Fermion Block diagonalization, Example: A two site system

Anirban Mukherjee

March 17, 2020

1 Block diagonalization of a Fermionic Hamiltonian in single Fermion number occupancy basis-The main theorem

Theorem 1 A fermionic Hamiltonian describing a system of 2N fermionic single particle degrees of freedom defined in the number occupancy basis of $\hat{n}_{j\sigma} = c^{\dagger}_{j\sigma}c_{j\sigma}$ for all $[j\sigma] \in [1, N] \times [\sigma, -\sigma]$ can be resolved with respect to the fermionic state $N\sigma$ into a sum of diagonal $H_{D,N\sigma}$ and off-diagonal blocks $H_{X,N\sigma}$ that is a block matrix as,

$$\hat{H}_{2N} = (\hat{n}_{N\sigma} + 1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})\hat{H}_{2N}(\hat{n}_{N\sigma} + 1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})
= \begin{pmatrix} \hat{n}_{N\sigma}\hat{H}_{2N}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} & \hat{n}_{N\sigma}\hat{H}_{2N}(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) \\ (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})\hat{H}_{2N}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} & (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})\hat{H}_{2N}(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) \end{pmatrix}$$
(1)

where $\hat{H}_{D,N\sigma} = \hat{n}_{N\sigma}\hat{H}_{2N}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} + (1-\hat{n}_{N\sigma})\hat{H}_{2N}(1-\hat{n}_{N\sigma})$ and $\hat{H}_{X,N\sigma} = \hat{n}_{N\sigma}\hat{H}_{2N}(1-\hat{n}_{N\sigma}) + (1-\hat{n}_{N\sigma})\hat{H}_{2N}\hat{n}_{N\sigma}$. **Statement-1**: There exist a unitarily equivalent representation $\hat{U}_{N\sigma}\hat{H}_{2N}\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}$ where $\hat{U}_{N\sigma}\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} = \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}\hat{U}_{N\sigma} = I$, such that the below given decoupling condition between states $1_{N\sigma}$ and $0_{N\sigma}$ holds,

$$\hat{n}_{N\sigma}\hat{U}_{N\sigma}\hat{H}_{2N}\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}(1-\hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = (1-\hat{n}_{N\sigma})\hat{U}_{N\sigma}\hat{H}_{2N}\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}\hat{n}_{N\sigma}$$

This statement is equivalent to stating $[\hat{U}_{N\sigma}\hat{H}_{2N}\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^{\dagger},\hat{n}_{N\sigma}]=0$. **Statement-2**: Form of the Unitary operator is given by,

$$\hat{U}_{N\sigma} = \exp(\operatorname{arctan}h(\hat{\eta}_{N\sigma} - \hat{\eta}_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}))$$
,

where $\hat{\eta}_{N\sigma}$ is a non-hermitian operator given by,

$$\hat{\eta}_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]} - \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{H}_{2N} \hat{n}_{N\sigma}} \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{H}_{2N} (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})
= \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{H}_{2N} (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) \frac{1}{\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]} - (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) \hat{H}_{2N} (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})} ,$$

having the following properties,

$$\{\hat{\eta}_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}, \hat{\eta}_{N\sigma}\} = 1$$
, $[\hat{\eta}_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}, \hat{\eta}_{N\sigma}] = 2\hat{n}_{N\sigma} - 1$.

Proof:

Case-1 Hamiltonian composed of operators containing even number of c^{\dagger} 's and c's.

A Fermionic Hamiltonian of the size $2^{2N} \times 2^{2N}$ can be written as a block matrix constituting diagonal and off-diagonal blocks of size $2^{2N-1} \times 2^{2N-1}$ in the resolution of the identity $\hat{I}_{N\sigma} = \hat{n}_{N\sigma} + \hat{I}_{N\sigma} - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}$ as,

$$\hat{H}_{2N} = H_{N\sigma,e}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} + H_{N\sigma,h}(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) + \hat{T}^{\dagger}_{N\sigma,e-h}c_{N\sigma} + c^{\dagger}_{N\sigma}\hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h}$$

where,

$$\hat{H}_{N\sigma,e} = Tr_{N\sigma}(\hat{H}_{2N}\hat{n}_{N\sigma}) , H_{N\sigma,h} = Tr_{N\sigma}(\hat{H}_{2N}(1-\hat{n}_{N\sigma}))$$

$$\hat{T}^{\dagger}_{N\sigma,e-h} = Tr_{N\sigma}(c^{\dagger}_{N\sigma}\hat{H}_{2N}) , \hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h} = Tr_{N\sigma}(\hat{H}_{2N}c_{N\sigma}) ,$$

where the form of $\hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger}$ operator holds true when H contains even number of Fermion operators (see eq(??)). We ask for a new resolution of the identity $I_{N\sigma} = \hat{P}_{N\sigma} + 1 - P_{N\sigma}$ corresponding to a new basis in which this matrix attains a block diagonal form i.e.,

$$P_{N\sigma}H(1 - P_{N\sigma}) = (1 - P_{N\sigma})HP_{N\sigma} = 0 ,$$

$$P_{N\sigma}HP_{N\sigma} = P_{N\sigma}H'P_{N\sigma} ,$$

$$(1 - P_{N\sigma})H(1 - P_{N\sigma}) = (1 - P_{N\sigma})H''(1 - P_{N\sigma}) ,$$

where $[H', \hat{n}_{N\sigma}] = 0$, $[H'', \hat{n}_{N\sigma}] = 0$. From the above the block diagonal form equation for the subspace projection operator $P_{N\sigma}$ can be also written as,

$$HP_{N\sigma} = H'P_{N\sigma} \tag{2}$$

using $HP_{N\sigma} = P_{N\sigma}HP_{N\sigma}$ as $(1 - P_{N\sigma})HP_{N\sigma} = 0$. A form of $\hat{H}' = I_{N\sigma} \otimes \hat{E}_{[N\sigma]}$ satisfies the block diagonal equation,

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_{N\sigma,e}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} & c_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}\hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h} \\ \hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger}c_{N\sigma} & H_{N\sigma,h}(1-\hat{n}_{N\sigma}) \end{pmatrix} P_{N\sigma} = I_{N\sigma} \otimes \hat{E}_{[N\sigma]}P_{N\sigma}$$
(3)

where $\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]}$ is a matrix of size $2^{2N-1} \times 2^{2N-1}$ and $I_{N\sigma}$ is the 2×2 identity. For this equation we will now implement the Gauss Jordan Block diagonalization procedure as follows, firstly we write a ansatz for $P_{N\sigma}$ as ,

$$P_{N\sigma} = \mathcal{N}(1 + \eta_{N\sigma} + \eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}),$$

$$= \mathcal{N}(1 + \eta_{N\sigma})\hat{n}_{N\sigma} + \mathcal{N}(1 + \eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger})(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}),$$

$$= \mathcal{N}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ \eta_{N\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{N}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(4)

where $\eta_{N\sigma}$, $\eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}$ are the electron to hole and hole to electron transition operators having the following properties,

$$(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})\eta_{N\sigma}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} = \eta_{N\sigma} , \hat{n}_{N\sigma}\eta_{N\sigma}(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = 0 ,$$

and $\eta_{N\sigma}^2 = 0$. In eq(34) quantity \mathcal{N} is a normalization factor which maintains the idempotent nature of the new projection operator $P_{N\sigma}$, below we will show \mathcal{N} is determined as an outcome of our analysis. The properties of $\eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}$ follows from above. Using the definition eq(34) and the block diagonalization equation eq(33) we can write down the following matrix equations,

$$\begin{pmatrix}
H_{N\sigma,e}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} & c_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}\hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h} \\
\hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger}c_{N\sigma} & H_{N\sigma,h}(1-\hat{n}_{N\sigma})
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
\eta_{N\sigma}
\end{pmatrix} = \hat{E}_{[N\sigma]} \begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
\eta_{N\sigma}
\end{pmatrix} ,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
H_{N\sigma,e}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} & c_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}\hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h} \\
\hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger}c_{N\sigma} & H_{N\sigma,h}(1-\hat{n}_{N\sigma})
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \\
1
\end{pmatrix} = \hat{E}_{[N\sigma]} \begin{pmatrix}
\eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \\
1
\end{pmatrix} .$$
(5)

The form of the transition operators $\eta_{N\sigma}$, $\eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}$ that satisfies the matrix equations are,

$$\hat{\eta}_{N\sigma} = \hat{G}_h(\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]})\hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger}c_{N\sigma} ,$$

$$\hat{\eta}_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} = \hat{G}_e(\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]})c_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}\hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h} ,$$
(6)

where $\hat{G}_{(h,e)}(\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]}) = (\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]} - H_{N\sigma,(h,e)})^{-1}$. The following transition operators lead to the following block diagonal representation of the operator $\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]}$ in the projected space of electron/hole occupancy operator corresponding to state $N\sigma$,

$$\left[H_{N\sigma,e} \hat{n}_{N\sigma} + c_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h} \hat{G}_h(\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]}) \hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger} c_{N\sigma} \right] = \hat{E}_{[N\sigma]} \hat{n}_{N\sigma} ,$$

$$\left[H_{N\sigma,h} (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) + \hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger} c_{N\sigma} \hat{G}_e(\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]}) c_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h} \right] = \hat{E}_{[N\sigma]} (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}). \tag{7}$$

From the two equations of the transition operators eq(36) we have the following identity,

$$\hat{G}_h(\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]})\hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger}c_{N\sigma} = \hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger}c_{N\sigma}\hat{G}_e(\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]}) . \tag{8}$$

The above operator ordering relation eq(8) and form of the block diagonal operators eq(37) we have,

$$\eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger} c_{N\sigma} = \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{G}_{e}^{-1} (\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]}) \implies \eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \eta_{N\sigma} = \hat{n}_{N\sigma}
\eta_{N\sigma} c_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h} = (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) \hat{G}_{h}^{-1} (\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]})
\implies \eta_{N\sigma} \eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} = 1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma} .$$

This leads to a canonical commutation and anticommutation relation for the $\eta_{N\sigma}$ operators,

$$[\eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}, \eta_{N\sigma}] = 2\hat{n}_{N\sigma} - 1 , \{\eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}, \eta_{N\sigma}\} = 1 . \tag{9}$$

With this constraints eq(9) on the $\eta_{N\sigma}$ and $\eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}$ operators one can check that the idempotent nature of the projection operator $P_{N\sigma}^2 = P_{N\sigma}$ is satisfied for a specific normalization factor,

$$\mathcal{N}^{-1} = (1 + \eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \eta_{N\sigma} + \eta_{N\sigma} \eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}) = 2.$$
 (10)

The number $\mathcal{N}^{-1}=2$ can then be seen to be associated with the block matrix dimension of the identity matrix $I_{N\sigma}$ or can be equivalently seen as the number of choices for the single electronic state configuration, i.e. it is either occupied or unoccupied. There is a orthogonal subspace projection operator $1-P_{N\sigma}$,

$$1 - P_{N\sigma} = \mathcal{N}(1 - \eta_{N\sigma} - \eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}) ,$$

= $\mathcal{N}(1 - \eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger})(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) + \mathcal{N}(1 - \eta_{N\sigma})\hat{n}_{N\sigma} ,$

from the algebra of the $\eta_{N\sigma}$ operators one can check that the above form is consistent with the requirement $P_{N\sigma}(1-P_{N\sigma})=0$. To get the other blocks of the final block diagonal form we start with the block diagonal equation satisfied by $(1-P_{N\sigma})$ which is given by,

$$\begin{pmatrix}
H_{N\sigma,e}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} & c_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}\hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h} \\
\hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger}c_{N\sigma} & H_{N\sigma,h}(1-\hat{n}_{N\sigma})
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
-\eta_{N\sigma}
\end{pmatrix} = \hat{E}'_{[N\sigma]} \begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
-\eta_{N\sigma}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
H_{N\sigma,e}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} & c_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}\hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h} \\
\hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger}c_{N\sigma} & H_{N\sigma,h}(1-\hat{n}_{N\sigma})
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
-\eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \\
1
\end{pmatrix} = \hat{E}'_{[N\sigma]} \begin{pmatrix}
-\eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \\
1
\end{pmatrix} .$$
(11)

As above by solving the simultaneous set of equations we have the form of the transition operators,

$$\hat{\eta}_{N\sigma} = -\hat{G}_h(\hat{E}'_{[N\sigma]})\hat{T}^{\dagger}_{N\sigma,e-h}c_{N\sigma} ,$$

$$\hat{\eta}^{\dagger}_{N\sigma} = -\hat{G}_e(\hat{E}'_{[N\sigma]})c^{\dagger}_{N\sigma}\hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h} ,$$

which leads to a further consistency condition using eq(36),

$$-\hat{G}_{h}(\hat{E}'_{[N\sigma]})\hat{T}^{\dagger}_{N\sigma,e-h}c_{N\sigma} = \hat{G}_{h}(\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]})\hat{T}^{\dagger}_{N\sigma,e-h}c_{N\sigma} ,$$

$$-\hat{G}_{e}(\hat{E}'_{[N\sigma]})\hat{T}^{\dagger}_{N\sigma,e-h}c_{N\sigma} = \hat{G}_{e}(\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]})\hat{T}^{\dagger}_{N\sigma,e-h}c_{N\sigma} .$$

$$(12)$$

Again replacing this transition operators in the simultaneous equation for both sets we have the following block diagonal representation of the operator $\hat{E}'_{[N\sigma]}$ in the projected space of electron/hole occupancy operator corresponding to state $N\sigma$,

$$c_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h} \hat{G}_{h}(\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]}) \hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger} c_{N\sigma} | \Psi_{N\sigma}^{1}, 1_{N\sigma} \rangle$$

$$= (H_{N\sigma,e} - \hat{E}_{[N\sigma]}^{\prime}) \hat{n}_{N\sigma} | \Psi_{N\sigma}^{1}, 1_{N\sigma} \rangle ,$$

$$\hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger} c_{N\sigma} \hat{G}_{e}(\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]}) c_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h} | \Psi_{N\sigma}^{0}, 0_{N\sigma} \rangle$$

$$= (H_{N\sigma,h} - \hat{E}_{[N\sigma]}^{\prime}) (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) | \Psi_{N\sigma}^{0}, 0_{N\sigma} \rangle .$$
(13)

Is the following equation same as $\bar{H}|1>=E|1>$ and $\bar{H}|0>=E'|0>$ Why act on the vectors in the previous step? Why not directly write them from the equations?

The block diagonal equation can be reconstructed now as,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{E}_{N\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{E}'_{[N\sigma]} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \hat{E}_{[N\sigma]} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} , \quad \begin{pmatrix} \hat{E}_{N\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{E}'_{[N\sigma]} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \hat{E}'_{[N\sigma]} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{14}$$

By identifying the two blocks $\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]}$ and $\hat{E}'_{[N\sigma]}$ using eq(37) and eq(13) the block diagonalized Hamiltonian is given by,

$$\hat{H}' = \hat{E}_{[N\sigma]}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} + \hat{E}'_{[N\sigma]}(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})
= \frac{1}{2}Tr_{N\sigma}(\hat{H}_{2N}) + \left(\hat{n}_{N\sigma} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\left\{c_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}\hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h}, \eta_{N\sigma}\right\}$$
(15)

This proves that there exist a unitary operation $\hat{U}_{N\sigma}$ which puts the matrix into a block diagonal form i.e. $\hat{U}_{N\sigma}\hat{H}\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}=\hat{H}'$, such that $[\hat{H}',\hat{n}_{N\sigma}]=0$, i.e. proof of **statement-1**. To find the Unitary operator we write down the block matrix equation as follows,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} H_{N\sigma,e} \hat{n}_{N\sigma} & c_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h} \\ \hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger} c_{N\sigma} & H_{N\sigma,h} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \\ \eta_{N\sigma} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{E}_{[N\sigma]} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \\ \eta_{N\sigma} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$
(16)

Using the proof of statement-1 we know that there exist some $\hat{U}_{N\sigma}$ such that the above block matrix equation becomes equivalent to ,

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]} & 0 \\
0 & \hat{E}'_{[N\sigma]}
\end{pmatrix} \hat{U}_{N\sigma} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \\
\eta_{N\sigma} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\
0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \hat{U}_{N\sigma} \hat{E}_{[N\sigma]} U_{[N\sigma]}^{\dagger} U_{[N\sigma]} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \\
\eta_{N\sigma} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\
0 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{17}$$

The requirement of the block diagonal equation eq(14) is,

$$\hat{U}_{N\sigma} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \\ \eta_{N\sigma} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = c \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} , \qquad (18)$$

where c is some constant. The Unitary operator $\hat{U}_{N\sigma}$ that fulfills the requirement is uniquely determined and has the form,

$$\hat{U}_{N\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1 + \eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} - \eta_{N\sigma}) . \tag{19}$$

That this matrix is unitary $\hat{U}_{N\sigma}\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} = \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}\hat{U}_{N\sigma} = 1$ can be checked using eq(9). Below we show the fulfillment of the requirement eq(18),

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \\ -\eta_{N\sigma} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \\ \eta_{N\sigma} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{20}$$

The Unitary operator $\hat{U}_{N\sigma}$ can be written in a exponential form as,

$$\hat{U}_{N\sigma} = \exp(\operatorname{arctanh}(\eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} - \eta_{N\sigma})) = \frac{1 + \eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} - \eta_{N\sigma}}{\sqrt{1 + \eta_{N\sigma}\eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} + \eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}\eta_{N\sigma}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} - \eta_{N\sigma}),$$

where $\eta_{N\sigma}$ is given by,

$$\eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} = \hat{G}_e(\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]})c_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}T_{N\sigma,e-h} = c_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}T_{N\sigma,e-h}\hat{G}_h(\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]})$$
.

This proves statement-2.

Case 2 Hamiltonian constituted of operators containing arbitrary number of c's and c^{\dagger} 's. In this case due to Fermion signature issues the partial trace decomposed block form Hamiltonian might have non trivial pre-factors to take into account, so it is better suited to write the block diagonalized Hamiltonian and the Unitary operator in the following fashion,

$$U_{N\sigma}\hat{H}_{2N}U_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{E}_{[N\sigma]} & 0\\ 0 & \hat{E}'_{[N\sigma]} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{21}$$

where $\hat{U}_{N\sigma}$ and $\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]}, \hat{E}'_{[N\sigma]}$ are defined as ,

$$\eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} = \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{H} (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) \frac{1}{\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]} - (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) \hat{H}_{2N} (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})} ,
\hat{U}_{N\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[1 + \hat{\eta}_{N\sigma} - \hat{\eta}_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \right] ,
\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]} = \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{H}_{2N} \hat{n}_{N\sigma} + \eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) \hat{H}_{2N} \hat{n}_{N\sigma} .$$
(22)

This entire block diagonalization procedure leads to the following corollaries , Corollaries:

1.

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]} & 0 \\
0 & \hat{E}'_{[N\sigma]}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
0
\end{pmatrix} = \hat{U}_{N\sigma}\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]}\hat{U}^{\dagger}_{N\sigma} \begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
0
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\implies \hat{U}_{N\sigma}\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]}\hat{U}^{\dagger}_{N\sigma} = \hat{E}_{[N\sigma]} . \tag{23}$$

2.

$$(0 \quad 1) \hat{E}_{N\sigma} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \implies (1 \quad 0) U_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{E}_{N\sigma} U_{N\sigma} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \hat{E}_{N\sigma}$$

$$\implies (\eta_{N\sigma} \quad 1) \hat{E}_{N\sigma} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -\eta_{N\sigma} \end{pmatrix} = \hat{E}_{N\sigma} \rightarrow [\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]}, \eta_{N\sigma}] = 0$$

$$(24)$$

3. **Prove**: $[\hat{E}_{N\sigma}, \hat{G}_e(\hat{E}_{N\sigma})] = 0$ Let us first rewrite $\hat{E}_{N\sigma}\eta_{N\sigma}$ as,

$$\hat{E}_{N\sigma}\eta_{N\sigma} = \hat{E}_{N\sigma}\hat{G}_{h}(\hat{E}_{N\sigma})T_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger}c_{N\sigma}
= \left(1 + Tr_{N\sigma}(H(1-\hat{n}_{N\sigma}))\hat{G}_{h}(\hat{E}_{N\sigma})\right)
\times T_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger}c_{N\sigma}.$$
(25)

As eq(8) i.e. $\hat{G}_h(\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]})\hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger}c_{N\sigma}=\hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger}c_{N\sigma}\hat{G}_e(\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]})$ for all $\hat{E}_{N\sigma}$ satisfying the block equation eq(33) therefore,

$$Tr_{N\sigma}(H(1-\hat{n}_{N\sigma}))\hat{G}_{h}(\hat{E}_{N\sigma})T_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger}c_{N\sigma}$$

$$= T_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger}c_{N\sigma}Tr_{N\sigma}(H\hat{n}_{N\sigma})\hat{G}_{e}(\hat{E}_{N\sigma}) . \tag{26}$$

Using eq(26) we have the transition operator rearrangement relation,

$$\left(1 + Tr_{N\sigma}(H(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}))\hat{G}_{h}(\hat{E}_{N\sigma})\right)T_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger}c_{N\sigma}$$

$$= T_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger}c_{N\sigma}\left(1 + Tr_{N\sigma}(H\hat{n}_{N\sigma})\hat{G}_{e}(\hat{E}_{N\sigma})\right),$$

$$\hat{E}_{N\sigma}\eta_{N\sigma} = T_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger}c_{N\sigma}\hat{E}_{N\sigma}G_{e}(\hat{E}_{N\sigma}).$$
(27)

From eq(24) we have $[\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]}, \eta_{N\sigma}] = 0$ this implies

$$T_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger} c_{N\sigma} \hat{E}_{N\sigma} G_e(\hat{E}_{N\sigma}) = \eta_{N\sigma} \hat{E}_{N\sigma}$$

$$T_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger} c_{N\sigma} [\hat{E}_{N\sigma}, G_e(\hat{E}_{N\sigma})] = 0 .$$
(28)

Using the form of the electron-hole transition operator $\eta_{N\sigma} = T^{\dagger}_{N\sigma,e-h} c_{N\sigma} G_e(\hat{E}_{N\sigma})$ and eq(28) we prove our assertion,

$$T_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger} c_{N\sigma} [\hat{E}_{N\sigma}, G_e(\hat{E}_{N\sigma})] = 0,$$

$$c_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} T_{N\sigma,e-h} G_h(\hat{E}_{N\sigma}) T_{N\sigma,e-h}^{\dagger} c_{N\sigma} [\hat{E}_{N\sigma}, G_e(\hat{E}_{N\sigma})] = 0$$

$$\hat{G}_e^{-1} (\hat{E}_{N\sigma}) \eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger} \eta_{N\sigma} [\hat{E}_{N\sigma}, G_e(\hat{E}_{N\sigma})] = 0$$

$$\Longrightarrow [\hat{E}_{N\sigma}, G_e(\hat{E}_{N\sigma})] = 0.$$
(29)

2 An example

Let us consider a two site Hamiltonian,

$$\hat{H} = -t(c_1^{\dagger}c_2 + h.c.) + V\hat{n}_1\hat{n}_2 - \mu(n_1 + n_2) , \qquad (30)$$

where $\hat{n}_{1,2} = c_{1,2}^{\dagger} c_{1,2}$. First step is to represent this Hamiltonian in a block form in the occupancy basis of site 1 which are eigenstates of number operator \hat{n}_1 ,

$$\hat{H} = \begin{pmatrix} (V - \mu)\hat{n}_1\hat{n}_2 - \mu\hat{n}_1 & -tc_1^{\dagger}c_2 \\ -tc_2^{\dagger}c_1 & -\mu\hat{n}_2(1 - \hat{n}_1) \end{pmatrix}$$
(31)

Let us note that the quantum fluctuations in the number occupancy basis has its source in the offdiagonal blocks of the above matrix leading to $[\hat{H}, \hat{n}_1] \neq 0$. We ask for a new resolution of the identity $I_1 = \hat{P}_1 + 1 - P_1$ corresponding to a new basis in which this matrix attains a block diagonal form i.e.,

$$P_1H(1-P_1) = (1-P_1)HP_1 = 0 , P_1HP_1 = P_1H'P_1 ,$$

 $(1-P_1)H(1-P_1) = (1-P_1)H''(1-P_1) ,$

where $[H', \hat{n}_1] = 0$, $[H'', \hat{n}_1] = 0$. From the above the block diagonal form equation for the subspace projection operator P_1 can be also written as,

$$HP_1 = H'P_1 \tag{32}$$

A form of $\hat{H}' = I_1 \otimes \hat{E}_{[1]}$ satisfies the above block diagonal equation,

$$\begin{pmatrix} (V - \mu)\hat{n}_1\hat{n}_2 - \mu\hat{n}_1 & -tc_1^{\dagger}c_2 \\ -tc_2^{\dagger}c_1 & -\mu\hat{n}_2(1 - \hat{n}_1) \end{pmatrix} P_1 = I_1 \otimes \hat{E}_{[1]}P_1$$
(33)

where $\hat{E}_{[1]}$ is a matrix of size 2×2 and I_1 is the 2×2 identity. For this equation we will now implement the Gauss Jordan Block diagonalization procedure as follows, firstly we write a ansatz for P_1 as,

$$P_{1} = \mathcal{N}(1 + \eta_{1} + \eta_{1}^{\dagger}),$$

$$= \mathcal{N}(1 + \eta_{1})\hat{n}_{1} + \mathcal{N}(1 + \eta_{1}^{\dagger})(1 - \hat{n}_{1}),$$

$$= \mathcal{N}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \eta_{1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{N}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \eta_{1}^{\dagger} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(34)

where η_1 , η_1^{\dagger} are the electron to hole and hole to electron transition operators having the following properties,

$$(1-\hat{n}_1)\eta_1\hat{n}_1=\eta_1$$
, $\hat{n}_1\eta_1(1-\hat{n}_1)=0$,

and $\eta_1^2 = 0$.In eq(34) quantity \mathcal{N} is a normalization factor which maintains the idempotent nature of the new projection operator P_1 , below we will show \mathcal{N} is determined as an outcome of our analysis. The properties of $\eta_{N\sigma}^{\dagger}$ follows from above. Using the definition eq(34) and the block diagonalization equation eq(33) we can write down the following matrix equations,

$$\begin{pmatrix} (V - \mu)\hat{n}_1\hat{n}_2 - \mu\hat{n}_1 & -tc_1^{\dagger}c_2 \\ -tc_2^{\dagger}c_1 & -\mu\hat{n}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \eta_1 \end{pmatrix} = \hat{E}_{[1]} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \eta_1 \end{pmatrix} , \begin{pmatrix} (V - \mu)\hat{n}_1\hat{n}_2 - \mu\hat{n}_1 & -tc_1^{\dagger}c_2 \\ -tc_2^{\dagger}c_1 & -\mu\hat{n}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1^{\dagger} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \hat{E}_{[1]} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1^{\dagger} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} . (35)$$

The form of the transition operators η_1 , η_1^{\dagger} that satisfies the matrix equations are,

$$\hat{\eta}_{1}^{\dagger} = -\frac{t}{\hat{\omega} - (V - \mu)\hat{n}_{1}\hat{n}_{2} + \mu\hat{n}_{1}}c_{1}^{\dagger}c_{2} , \ \hat{\eta}_{1} = -\frac{t}{\hat{\omega} - (V - \mu)\hat{n}_{1}\hat{n}_{2} + \mu\hat{n}_{2}}c_{2}^{\dagger}c_{1} . \tag{36}$$

The following transition operators lead to the following block diagonal representation of the operator $\hat{E}_{[N\sigma]}$ in the projected space of electron/hole occupancy operator corresponding to state $N\sigma$,

$$\left[(V - \mu)\hat{n}_1\hat{n}_2 - \mu\hat{n}_1 + c_1^{\dagger}c_2 \frac{t^2}{\hat{\omega} - (V - \mu)\hat{n}_1\hat{n}_2 + \mu\hat{n}_2} c_2^{\dagger}c_1 \right] = \hat{E}_{[1]}\hat{n}_1 , \qquad (37)$$

From the block diagonal operators eq(37) and the transition operator definitions eq(36) we have

$$\eta_1^{\dagger} \eta_1 = \hat{n}_1, \tag{38}$$

similarly using the block equations eq(35) one can arrive at $\eta_1 \eta_1^{\dagger} = 1 - \hat{n}_1$. The relation eq(38) is equivalent to,

$$\hat{n}_1(\hat{\omega} - (\mu + V)\hat{n}_1\hat{n}_2 + \mu\hat{n}_1) = t^2\hat{n}_1(1 - \hat{n}_2) , \qquad (39)$$

satisfied by the form of $\hat{\omega}$,

$$\hat{\omega}\hat{n}_1 = (t - \mu)(1 - \hat{n}_2)\hat{n}_1 + (V - 2\mu)\hat{n}_1\hat{n}_2 . \tag{40}$$

The block diagonal form of the Hamiltonian H is given by,

$$U_1 H U_1^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\omega} & 0\\ 0 & \hat{\omega}' \end{pmatrix} \tag{41}$$

where the form of the block $\hat{\omega}'$ is constrained from the partial trace preservation condition seen in equation eq(15),

$$\hat{\omega}\hat{n}_1 + \hat{\omega}'(1 - \hat{n}_1) = (V - 2\mu)\hat{n}_1\hat{n}_2 + (t - \mu)\hat{n}_1(1 - \hat{n}_2) + (-t - \mu)\hat{n}_2(1 - \hat{n}_1)$$

$$\hat{\omega}' = (-t - \mu)\hat{n}_2(1 - \hat{n}_1) ,$$
(42)

and U_1 is the unitary operator that takes the matrix to a block diagonal form. The form of the unitary operator is given by, $U_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[1 + \eta_1 - \eta_1^{\dagger}]$. If one directly diagonalizes the 4×4 matrix then the eigen values obtained are,

$$U_{1} \begin{pmatrix} V - 2\mu & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu & -t \\ 0 & 0 & -t & -\mu \end{pmatrix} U_{1}^{\dagger} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} V - 2\mu & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t - \mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -t - \mu \end{pmatrix}$$
(43)

where this matrix is represented in the basis (starting from top row) $|1_11_2\rangle, |0_10_2\rangle, |1_10_2\rangle, |0_11_2\rangle$ in the number occupancy basis.