# URG on Kondo Model

## April 4, 2021

## Contents

1	Questions	2
2	Formulation	3
3	Particle, hole sectors (Left GFs)  3.1 Particle Sector	<b>3</b> 4
4	Decoupling $q\beta$ , $q\bar{\beta}$ 4.1 Scaling Equations	5 7

- What is the motivation behind the choice of the initial condition  $\tau_{q\uparrow} = -\tau_{q\downarrow} = \frac{1}{2}$ ? Does that choice not violate the SU(2) symmetry of the model? Why not take a more symmetric choice like  $\tau_{q\beta} = \tau_{q\bar{\beta}} = \frac{1}{2}$  for  $q\beta$  below fermi level and -1/2 for above it?
- If we follow your notes and try to derive the equations with just the initial configuration  $\tau_{q\uparrow} = -\tau_{q\downarrow} = \frac{1}{2}$ , we end up with a field-like term  $\alpha S_d^z$  in  $\Delta H$ , which violates SU(2). However, if we also add the  $\Delta H$  from the initial state with  $\uparrow$  and  $\downarrow$  flipped, then we lose the field term.
- On setting  $J_z = J_t$ , we do not get  $\Delta J_z = \Delta J_t$ .

### 2 Formulation

$$H = \sum_{k\alpha} \epsilon_k \tau_{k\alpha} + J_z S_d^z \sum_{kk'} \left( c_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{k'\uparrow} - c_{k\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{k'\downarrow} \right)$$

$$+ J_t \sum_{kk'} \left( S_d^{\dagger} c_{k\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{k'\uparrow} + S_d^{-} c_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{k'\downarrow} \right)$$

$$= H^D + H^i + H^I$$

$$(2.1)$$

$$H^{D} = \sum_{k\alpha} \epsilon_{k} \tau_{k\alpha} + J_{z} S_{d}^{z} \sum_{k\beta} \beta \tau_{k\beta}$$
(2.2)

$$H^{i} = J_{z} S_{d}^{z} \sum_{kk' \neq q} \beta \left( c_{k\beta}^{\dagger} c_{k'\beta} - c_{k\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger} c_{k'\bar{\beta}} \right) (1 - \delta_{kk'})$$

$$+ J_t \sum_{k' \neq q, k} \left( c_{d\beta}^{\dagger} c_{d\bar{\beta}} c_{k\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger} c_{k'\beta} + c_{d\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger} c_{d\beta} c_{k'\beta}^{\dagger} c_{k\bar{\beta}} \right)$$
 (2.3)

$$H^{I} = J_{t} \sum_{k \neq q} \left( c_{d\beta}^{\dagger} c_{d\bar{\beta}} c_{k\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger} c_{q\beta} + c_{d\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger} c_{d\beta} c_{q\beta}^{\dagger} c_{k\bar{\beta}} \right)$$

$$+ J_z S_d^z \beta \sum_{k \neq q} \left( c_{k\beta}^\dagger c_{q\beta} + c_{q\beta}^\dagger c_{k\beta} \right) \tag{2.4}$$

$$=c_{q\beta}^{\dagger}T_{q\beta}+T_{q\beta}^{\dagger}c_{q\beta}$$

where

$$T_{q\beta} = J_z S_d^z \beta \sum_{k \neq q} c_{k\beta} + J_t c_{d\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger} c_{d\beta} \sum_{k \neq q} c_{k\bar{\beta}}$$
 (2.5)

The transformed hamiltonian is

$$UHU^{\dagger} = H^{D} + H^{i} + \underbrace{c_{q\beta}^{\dagger} T_{q\beta} \eta}_{\text{Particle}} + \underbrace{\eta_{0} c_{q\beta}^{\dagger} T_{q\beta}}_{\text{Hole}}$$
(2.6)

where  $\eta_0 = -\eta$ 

### 3 Particle, hole sectors (Left GFs)

For simpler calculations, take  $H^D$  in the green's functions of  $\eta$ ,  $\eta_0$  as

$$H^{D} = \epsilon_{q} \tau_{q\beta} + \beta J_{z} S_{d}^{z} \left( \tau_{q\beta} - \tau_{q\bar{\beta}} \right) \tag{3.1}$$

### 3.1 Particle Sector

$$c_{q\beta}^{\dagger} T_{q\beta} \eta = \frac{1}{\omega - H^{D}} c_{q\beta}^{\dagger} T_{q\beta} T_{q\beta}^{\dagger} c_{q\beta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\omega - H^{D}} \sum_{kk' \neq q} c_{q\beta}^{\dagger} \left( J_{z} S_{d}^{z} \beta c_{k\beta} + J_{t} c_{d\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger} c_{d\beta} c_{k\bar{\beta}} \right) \left( J_{z} S_{d}^{z} \beta c_{k'\beta}^{\dagger} + J_{t} c_{d\beta}^{\dagger} c_{d\bar{\beta}} c_{k'\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger} \right) c_{q\beta}$$

$$(3.2)$$

$$\left(c_{q\beta}^{\dagger}T_{q\beta}\eta\right)_{1} = J_{z}^{2} \frac{1}{\omega - H^{D}} \sum_{kk'\neq q} \beta S_{d}^{z} c_{q\beta}^{\dagger} c_{k\beta} \beta S_{d}^{z} c_{k'\beta}^{\dagger} c_{q\beta} 
= \frac{1}{4} J_{z}^{2} \frac{1}{\omega - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q} - \beta J_{z} S_{d}^{z} \left(\tau_{q\beta} - \tau_{q\bar{\beta}}\right)} \sum_{kk'\neq q} c_{k\beta} c_{k'\beta}^{\dagger} n_{q\beta} 
\left(c_{q\beta}^{\dagger}T_{q\beta}\eta\right)_{2} = J_{z} J_{t} \frac{1}{\omega - H^{D}} \sum_{kk'\neq q} \beta S_{d}^{z} c_{q\beta}^{\dagger} c_{k\beta} c_{d\beta}^{\dagger} c_{d\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger} c_$$

### 3.2 Hole Sector

$$\eta_{0}c_{q\beta}^{\dagger}T_{q\beta} = \frac{1}{\omega' - H^{D}}T_{q\beta}^{\dagger}c_{q\beta}c_{q\beta}^{\dagger}T_{q\beta} 
= \frac{1}{\omega' - H^{D}}\sum_{kk'\neq q} \left(J_{z}S_{d}^{z}\beta c_{k'\beta}^{\dagger} + J_{t}c_{d\beta}^{\dagger}c_{d\bar{\beta}}c_{k'\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}\right)c_{q\beta}c_{q\beta}^{\dagger}\left(J_{z}S_{d}^{z}\beta c_{k\beta} + J_{t}c_{d\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{d\beta}c_{k\bar{\beta}}\right) 
(3.4)$$

$$\left(\eta_{0}c_{q\beta}^{\dagger}T_{q\beta}\right)_{1} = J_{z}^{2} \frac{1}{\omega' - H^{D}} \sum_{kk'\neq q} \beta S_{d}^{z}c_{k'\beta}^{\dagger}c_{q\beta}\beta S_{d}^{z}c_{q\beta}^{\dagger}c_{k\beta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}J_{z}^{2} \frac{1}{\omega' + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q} - \beta J_{z}S_{d}^{z}\left(\tau_{q\beta} - \tau_{q\bar{\beta}}\right)} \sum_{kk'\neq q} c_{k'\beta}^{\dagger}c_{k\beta}\left(1 - n_{q\beta}\right)$$

$$\left(\eta_{0}c_{q\beta}^{\dagger}T_{q\beta}\right)_{2} = J_{z}J_{t} \frac{1}{\omega' - H^{D}} \sum_{kk'\neq q} \beta S_{d}^{z}c_{k'\beta}^{\dagger}c_{q\beta}c_{d\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{d\beta}c_{q\beta}^{\dagger}c_{k\bar{\beta}}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}J_{z}J_{t} \frac{1}{\omega' + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q} + \frac{1}{2}J_{z}\left(\tau_{q\beta} - \tau_{q\bar{\beta}}\right)} c_{d\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{d\beta} \sum_{kk'\neq q} c_{k'\beta}^{\dagger}c_{k\bar{\beta}}\left(1 - n_{q\beta}\right)$$

$$\left(\eta_{0}c_{q\beta}^{\dagger}T_{q\beta}\right)_{3} = J_{z}J_{t} \frac{1}{\omega' - H^{D}} \sum_{kk'\neq q} c_{d\beta}^{\dagger}c_{d\bar{\beta}}c_{k'\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{q\beta}\beta S_{d}^{z}c_{q\beta}^{\dagger}c_{k\beta}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}J_{z}J_{t} \frac{1}{\omega' + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q} - \frac{1}{2}J_{z}\left(\tau_{q\beta} - \tau_{q\bar{\beta}}\right)} c_{d\beta}^{\dagger}c_{d\bar{\beta}} \sum_{kk'\neq q} c_{k'\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{k\beta}\left(1 - n_{q\beta}\right)$$

$$\left(\eta_{0}c_{q\beta}^{\dagger}T_{q\beta}\right)_{4} = J_{t}^{2} \frac{1}{\omega' - H^{D}} \sum_{kk'\neq q} c_{d\beta}^{\dagger}c_{d\bar{\beta}}c_{k'\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{q\beta}c_{d\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{d\beta}c_{d\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{d\beta}c_{d\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{d\beta}c_{d\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{d\beta}c_{d\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{d\beta}c_{d\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{d\beta}c_{d\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{d\beta}c_{d\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{d\beta}c_{d\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{d\bar{\beta}}c_{d\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c$$

# 4 Decoupling $q\beta$ , $q\bar{\beta}$

We consider the decoupling for the initial condition  $\tau_{q\beta} = -\tau_{q\bar{\beta}} = \frac{1}{2}$  with the ansatz  $\omega = -\omega'$ 

What is the motivation behind the initial condition  $\tau_{q\beta} = -\tau_{q\bar{\beta}} = \frac{1}{2}$ ? Can't we take  $\tau_{q\beta} = \tau_{q\bar{\beta}} = \frac{1}{2}$  for  $q\beta$  below fermi level and -1/2 for above it?

$$c_{q\beta}^{\dagger} T_{q\beta} \eta = \frac{1}{4} J_z^2 \frac{1}{\omega - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_q - \beta J_z S_d^z} \sum_{kk' \neq q} c_{k\beta} c_{k'\beta}^{\dagger} + \frac{1}{2} J_z J_t \frac{1}{\omega - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_q - \frac{1}{2} J_z} c_{d\beta}^{\dagger} c_{d\bar{\beta}} \sum_{kk' \neq q} c_{k\beta} c_{k'\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}J_{z}J_{t}\frac{1}{\omega-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q}+\frac{1}{2}J_{z}}c_{d\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{d\beta}\sum_{kk'\neq q}c_{k\bar{\beta}}c_{k'\beta}^{\dagger}$$

$$+J_{t}^{2}\frac{1}{\omega-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q}+\frac{1}{2}J_{z}}\left(\frac{1}{2}+\bar{\beta}S_{d}^{z}\right)\sum_{kk'\neq q}c_{k\bar{\beta}}c_{k'\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}$$

$$+\eta_{0}c_{q\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}T_{q\bar{\beta}}=-\frac{1}{4}J_{z}^{2}\frac{1}{\omega-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q}-\bar{\beta}J_{z}S_{d}^{z}}\sum_{kk'\neq q}c_{k'\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{k\bar{\beta}}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}J_{z}J_{t}\frac{1}{\omega-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q}+\frac{1}{2}J_{z}}c_{d\beta}^{\dagger}c_{d\bar{\beta}}\sum_{kk'\neq q}c_{k'\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{k\beta}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}J_{z}J_{t}\frac{1}{\omega-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q}-\frac{1}{2}J_{z}}c_{d\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{d\beta}\sum_{kk'\neq q}c_{k'\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{k\beta}$$

$$-J_{t}^{2}\frac{1}{\omega-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q}-\frac{1}{2}J_{z}}\left(\frac{1}{2}-\beta S_{d}^{z}\right)\sum_{kk'\neq q}c_{k'\beta}^{\dagger}c_{k\beta}$$

$$(4.2)$$

$$\left(c_{q\beta}^{\dagger}T_{q\beta}\eta\right)_{2} + \left(\eta_{0}c_{q\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}T_{q\bar{\beta}}\right)_{2} = J_{z}J_{t}\frac{\left(\omega - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q}\right)}{\left(\omega - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2}J_{z}\right)^{2}}c_{d\beta}^{\dagger}c_{d\bar{\beta}}\sum_{kk'\neq q}c_{k'\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{k\beta} \quad (4.3a)$$

$$\left(c_{q\beta}^{\dagger}T_{q\beta}\eta\right)_{3} + \left(\eta_{0}c_{q\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}T_{q\bar{\beta}}\right)_{3} = J_{z}J_{t}\frac{\left(\omega - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q}\right)}{\left(\omega - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2}J_{z}\right)^{2}}c_{d\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{d\bar{\beta}}\sum_{kk'\neq q}c_{k'\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{k\bar{\beta}} \quad (4.3b)$$

$$\left(c_{q\beta}^{\dagger}T_{q\beta}\eta\right)_{1} + \left(\eta_{0}c_{q\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}T_{q\bar{\beta}}\right)_{4} = \frac{J_{t}^{2}\left(\omega - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q}\right) + \frac{1}{2}J_{z}\left(J_{t}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}J_{z}^{2}\right)}{\left(\omega - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2}J_{z}\right)^{2}}\beta S_{d}^{z}\sum_{kk'\neq q}c_{k'\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{k\bar{\beta}}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\left(\omega - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q}\right)\left(J_{t}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}J_{z}^{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}J_{z}J_{t}^{2}}{\left(\omega - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2}J_{z}\right)^{2}}\sum_{k\neq q}c_{k'\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{k\bar{\beta}}$$

$$+\frac{1}{4}J_{z}^{2}\frac{\left(\omega + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q} + \beta\mathbf{J}_{z}\mathbf{S}_{d}^{2}\right)}{\left(\omega - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2}J_{z}\right)^{2}}\sum_{k\neq q}\bar{\beta}S_{d}^{z}\sum_{kk'\neq q}c_{k'\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{k\bar{\beta}}$$

$$\left(c_{q\beta}^{\dagger}T_{q\beta}\eta\right)_{4} + \left(\eta_{0}c_{q\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}T_{q\bar{\beta}}\right)_{1} = -\frac{J_{t}^{2}\left(\omega - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q}\right) - \frac{1}{2}J_{z}\left(J_{t}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}J_{z}^{2}\right)}{\left(\omega - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2}J_{z}\right)^{2}}\bar{\beta}S_{d}^{z}\sum_{kk'\neq q}c_{k'\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{k\bar{\beta}}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\left(\omega - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q}\right)\left(J_{t}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}J_{z}^{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}J_{z}J_{z}^{2}}{\left(\omega - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2}J_{z}\right)^{2}}\sum_{k\neq q}c_{k'\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{k\bar{\beta}}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\left(\omega - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q}\right)\left(J_{t}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}J_{z}^{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}J_{z}J_{t}^{2}}{\left(\omega - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2}J_{z}\right)^{2}}\sum_{kk'\neq q}c_{k'\bar{\beta}}^{\dagger}c_{k\bar{\beta}}$$

$$+J_{t}^{2}\frac{\left(\omega + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q} - \frac{1}{2}J_{z}\right)}{\left(\omega - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{q}\right)^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{2}J_{z}\right)^{2}}\sum_{k\neq q}1$$

$$(4.3d)$$

Field terms arise in  $UHU^{\dagger}$  if we don't sum Eqs. (4.3) over  $\beta$ 

### 4.1 Scaling Equations

(Summing over  $\beta$ )

$$\Delta J_t = 2J_z J_t \frac{\left(\omega - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_q\right)}{\left(\omega - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_q\right)^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2}J_z\right)^2} \tag{4.4}$$

$$\Delta J_z = \frac{J_z \left(J_t^2 - \frac{1}{2}J_z^2\right)}{\left(\omega - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_q\right)^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2}J_z\right)^2} \tag{4.5}$$

 $\Delta J_z$  doesn't have the same form as  $\Delta J_t$ .

Section 2.2, Equation 2.18 of thesis

$$rac{1}{H'-H_e\hat{n}_N}c_N^{\dagger}T=c_N^{\dagger}Trac{1}{H'-H_h(1-\hat{n}_N)} \ \Longrightarrow \ H_e\hat{n}_Nc_N^{\dagger}T=c_N^{\dagger}TH_h(1-\hat{n}_N)$$

This seems to **require** H' **commuting with** T, because

$$c_N^{\dagger}TH'-c_N^{\dagger}TH_h(1-\hat{n}_N)=H'c_N^{\dagger}T-H_e\hat{n}_Nc_N^{\dagger}T$$

Why should H' commute with T?

(where 
$$H_e = Tr(H\hat{n}_N)$$
,  $H_h = Tr[H(1 - \hat{n}_N)]$  and  $T = Tr(Hc_N)$ )

Section 2.2, Equation 2.19 of thesis

$$\eta_N H \eta_N^{\dagger} = H_h (1 - n_N)$$

If I try to derive this using the result on the previous slide:

$$egin{aligned} \eta H \eta^\dagger &= \eta H_e \eta^\dagger = \eta H_e c^\dagger T G = \eta c^\dagger T H_h G \ &= \eta c^\dagger T G H_h = \eta \eta^\dagger H_h = H_h (1-\hat{n}) \end{aligned}$$

That required  $[G, H_h] = 0$ . How does that work out?

(where 
$$H_e = Tr(H\hat{n}_N)$$
,  $H_h = Tr[H(1 - \hat{n}_N)]$  and  $T = Tr(Hc_N)$ )

Kondo Model appendix, Equation 9.61 of thesis

$$\begin{split} &\Delta \hat{H}_{(j)} = \sum_{\substack{m=1,\\\beta=\uparrow/\downarrow}}^{n_j} \frac{(J^{(j)})^2}{2} \frac{\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\beta}}{(2\omega\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\beta}-\epsilon_{j,l}\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\beta}-J^{(j)}S^zs_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m}^z)} \\ &\times \left[ S^a S^b \sigma^a_{\alpha\beta} \sigma^b_{\beta\gamma} \sum_{\substack{(j_1,j_2 < j),\\n,o}} c^\dagger_{j_1,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_n,\alpha} c_{j_2,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_o,\gamma} (1-\hat{n}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\beta}) + ... \right. \\ &+ \sum_{m=1,}^{n_j} \frac{(J^{(j)})^2}{2(2\omega\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\beta}-\epsilon_{j,l}\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\beta}-J^{(j)}S^zs_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m}^z)} \left[ S^x S^y \sigma^x_{\alpha\beta} \sigma^y_{\beta\alpha} c^\dagger_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\alpha} c_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\beta} c_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\alpha} + ... \right. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &\Delta \hat{H}_{(j)} = \sum_{\substack{m=1,\\\beta=\uparrow/\downarrow}}^{n_j} \frac{(J^{(j)})^2}{2} \frac{\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\beta}}{(2\omega\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\beta}-\epsilon_{j,l}\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\beta}-J^{(j)}S^zs_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m}^z)} \\ &\times \left[ S^a S^b \sigma^a_{\alpha\beta} \sigma^b_{\beta\gamma} \sum_{\substack{(j_1,j_2 < j),\\n,o}} c^\dagger_{j_1,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_n,\alpha} c_{j_2,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_o,\gamma} (1-\hat{n}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\beta}) + ... \right. \\ &+ \sum_{m=1,}^{n_j} \frac{(J^{(j)})^2}{2(2\omega\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\beta}-\epsilon_{j,l}\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\beta}-J^{(j)}S^zs_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m}^z)} \left[ S^x S^y \sigma^x_{\alpha\beta} \sigma^y_{\beta\alpha} c^\dagger_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\alpha} c_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\beta} c_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\alpha} + ... \right. \end{split}$$

▶ The  $\tau$  should not be there in numerator i presume?

$$\begin{split} & \Delta \hat{H}_{(j)} = \sum_{\substack{m=1,\\\beta=\uparrow/\downarrow}}^{n_{j}} \frac{(J^{(j)})^{2}}{2} \frac{\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta}}{(2\omega\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta} - \epsilon_{j,l}\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta} - J^{(j)}S^{z}S^{z}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m}})} \\ & \times \left[ S^{a}S^{b}\sigma^{a}_{\alpha\beta}\sigma^{b}_{\beta\gamma} \sum_{(j_{1},j_{2}< j),} c^{\dagger}_{j_{1},\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{n},\alpha}c_{j_{2},\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{o},\gamma}(1-\hat{n}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta}) + \dots \right. \\ & + \sum_{m=1,}^{n_{j}} \frac{(J^{(j)})^{2}}{2(2\omega\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta} - \epsilon_{j,l}\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta} - J^{(j)}S^{z}S^{z}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m}})} \left[ S^{x}S^{y}\sigma^{x}_{\alpha\beta}\sigma^{y}_{\beta\alpha}c^{\dagger}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}c_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta}c^{\dagger}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta}c_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha} + \dots \right] \end{split}$$

Since coupling is  $\frac{J}{2}$ , shouldn't the thing be  $\frac{J^2}{4}$  instead of  $\frac{J^2}{2}$ ?

$$\Delta \hat{H}_{(j)} = \sum_{\substack{m=1,\\\beta=\uparrow/\downarrow}}^{n_j} \frac{(J^{(j)})^2}{2} \frac{\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\beta}}{(2\omega\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\beta} - \epsilon_{j,l}\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\beta} - J^{(j)}S^z s_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m}^z)}$$

$$\times \left[ S^{a}S^{b}\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{a}\sigma_{\beta\gamma}^{b} \sum_{\substack{(j_{1},j_{2}< j),\\ n,o}} c_{j_{1},\hat{s}_{n},\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{j_{2},\hat{s}_{o},\gamma} (1-\hat{n}_{j,\hat{s}_{m},\beta}) + ... \right. \\ + \sum_{m=1}^{n_{j}} \frac{(J^{(j)})^{2}}{2(2\omega\tau_{j,\hat{s}_{m},\beta}-\epsilon_{j,l}\tau_{j,\hat{s}_{m},\beta}-J^{(j)}S^{z}s_{j,\hat{s}_{m}}^{z})} \left[ S^{x}S^{y}\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{x}\sigma_{\beta\alpha}^{y}c_{j,\hat{s}_{m},\alpha}^{\dagger}c_{j,\hat{s}_{m},\beta}c_{j,\hat{s}_{m},\beta}c_{j,\hat{s}_{m},\alpha} + ... \right.$$

➤ You mentioned the following in the google document- "interchange sigma\_a and sigma\_b (you get -1 sign)". But these are matrix elements (numbers). So why the minus sign?

$$\Delta \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(j)} = \sum_{\substack{m=1,\ eta \equiv \uparrow / \bot}}^{n_j} rac{(J^{(j)})^2}{2} rac{ au_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,eta}}{(2\omega au_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,eta} - \epsilon_{j,l} au_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,eta} - J^{(j)}S^zS^z_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m})$$

$$imes \left[ S^a S^b \sigma^a_{lphaeta} \sigma^b_{eta\gamma} \sum_{\substack{(j_1,j_2 < j), \ n,o}} c^\dagger_{j_1,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_n,lpha} c_{j_2,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_o,\gamma} (1-\hat{n}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,eta}) + ... 
ight.$$

$$+\sum_{\substack{m=1,\ eta=1,\ eta=1,$$

► How do you combine the product of two sigmas ( $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^a \sigma_{\beta\gamma}^b$ ) into a single  $\sigma_{\alpha\gamma}^c$ ?

Kondo URG coupling equation for J (equation 9.65):

$$\Delta J^{(j)} = n_j (J^{(j)})^2 \left[ \omega - \frac{\epsilon_{j,l}}{2} \right] \left[ (\frac{\epsilon_{j,l}}{2} - \omega)^2 - \frac{\left(J^{(j)}\right)^2}{16} \right]^{-1}$$

One-loop form (after setting  $\omega = \epsilon_{j,l}$ ):

$$\Delta J^{(j)} = \frac{n_j(J^{(j)})^2}{\omega - \frac{\epsilon_{j,l}}{2}} = 2\frac{n_j(J^{(j)})^2}{\epsilon_{j,l}} \rightarrow \frac{2\rho|\Delta D|J^2}{D} \quad [n_j, \rho \rightarrow \mathsf{DOS} \; \mathsf{per} \; \mathsf{spin}]$$

One-loop form in Coleman (Introduction to Many-Body Physics) ( $\tilde{J} = J/2$ ):

$$\Delta \tilde{J} = rac{2
ho |\Delta D| ilde{J}^2}{D} \implies \Delta J = rac{
ho |\Delta D| J^2}{D}$$

Is there any reason for this difference?

▶ In the Kondo URG, are you considering two electrons on the shell  $\Lambda_N$ , one that we are decoupling  $(q\beta)$  and another with the same momentum but opposite spin  $(q\overline{\beta})$ ?

▶ If so, why does that kinetic energy piece  $(\epsilon_q \tau_{q\overline{\beta}})$  not come down in the denominator?

► Is that what gives rise to the second RG equation and hence the S<sup>z</sup>s<sup>z</sup> term in the effective Hamiltonian?

$$\begin{split} \Delta \textit{H}_{(j)}^{2} &= \sum_{\substack{m=1,\\\beta=\uparrow/\downarrow}}^{n_{j}} \frac{(\textit{J}^{(j)})^{2}}{(2\omega\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta}-\epsilon_{j,l}\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta}-\textit{J}^{(j)}\textit{S}^{z}\textit{s}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m}}^{z})} \bigg[ \textit{S}^{x}\textit{S}^{y}\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{x}\sigma_{\beta\alpha}^{y}\textit{c}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}^{\dagger}\textit{c}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta}\textit{c}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta}^{\dagger}\textit{c}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}^{\dagger}\textit{c}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}^{\dagger}\textit{c}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}^{\dagger}\textit{c}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}^{\dagger}\textit{c}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}^{\dagger}\textit{c}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}^{\dagger}\textit{c}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}^{\dagger}\textit{c}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}^{\dagger}\textit{c}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}^{\dagger}\textit{c}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}^{\dagger}\textit{c}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta}^{\dagger}\end{aligned}$$

 $=\sum_{m=1,}^{n_j}\frac{(J^{(j)})^2}{(2\omega\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\beta}-\epsilon_{j,l}\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\beta}-J^{(j)}S^zs_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m}^z)}S^z\frac{\sigma_{\alpha\alpha}^z}{2}\bigg[\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\alpha}(1-\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_m,\beta})-$ 

$$\hat{n}_{j,\hat{s}_m,\beta}(1-\hat{n}_{j,\hat{s}_m,\alpha})$$

$$\begin{split} \Delta H_{(j)}^{2} &= \sum_{\substack{m=1,\\\beta=\uparrow/\downarrow}}^{n_{j}} \frac{(J^{(j)})^{2}}{(2\omega\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta} - \epsilon_{j,l}\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta} - J^{(j)}S^{z}S_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m}}^{z})} \bigg[ S^{x}S^{y}\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{x}\sigma_{\beta\alpha}^{y}C_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}^{\dagger}C_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta}C_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}^{\dagger}C_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta}C_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}C_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}C_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta} \bigg] \\ &+ S^{y}S^{x}\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{x}\sigma_{\beta\alpha}^{y}C_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta}^{\dagger}C_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}C_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}C_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}C_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta} \bigg] \\ &= \sum_{m=1,}^{n_{j}} \frac{(J^{(j)})^{2}}{(2\omega\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta} - \epsilon_{j,l}\tau_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta} - J^{(j)}S^{z}S_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m}}^{z})} S^{z}\frac{\sigma_{\alpha\alpha}^{z}}{2} \bigg[ \hat{n}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}(1 - \hat{n}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta}) - \dots \bigg] \end{split}$$

#### What I got:

$$S^{x}S^{y}\sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{x}\sigma_{\beta\alpha}^{y}c_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}^{\dagger}c_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta}c_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta}^{\dagger}c_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}=\underline{i^{2}}S^{z}\sigma_{\alpha\alpha}^{z}\hat{\eta}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\alpha}\left(1-\hat{\eta}_{j,\hat{\mathbf{s}}_{m},\beta}\right)$$

In eq. 2.21 of thesis,

$$UHU^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{2}Tr(H) + \tau Tr(H\tau) + \tau \{c^{\dagger}T, \eta\}$$

so the renormalization is

$$au\{m{c}^\daggerm{T},\eta\} = rac{1}{2} \left[ egin{array}{cccc} ext{particle sector} \ m{c}^\daggerm{T}\eta & - rac{\etam{c}^\daggerm{T}}{ ext{hole sector}} 
ight] = ext{difference of the 2 sectors}$$

Yet in most RG equations ( $\triangle H_F$  of 2d Hubbard,  $\triangle H_j$  of Kondo), you have added the two sectors. How/Why?

In the Kondo URG, you simplify the  $\hat{\omega}$  as

$$\hat{\omega} = \omega \tau$$

What is the formal way of doing this? Shouldn't it be

$$\hat{\omega} = \omega_1 \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} + \omega_1 (1 - \hat{\boldsymbol{n}})$$

Is this just an assumption?

In the RG equation for BCS instability (eq. 8.130 of thesis), you use

$$G^{-1} = \omega - \epsilon_1 \tau_1 - \epsilon_2 \tau_2$$

How is this choice of  $\hat{\omega}$  consistent with what was done in Kondo URG?