
105	Conor	52,000	HR	Limerick	
106	Maeve	50,000			
107	Tom	50,000			
108	Alice	44,500			

- The proposed database table design has an increased likelihood of some rows not updated or overlooked resulting in an **update anomaly**. In addition if it was decided to shut down a company department then deleting a department would result in the deletion of all related employees (**deletion anomaly**).
- SUMMARY: Normalised version of the table would mean splitting the proposed table into two tables Employee Department. The Employee table (column EmployeeID Primary Key) would hold a link to the Department table (column DeptName Foreign Key). Any employee associated with a department just references the DeptName.

## **END**