| Section | Criteria                          | Excellent (5)   | Satisfactory (3)  | Needs Improvement (1)  | Score Range | Result                | Meaning   |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|-------------|-----------------------|---|
| Project Foundation  | User Personas                     | Multiple well-defined personas with relevant use cases  | Includes at least one persona with use case                   | Personas unclear or missing  | 80+         | Pass                  | Strong performance with all core features implemented and well-documented. May include stretch goals and bonus functionality. |
|   | Industry Context & "Why Now"      | Strong rationale tied to current trends and technologies  | Some reference to timing or trends                            | Lacks urgency or justification for now                               | 60 – 79     | Pass                  | Major issues present—missing core features, weak integration, or incomplete delivery. Must fix before considered complete.    |
| echnical & Execution Plan   | Architecture Clarity              | Clearly outlines frontend,<br>backend, AI engine, and data<br>layers                              | Covers most components, missing one                           | Lacks structure or confusing architecture                            | Below 60    | Needs<br>Resubmission | Major issues present—missing core features, weak integration, or incomplete delivery. Must fix before considered complete.    |
| echnical & Execution Plan   | AI & NLP Integration              | Strong use of AI APIs with<br>defined NLP roles and<br>purpose                                    | Integrates some AI features,<br>lacking purpose clarity       | Minimal or unclear AI/NLP usage                                      |             |                       |   |
| echnical & Execution Plan   | Execution Phasing                 | Well-defined milestones and<br>realistic deliverables mapped<br>to each phase                     | Timeline and deliverables generally clear                     | Disorganized or lacking key phases                                   |             |                       |   |
| echnical & Execution Plan   | Quality of AI Feature Integration | Al feedback and rewriting<br>tools are accurate, seamless,<br>and responsive within the<br>editor | Al tools work but may be inconsistent or disrupt editing flow | Al integration is slow,<br>disruptive, or largely non-<br>functional |             |                       |   |
| echnical & Execution Plan   | Tool Justification                | Strong rationale behind tech<br>stack decisions   | Provides some reasoning for tool choices                      | Arbitrary or missing justification                                   |             |                       |   |
| echnical & Execution Plan   | Personalization/Feedback Loops    | Clearly includes<br>adaptive/personalized<br>suggestions using user input                         | Feedback loop mentioned but not clearly integrated            | No mention of personalization  |             |                       |   |
| Fechnical & Execution Plan  | MVP Focus                         | Includes an end-to-end<br>input/output prototype with<br>core editing functionality               | Shows some MVP progress                                       | MVP unclear or missing   |             |                       |   |
| Deployment & Documentation  | Demo or Walkthrough               | Clean, well-executed demo<br>showing key features   | Basic demo or feature<br>overview                             | No demo or incomplete<br>showcase                                    |             |                       |   |
| Deployment & Documentation  | User Documentation                | Clear instructions,<br>screenshots, and user<br>guidance  | Some documentation provided                                   | Minimal or missing documentation                                     |             |                       |   |
| Deployment & Documentation  | Technical Documentation           | Includes endpoints, model<br>references, architecture<br>diagrams                                 | Covers some technical components                              | Lacks clarity or technical detail                                    |             |                       |   |
| Jser Experience & Design  | Wireframes or UI Concepts         | Includes detailed, intuitive<br>mockups or prototypes   | Some visuals or basic UI<br>structure                         | Minimal or unclear UI direction                                      |             |                       |   |
| Jser Experience & Design  | Real-time Suggestions Display     | Thoughtful UI/UX for feedback (e.g., inline, cards, color cues)                                   | Feedback presented, not interactive or intuitive              | Static or confusing<br>suggestions interface                         |             |                       |   |
| Jser Experience & Design  | Platform Integration Plan         | Clear vision for embedding<br>into workflows (e.g., docs,<br>email)                               | Mentions one platform or generic web support                  | No integration discussed   |             |                       |   |
| Jser Experience & Design  | Accessibility & Feedback          | Consideration of user type diversity and accessibility  | General UI accessibility<br>considered                        | Accessibility ignored  |             |                       |   |
| Jser Experience & Design  | UX Enhancements                   | Custom styling, tone control,<br>or interaction model adds to<br>experience                       | Some enhancements present                                     | Basic text editor or generic experience                              |             |                       |   |
| Evaluation Strategy & Stretch Goals   | Evaluation Criteria               | Clear, specific, and<br>measurable success criteria in<br>line with PRD                           | Some general success expectations                             | No clear way to evaluate success                                     |             |                       |   |
| Evaluation Strategy & Stretch Goals   | Stretch Goal Execution            | Implements one or more<br>stretch goals (e.g.,<br>multilingual, tone detection)                   | Stretch goals listed but not implemented                      | No stretch goals identified  |             |                       |   |
| Bonus   | Innovation / Surprise Factor      | Introduces impressive or<br>unexpected functionality  | Adds some non-listed feature                                  | Standard scope only  |             |                       |   |
| Bonus   | Advanced Integration or Support   | Includes GDocs/email<br>integration or multilingual<br>support                                    | Mentions integration but not implemented                      | No integrations or extra support                                     |             |                       |   |
|   |                                   |   |   |  |             |                       |   |
| Section   | # Total Scores                    |   |   |  |             |                       |   |
| Project Foundation  |                                   | 0   |   |  |             |                       |   |
| echnical & Execution Plan   |                                   | 0   |   |  |             |                       |   |
| Deployment & Documentation  |                                   | 0   |   |  |             |                       |   |
| Jser Experience & Design  |                                   | 0   |   |  |             |                       |   |
| valuation Strategy & Stretch Goals  |                                   |   |   |  |             |                       |   |
| Bonus   |                                   | 0   |   |  |             |                       |   |

Score Total 0