

# Unitary Renormalization Group Approach to the Hubbard Dimer and Anderson Molecule

Author: Abhirup Mukherjee  
(18IP014)

Supervisor: Dr. Siddhartha Lal

IPhD. 4<sup>th</sup> Semester Project Report

Department of Physical Sciences

Indian Institute of Science Education and  
Research, Kolkata

## Acknowledgements

This project would not have been possible without the help of several people. First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisor, Dr. Siddhartha Lal, for allowing me to join his group and work on this project as a way to familiarize myself with the method demonstrated in this report, and of course for designing the project itself. A huge thank you also goes to Anirban Mukherjee, my senior in the group, for helping me out when I was starting with the method. I also thank Siddhartha Patra, another senior in the group, for discussions on some topics I was finding hard to understand. I also want to acknowledge the fruitful discussions I had with my friend at IIT Bombay, Dibyanandan Bhowmick.

# Abstract

The power of exact analytical methods over numerical or approximate methods is that they give more comprehensive answers and explanations. Take for example the difference between a wavefunction obtained from exact diagonalization and a plot of the wavefunction obtained from numerical analysis. While the latter gives you an idea, the former gives you the complete thing. In this report, I follow a similar theme: I explore an exact method in a condensed matter setting. This exact method (a unitary renormalization group method) is used to solve two toy models - the Hubbard dimer and Anderson molecule). The focus is to showcase this method rather than learning about the models, because the models are exactly diagonalizable anyway. It is seen that the solutions the URG match exactly with the exact diagonalization results. A comparison is also drawn with a similar block-diagonalization method- the Schrieffer-Wolff transformation. It is seen that while the SW transformation is perturbative and one must truncate the expansion at some point to get something out of it, the URG is exact.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Exact diagonalization of the Hubbard dimer (real space)</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	Symmetries of the problem . . . . .	6
2.2	Partitioning the Hilbert space . . . . .	8
2.3	$N = 1$ . . . . .	9
2.4	$N = 3$ . . . . .	10
2.5	$N = 4$ . . . . .	10
2.6	$N = 2$ . . . . .	11
2.7	The total spectrum . . . . .	13
2.8	The Mott-insulating limit . . . . .	14
2.9	Comparison with the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model . . . . .	14
2.10	Diagonalization in momentum space . . . . .	15
<b>3</b>	<b>Exact diagonalization of the Anderson molecule</b>	<b>17</b>
3.1	Symmetries of the problem . . . . .	17
3.2	$N = 1$ sector . . . . .	17
3.3	$N = 3$ sector . . . . .	18
3.4	$N = 2$ sector . . . . .	18
3.5	The total spectrum . . . . .	20
3.6	The Kondo molecule limit . . . . .	20
<b>4</b>	<b>Block-diagonalization of a Fermionic Hamiltonian</b>	<b>22</b>
4.1	The Problem . . . . .	22
4.2	Writing the Hamiltonian as blocks . . . . .	22
4.3	Obtaining the block-diagonal Hamiltonian . . . . .	23
4.4	Determining the $\hat{U}_{N\sigma}$ . . . . .	29
4.5	Summary of the results . . . . .	30
4.6	A Simple Example . . . . .	30
4.6.1	The Eigenstates . . . . .	33
<b>5</b>	<b>Applying the RG on the Hubbard dimer (real space)</b>	<b>35</b>
5.1	$\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1$ . . . . .	35
5.2	$N = 2$ . . . . .	36
5.3	$N = 1$ . . . . .	37
5.4	$N = 3$ . . . . .	38
5.5	$\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 0$ . . . . .	39
5.6	$N = 1$ . . . . .	39
5.7	$N = 2$ . . . . .	40
5.8	$N = 3$ . . . . .	40
5.9	The eigenstates . . . . .	40

5.9.1	$N = 1$	41
5.9.2	$N = 3$	42
5.9.3	$N = 2$	43
<b>6</b>	<b>Applying the RG on the Hubbard dimer (momentum space)</b>	<b>45</b>
6.1	$N = 1$	46
6.2	$N = 2$	46
6.3	$N = 3$	48
<b>7</b>	<b>Applying the RG on the Anderson molecule (real-space)</b>	<b>49</b>
7.1	$N = 1$	50
7.2	$N = 2$	52
7.3	$N = 3$	54
<b>8</b>	<b>Applying the RG on the Anderson molecule (momentum-space)</b>	<b>56</b>
8.1	$N = 1$	57
8.2	$N = 2$	57
8.3	$N = 3$	58
<b>9</b>	<b>Comparison of Schrieffer-Wolff transformation and URG</b>	<b>59</b>
9.1	The Schrieffer-Wolff transformation	59
9.2	Anderson Molecule	59
9.3	Hubbard dimer	62

# 1 Introduction

Condensed matter physics is mostly many-body in nature, and usually deals with huge number of particles. As such, it is usually not possible to obtain exact solutions to problems, and one usually resorts to approximate methods such as perturbative techniques or numerical solutions. The problem with such methods is that they do not always work, some problems might require exact solutions and it is always more appealing to get exact solutions compared to approximate ones. Taking this thread, Anirban Mukherjee in Dr. Siddhartha Lal's group devised an algorithm to unitarily rotate the Hamiltonian progressively into a block-diagonalized form, hence obtaining the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the problem.

The way this algorithm works is the following: first a particular degree of freedom of the problem is chosen. This degree of freedom is then decoupled from the problem by block-diagonalization the Hamiltonian in the subspace of this degree of freedom. This is achieved by considering transition operators that take states from the occupied part of this degree of freedom to the unoccupied part and vice-versa. These operators are shown to have certain properties which involve the block-diagonal parts of the Hamiltonian. By using these properties (that is, the equations obtained thereof), these block-diagonal parts can be extracted. Once these parts are extracted, the Hamiltonian is block-diagonal in this degree of freedom, and this can be done recursively to diagonalize the whole Hamiltonian.

This method has already been applied by Anirban Mukherjee and others in the group to study several problems. The most notable among these is an exact solution of the two-dimensional Hubbard model at half-filling for zero temperature. Since this method is relatively new, my motivation in this project was to get a better feel for this method by solving two models which can be exactly diagonalised, namely the two site Hubbard model and the two site Anderson model. Since the exact solutions of these models are available, the approach via the renormalization group method can be compared with the exact diagonalization process, leading to a better understanding of the process. A similar approach in this respect is the Schrieffer-Wolff transformation; it also seeks to block-diagonalize the Hamiltonian. Hence it makes sense to draw a comparison between the two methods. The models are analysed using the Schrieffer-Wolff transformation, and the differences between the approaches are noted.

Since this method uses the properties of the Fermionic creation and annihilation operators, this method can be used only on Fermionic Hamiltonians. In the first sections, I solve the two models using exact diagonalization. The first model is the Hubbard dimer. It consists of two lattice sites, with hopping between them parameterised by a hopping strength  $t$ , and an on-site repulsion for both sites, parameterised by  $U$ . This Hamiltonian is solved by making use of the symmetries of the system: the total num-

ber operator  $\hat{n} = \sum_{i,\sigma} n_{i\sigma}$ , the total magnetization operator  $\hat{S}_z = \sum_i (n_{i\uparrow} - n_{i\downarrow})$  and the site parity operator  $\hat{P} : c_1 \leftrightarrow c_2$  commute with the Hamiltonian. These commutations are proved, and then used to diagonalize the Hamiltonian in the subspace of the eigenstates of the operators. Similarly, the Anderson molecule is a two site version of the Anderson impurity model. One site represents the d-orbital impurity site, with site energy  $\epsilon_d$ , and the other site represents the conduction band, with energy (and hence chemical potential, because there is just the one conduction band electron)  $\epsilon_s$ . There is hopping between them, with strength  $t$ , and an on-site repulsion on the impurity site only, with a penalty of  $U$ . This model is also solved similar to the Hubbard dimer, by making use of the symmetries. After solving the models, I show that they lead to other models in limit of high  $U$ : the Hubbard dimer goes to the Mott insulator and the Anderson molecule goes to the Kondo molecule.

Next, I derive the formalism of the unitary renormalization group method used here. Starting from a general Fermionic Hamiltonian, a transition operator  $\eta$  is defined, and the block-diagonalized Hamiltonian is found to obey certain relations. These relations will ultimately be used to block diagonalize the Hamiltonians. I apply the URG both in position and momentum space. The results match with the ones obtained from exact diagonalization, because the URG uses unitary rotations which should keep the eigenvalues and vectors intact. Finally, I show the method of Schrieffer-Wolff transformation very briefly on both the models, focussing on the changes made to the Hamiltonian along the way. The changes are in some sense similar since both the methods (SW and URG) strive to block-diagonalise the Hamiltonian, but the SW transformation enforces the limit of large  $U$ , because only terms up to second order are kept, otherwise the method is not tractable, while the URG does not drop any order.

## 2 Exact diagonalization of the Hubbard dimer (real space)

### The Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{\sigma} \left( c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma} + c_{2\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{1\sigma} \right) + U \sum_i \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow} \quad (1)$$

I have 2 lattice sites, indexed by 1 and 2, occupied by electrons.  $c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger}$  and  $c_{i\sigma}$  are the fermionic creation and annihilation operators at the  $i^{\text{th}}$  site, with spin-index  $\sigma$ .  $\sigma$  can take values  $\uparrow$  and  $\downarrow$ , denoting spin-up and spin-down states respectively.  $\hat{n}_{i\sigma} = c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma}$  is the number operator for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  site and at spin-index  $\sigma$ ; it counts the number of fermions with the designated quantum numbers.  $t$  is the hopping strength; the more the  $t$ , the more are the electrons likely to hop between sites.  $U$  is the on-site repulsion cost; it represents the penalty incurred in energy when two electrons occupy the same site.

### 2.1 Symmetries of the problem

The following operators commute with the Hamiltonian.

1. **Total number operator:**  $[\mathcal{H}, \hat{N}] = 0$ .

*Proof.* The last term in the Hamiltonian is the number operator itself. Ignoring that, there are three terms that I need to individually consider.

- $c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma}$

$$\begin{aligned} [c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma}, \hat{n}_{i\sigma'}] &= [c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma}, c_{i\sigma'}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma'}] \\ &= c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} [c_{2\sigma}, c_{i\sigma'}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma'}] + [c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger}, c_{i\sigma'}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma'}] c_{2\sigma} \\ &= \delta_{i,2} c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} [c_{2\sigma}, c_{2\sigma'}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma'}] + \delta_{i,1} [c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger}, c_{1\sigma'}^{\dagger} c_{1\sigma'}] c_{2\sigma} \\ &= \delta_{i,2} c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} \{c_{2\sigma}, c_{2\sigma'}^{\dagger}\} c_{2\sigma'} - \delta_{i,1} c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} \{c_{1\sigma'}, c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger}\} c_{2\sigma} \\ &= \delta_{\sigma,\sigma'} c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{1\sigma} (\delta_{i,2} - \delta_{i,1}) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The third line follows because the electrons on different sites are distinguishable and hence, the *creation and annihilation operators of different sites will commute among themselves*. Therefore,

$$[c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma}, \hat{N}] = \sum_{i\sigma'} [c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma}, \hat{n}_{i\sigma'}] = c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{1\sigma} \sum_{i=\{1,2\}} (\delta_{i,2} - \delta_{i,1}) = 0 \quad (3)$$



- $c_{2\sigma}^\dagger c_{1\sigma}$ : Since the operator  $\hat{N}$  is symmetric with respect to the site indices 1 and 2, I can go through the last proof again with the site indices 1 and 2 exchanged and since the proof does not depend on the site indices, this commutator will also be zero.
- $\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}\hat{n}_{i\downarrow}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}\hat{n}_{j\downarrow}, \hat{n}_{j\sigma}] &= \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} [\hat{n}_{i\downarrow}, \hat{n}_{j\sigma}] - [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}, \hat{n}_{j\sigma}] \hat{n}_{i\downarrow} \\
&= \delta_{ij} (\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} [\hat{n}_{i\downarrow}, \hat{n}_{i\sigma}] - [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}, \hat{n}_{i\sigma}] \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}) \\
&= \delta_{ij} (\delta_{\sigma\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} [\hat{n}_{i\downarrow}, \hat{n}_{i\uparrow}] - \delta_{\sigma\downarrow} [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}, \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}] \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}) \\
&= \delta_{ij} (\delta_{\sigma\downarrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow} - \delta_{\sigma\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\uparrow}) [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}, \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}] \\
&= \delta_{ij} (\delta_{\sigma\downarrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow} - \delta_{\sigma\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\uparrow}) \left( c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i\uparrow} c_{i\downarrow}^\dagger c_{i\downarrow} - c_{i\downarrow}^\dagger c_{i\downarrow} c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i\uparrow} \right) \\
&= \delta_{ij} (\delta_{\sigma\downarrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow} - \delta_{\sigma\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\uparrow}) \left( c_{i\downarrow}^\dagger c_{i\downarrow} c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i\uparrow} - c_{i\downarrow}^\dagger c_{i\downarrow} c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i\uparrow} \right) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

$$\text{Therefore, } [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}\hat{n}_{j\downarrow}, \hat{N}] = \sum_{j,\sigma} [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}\hat{n}_{j\downarrow}, \hat{n}_{j\sigma}] = 0$$

The total Hamiltonian is just a sum of the three terms; since the number operator commutes individually with these terms, it obviously commutes with the total Hamiltonian.  $\square$

2. **Magnetization operator:**  $\hat{S}_{tot}^z \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} - \hat{n}_{i\downarrow})$ ,  $[\mathcal{H}, \hat{S}_{tot}^z] = 0$ .

*Proof.* The magnetization operator can be rewritten as  $\hat{S}_{tot}^z = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{i\downarrow} - 2\hat{n}_{i\downarrow}) = \hat{N} - 2 \sum_i \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}$ . Since  $\hat{N}$  commutes with  $\mathcal{H}$ , I just need to prove that  $[\mathcal{H}, \sum_i \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}]$ . From eq. 2,

$$\left[ c_{1\sigma}^\dagger c_{2\sigma}, \sum_i \hat{n}_{i\downarrow} \right] = c_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow} \sum_{i=\{1,2\}} (\delta_{i,2} - \delta_{i,1}) = 0 \tag{5}$$

Again using the symmetry of the magnetization operator with the exchange of indices, its obvious that  $[c_{2\sigma}^\dagger c_{1\sigma}, \sum_i \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}] = 0$

Using eq. 4,  $[\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}\hat{n}_{i\downarrow}, \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}] = 0$ .

Finally,  $[N, \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}] = \sum_{j\sigma} [\hat{n}_{j\sigma}, \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}] = [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}, \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}] = c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i\uparrow} c_{i\downarrow}^\dagger c_{i\downarrow} - c_{i\downarrow}^\dagger c_{i\downarrow} c_{i\uparrow}^\dagger c_{i\uparrow} = 0$ . Since  $\hat{S}_{tot}^z$  commutes with each part individually, it commutes with the total Hamiltonian.  $\square$

3. **Two-site parity operator  $\hat{P}$ :** The action of  $\hat{P}$  is defined as follows. If  $|\Psi_{\alpha\beta}\rangle$  is a wavefunction with site indices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ,

$$\hat{P} |\Psi(\alpha, \beta)\rangle = |\Psi(\beta, \alpha)\rangle \tag{6}$$

That is, it operates on each electron and reverses its site indices.

*Proof.* I now rewrite the Hamiltonian by explicitly showing the two site indices:

$$\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta) = -t \sum_{\sigma} (c_{\alpha\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\beta\sigma} + c_{\beta\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\alpha\sigma}) + U(n_{\alpha\uparrow} n_{\alpha\downarrow} + n_{\beta\uparrow} n_{\beta\downarrow}) - \mu \sum_{\sigma} (n_{\alpha\sigma} + n_{\beta\sigma}) \quad (7)$$

Its obvious that  $\mathcal{H}$  is symmetric in the site indices:  $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta) = \mathcal{H}(\beta, \alpha)$ . This means that the eigenvalues also have this symmetry. Let  $|\Phi(\alpha, \beta)\rangle$  be an eigenstate of  $\mathcal{H}(\alpha, \beta)$  with eigenvalue  $E(\alpha, \beta)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}\mathcal{H}|\Phi(\alpha, \beta)\rangle &= E(\alpha, \beta)\hat{P}|\Phi(\alpha, \beta)\rangle = E(\beta, \alpha)|\Phi(\beta, \alpha)\rangle \\ &= \mathcal{H}|\Phi(\beta, \alpha)\rangle = \mathcal{H}\hat{P}|\Phi(\alpha, \beta)\rangle \\ \implies \mathcal{H}\hat{P}|\Phi(\alpha, \beta)\rangle &= \hat{P}\mathcal{H}|\Phi(\alpha, \beta)\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Since any general wavefunction can be expanded in terms of these wavefunctions and since both the operator are linear, the above result will also hold for a general wavefunction  $|\Psi(\alpha, \beta)\rangle$ :

$$\mathcal{H}\hat{P}|\Psi(\alpha, \beta)\rangle = \hat{P}\mathcal{H}|\Psi(\alpha, \beta)\rangle \implies [\mathcal{H}, \hat{P}] = 0 \quad (9)$$

□

## 2.2 Partitioning the Hilbert space

The Hamiltonian commutes with the three operators. This means that is possible to simultaneously diagonalize these four operators:  $\mathcal{H}, \hat{N}, S_z^{tot}, \hat{P}$ . I will be able to label the eigenstates of the total Hamiltonian using the eigenvalues of these operators. First take the total number operator.  $\hat{N}$  can take four values for a two-site system, 1 through 4. The eigenstates labelled by a particular number, say N=2 will be orthogonal to the eigenstates labelled by another number, say N=4. This means each eigenvalue of  $\hat{N}$  will have a distinct subspace orthogonal to the other values of  $\hat{N}$ . I will be able to diagonalize each such subspace independently of each other, because they will not have any overlap. This feature enables us to block-diagonalize the total Hamiltonian into four blocks, each block belonging to each value  $\hat{N}$ .

Inside each block, I will be able to repeat the procedure by next using the eigenvalues of  $S_z^{tot}$ . Each block of the Hamiltonian will again break up to smaller blocks for each value of the total magnetization. The eigenvalues of parity operator provide a further partitioning of the blocks of magnetization. For writing the state kets, I use the following notation:  $|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle$  means electron on site 1 has spin up and that on site 2 has spin-down.  $|\downarrow, 0\rangle$  means electron on site 1 has spin-down and there is no electron on site 2.

## 2.3 N = 1

For one electron on two lattice sites, I start by writing down the eigenstates of  $S_z^{tot}$ . For odd number of electrons, zero magnetization is not possible. So,

$$* S_z^{tot} = -1: |\downarrow, 0\rangle, |0, \downarrow\rangle$$

$$* S_z^{tot} = +1: |\uparrow, 0\rangle, |0, \uparrow\rangle$$

Each eigenvalue will have a separate subspace and can be separately diagonalized. I need to find the matrix elements of  $\mathcal{H}$  in these eigenkets. Since there is no possibility of two electrons occupying same site, I ignore the  $U$ -term for the time being.

- $S_z^{tot} = -1$  Let us first see the action of the Hamiltonian on the eigenfunctions with  $S_z^{tot} = -1$ .

$$\mathcal{H} |\downarrow, 0\rangle = -tc_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow} |\downarrow, 0\rangle = -t |0, \downarrow\rangle \quad (10)$$

$$\mathcal{H} |0, \downarrow\rangle = -tc_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow} |0, \downarrow\rangle = -t |\downarrow, 0\rangle$$

We get the following matrix for this tiny subspace of the Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{array}{cc} & \begin{array}{cc} |\downarrow, 0\rangle & |0, \downarrow\rangle \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} |\downarrow, 0\rangle \\ |0, \downarrow\rangle \end{array} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -t \\ -t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \quad (11)$$

The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of this matrix are  $\frac{|\downarrow, 0\rangle \pm |0, \downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$ , with eigenvalues  $\mp t$ . These are also the eigenvalues of the parity operator, as expected.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P} (|\downarrow, 0\rangle + |0, \downarrow\rangle) &= |0, \downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow, 0\rangle \implies \hat{P} = 1 \\ \hat{P} (|\downarrow, 0\rangle - |0, \downarrow\rangle) &= |0, \downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow, 0\rangle \implies \hat{P} = -1 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

- $S_z^{tot} = +1$  Now I look at the spin-up states.

$$\mathcal{H} |\uparrow, 0\rangle = -tc_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} |\uparrow, 0\rangle = -t |0, \uparrow\rangle \quad (13)$$

$$\mathcal{H} |0, \uparrow\rangle = -tc_{1\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2\uparrow} |0, \uparrow\rangle = -t |\uparrow, 0\rangle$$

Clearly, this gives the same matrix as the spin-down states:

$$\begin{array}{cc} & \begin{array}{cc} |\uparrow, 0\rangle & |0, \uparrow\rangle \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} |\uparrow, 0\rangle \\ |0, \uparrow\rangle \end{array} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -t \\ -t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \quad (14)$$

and hence similar eigenfunctions:  $\frac{|\uparrow, 0\rangle \pm |0, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$ , with eigenvalues  $\mp t$ .

## 2.4 N = 3

I once again write down the eigenstates of  $S_z^{tot}$ , this time with three electrons.

- $S_z^{tot} = -1$ :  $|\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}|\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle &= -tc_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow}|\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle + U|\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle = -t|\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle + U|\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle \\ \mathcal{H}|\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle &= -tc_{1\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2\uparrow}|\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle + U|\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle = -t|\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle + U|\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle\end{aligned}\quad (15)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} |\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle \quad |\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle \\ |\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle \left( \begin{array}{cc} U & -t \\ -t & U \end{array} \right) \\ |\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle \end{array}\quad (16)$$

This matrix has eigenvalues  $U \mp t$ , and corresponding eigenvectors  $\frac{|\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle \pm |\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$

- $S_z^{tot} = +1$ :  $|\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}|\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle &= -tc_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow}|\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle + U|\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle = tc_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow}|\downarrow\uparrow, \uparrow\rangle + U|\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \\ &= t|\uparrow, \downarrow\uparrow\rangle + U|\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle = -t|\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle + U|\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \\ \mathcal{H}|\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle &= -tc_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow}|\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle + U|\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle = tc_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow}|\uparrow, \downarrow\uparrow\rangle + U|\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle \\ &= t|\downarrow\uparrow, \uparrow\rangle + U|\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle = -t|\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle + U|\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle\end{aligned}\quad (17)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} |\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \quad |\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle \\ |\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \left( \begin{array}{cc} U & -t \\ -t & U \end{array} \right) \\ |\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle \end{array}\quad (18)$$

This matrix has eigenvalues  $U \mp t$ , and corresponding eigenvectors  $\frac{|\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \pm |\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$

## 2.5 N = 4

With four electrons, the only possible state is  $|\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ . Its easy to find the eigenvalue. Since all states are filled, no hopping can take place, so the hopping term is zero. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{H}|\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle = 2U|\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle \quad (19)$$

So,  $|\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$  is an eigenvector with eigenvalue  $2U$ .

## 2.6 $N = 2$

This is the eigenvalue that has the largest subspace.

- $S_z^{tot} = -1$ :  $|\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle$
- $S_z^{tot} = +1$ :  $|\uparrow, \uparrow\rangle$

These two subspaces have a single state each, so they are obviously eigenstates. Since they both have identical spins on both sites, the hopping term is 0, and the  $U$ -term is also zero because of single occupation. As a result, they both have zero eigenvalue

$$\mathcal{H} |\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle = \mathcal{H} |\uparrow, \uparrow\rangle = 0 \quad (20)$$

- $S_z^{tot} = 0$ :  $|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle, |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle$

This subspace has four eigenvectors,

$$|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle, \quad |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle, \quad |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle, \quad |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle \quad (21)$$

so it is not possible to directly diagonalize this subspace. First we organize these states into eigenstates of parity. It is easy by inspection.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P} (|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle \pm |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle) &= \pm (|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle \pm |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle) \\ \hat{P} (|\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle \pm |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle) &= \pm (|\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle \pm |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

I have the parity eigenstates for this subspace, so its most convenient to work in the basis of these eigenstates

$$\begin{aligned} - \hat{P} = 1 &: \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \frac{|\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle + |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ - \hat{P} = -1 &: \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \frac{|\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle - |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Each eigenvalue subspace can now be diagonalized separately. First I look at the eigenstates of  $\hat{P} = 1$ . I find the matrix of  $\mathcal{H}$  in the subspace spanned by these two vectors and then diagonalize that subspace.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} &= -\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \left( c_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow} + c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} \right) |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + \left( c_{1\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2\uparrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow} \right) |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \right\} \\ &= -\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}} \{ |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle + |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle + |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle \} = 0 \\ \mathcal{H} \frac{|\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle + |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} &= -\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \left( c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow} \right) |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle + \left( c_{1\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2\uparrow} + c_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow} \right) |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle \right\} + U \frac{|\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle + |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &= -\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}} \{ |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle - |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \} + U \frac{|\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle + |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = U \frac{|\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle + |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

We get the following matrix

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow, 0\rangle + |0, \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow, 0\rangle + |0, \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & U \end{pmatrix} \quad (24)$$

As it appears, the subspace is already diagonal in the eigenbasis of  $\hat{P}$ . The  $\hat{P} = 1$  eigenstates are eigenstates of  $\mathcal{H}$ , with eigenvalues 0 and  $U$ . Next I look at the eigenstates of  $\hat{P} = -1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} &= -\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \left( c_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow} c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} \right) |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle - \left( c_{1\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2\uparrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow} \right) |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \right\} \\ &= -\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}} \{ |\downarrow, \uparrow, 0\rangle + |0, \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle - |\uparrow, \downarrow, 0\rangle - |0, \downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \} \\ &= 2t \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow, 0\rangle - |0, \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \mathcal{H} \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow, 0\rangle - |0, \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} &= -\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \left( c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow} \right) |\uparrow, \downarrow, 0\rangle - \left( c_{1\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2\uparrow} + c_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow} \right) |0, \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle \right\} + U \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow, 0\rangle + |0, \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &= -\frac{t}{\sqrt{2}} \{ |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle - |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle - |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \} + U \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow, 0\rangle + |0, \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &= 2t \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{2} + U \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow, 0\rangle - |0, \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow, 0\rangle - |0, \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow, 0\rangle - |0, \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & 2t \\ 2t & U \end{pmatrix} \quad (26)$$

This subspace is not automatically diagonal, but is easily diagonalized. The eigenvectors are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N_\pm} \left\{ 2t \frac{(|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{U \pm \sqrt{U^2 + 16t^2}}{2} \frac{(|\uparrow, \downarrow, 0\rangle - |0, \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}} \right\} \\ N_\pm = \left\{ \frac{U}{2} \left[ U \pm \sqrt{U^2 + 16t^2} \right] + 16t^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

with eigenvalues  $\frac{U \pm \sqrt{U^2 + 16t^2}}{2}$  respectively.

## 2.7 The total spectrum

Exact diagonalization of Hubbard dimer (real space)					
$\hat{N}$	$S_z^{tot}$	$\hat{P}$	E	$ \Phi\rangle$	
0	-	-	0	$ 0, 0\rangle$	
1	-1	1	-t	$\frac{ \downarrow, 0\rangle +  0, \downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$	
		-1	t	$\frac{ \downarrow, 0\rangle -  0, \downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$	
	1	1	-t	$\frac{ \uparrow, 0\rangle +  0, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$	
		-1	t	$\frac{ \uparrow, 0\rangle -  0, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$	
2	-1	1	0	$ \downarrow, \downarrow\rangle$	
		1	0	$\frac{ \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle +  \downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$	
		1	U	$\frac{ \uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle +  0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$	
		-1	$\frac{U + \sqrt{U^2 + 16t^2}}{2}$	$\frac{1}{N_+} \left\{ 2t \frac{( \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle -  \downarrow, \uparrow\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{U + \sqrt{U^2 + 16t^2}}{2} \frac{( \uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle -  0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}} \right\}$	
	0	-1	$\frac{U - \sqrt{U^2 + 16t^2}}{2}$	$\frac{1}{N_-} \left\{ 2t \frac{( \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle -  \downarrow, \uparrow\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{U - \sqrt{U^2 + 16t^2}}{2} \frac{( \uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle -  0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}} \right\}$	
		1	1	0	$ \uparrow, \uparrow\rangle$
3	-1	1	U-t	$\frac{ \uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle +  \downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$	
		-1	U+t	$\frac{ \uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle -  \downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$	
	1	1	U-t	$\frac{ \uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle +  \uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$	
		-1	U+t	$\frac{ \uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle -  \uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$	
4	0	1	2U	$ \uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$	

## 2.8 The Mott-insulating limit

In the limit of  $U \gg t$ , the  $N = 2$  part of the spectrum groups itself roughly into two bands:

$$\frac{U + \sqrt{U^2 + 16t^2}}{2} = \frac{U + U\sqrt{1 + \frac{16t^2}{U^2}}}{2} \approx \frac{U + U(1 + \frac{8t^2}{U^2})}{2} = U + \frac{4t^2}{U} \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{U - \sqrt{U^2 + 16t^2}}{2} = \frac{U - U\sqrt{1 + \frac{16t^2}{U^2}}}{2} \approx \frac{U - U(1 + \frac{8t^2}{U^2})}{2} = -\frac{4t^2}{U} \quad (29)$$

lower	$\frac{-4t^2}{U}$	$\frac{ \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle -  \downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$
	0	$ \uparrow, \uparrow\rangle,  \downarrow, \downarrow\rangle, \frac{ \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle +  \downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$
upper	$U$	$\frac{ \uparrow, \downarrow, 0\rangle +  0, \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$
	$U + \frac{4t^2}{U}$	$\frac{ \uparrow, \downarrow, 0\rangle -  0, \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$

- The separation between the two bands is  $\Delta = U$ . The kets in the lower band are formed mostly of superpositions of the Neel states (singly occupied states with opposite spin) as well as the polarised states (singly occupied states with same spin). This is expected because for very large  $U$ , it becomes the only determining factor, and states with single occupation will be appreciably lower in energy compared to the doubly occupied states, and hence will gather in the lower band.
- For exactly the same reason, the upper band will be formed mostly by superpositions of holon-doublon states (two electrons on one site and none on the other), because they will incur the heavy cost of the on-site repulsion energy, and will hence assemble in the upper band.
- The lower band is a collection of three states: the ground state is the singlet state  $|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle$ , with an energy of  $-\frac{4t^2}{U}$ , while the other three,  $|\uparrow, \uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle$ , form a degenerate triplet of first excited states with 0 energy.

## 2.9 Comparison with the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{Heisenberg}} = J\vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 - J, \quad \text{with } J = -\frac{t^2}{U} < 0 \quad (30)$$

To diagonalise this Hamiltonian, we rewrite the pauli matrix vectors using  $\sigma^z$  and  $\sigma^\pm$ :

$$\vec{\sigma}_1 \cdot \vec{\sigma}_2 = \sigma_1^z \sigma_2^z + \frac{\sigma_1^+ \sigma_2^- + \sigma_1^- \sigma_2^+}{2} \quad (31)$$



where  $\sigma_i^\pm = \sigma_i^x \pm i\sigma_i^y$ . Note that

$$\sigma^+ |\uparrow\rangle = \sigma^- |\downarrow\rangle = 0 \quad (32)$$

$$\sigma^+ |\downarrow\rangle = 2 |\uparrow\rangle \quad (33)$$

$$\sigma^- |\uparrow\rangle = 2 |\downarrow\rangle \quad (34)$$

Using these properties, it is easy to write down the  $4 \times 4$  matrix of the Hamiltonian in the basis of  $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ .

$$\mathcal{H} = J \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & -1 & 2 & \\ & 2 & -1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} - J \quad (35)$$

The  $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$  and  $|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$  form eigenkets with eigenvalues  $J$  each. The middle  $2 \times 2$  matrix can easily be diagonalised. It gives two other eigenvectors  $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \pm |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$ , with eigenvalues  $J, -3J$ . After adding the constant term  $-J$  to these eigenvalues, the final spectrum becomes

- $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle : 0$
- $|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle : 0$
- $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle : 0$
- $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle : -4J$

Recognizing  $J$  as  $-\frac{t^2}{U}$ , we get the same spectrum as the lower block.

## 2.10 Diagonalization in momentum space

Since I will also need the momentum space eigenvectors later, I am briefly showing the process here. The process is simpler compared to the real space process, because of the translational invariance. It is similarly possible to diagonalise the momentum space Hamiltonian. To write the Hamiltonian in momentum space, note that the allowed values of the momentum are  $k_n = n\pi = 0, \pi$ . Using these modes, the creation and annihilation operators can be Fourier transformed:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{1,\sigma} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (c_{0,\sigma} + c_{\pi,\sigma}) \\ c_{2,\sigma} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (c_{0,\sigma} - c_{\pi,\sigma}) \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

In terms of these operators, the Hubbard Hamiltonian becomes

$$\mathcal{H} = t(\hat{n}_\pi - \hat{n}_0) + \frac{U}{2}n_\uparrow n_\downarrow + \frac{U}{2} \prod_\sigma \left[ c_{0,\sigma}^\dagger c_{\pi,\sigma} + c_{\pi,\sigma}^\dagger c_{0,\sigma} \right] \quad (37)$$

where  $n_\pi = \sum_\sigma n_{\pi\sigma}$ . This Hamiltonian also conserves the total particle number and z-component of total spin. The notation used is  $|n_{\pi\uparrow}n_{\pi\downarrow}, n_{0\uparrow}n_{0\downarrow}\rangle$ .

**N=1**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} |\uparrow, 0\rangle &= t |\uparrow, 0\rangle \\ \mathcal{H} |\downarrow, 0\rangle &= t |\downarrow, 0\rangle \\ \mathcal{H} |0, \uparrow\rangle &= -t |0, \uparrow\rangle \\ \mathcal{H} |0, \downarrow\rangle &= -t |0, \downarrow\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

**N=2**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} |\uparrow, \uparrow\rangle &= 0 |\uparrow, \uparrow\rangle \\ \mathcal{H} |\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle &= 0 |\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle \\ \mathcal{H} |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle &= \frac{U}{2} |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle - \frac{U}{2} |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \\ \mathcal{H} |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle &= \frac{U}{2} |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle - \frac{U}{2} |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{U}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow 0, \frac{U}{2} \Rightarrow |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle \pm |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \quad (39)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle &= (2t + \frac{U}{2}) |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle - \frac{U}{2} |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle \\ \mathcal{H} |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle &= (-2t + \frac{U}{2}) |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle - \frac{U}{2} |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{U}{2} + \begin{pmatrix} 2t & -\frac{U}{2} \\ -\frac{U}{2} & -2t \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \frac{U \pm \Delta}{2} \Rightarrow \frac{U}{2} |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle + (2t \pm \Delta) |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$$

**N = 3**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} |\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle &= (-t + U) |\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle \\ \mathcal{H} |\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle &= (-t + U) |\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle \\ \mathcal{H} |\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle &= (t + U) |\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \\ \mathcal{H} |\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle &= (t + U) |\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

### 3 Exact diagonalization of the Anderson molecule

#### The Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{\sigma} \left( c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma} + c_{2\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{1\sigma} \right) + U \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} \hat{n}_{1\downarrow} + \epsilon_s \sum_{\sigma} \hat{n}_{2\sigma} + \epsilon_d \sum_{\sigma} \hat{n}_{1\sigma} \quad (41)$$

This is quite similar to the Hubbard dimer Hamiltonian. The difference here is that the first site represents an impurity site with energy  $\epsilon_d$ , and the second site represents the conduction band with chemical potential  $\epsilon_s$ . The single particle energy levels are  $\epsilon_d$  if the site is unoccupied and  $\epsilon_d + U$  if one electron is already there. For simplicity, I will set the chemical potential to exactly midway between these two levels :  $\epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2}$ .

#### 3.1 Symmetries of the problem

The following operators commute with the Hamiltonian.

1. **Total number operator:**  $[\mathcal{H}, \hat{N}] = 0$ .
2. **Magnetization operator:**  $[\mathcal{H}, \hat{S}_{tot}^z] = 0$ .
3. **Total Spin Operator:** Total spin angular momentum operator,

$$\hat{S}_{tot}^2 = (\hat{S}_{tot}^x)^2 + (\hat{S}_{tot}^y)^2 + (\hat{S}_{tot}^z)^2 = S_{tot}^+ S_{tot}^- - \hbar S_{tot}^z + (S_{tot}^z)^2 \quad (42)$$

Since all the terms in the Hamiltonian are spin-preserving (all events conserve the number of particles having a definite spin  $\sigma$ ), total angular momentum will be conserved. It's obvious that the number operator term do so. The hopping term does so as well;  $c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma}$  destroys a particle of spin  $\sigma$  and creates a particle of the same spin; the total angular momentum remain conserved in the process, although the number of particles at a particular site is not conserved. Thus,  $[\hat{S}_{tot}^2, \mathcal{H}] = 0$ .

#### 3.2 $N = 1$ sector

- $S_{tot}^z = -1$ :  $|\downarrow, 0\rangle, |0, \downarrow\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} |\downarrow, 0\rangle &= \epsilon_d |\downarrow, 0\rangle - t |0, \downarrow\rangle \\ \mathcal{H} |0, \downarrow\rangle &= \epsilon_s |0, \downarrow\rangle - t |\downarrow, 0\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

We get the following matrix for this tiny subspace of the Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cc} |\downarrow, 0\rangle & |0, \downarrow\rangle \\ \begin{array}{c} |\downarrow, 0\rangle \\ |0, \downarrow\rangle \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{cc} \epsilon_d & -t \\ -t & \epsilon_s \end{array} \right) \end{array} \quad (44)$$

Eigenvalues:  $\frac{1}{2} \left[ \epsilon_d + \epsilon_s \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon_d - \epsilon_s)^2 + 4t^2} \right]$ . For  $\epsilon_s = \epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2}$  and  $\Delta = \sqrt{U^2 + 16t^2}$ , eigenvalues,  $\lambda_{\pm} = \epsilon_d + \frac{1}{4}(U \pm \Delta)$ .

The eigenvectors are  $\frac{1}{N_{\pm}} (t |\downarrow, 0\rangle - \frac{1}{4}(U \pm \Delta) |0, \downarrow\rangle)$ , where  $N_{\pm}^2 = t^2 + (\frac{U \pm \Delta}{4})^2$

- $S_{tot}^z = +1$ :  $|\uparrow, 0\rangle, |0, \uparrow\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} |\uparrow, 0\rangle &= \epsilon_d |\uparrow, 0\rangle - t |0, \uparrow\rangle \\ \mathcal{H} |0, \uparrow\rangle &= \epsilon_s |0, \uparrow\rangle - t |\uparrow, 0\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Clearly, this gives the same matrix as the spin-down states. So, the eigenvalues will be exactly the same, and the eigenvectors will be correspondingly modified in the new basis.

eigenvectors :  $\frac{1}{N_{\pm}} (t |\uparrow, 0\rangle + (\epsilon_d - \lambda_{\pm}) |0, \uparrow\rangle)$

### 3.3 N = 3 sector

- $S_{tot}^z = -1$ :  $|\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} |\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle &= -t |\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle + (2\epsilon_d + \epsilon_s + U) |\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle \\ \mathcal{H} |\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle &= -t |\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle + (2\epsilon_s + \epsilon_d) |\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

$$\begin{array}{cc} & \begin{array}{cc} |\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle & |\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} |\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle \\ |\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle \end{array} & \begin{pmatrix} 2\epsilon_d + \epsilon_s + U & -t \\ -t & 2\epsilon_s + \epsilon_d \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \quad (47)$$

Again setting  $\epsilon_s = \epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2}$ , eigenvalues:  $3\epsilon_d + \frac{5}{4}U \pm \frac{1}{4}\Delta$ .

Corresponding eigenvectors  $\frac{1}{N_{\pm}} (t |\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle + \frac{1}{4}(U \mp \Delta) |\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle)$

- $S_{tot}^z = +1$ :  $|\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} |\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle &= -t |\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle + (2\epsilon_d + \epsilon_s + U) |\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \\ \mathcal{H} |\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle &= -t |\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle + (2\epsilon_s + \epsilon_d) |\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Again the same matrix. Hence the eigenvalues are same. Eigenvectors are  $\frac{1}{N_{\pm}} (t |\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle - \frac{1}{4}(U \pm \Delta) |\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle)$

### 3.4 N = 2 sector

This is the eigenvalue that has the largest subspace.

- $S_{tot}^z = -1$ :  $|\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle$

- $S_{tot}^z = +1$ :  $|\uparrow, \uparrow\rangle$

These two subspaces have a single state each, so they are obviously eigenstates. Since they both have identical spins on both sites, the hopping term is 0, and the  $U$ -term is also zero because of single occupation. As a result, they both have zero eigenvalue

$$\mathcal{H} |\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle = \mathcal{H} |\uparrow, \uparrow\rangle = \epsilon_s + \epsilon_d \quad (49)$$

- $S_{tot}^z = 0$ :  $|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle, |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle$

This subspace has four eigenvectors,

$$|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle, \quad |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle, \quad |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle, \quad |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle \quad (50)$$

so it is easier to first find eigenstates of  $S_{tot}^2$ . Since these are states with zero  $S^z$ ,  $S_{tot}^2$  for these states is just  $S^+ S^-$

$$\begin{aligned} S^+ S^- |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle &= S^+ S^- |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle = |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \\ S^+ S^- |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle &= S^+ S^- |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

The eigenstates are

$$\frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} (S_{tot}^2 = 1), \quad \left\{ \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}, |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle, |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle \right\} (S_{tot}^2 = 0) \quad (52)$$

$S_{tot}^2 = 1$  immediately delivers an eigenstate:

$$\mathcal{H} \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = (\epsilon_d + \epsilon_s) \left( \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \quad (53)$$

Next I diagonalize the subspace  $S_{tot}^2 = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} &= (\epsilon_d + \epsilon_s) \left( \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + \sqrt{2}t(|\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle - |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle) \\ \mathcal{H} |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle &= (2\epsilon_d + U) |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle + \sqrt{2}t \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \mathcal{H} |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle &= (2\epsilon_d + U) |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle - \sqrt{2}t \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

We get the following matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2\epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2} & \sqrt{2}t & -\sqrt{2}t \\ \sqrt{2}t & 2\epsilon_d + U & 0 \\ -\sqrt{2}t & 0 & 2\epsilon_d + U \end{pmatrix} \quad (55)$$

The eigenvectors are

- $|\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle - |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle : 2\epsilon_d + U$
- $\frac{U-\Delta}{4\sqrt{2}t} \frac{|\uparrow,\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow,\uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} - |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle + |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle : 2\epsilon_d + \frac{3}{4}U + \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\frac{U}{2}, t)$
- $\frac{U+\Delta}{4\sqrt{2}t} \frac{|\uparrow,\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow,\uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} - |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle + |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle : 2\epsilon_d + \frac{3}{4}U - \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\frac{U}{2}, t)$

### 3.5 The total spectrum

Exact Diagonalization of Anderson Molecule			
$\hat{N}$	$S_{tot}^z$	E	$ \Phi\rangle$
0	-	0	$ 0, 0\rangle$
1	-1	$\epsilon_d + \frac{1}{4}(U \pm \Delta)$	$\frac{1}{N_{\pm}} (t \downarrow, 0\rangle - \frac{1}{4}(U \pm \Delta) 0, \downarrow\rangle)$
	1	$\epsilon_d + \frac{1}{4}(U \pm \Delta)$	$\frac{1}{N_{\pm}} (t \uparrow, 0\rangle - \frac{1}{4}(U \pm \Delta) 0, \uparrow\rangle)$
2	-1	$2\epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2}$	$ \downarrow, \downarrow\rangle$
	1	$2\epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2}$	$ \uparrow, \uparrow\rangle$
	0	$2\epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2}$	$\frac{ \uparrow,\downarrow\rangle +  \downarrow,\uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$
		$2\epsilon_d + U$	$\frac{ \uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle +  0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$
3	-1	$2\epsilon_d + \frac{3}{4}U \pm \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\frac{U}{2}, t)$	$\frac{U \mp \Delta}{4\sqrt{2}t} \frac{ \uparrow,\downarrow\rangle -  \downarrow,\uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} -  \uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle +  0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$
	1	$3\epsilon_d + \frac{5}{4}U \pm \frac{1}{4}\Delta$	$\frac{1}{N_{\pm}} (t \uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle + \frac{1}{4}(U \mp \Delta) \downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle)$
4	-1	$3\epsilon_d + \frac{5}{4}U \pm \frac{1}{4}\Delta$	$\frac{1}{N_{\pm}} (t \uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle + \frac{1}{4}(U \mp \Delta) \uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle)$
	0	$2(\epsilon_s + \epsilon_d) + U$	$ \uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$

### 3.6 The Kondo molecule limit

In the limit of large  $U$ , the Anderson molecule in the  $N = 2$  sector effectively develops an antiferromagnetic interaction, and the spectrum splits into two bands. This is

similar to the case of Hubbard dimer, where the spectrum matched that of an antiferromagnetic Heisenberg system at large  $U$ . The difference is in the value of the Heisenberg parameter  $J$ .

First set  $\epsilon_d = -\frac{U}{2}$  to impose particle-hole symmetry (the impurity part of the Hamiltonian then becomes invariant under changing the particle operators to hole operators). In the limit of large  $U$ ,

$$\Delta\left(\frac{U}{2}, t\right) = \sqrt{\frac{U^2}{4} + 16t^2} \approx \frac{U}{2} + \frac{16t^2}{U} \quad (56)$$

before	after	states	band
$2\epsilon_d + \frac{3}{4}U - \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\frac{U}{2}, t)$	$\frac{-8t^2}{U}$	$\frac{ \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle -  \downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$	lower
$2\epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2}$	0	$ \uparrow, \uparrow\rangle,  \downarrow, \downarrow\rangle, \frac{ \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle +  \downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$	
$2\epsilon_d + U$	$\frac{U}{2}$	$\frac{ \uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle +  0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$	upper
$2\epsilon_d + \frac{3}{4}U + \frac{1}{2}\Delta(\frac{U}{2}, t)$	$\frac{U}{2} + \frac{8t^2}{U}$	$\frac{ \uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle -  0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$	

## 4 Block-diagonalization of a Fermionic Hamiltonian

### 4.1 The Problem

I have a system of  $N$  spin-half fermions. The corresponding Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}_{2N}$  comprises  $2N$  fermionic single particle degrees of freedom defined in the number occupancy basis of  $\hat{n}_{i\sigma} = c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma}$ , for all  $[i\sigma] \in [1, N] \times [\sigma, -\sigma]$ . The corresponding Hilbert space has a dimension of  $2^{2N}$ .  $i$  represents some external degree of freedom like site-index for electrons on a lattice or the electron momentum if we go to momentum-space. This Hamiltonian is in general non-diagonal in the occupancy basis of a certain degree of freedom  $N\sigma$ .  $N\sigma$  can be taken to be any degree of freedom, like say, the first lattice site or the largest momentum (Fermi momentum for a Fermi gas). Equivalently, for a general  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $[\mathcal{H}, \hat{n}_{N\sigma}] \neq 0$ . The goal is to diagonalize this Hamiltonian.

### 4.2 Writing the Hamiltonian as blocks

The Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}_{2N}$  in general has off-diagonal terms and can be written as the following general matrix in the occupancy basis of  $N\sigma$ :

$$\mathcal{H}_{2N} = \begin{array}{c} |1\rangle \quad |0\rangle \\ \langle 1| \left( \begin{array}{cc} H_1 & H_2 \\ H_3 & H_4 \end{array} \right) \\ \langle 0| \end{array} \quad (57)$$

where  $|1\rangle \equiv |\hat{n}_{N\sigma} = 1\rangle$  (occupied). Note that the  $H_i$  are not scalars but matrices(blocks), of dimension half that of  $\mathcal{H}_{2N}$ , that is  $2^{2N-1}$ . Its clear that since, for example,  $H_2 = \langle 1| \mathcal{H}_{2N} |0\rangle$ , we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{2N} = H_1 \hat{n}_{N\sigma} + c_{N\sigma}^\dagger H_2 + H_3 c_{N\sigma} + H_4 (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) \quad (58)$$

Its trivial to check that this definition of  $\mathcal{H}_{2N}$  indeed gives back the mentioned matrix elements. The expression for these matrix elements is quite easy to calculate. First, we define the partial trace over the subspace  $N\sigma$

$$Tr_{N\sigma}(\mathcal{H}_{2N}) \equiv \sum_{|N\sigma\rangle} \langle N\sigma| \mathcal{H}_{2N} |N\sigma\rangle \quad (59)$$

The sum is over the possible states of  $N\sigma$ , that is,  $\hat{n}_{N\sigma} = 0$  and  $\hat{n}_{N\sigma} = 1$ . Applying this partial trace to equation 58, after multiplying throughout with  $\hat{n}_{N\sigma}$  from the right, gives

$$Tr_{N\sigma}(\mathcal{H}_{2N} \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = Tr_{N\sigma} \left[ H_1 \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{n}_{N\sigma} + c_{N\sigma}^\dagger H_2 \hat{n}_{N\sigma} + H_3 c_{N\sigma} \hat{n}_{N\sigma} + H_4 (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \right] \quad (60)$$



Recall the following:  $\hat{n}_{N\sigma}^2 = \hat{n}_{N\sigma}$ ,  $(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})\hat{n}_{N\sigma} = 0$ .

Also, since  $H_i$  are matrix elements with respect to  $\hat{n}_{N\sigma}$ , they will commute with the creation and annihilation operators. Hence,  $Tr_{N\sigma}(c_{N\sigma}^\dagger H_2 \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = H_2 Tr_{N\sigma}(c_{N\sigma}^\dagger \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = 0$ , because  $c_{N\sigma}^\dagger \hat{n}_{N\sigma} = 0$ .

Lastly,  $Tr_{N\sigma}(H_3 c_{N\sigma} \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = H_3 Tr_{N\sigma}(c_{N\sigma} \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = H_3 Tr_{N\sigma}(\hat{n}_{N\sigma} c_{N\sigma}) = 0$ , because  $\hat{n}_{N\sigma} c_{N\sigma} = 0$ . So,

$$Tr_{N\sigma}(\mathcal{H}_{2N} \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = Tr_{N\sigma}[H_1 \hat{n}_{N\sigma}] = H_1 Tr_{N\sigma} \hat{n}_{N\sigma} = H_1 \quad (61)$$

This gives the expression for  $H_1$ . Similarly, by taking partial trace of  $\mathcal{H}(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})$ ,  $\mathcal{H}c_{N\sigma}$  and  $c_{N\sigma}^\dagger \mathcal{H}$ , we get the expressions for all the blocks. They are listed here.

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &\equiv \hat{H}_{N\sigma,e} = Tr_{N\sigma}[\mathcal{H}_{2N} \hat{n}_{N\sigma}] \\ H_2 &\equiv \hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h} = Tr_{N\sigma}[\mathcal{H}_{2N} c_{N\sigma}] \\ H_3 &\equiv T_{N\sigma,e-h}^\dagger = Tr_{N\sigma}[c_{N\sigma}^\dagger \mathcal{H}_{2N}] \\ H_4 &\equiv \hat{H}_{N\sigma,h} = Tr_{N\sigma}[\mathcal{H}_{2N}(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})] \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

We get the following block decomposition of the Hamiltonian.

$$\mathcal{H}_{2N} = \begin{array}{cc} & \begin{array}{cc} |1\rangle & |0\rangle \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \langle 1| \\ \langle 0| \end{array} & \begin{pmatrix} \hat{H}_{N\sigma,e} & \hat{T}_{N\sigma,e-h} \\ T_{N\sigma,e-h}^\dagger & \hat{H}_{N\sigma,h} \end{pmatrix} \end{array} = \begin{array}{cc} & \begin{array}{cc} |1\rangle & |0\rangle \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \langle 1| \\ \langle 0| \end{array} & \begin{pmatrix} Tr_{N\sigma}[\mathcal{H}_{2N} \hat{n}_{N\sigma}] & Tr_{N\sigma}[\mathcal{H}_{2N} c_{N\sigma}] \\ Tr_{N\sigma}[c_{N\sigma}^\dagger \mathcal{H}_{2N}] & Tr_{N\sigma}[\mathcal{H}_{2N}(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})] \end{pmatrix} \end{array} \quad (63)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{2N} &= Tr_{N\sigma}[\mathcal{H}_{2N} \hat{n}_{N\sigma}] \hat{n}_{N\sigma} + c_{N\sigma}^\dagger Tr_{N\sigma}[\mathcal{H}_{2N} c_{N\sigma}] + Tr_{N\sigma}[c_{N\sigma}^\dagger \mathcal{H}_{2N}] c_{N\sigma} \\ &\quad + Tr_{N\sigma}[\mathcal{H}_{2N}(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})] (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

### 4.3 Obtaining the block-diagonal Hamiltonian

Define an operator  $\hat{P}_{N\sigma} = \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{U}_{N\sigma}$ . This is the roated version of the number operator. What this does will be apparent from the following demonstration.

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{H}_{2N}, \hat{P}_{N\sigma}] &= [\mathcal{H}_{2N}, \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{U}_{N\sigma}] = \mathcal{H}_{2N} \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{U}_{N\sigma} - \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{U}_{N\sigma} \mathcal{H}_{2N} \\ &= \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger \overline{\mathcal{H}_{2N} \hat{n}_{N\sigma}} \hat{U}_{N\sigma} - \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \overline{\mathcal{H}_{2N}} \hat{U}_{N\sigma} = \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger [\mathcal{H}_{2N}, \hat{n}_{N\sigma}] \hat{U}_{N\sigma} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

We see that  $\hat{P}_{N\sigma}$  is the operator that commutes with the original Hamiltonian. Note that here we are not transforming the Hamiltonian. Instead we are changing the single particle basis;  $\hat{P}_{N\sigma}$  is not the single-particle occupation basis  $\hat{n}_{N\sigma}$ , rather a unitarily

transformed version of that. This operator projects out the eigensubspaces of the diagonal Hamiltonian.  $\hat{n}_{N\sigma}\mathcal{H}_{2N}\hat{n}_{N\sigma}$  will project out the subspace of the Hamiltonian in which the particle states are occupied, but since the  $\mathcal{H}_{2N}$  is not diagonal, these will not be the eigensubspace. Instead,  $\hat{P}_{N\sigma}\mathcal{H}_{2N}\hat{P}_{N\sigma}$  will project out the eigensubspace. Both the approaches are mathematically equivalent; the matrix of  $\mathcal{H}_{2N}$  in the basis of  $\hat{P}_{N\sigma}$  and the matrix of  $\overline{\mathcal{H}_{2N}}$  in the basis of  $\hat{n}_{N\sigma}$  will be identical; they will both be block-diagonal with the same blocks in the diagonal.

$\hat{P}_{N\sigma}$  also has the following properties:

- $\hat{P}_{N\sigma}^2 = \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger \hat{n}_{N\sigma}^2 \hat{U}_{N\sigma} = \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{U}_{N\sigma} = \hat{P}_{N\sigma}$
- $\hat{P}_{N\sigma}(1 - \hat{P}_{N\sigma}) = \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger \hat{n}_{N\sigma}(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})\hat{U}_{N\sigma} = 0$

Let the block-diagonal form of the Hamiltonian be

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}_{2N}} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{E}_{N\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{E}'_{N\sigma} \end{pmatrix} \quad (66)$$

The block diagonal equations for  $\overline{\mathcal{H}_{2N}}$  are then, very simply,:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{H}_{2N}} |1\rangle &= \hat{E}_{N\sigma} |1\rangle \\ \overline{\mathcal{H}_{2N}} |0\rangle &= \hat{E}'_{N\sigma} |0\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

$|1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is the eigenstate of  $\hat{n}_{N\sigma}$  for the occupied state. Similarly,  $|0\rangle$  is the vacant eigenstate. The goal is to construct expressions for the blocks  $\hat{E}_{N\sigma}$  and  $\hat{E}'_{N\sigma}$ .

Its easy to see that if any matrix  $\hat{A}$  is written in the basis of some operator  $\hat{m}$ ,  $\hat{m}\hat{A}\hat{m}$  returns the upper diagonal element of  $\hat{A}$  and  $(1-\hat{m})\hat{A}(1-\hat{m})$  returns the lower diagonal element. For example, to get the upper diagonal element,

$$\hat{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \hat{m}\hat{A}\hat{m} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (68)$$

Similarly,

$$\hat{m}\hat{A}(1-\hat{m}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (1-\hat{m})\hat{A}\hat{m} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (1-\hat{m})\hat{A}(1-\hat{m}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (69)$$

We hence have the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \overline{\mathcal{H}_{2N}} \hat{n}_{N\sigma} &= P_{N\sigma} \mathcal{H}_{2N} P_{N\sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} E_{N\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) \overline{\mathcal{H}_{2N}} (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) &= (1 - P_{N\sigma}) \mathcal{H}_{2N} (1 - P_{N\sigma}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & E'_{N\sigma} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

Here, we have used the fact that the diagonal blocks remain invariant under unitary transformations.

Define two matrices diagonal in  $\hat{n}_{N\sigma}$ :

$$\mathcal{H}' = E_{N\sigma} \otimes \mathbf{I} = \begin{pmatrix} E_{N\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & E_{N\sigma} \end{pmatrix} \quad (71)$$

$$\mathcal{H}'' = E'_{N\sigma} \otimes \mathbf{I} = \begin{pmatrix} E'_{N\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & E'_{N\sigma} \end{pmatrix} \quad (72)$$

This enables us to derive the following equation between  $\mathcal{H}_{2N}$  and  $\mathcal{H}'$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{2N} P_{N\sigma} &= \mathcal{H}_{2N} \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{U}_{N\sigma} = \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger \overline{\mathcal{H}_{2N}} \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{U}_{N\sigma} = \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} E_{N\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \hat{U}_{N\sigma} \\ &= \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} E_{N\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & E_{N\sigma} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \hat{U}_{N\sigma} = \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger E_{N\sigma} \otimes \mathbb{I} \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{U}_{N\sigma} = E_{N\sigma} \otimes \mathbb{I} \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{U}_{N\sigma} = \mathcal{H}' P_{N\sigma} \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

$$\therefore \mathcal{H}_{2N} P_{N\sigma} = \mathcal{H}' P_{N\sigma} \quad (74)$$

Similar;y, performing the calculation with  $\mathcal{H}''$  gives

$$\therefore \mathcal{H}_{2N} (1 - P_{N\sigma}) = \mathcal{H}'' (1 - P_{N\sigma}) \quad (75)$$

A general unitary matrix  $\hat{U}_{N\sigma}$  has the form (in basis of  $\hat{n}_{N\sigma}$ )

$$\hat{U}_{N\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\phi_1} \cos \theta & e^{i\phi_2} \sin \theta \\ -e^{-i\phi_2} \sin \theta & e^{-i\phi_1} \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \quad (76)$$

This provides a form for the matrix of the projection operator in the basis of  $\hat{n}_{N\sigma}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}_{N\sigma} &= \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{U}_{N\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-i\phi_1} \cos \theta & -e^{i\phi_2} \sin \theta \\ e^{-i\phi_2} \sin \theta & e^{i\phi_1} \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\phi_1} \cos \theta & e^{i\phi_2} \sin \theta \\ -e^{-i\phi_2} \sin \theta & e^{-i\phi_1} \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \cos^2 \theta & \cos \theta \sin \theta e^{-i(\phi_1 - \phi_2)} \\ \cos \theta \sin \theta e^{i(\phi_1 - \phi_2)} & \sin^2 \theta \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

The diagonal terms represent the particle(occupied) and hole(vacant) contributions; owing to symmetry, we set them equal  $\cos^2 \theta = \sin^2 \theta = \frac{1}{2}$ . Call the off-diagonal elements  $\hat{\eta}_{01}$  and  $\hat{\eta}_{01}^\dagger$ . The final form becomes

$$\hat{P}_{N\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \hat{\eta}_{01}^\dagger \\ \hat{\eta}_{01} & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{I} + \eta_{N\sigma} + \eta_{N\sigma}^\dagger) \quad (78)$$

$$\mathbf{I} - \hat{P}_{N\sigma} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\hat{\eta}_{01}^\dagger \\ -\hat{\eta}_{01} & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{I} - \eta_{N\sigma} - \eta_{N\sigma}^\dagger) \quad (79)$$

$\hat{\eta}_{N\sigma} = \hat{\eta}_{01} c_{N\sigma}$  is the electron to hole transition operator.  $\hat{\eta}_{N\sigma}^\dagger = \hat{\eta}_{01}^\dagger c_{N\sigma}$  is the hole to electron transition operator. Hence, they are defined to have some pretty obvious properties.

1.  $\hat{\eta}_{N\sigma}^2 = \hat{\eta}_{N\sigma}^{\dagger 2} = 0$  : once an electron or hole has undergone transition, there is no other to transition.
2.  $(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})\hat{\eta}_{N\sigma}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} = \eta_{N\sigma}$  : this is expected from the fact that  $\hat{\eta}_{N\sigma}$  acts with non-zero result only states of particle-number 1, and hence,  $\hat{n}_{N\sigma}$  will just give 1; after the action of  $\hat{\eta}_{N\sigma}$ , we will get a state with hole (particle-number zero), so  $(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})$  will just give 1.
3.  $\hat{n}_{N\sigma}\hat{\eta}_{N\sigma}(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = 0$  : this is expected because  $1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}$  will give non-zero result only on hole states, but those states will give zero when acted upon by  $\hat{\eta}_{N\sigma}$ , because there won't be any electron to transition from.

These defining properties have many corrolaries in terms of properties of  $\hat{\eta}_{N\sigma}$ :

- $\hat{n}_{N\sigma}\hat{\eta}_{N\sigma} = \hat{\eta}_{N\sigma}^\dagger\hat{n}_{N\sigma} = 0$  : act with  $\hat{n}_{N\sigma}$  from left on property 2.
- $\hat{\eta}_{N\sigma}(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})\hat{\eta}_{N\sigma}^\dagger = 0$  : act with  $1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}$  from right on property 2.
- $\hat{\eta}_{N\sigma}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} = (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})\hat{\eta}_{N\sigma} = \eta_{N\sigma}$  : act with  $\hat{n}_{N\sigma}$  from right on property 2.

To construct the diagonalised Hamiltonian and get some properties of the  $\eta_{N\sigma}$ , we will use equations 74 and 75.

$$\text{First of all, } \mathcal{H}_{2N}\hat{P}_{N\sigma} = \mathcal{H}'P_{N\sigma} \implies \hat{n}_{N\sigma}\mathcal{H}_{2N}\hat{P}_{N\sigma}(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = \hat{n}_{N\sigma}\mathcal{H}'\hat{P}_{N\sigma}(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}).$$

The RHS simplifies as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}_{N\sigma}(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) &= \frac{1}{2}(1 + \eta + \eta^\dagger)(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \eta^\dagger)(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) \quad (\because \eta_{N\sigma}(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = 0) \\ \therefore \hat{n}_{N\sigma}\mathcal{H}'\hat{P}_{N\sigma}(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) &= \frac{1}{2}\hat{n}_{N\sigma}\mathcal{H}'(1 + \eta_{N\sigma}^\dagger)(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}'\eta_{N\sigma}^\dagger \quad (\because \hat{n}_{N\sigma}\eta_{N\sigma}^\dagger(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = \eta_{N\sigma}^\dagger) \end{aligned} \quad (80)$$

The LHS simplifies as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{n}_{N\sigma}\mathcal{H}_{2N} &= (\hat{n}_{N\sigma}H_e\hat{n}_{N\sigma} + \hat{n}_{N\sigma}c^\dagger\hat{T} + \hat{n}_{N\sigma}\hat{T}^\dagger c + \hat{n}_{N\sigma}H_h(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})) \\ &= H_e\hat{n}_{N\sigma} + c^\dagger\hat{T} \\ \left( \because \hat{n}_{N\sigma}c^\dagger &= c^\dagger, \hat{n}_{N\sigma}\hat{T}^\dagger c = \hat{T}^\dagger\hat{n}_{N\sigma}c = 0, \hat{n}_{N\sigma}H_h(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = H_h\hat{n}_{N\sigma}(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = 0 \right) \\ \therefore \hat{n}_{N\sigma}\mathcal{H}_{2N}\hat{P}(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) &= \frac{1}{2}(H_e\hat{n}_{N\sigma} + c^\dagger\hat{T})(1 + \eta^\dagger)(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(H_e\hat{n}_{N\sigma} + H_e\hat{n}_{N\sigma}\eta^\dagger + c^\dagger T + c^\dagger T\eta^\dagger)(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}H_e\hat{n}_{N\sigma}\eta^\dagger(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) + c^\dagger T(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) + \frac{1}{2}c^\dagger T\eta^\dagger(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}H_e\hat{n}_{N\sigma}\eta^\dagger + \frac{1}{2}c^\dagger T \\ & \quad (\because \eta^\dagger(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = \eta^\dagger, c^\dagger(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = c^\dagger, c^\dagger\eta^\dagger = 0) \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

Combining the final equations of 80 and 82, we get

$$c_{N\sigma}^\dagger\hat{T}_{N\sigma} + H_e\hat{n}_{N\sigma}\eta_{N\sigma}^\dagger = \mathcal{H}'\eta_{N\sigma}^\dagger \implies \eta_{N\sigma}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}' - H_e\hat{n}_{N\sigma}}c_{N\sigma}^\dagger\hat{T}_{N\sigma} \quad (83)$$

Defining  $\hat{G}_e(\hat{E}_{N\sigma}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}' - H_e\hat{n}_{N\sigma}}$ ,

$$\eta_{N\sigma}^\dagger = \hat{G}_e(\hat{E}_{N\sigma})c_{N\sigma}^\dagger\hat{T}_{N\sigma} \quad (84)$$

This expresses the electron-hole transition operator in terms of the eigenblock  $\hat{E}_{N\sigma}$ .

The expression for  $\eta$  is obtained using  $(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})\mathcal{H}_{2N}\hat{P}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} = (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})\mathcal{H}'\hat{P}\hat{n}_{N\sigma}$

$$\hat{P}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \eta + \eta^\dagger)\hat{n}_{N\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{n}_{N\sigma} + \eta) \quad (\because \eta\hat{n}_{N\sigma} = \eta, \eta^\dagger\hat{n}_{N\sigma} = 0) \quad (85)$$

$$(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})\mathcal{H}_{2N} = (H_h(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) + \hat{T}^\dagger c) \quad (86)$$

$$(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})\mathcal{H}_{2N}\hat{P}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}H_h(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})\eta + \frac{1}{2}\hat{T}^\dagger c\hat{n}_{N\sigma} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{T}^\dagger c\eta = \frac{1}{2}H_h(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})\eta + \frac{1}{2}\hat{T}^\dagger c \quad (\because c\hat{n}_{N\sigma} = c, c\eta = 0) \quad (87)$$

$$(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})\mathcal{H}'\hat{P}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}'(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})\eta = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}'\eta \quad (88)$$

Combining 87 and 88, we get

$$\eta_{N\sigma} = G_h(\hat{E}_{N\sigma})\hat{T}_{N\sigma}^\dagger c_{N\sigma} \quad (89)$$

where  $G_h(\hat{E}_{N\sigma}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}' - H_h(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})}$

The expression for the eigenblock  $\hat{E}_{N\sigma}$  is obtained using  $\hat{n}_{N\sigma}\mathcal{H}_{2N}\hat{P}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} = \hat{n}_{N\sigma}\mathcal{H}'\hat{P}\hat{n}_{N\sigma}$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{n}_{N\sigma}\mathcal{H}_{2N}\hat{P}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} &= \frac{1}{2}(H_e\hat{n}_{N\sigma} + c^\dagger\hat{T})(\hat{n}_{N\sigma} + \eta) = \frac{1}{2}(H_e\hat{n}_{N\sigma} + H_e\hat{n}_{N\sigma}\eta + c^\dagger\hat{T}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} + c^\dagger\hat{T}\eta) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(H_e\hat{n}_{N\sigma} + c^\dagger\hat{T}\eta) \\ &\quad (\because \hat{n}_{N\sigma}\eta = 0, c^\dagger\hat{T}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} = \hat{T}c^\dagger\hat{n}_{N\sigma} = 0) \\ \hat{n}_{N\sigma}\mathcal{H}'\hat{P}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} &= \frac{1}{2}\hat{n}_{N\sigma}\mathcal{H}'(\hat{n}_{N\sigma} + \eta) = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{n}_{N\sigma}\mathcal{H}'\hat{n}_{N\sigma} + \hat{n}_{N\sigma}\mathcal{H}'\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\hat{E}_{N\sigma}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} \\ &\quad (\because \hat{n}_{N\sigma}\mathcal{H}'\hat{n}_{N\sigma} = \hat{E}_{N\sigma}\hat{n}_{N\sigma}, \hat{n}_{N\sigma}\mathcal{H}'\eta = \mathcal{H}'\hat{n}_{N\sigma}\eta = 0) \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

Combining,

$$\hat{E}_{N\sigma}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} = H_e\hat{n}_{N\sigma} + c_{N\sigma}^\dagger\hat{T}_{N\sigma}\eta_{N\sigma} \quad (91)$$

The expression for the lower eigenblock  $\hat{E}'_{N\sigma}$  is obtained by repeating the last stuff with  $\mathcal{H}''$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{2N}(1 - \hat{P}) &= \mathcal{H}''(1 - \hat{P}) \\ \implies (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})\mathcal{H}_{2N}(1 - \hat{P})(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) &= (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})\mathcal{H}''(1 - \hat{P})(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

Now,

$$(1 - \hat{P})(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \eta - \eta^\dagger)(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = \frac{1}{2}((1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) - \eta^\dagger) \quad (93)$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})\mathcal{H}_{2N}(1 - \hat{P})(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) &= \frac{1}{2}(H_h(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) + \hat{T}^\dagger c)(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma} - \eta^\dagger) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\left(H_h(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) - \hat{T}^\dagger c\eta^\dagger\right) \\
&\quad (\because (1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})\eta^\dagger = 0, c(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = 0) \\
(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})\mathcal{H}''(1 - \hat{P})(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) &= \frac{1}{2}\hat{H}''(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})H''(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = \frac{1}{2}\hat{E}'(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma})
\end{aligned} \tag{94}$$

Combining the last two equations,

$$\hat{E}'_{N\sigma}(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) = H_h(1 - \hat{n}_{N\sigma}) - \hat{T}_{N\sigma}^\dagger c_{N\sigma} \eta_{N\sigma}^\dagger \tag{95}$$

#### 4.4 Determining the $\hat{U}_{N\sigma}$

The starting equation for the above construction was equation 74. That will also provide an expression for the  $\hat{U}_{N\sigma}$ . Operating equation 74 to the right of  $|1\rangle$  (occupied eigenstate of  $\hat{n}_{N\sigma}$ ) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{2N}\hat{P}_{N\sigma}|1\rangle &= \hat{E}_{N\sigma} \otimes \mathbf{I} \hat{P}_{N\sigma}|1\rangle = \hat{E}_{N\sigma}\hat{P}_{N\sigma}|1\rangle \\
\implies \mathcal{H}_{2N}\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{U}_{N\sigma}|1\rangle &= \hat{E}_{N\sigma}\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{U}_{N\sigma}|1\rangle \quad \left(\text{substituting expression of } \hat{P}_{N\sigma}\right) \\
\implies \hat{U}_{N\sigma}\mathcal{H}_{2N}\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{U}_{N\sigma}|1\rangle &= \hat{U}_{N\sigma}\hat{E}_{N\sigma}\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{U}_{N\sigma}|1\rangle \quad \left(\text{operating } \hat{U}_{N\sigma} \text{ from left}\right) \\
\implies \overline{\mathcal{H}_{2N}}\hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{U}_{N\sigma}|1\rangle &= \hat{U}_{N\sigma}\hat{E}_{N\sigma}\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger \hat{n}_{N\sigma} \hat{U}_{N\sigma}|1\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{96}$$

Compare the last equation with 67. In order to satisfy the first equation of 67, we need the following two equations,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{n}_{N\sigma}\hat{U}_{N\sigma}|1\rangle &\propto |1\rangle \\
\hat{U}_{N\sigma}\hat{E}_{N\sigma}\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger &= E_{N\sigma}
\end{aligned} \tag{97}$$

The second equations says

$$[E_{N\sigma}, \hat{U}_{N\sigma}] = 0 \tag{98}$$

The  $\hat{U}_{N\sigma}$  that satisfies the first equation is  $\hat{U}_{N\sigma} = \kappa(1 - \hat{\eta} + \hat{\eta}^\dagger)$ .  $\kappa$  is a constant determined by the unitarity condition  $\hat{U}_{N\sigma}\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger = \mathbf{I}$ . To check that this satisfies 97,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{n}_{N\sigma}\hat{U}_{N\sigma}|1\rangle &\propto \hat{n}_{N\sigma}(1 - \hat{\eta} + \hat{\eta}^\dagger)|1\rangle \\
&= \hat{n}_{N\sigma}(1 - \hat{\eta})|1\rangle \quad (\eta^\dagger|1\rangle = 0) \\
&= |1\rangle - \hat{n}\hat{\eta}|1\rangle \\
&= |1\rangle \quad (\hat{n}\hat{\eta} = 0)
\end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

To find  $\kappa$ , we will use the unitarity of  $\hat{U}_{N\sigma}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{U}_{N\sigma}\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger &= \kappa^2(1 - \eta + \eta^\dagger)(1 + \eta - \eta^\dagger) \\
&= \kappa^2(1 + \{\eta, \eta^\dagger\}) && \left(\eta^2 = \eta^{\dagger 2} = 0\right) \\
&= 2\kappa^2 && (\{\eta, \eta^\dagger\} = 1) \\
\Rightarrow \kappa &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

$$\hat{U}_{N\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1 - \hat{\eta} + \hat{\eta}^\dagger) \tag{101}$$

## 4.5 Summary of the results

- $\eta^\dagger = G_e c^\dagger T$
- $\eta^\dagger = G_h T^\dagger c$
- $\eta^\dagger \eta = \hat{n}$
- $\eta \eta^\dagger = 1 - \hat{n}$
- $U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1 - \eta + \eta^\dagger)$

## 4.6 A Simple Example

$$\mathcal{H} = -t \left( c_2^\dagger c_1 + c_1^\dagger c_2 \right) + V \hat{n}_1 \hat{n}_2 - \mu (\hat{n}_1 + \hat{n}_2) \quad \hat{n}_i = c_i^\dagger c_i = \begin{pmatrix} V - 2\mu & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mu & -t & 0 \\ 0 & -t & \mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{102}$$

The basis used is the ordered set  $\{|11\rangle, |10\rangle, |01\rangle, |00\rangle\}$

For this problem, we take  $N\sigma \equiv 1$ . 1 refers to the first site. First step is to represent the Hamiltonian in block matrix form (equation 63).

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{H}_{1,e} &= Tr_1[\mathcal{H} \hat{n}_1] \\
&= Tr_1[V \hat{n}_1 \hat{n}_2 - \mu (\hat{n}_1 + \hat{n}_2)] \quad (c \text{ and } c^\dagger \text{ will not conserve the eigenvalue of } \hat{n}) \\
&= V \hat{n}_2 - \mu (1 + \hat{n}_2) \quad (Tr_1[V \hat{n}_1 \hat{n}_2] = V Tr_1[\hat{n}_1] \hat{n}_2 = V \hat{n}_2) \\
&= (V - 2\mu) \hat{n}_2 - \mu (1 - \hat{n}_2)
\end{aligned} \tag{103}$$



Next is calculation of  $\hat{H}_{1,h}$ :

$$\hat{H}_{1,h} = Tr_1[\mathcal{H}(1 - \hat{n}_1)] = -\mu\hat{n}_2 \quad (104)$$

Next is calculation of  $T_{1,e-h}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} T_{1,e-h} &= Tr_1[\mathcal{H}c_1] \\ &= Tr_1[-tc_1^\dagger c_2 c_1] = -tc_2 \quad (\text{the only term that conserves eigenvalue of } \hat{n}) \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

Therefore,  $T_{1,e-h}^\dagger = -tc_2^\dagger$ . The block matrix form becomes

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} (V - 2\mu)\hat{n}_2 - \mu(1 - \hat{n}_2) & -tc_2 \\ -tc_2^\dagger & -\mu\hat{n}_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (106)$$

The block-diagonal form is, as usual,  $\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{E}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{E}'_1 \end{pmatrix}$

The expression of  $\eta^\dagger$  is  $\eta^\dagger = \hat{G}_e c_1^\dagger \hat{T}_{1,e-h} = G_e c_1^\dagger (-tc_2)$ . Hence,  $\eta = -tc_2^\dagger c_1 G_e^\dagger$ . Since  $H_e^\dagger = H_e$  for this problem, we have  $\eta = -tc_2^\dagger c_1 G_e$ . It was proved in the formalism that  $\eta^\dagger \eta = \hat{n}_1$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} t^2 G_e c_1^\dagger c_2 c_2^\dagger c_1 G_e &= \hat{n}_1 \implies t^2 \hat{n}_1 (1 - \hat{n}_2) = \hat{n}_1 \{G_e^{-1}\}^2 = \hat{n}_1 (\mathcal{H}' - H_e \hat{n}_1)^2 \\ &\implies t^2 \hat{n}_1^2 (1 - \hat{n}_2)^2 = (\mathcal{H}' \hat{n}_1 - H_e \hat{n}_1)^2 \\ &\implies \mathcal{H}' \hat{n}_1 = H_e \hat{n}_1 + t \hat{n}_1 (1 - \hat{n}_2) = (V - 2\mu) \hat{n}_1 \hat{n}_2 + (t - \mu) \hat{n}_1 (1 - \hat{n}_2) \end{aligned} \quad (107)$$

This equation gives the upper block of the diagonalised Hamiltonian. Why the upper block? Because it is multiplied by  $\hat{n}_1$ , and hence can give non-zero contribution only in the upper block. It is also obvious that the upper block itself is internally diagonal in  $\hat{n}_2$ ; this is seen from the fact that the expression of  $\mathcal{H}' \hat{n}_1$  has no  $c_2$  or  $c_2^\dagger$ , only  $\hat{n}_2$ . The term multiplying  $\hat{n}_2$  becomes the upper matrix element in the block of  $\hat{n}_2$ , while that multiplying  $1 - \hat{n}_2$  becomes the lower element. Summarizing,

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}' \hat{n}_1 + \mathcal{H}'' (1 - \hat{n}_1) = \begin{pmatrix} V - 2\mu & 0 & \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2} \\ 0 & t - \mu & \\ \mathbf{0}_{2 \times 2} & (\hat{E}'_1)_{2 \times 2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (108)$$

The  $\hat{E}'$  is the contribution from  $\mathcal{H}''$ ; just as  $\mathcal{H} \hat{n}_1$  gives the upper block contribution,  $\mathcal{H}''$  gives the lower contribution. And since  $\mathcal{H}'' = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{E}' & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{E}' \end{pmatrix}$ , we end up with  $\hat{E}'$  in

the lower block of  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ . It still remains to compute  $\mathcal{H}''(1 - \hat{n}_1) = \hat{E}'(1 - \hat{n}_1)$ . But that is easy because we already have the expression for that, equation 95.

$$E'_1(1 - \hat{n}_1) = H_h(1 - \hat{n}_1) - \hat{T}_1^\dagger c_1 \eta^\dagger = -\mu(1 - \hat{n}_1)\hat{n}_2 - t^2 c_2^\dagger c_1 G_e c_1^\dagger \hat{c}_2 \quad (109)$$

This is the expression for the lower block. But to get the final matrix elements, we need to resolve it in  $\hat{n}_2$ . That is, the upper matrix element of the lower block will be  $\langle 01 | E'(1 - \hat{n}_1) | 01 \rangle$  and the lower element will be  $\langle 00 | E'(1 - \hat{n}_1) | 00 \rangle$ . The bra and ket are written in the notation  $\langle n_1, n_2 |, | n_1, n_2 \rangle$ . Since this is the lower block in the representation of  $\hat{n}_1$ ,  $n_1$  will always be zero while calculating the elements of  $\hat{E}'$ .  $n_2 = 1(0)$  means the upper(lower) diagonal element. Similarly,  $\langle 01 | E'(1 - \hat{n}_1) | 00 \rangle$  is an off-diagonal element.

It is easy to see that the off-diagonal terms will be zero. The lower diagonal term will also be zero:  $\hat{n}_2 | n_1, 0 \rangle = c_2 | n_1, 0 \rangle = 0$ . Thus the only non-zero term is

$$\langle 01 | E'(1 - \hat{n}_1) | 01 \rangle = -\mu - t^2 \langle 10 | G_e | 10 \rangle \quad (110)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 10 | G_e^{-1} | 10 \rangle &= \langle 10 | H' - (V - \mu)\hat{n}_1\hat{n}_2 + \mu\hat{n}_1 | 10 \rangle \\ &= \langle 10 | \mathcal{H}' | 10 \rangle + \mu = \langle 10 | \mathcal{H}' \hat{n}_1 | 10 \rangle + \mu \\ &= \langle 10 | (V - 2\mu)\hat{n}_1\hat{n}_2 + (t - \mu)\hat{n}_1(1 - \hat{n}_2) | 10 \rangle + \mu \\ &= t - \mu + \mu = t \\ \therefore \langle 10 | G_e | 10 \rangle &= \frac{1}{t} \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

Therefore,  $\langle 01 | E'(1 - \hat{n}_1) | 01 \rangle = -\mu - t^2 \frac{1}{t} = -\mu - t$ . The final diagonalized matrix becomes

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \begin{pmatrix} & |11\rangle & |10\rangle & |01\rangle & |00\rangle \\ \begin{pmatrix} (V - 2\mu) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (t - \mu) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -(\mu + t) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \quad (112)$$

There's an alternative way of deriving the lower block - using the fact that the trace is invariant under the URG transformations. First note that in the original Hamiltonian, only the upper  $3 \times 3$  portion is interacting among themselves, the 4<sup>th</sup> row and 4<sup>th</sup> column of the Hamiltonian do not interact with the rest. This means that the lower

element of  $\hat{E}'$  is zero. Also note that the unitary transformations do not alter the partial trace of the matrix. Specifically,

$$Tr_1(\overline{\mathcal{H}}) = Tr_1(\hat{U}_{N\sigma}\mathcal{H}\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger) = Tr_1(\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger\hat{U}_{N\sigma}\mathcal{H}) = Tr_1(\mathcal{H}) \quad (113)$$

Since we know the expression of  $\hat{E}_1$  and the structure of  $\hat{E}'_1$ , we can write down the structure of  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ :

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}} = \begin{pmatrix} V - 2\mu & & & \\ & t - \mu & & \\ & & \hat{E}'_1 & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (114)$$

Therefore,  $Tr_1\overline{\mathcal{H}} = (V - 2\mu)\hat{n}_2 + (t - \mu)(1 - \hat{n}_2) + \hat{E}'_1\hat{n}_2$ . From equation 106,  $Tr_1(\mathcal{H}) = V\hat{n}_2 - \mu(1 + \hat{n}_2) - \mu\hat{n}_2$ . Equating the two traces, we get an expression for the lower block:

$$\hat{E}'_1\hat{n}_2 = -(\mu + t)\hat{n}_2 \quad (115)$$

We thus get the same final Hamiltonian.

#### 4.6.1 The Eigenstates

The unitarily transformed Hamiltonian,  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$  is diagonal in the basis of  $\hat{n}$ . This implies that the eigenstates of the original Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}$  are the unitarily transformed versions of the eigenkets of  $\hat{n}$ :

$$\mathcal{H}(\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger|n_1, n_2\rangle) = \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger\overline{\mathcal{H}}|n_1, n_2\rangle = \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger E_{n_1, n_2}|n_1, n_2\rangle = E_{n_1, n_2}(\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger|n_1, n_2\rangle) \quad (116)$$

To find the eigenvectors  $\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger|n_1, n_2\rangle$ , we need to find the  $\hat{U}_{N\sigma}$ . From equation 101, we have  $\hat{U}_{N\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \hat{\eta}^\dagger - \hat{\eta})$ .

We have  $\hat{\eta}_1 = G_h T^\dagger c_1$ . Using the expression of  $\hat{E}_1$ , this simplifies as:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= \frac{1}{\hat{E}_1 - H_h}(-tc_2^\dagger)c_1 = \frac{-t}{(V - 2\mu)\hat{n}_2 + (t - \mu)(1 - \hat{n}_2) + \mu\hat{n}_2}c_1c_2^\dagger = \frac{-t}{V - \mu}c_1c_2^\dagger \\ \eta^\dagger &= c_1^\dagger \frac{1}{(V - 2\mu)\hat{n}_2 + (t - \mu)(1 - \hat{n}_2) - (V - 2\mu)\hat{n}_2 + \mu(1 - \hat{n}_2)}(-tc_2) = -c_1^\dagger c_2 \end{aligned} \quad (117)$$

$$\therefore \hat{U}_{N\sigma} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ 1 + \frac{t}{V - \mu}c_1c_2^\dagger - c_1^\dagger c_2 \right] \implies \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ 1 + \frac{t}{V - \mu}c_1^\dagger c_2 - c_2c_1^\dagger \right] \quad (118)$$

To get the eigenstates of  $\mathcal{H}$ , I act with  $U^\dagger$  on the eigenstates  $(|n_1, n_2\rangle)$ :

$$\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger |11\rangle = |11\rangle \quad (119)$$

$$\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger |00\rangle = |00\rangle, \quad (120)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger |10\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} (|10\rangle - \eta |10\rangle) = \frac{1}{2} \left( |10\rangle + tc_2^\dagger c_1 \hat{G}_e |10\rangle \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( |10\rangle + tc_2^\dagger c_1 \frac{1}{t} |01\rangle \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (|10\rangle + |01\rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

$$\hat{U}_{N\sigma}^\dagger |01\rangle = \frac{1}{2} (|01\rangle + \eta^\dagger |01\rangle) = \frac{1}{2} \left( |01\rangle - t\hat{G}_e c_1^\dagger c_2 |01\rangle \right) = \frac{1}{2} (|01\rangle - |10\rangle) \quad (122)$$

The eigenstates come out to be (upto a normalizaiton):

$$\begin{aligned} &|00\rangle \\ &|10\rangle + |01\rangle \\ &|01\rangle - |10\rangle \\ &|11\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (123)$$

## 5 Applying the RG on the Hubbard dimer (real space)

$$\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{\sigma} (c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma} + c_{2\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{1\sigma}) + U (\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} \hat{n}_{1\downarrow} + \hat{n}_{2\uparrow} \hat{n}_{2\downarrow}) \quad (124)$$

We begin by decoupling the first degree of freedom, namely, a spin-up electron on the first site.

$$H_{1\uparrow}^e = \text{Tr}_{\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}}(\mathcal{H} \hat{n}_{1\uparrow}) = U(\hat{n}_{1\downarrow} + \hat{n}_{2\uparrow} \hat{n}_{2\downarrow}) - t(c_{1\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{2\downarrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{1\downarrow}) \quad (125)$$

$$H_{1\uparrow}^h = \text{Tr}_{\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}}(\mathcal{H}(1 - \hat{n}_{1\uparrow})) = U \hat{n}_{2\uparrow} \hat{n}_{2\downarrow} - t(c_{1\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{2\downarrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{1\downarrow}) \quad (126)$$

$$T_{1\uparrow} = \text{Tr}_{\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}}(\mathcal{H} c_{1\uparrow}) = -t c_{2\uparrow} \quad (127)$$

$$T_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger} = \text{Tr}_{\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}}(c_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger} \mathcal{H}) = -t c_{2\uparrow}^{\dagger} \quad (128)$$

Assume that the Hamiltonian, after block-diagonalization in the subspace of  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}$ , looks like

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}} = U_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger} \mathcal{H} U_{1\uparrow} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{E}_{1\uparrow} & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{E}'_{1\uparrow} \end{pmatrix} \quad (129)$$

$\hat{E}_{1\uparrow}$  and  $\hat{E}'_{1\uparrow}$  are the sub-Hamiltonians with one fewer degree of freedom. That is, they are the Hamiltonians in the subspaces  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1, 0$  respectively.

### 5.1 $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1$

Define  $\hat{H}'_{1\uparrow} \equiv \hat{E}_{1\uparrow} \otimes \mathbb{I}$ . Again, proceeding as in the formalism, we can derive

$$\eta_{1\uparrow} = \hat{G}_e \hat{T}_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{1\uparrow} \quad (130)$$

$$\eta_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger} = \hat{G}_h c_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger} \hat{T}_{1\uparrow} \quad (131)$$

The process of finding the eigenvalues involves using the equations for  $\eta^{\dagger} \eta$  and  $\eta \eta^{\dagger}$ :

$$\eta_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger} \eta_{1\uparrow} = \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} \quad (132)$$

$$\eta_{1\uparrow} \eta_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger} = 1 - \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} \quad (133)$$

This subspace involves  $1 - \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 0$ , hence we cannot use eq. 133. We also have the choice of starting with the expression of either  $\eta$  or  $\eta^{\dagger}$ , but since  $\eta$  involves  $1 - \hat{n}_{1\uparrow}$  in the denominator, it doesn't lead to anything fruitful. So we start with  $\eta_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger}$ . Using eq. 84:

$$\eta_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger} = G_e c_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger} T_{1\uparrow} = -t \hat{G}_e c_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{2\uparrow} = \frac{-t}{\hat{E}_{1\uparrow} \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} - H_e \hat{n}_{1\uparrow}} c_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{2\uparrow} \quad (134)$$

The hole to electron operator  $\eta_{1\uparrow}$  is obtained by taking the Hermitian conjugate of  $\eta_{1\uparrow}^\dagger$ :

$$\eta_{1\uparrow} = c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} \frac{-t}{\hat{E}_{1\uparrow} \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} - H_e \hat{n}_{1\uparrow}} \quad (135)$$

Here we used the fact that  $H_e \hat{n}_{1\uparrow}$  is Hermitian as seen from its expression, and  $\hat{E}_{1\uparrow} \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} \equiv \hat{E}_{1\uparrow} \otimes \hat{n}_{1\uparrow}$  is Hermitian because it is a sub-Hamiltonian by definition. Substituting these expressions in eq. 132,

$$\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = \eta_{1\uparrow}^\dagger \eta_{1\uparrow} = \frac{-t}{\hat{E}_{1\uparrow} \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} - H_e \hat{n}_{1\uparrow}} \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} (1 - \hat{n}_{2\uparrow}) \frac{-t}{\hat{E}_{1\uparrow} \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} - H_e \hat{n}_{1\uparrow}} \quad (136)$$

$$\implies (\hat{E}_{1\uparrow} \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} - H_e \hat{n}_{1\uparrow})^2 = t^2 \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} (1 - \hat{n}_{2\uparrow}) \quad (137)$$

This is the central equation (eq. 137) that will be used for determining all the eigenvalues for the  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1$  subspace. Substituting the expression for  $H_e$  gives:

$$\left[ \hat{E}_{1\uparrow} \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} - U \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} \hat{n}_{1\downarrow} - U \hat{n}_{2\uparrow} \hat{n}_{2\downarrow} \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} + t(c_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow}) \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} \right]^2 = t^2 \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} (1 - \hat{n}_{2\uparrow}) \quad (138)$$

## 5.2 N = 2

We first look at the  $N = 2$  portion of the  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}$  occupied subspace. The remaining degrees of freedom are  $\hat{n}_{1\downarrow}$ ,  $\hat{n}_{2\uparrow}$  and  $\hat{n}_{2\downarrow}$ . Notice that the working equation, eq. 138 involves the creation and annihilation operators only for  $\hat{n}_{1\downarrow}$  and  $\hat{n}_{2\downarrow}$ . This means that  $\hat{n}_{1\downarrow}$  and  $\hat{n}_{2\downarrow}$  form a coupled degree of freedom, and this is in turn decoupled from  $\hat{n}_{2\uparrow}$ . Out of the two electrons ( $N = 2$ ), one electron is already there at  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}$ . This leaves us with two possible subspaces to work in:

- $\hat{\mathcal{P}} = |1100\rangle \langle 1100| + |1001\rangle \langle 1001|$

This is the subspace in which we keep  $\hat{n}_{2\uparrow}$  empty, and the second electron thus lie somewhere in the subspace spanned by  $\hat{n}_{1\downarrow}$  and  $\hat{n}_{2\downarrow}$ . The notation is obvious:  $|1100\rangle \equiv |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle$  and  $|1001\rangle \equiv |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle$ . In this subspace, the right hand side

of eq. 138 is unity, because  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1$  and  $\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} = 0$ . Define  $\hat{a}_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Clearly,

$\hat{a}_x^2 = 1$ . Then, in this subspace,

$$\left[ \hat{E}_{1\uparrow} \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} - U \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} \hat{n}_{1\downarrow} - U \hat{n}_{2\uparrow} \hat{n}_{2\downarrow} \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} + t(c_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow}) \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} \right]^2 = t^2 \hat{a}_x^2 \quad (139)$$

$$\implies \hat{E}_{1\uparrow} \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} - U \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} \hat{n}_{1\downarrow} - U \hat{n}_{2\uparrow} \hat{n}_{2\downarrow} \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} + t(c_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow}) \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = \pm t \hat{a}_x \quad (140)$$

Next we need to write the LHS in this subspace. Taking  $|1100\rangle$  and  $|1001\rangle$  as the basis elements, we see that both  $c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow}$  and  $c_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow}$  take one basis vector to the

other, and hence

$$c_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (141)$$

Since  $\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} = 0$ , the  $\hat{n}_{2\uparrow}\hat{n}_{2\downarrow}\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}$  term drops out. Also,

$$\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}\hat{n}_{2\downarrow} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (142)$$

Finally,  $\hat{E}_{1\uparrow,2} \equiv \mathcal{P}\hat{E}_{1\uparrow}\mathcal{P}$  is the projected Hamiltonian in this subspace. Putting all these together,

$$\hat{E}_{1\uparrow,2} - \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ t & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (143)$$

$$\implies \hat{E}_{1\uparrow,2} = \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} U & 2t \\ 2t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (144)$$

The first matrix gives eigenvalues  $= \{U, 0\}$  and eigenvectors  $= \{|\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle, |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle\}$ .

The second matrix gives eigenvalues  $E_\pm = \frac{U \pm \sqrt{U^2 + 16t^2}}{2}$  and eigenvectors  $= \{2t|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + E_\pm|\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle\}$ .

Note that this is not the eigenvector for the total Hamiltonian, because it doesn't include the contribution from  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 0$  sector.

- $\mathcal{P} = |1010\rangle\langle 1010|$

In the previous subspace, we set  $\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} = 0$ , so here we consider  $\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} = 1$ : Since  $\hat{n}_{1\downarrow} = \hat{n}_{2\downarrow} = 0$ , we have  $U(\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}\hat{n}_{1\downarrow} + \hat{n}_{2\uparrow}\hat{n}_{2\downarrow}) = 0$  and  $c_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow} = 0$ . The RHS is also zero. This means that in this subspace, the only eigenvalue is  $E = 0$ . The eigenvector is  $|\uparrow, \uparrow\rangle$ .

### 5.3 $N = 1$

This case is simpler, because the only electron is placed at  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}$ . Thus,  $\hat{n}_{1\downarrow} = \hat{n}_{2\uparrow} = \hat{n}_{2\downarrow} = 0$  in this subspace. Since the subspace has just one state, it is unidimensionl, and the equation for this subspace will involve scalars instead of matrices. The RHS is hence  $t^2$  instead of  $t^2 a_x^2$ . Since there are no electrons in  $\hat{n}_{1\downarrow}$  or  $\hat{n}_{2\downarrow}$ , the creation and annihilation operators in the LHS are zero:

$$\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}\hat{n}_{1\downarrow} + \hat{n}_{2\uparrow}\hat{n}_{2\downarrow} = c_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow} = 0 \quad (145)$$

Eq. 138 thus reduces to

$$\hat{E}_{1\uparrow,1} = \pm t \quad (146)$$

$\hat{E}_{1\uparrow,1}$  is the projected Hamiltonian in this subspace. The eigenvalues in this subspace come out to be  $\pm t$ . Since the only state in this subspace is  $|\uparrow, 0\rangle$ , that is the contribution to the eigenvectors.

## 5.4 $N = 3$

With one electron at  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}$ , we have two electrons to place. There are two options:

- $\hat{\mathcal{P}} = |1110\rangle \langle 1110| + |1011\rangle \langle 1011|$

Since the equation is diagonal in  $\hat{n}_{2\uparrow}$ , it makes sense to place one electron at  $\hat{n}_{2\uparrow}$ , and the other electron in the coupled subspace, that is,  $\hat{n}_{1\downarrow}$  and  $\hat{n}_{2\downarrow}$ . The corresponding projection operator is The RHS of eq. 138 in this subspace is 0, because  $\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} = 1$ . This also means that

$$\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}\hat{n}_{1\downarrow} + \hat{n}_{2\uparrow}\hat{n}_{2\downarrow}\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = \hat{n}_{1\downarrow} + \hat{n}_{2\downarrow} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (147)$$

$$c_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (148)$$

The equation becomes

$$\hat{E}_{1\uparrow,3} - \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & U \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ t & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \implies \hat{E}_{1\uparrow,3} = \begin{pmatrix} U & -t \\ -t & U \end{pmatrix} \quad (149)$$

The eigenvalues come out as  $U \pm t$ . The eigenvectors are  $|1110\rangle \mp |1011\rangle$ .

- $\hat{\mathcal{P}} = |1101\rangle$

The other sensible choice is to keep  $\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} = 0$ . Since this subspace has just one state, it is unidimensional, and the equation is scalar.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{n}_{1\uparrow}\hat{n}_{1\downarrow} + \hat{n}_{2\uparrow}\hat{n}_{2\downarrow} &= 1 \\ c_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow} &= 0 \\ \hat{E}_{1\uparrow,3} - U &= \pm t \end{aligned} \quad (150)$$

The eigenvalues are  $U \pm t$ , and the eigenvector is  $|\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle$ .



## 5.5 $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 0$

To calculate the eigenvalues for the unoccupied sector, define  $\mathcal{H}'' \equiv \hat{E}'_{1\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}} \otimes \mathbb{I}$ . We can derive

$$\eta_{1\uparrow} = -\hat{G}'_h \hat{T}_{1\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} \quad (151)$$

$$\eta_{1\uparrow}^\dagger = -\hat{G}'_e c_{1\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{T}_{1\uparrow} \quad (152)$$

$$\eta_{1\uparrow}^\dagger \eta_{1\uparrow} = \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} \quad (153)$$

$$\eta_{1\uparrow} \eta_{1\uparrow}^\dagger = 1 - \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} \quad (154)$$

Note that  $\hat{G}'$  involves  $\hat{E}'$  in the denominator, because we now want to diagonalize the unoccupied block. Since  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 0$  now, we need to use eq. 154 and start with the expression of  $\eta_{1\uparrow}$  (eq. 151) instead of  $\eta_{1\uparrow}^\dagger$ . Substituting the expression for  $\eta_{1\uparrow}$  and its conjugate gives the following equation for the unoccupied sector:

$$\left[ \hat{E}'_{1\uparrow} - U \hat{n}_{2\uparrow} \hat{n}_{2\downarrow} + t(c_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow}) \right]^2 = t^2 \hat{n}_{2\uparrow} \quad (155)$$

## 5.6 $\mathbf{N} = 1$

With no electron on  $1, \uparrow$ , the only electron can reside in a superposition of  $|\downarrow, 0\rangle$  or  $|0, \downarrow\rangle$ :

$$\mathcal{P} = |0100\rangle \langle 0100| + |0001\rangle \langle 0001| \quad (156)$$

The right hand side is zero because  $\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} = 0$ . Also,

$$\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} \hat{n}_{1\downarrow} + \hat{n}_{2\uparrow} \hat{n}_{2\downarrow} = 0 \quad (157)$$

$$c_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (158)$$

Putting it all together,

$$\left( E'_{1\uparrow,2} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)^2 = 0 \quad (159)$$

$$\implies E'_{1\uparrow,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -t \\ -t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (160)$$

The eigenvalues are  $\pm t$ , the eigenvectors are  $|\downarrow, 0\rangle \mp |0, \downarrow\rangle$ .

## 5.7 $N = 2$

$$\mathcal{P} = |0110\rangle \langle 0110| + |0011\rangle \langle 0011| \quad (161)$$

$$\Rightarrow E'_{1\uparrow,2} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & U \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ t & U \end{pmatrix} = \pm t a_x \quad (162)$$

$$\Rightarrow E'_{1\uparrow,2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & U \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2t \\ 2t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (163)$$

The eigenvalues are  $0, U$  for the first matrix, with eigenvectors  $|0110\rangle, |0011\rangle$ , and  $E_{\pm} = \frac{U \pm \sqrt{U^2 + 16t^2}}{2}$ , and  $2t|\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle + E_{\pm}|0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$  for the second.

Similar to the  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1$  situation, we can consider another subspace where  $\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} = 0$ :

$$\mathcal{P} = |0101\rangle \langle 0101| \quad (164)$$

Obviously,  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}\hat{n}_{1\downarrow} + \hat{n}_{2\uparrow}\hat{n}_{2\downarrow} = c_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow} = 0$ . The only eigenvalue is 0, with eigenvector  $|\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle$ .

## 5.8 $N = 3$

Only state in this subspace is  $|0111\rangle$ .

$$\mathcal{P} = |0111\rangle \quad (165)$$

$$\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}\hat{n}_{1\downarrow} + \hat{n}_{2\uparrow}\hat{n}_{2\downarrow} = 1 \quad (166)$$

$$c_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow} = 0 \quad (167)$$

The equation(scalar) in this subspace is

$$E'_{1\uparrow,3} - U = \pm t \quad (168)$$

The eigenvalues are  $U \pm t$ . The eigenvector is  $|0111\rangle$ .

## 5.9 The eigenstates

The eigenstates obtained for the various subspaces form only parts of the total eigenstates. Using the unitary operator  $\hat{U}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \eta - \eta^\dagger)$ , these individual eigenstates can be combined into the total eigenstates.

### 5.9.1 $N = 1$

First, consider the case of  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1$ . The eigenstate for the subspace is  $|\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1, N = 1\rangle = |\uparrow, 0\rangle$ . The total eigenstates are given by  $|\psi\rangle = \hat{U}_{1\uparrow}^\dagger |\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1, N = 1\rangle$ . Note that since  $\eta_{1\uparrow}^\dagger$  takes a hole at  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}$  to an electron at the same quantum number, and since there is no hole at that quantum number ( $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}$  is occupied),  $\eta^\dagger$  will act to give zero, so we drop it.

$$\hat{U}_{1\uparrow}^\dagger |\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1, N = 1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \eta_{1\uparrow}) |\uparrow, 0\rangle \quad (169)$$

From eq. 135,  $\eta_{1\uparrow} = c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} \frac{-t}{\hat{E}_{1\uparrow}\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} - H_e\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}}$ . In the subspace we are talking about,  $\hat{E}_{1\uparrow}\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} - H_e\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = \pm t$  (see eq. 146). Therefore,

$$\eta_{1\uparrow} |\uparrow, 0\rangle = \mp c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} |\uparrow, 0\rangle = \mp |0, \uparrow\rangle \quad (170)$$

The total eigenstate turns out to be

$$\hat{U}_{1\uparrow}^\dagger |\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1, N = 1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\uparrow, 0\rangle + \eta_{1\uparrow} |\uparrow, 0\rangle) = |\uparrow, 0\rangle \mp |0, \uparrow\rangle \quad (171)$$

Note that the respective eigenvalues are  $\pm t$ .

Now consider the case of  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 0$ . The eigenstates for the subspace is  $|\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 0, N = 1\rangle = |\downarrow, 0\rangle \mp |0, \downarrow\rangle$ . This time, however, since the states are missing an electron in  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}$ ,  $\eta$  will act trivially, and we are left with

$$\hat{U}_{1\uparrow}^\dagger |\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 0, N = 1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 - \eta_{1\uparrow}^\dagger) |\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 0, N = 1\rangle \quad (172)$$

From eq. 151,  $\eta_{1\uparrow}^\dagger = -c_{1\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2\uparrow} \hat{G}_h' = c_{1\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2\uparrow} \frac{t}{E' - H_h(1 - \hat{n}_{1\uparrow})}$ . Note, however, that  $|\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 0, N = 1\rangle$  does not have any electron at  $\hat{n}_{2\uparrow}$ , and  $c_{2\uparrow}$  in  $\eta_{1\uparrow}^\dagger$  will necessarily give 0. Therefore,

$$\eta_{1\uparrow}^\dagger |\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 0, N = 1\rangle = 0 \quad (173)$$

which means

$$\hat{U}_{1\uparrow}^\dagger |\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 0, N = 1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 0, N = 1\rangle = \frac{|\downarrow, 0\rangle \mp |0, \downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (174)$$

These are thus the final eigenstates for respective eigenvalues  $\pm t$ .

The four eigenstates for  $N = 1$  are listed in this table:

$t$	$\frac{ \uparrow, 0\rangle -  0, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$
$-t$	$\frac{ \uparrow, 0\rangle +  0, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$
$t$	$\frac{ \downarrow, 0\rangle -  0, \downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$
$-t$	$\frac{ \downarrow, 0\rangle +  0, \downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$

### 5.9.2 N = 3

The eigenstate for the first subspace are

$|\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1, N = 3\rangle = |\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \mp |\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ . The total eigenstates are given by  $\hat{U}_{1\uparrow}^\dagger |\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1, N = 3\rangle$ .

Note that since  $\eta_{1\uparrow}^\dagger$  takes a hole at  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}$  to an electron at the same quantum number, and since there is no hole at that quantum number ( $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}$  is occupied),  $\eta^\dagger$  will act to give zero, so we drop it.

$$\hat{U}_{1\uparrow}^\dagger |\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1, N = 3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 + \eta_{1\uparrow})(|\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \mp |\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle) \quad (175)$$

From eq. 135,  $\eta_{1\uparrow} = c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} \frac{-t}{\bar{E}_{1\uparrow}\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} - H_e\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}}$ . Since the part before  $c_{1\uparrow}$  can only attach a coefficient to the kets, and since  $c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger$  will act on both  $|\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle$  and  $|\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$  to give zero, we have

$$\eta_{1\uparrow} |\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1, N = 3\rangle = 0 \quad (176)$$

The total eigenstates turn out to be

$$\hat{U}_{1\uparrow}^\dagger |\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1, N = 3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1, N = 3\rangle + \eta_{1\uparrow} |\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1, N = 3\rangle = \frac{|\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \mp |\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (177)$$

Note that the respective eigenvalues are  $U \pm t$ .

Now consider second subspace for  $N = 3, \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1$ . The eigenstate is  $|\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle$ . Here,  $E - H_e = \pm t$ , therefore,

$$\eta_{1\uparrow} |\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle = c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow} \frac{-t}{\pm t} |\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle = \mp |\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle \quad (178)$$

which means

$$U_{1\uparrow}^\dagger |\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle = \frac{|\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle \mp |\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (179)$$

These are thus the final eigenstates for respective eigenvalues  $U \pm t$ .

The four eigenstates for  $N = 1$  are listed in this table:

$U + t$	$\frac{ \uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle -  \uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$
$U - t$	$\frac{ \uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle +  \uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$
$U + t$	$\frac{ \uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle -  \downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$
$U - t$	$\frac{ \uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle +  \downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$

### 5.9.3 N = 2

Consider the first set of eigenstates in  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1$ :  $|\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle$  and  $|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle$ .  $\eta^\dagger$  can be dropped as usual. In this subspace,  $\eta = -tc_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} \frac{1}{-t\hat{a}_x} = c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} \hat{a}_x$  (using  $\hat{a}_x^2 = 1$ ). Applying these on the eigenstates gives

$$\eta_{1\uparrow} |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle = c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} \hat{a}_x |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle = c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle = |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle \quad (180)$$

$$\eta_{1\uparrow} |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle = c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} \hat{a}_x |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle = c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle = |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \quad (181)$$

The total eigenstates are

$$\hat{U}_{1\uparrow}^\dagger |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle = \frac{|\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle + \eta_{1\uparrow} |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle + |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (182)$$

$$\hat{U}_{1\uparrow}^\dagger |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle = \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + \eta_{1\uparrow} |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (183)$$

These are the total eigenstates corresponding to eigenvalues  $U, 0$ . Consider the corresponding eigenstates from the  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 0$  sector will give the same eigenstates.

Instead, take the second set of eigenstates of  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1$ :  $2t |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + E_\pm |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle$  corresponding to eigenvalues  $E_\pm = \frac{U \pm \sqrt{U^2 + 16t^2}}{2}$ . Here,  $\eta = -tc_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} \frac{1}{t\hat{a}_x} = -c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} \hat{a}_x$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{1\uparrow}(2t |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + E_\pm |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle) &= -c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} \hat{a}_x (2t |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + E_\pm |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle) = -c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} (2t |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle + E_\pm |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle) \\ &= -2t |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle - E_\pm |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (184)$$

The total eigenstates are

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}_{1\uparrow}^\dagger (2t |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + E_\pm |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle) &= \frac{1 + \eta_{1\uparrow}}{\sqrt{2}} (2t |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + E_\pm |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle) \\ &= 2t \frac{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} + E_\pm \frac{|\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle - |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (185)$$

These are the final states corresponding to the eigenvalues  $E_\pm$ .

The final states to consider are the ones with zero eigenvalues, that is,  $|\uparrow, \uparrow\rangle$  for  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1$  and  $|\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle$  for  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 0$ . The action of  $\hat{U}_{1\uparrow}^\dagger$  on them is easy to see. Since  $\eta$  or  $\eta^\dagger$  annihilate one spin up electron and create another spin up electron at a different site, it is clear that they will give zero for both these states:  $|\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle$  has no spin up electron to annihilate, and  $|\uparrow, \uparrow\rangle$  has no free site to create a spin up electron. Hence, the final eigenstates are

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{U}_{1\uparrow}^\dagger |\uparrow, \uparrow\rangle &= |\uparrow, \uparrow\rangle \\ \hat{U}_{1\uparrow}^\dagger |\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle &= |\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (186)$$

These have eigenvalues 0.

$U$	$\frac{ \uparrow\downarrow,0\rangle+ 0,\uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$
$0$	$\frac{ \uparrow,\downarrow\rangle+ \downarrow,\uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$
$E_+$	$2t\frac{ \uparrow,\downarrow\rangle- \downarrow,\uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} + E_+\frac{ \uparrow\downarrow,0\rangle- 0,\uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$
$E_-$	$2t\frac{ \uparrow,\downarrow\rangle- \downarrow,\uparrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} + E_-\frac{ \uparrow\downarrow,0\rangle- 0,\uparrow\downarrow\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$
$0$	$ \uparrow,\uparrow\rangle$
$0$	$ \downarrow,\downarrow\rangle$

## 6 Applying the RG on the Hubbard dimer (momentum space)

To write the Hamiltonian in momentum space, note that the allowed values of the momentum are  $k_n = n\pi = 0, \pi$ . Using these modes, the creation and annihilation operators can be Fourier transformed:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{1,\sigma} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (c_{0,\sigma} + c_{\pi,\sigma}) \\ c_{2,\sigma} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (c_{0,\sigma} - c_{\pi,\sigma}) \end{aligned} \quad (187)$$

In terms of these operators, the Hubbard Hamiltonian becomes

$$\mathcal{H} = t \sum_{\sigma} (\hat{n}_{\pi,\sigma} - \hat{n}_{0,\sigma}) + \frac{U}{2} \prod_{\sigma} [\hat{n}_{0,\sigma} + \hat{n}_{\pi,\sigma}] + \frac{U}{2} \prod_{\sigma} [c_{0,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\pi,\sigma} + c_{\pi,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{0,\sigma}] \quad (188)$$

Disentangle  $\pi \uparrow$ .

$$H_e = Tr [\mathcal{H} \hat{n}_{\pi,\uparrow}] = t (\hat{n}_{\pi\downarrow} + 1 - \hat{n}_{0\uparrow} - \hat{n}_{0\downarrow}) + \frac{U}{2} (1 + \hat{n}_{0\uparrow}) (\hat{n}_{0\downarrow} + \hat{n}_{\pi\downarrow}) \quad (189)$$

$$H_h = Tr [\mathcal{H} (1 - \hat{n}_{\pi,\uparrow})] = t (\hat{n}_{\pi\downarrow} - \hat{n}_{0\uparrow} - \hat{n}_{0\downarrow}) + \frac{U}{2} \hat{n}_{0\uparrow} (\hat{n}_{0\downarrow} + \hat{n}_{\pi\downarrow}) \quad (190)$$

$$T = Tr [\mathcal{H}_{\pi\uparrow}] = \frac{U}{2} c_{0\uparrow}^{\dagger} (c_{0\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{\pi\downarrow} + c_{\pi\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{0\downarrow}) \quad (191)$$

$$\eta^{\dagger} = G_e c_{\pi\uparrow}^{\dagger} T = \frac{U}{2} \frac{1}{(E - H_e) \hat{n}_{\pi\uparrow}} c_{\pi\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{0\uparrow} \left( c_{0\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{\pi\downarrow} + c_{\pi\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{0\downarrow} \right) \quad (192)$$

$$\eta = G_h T^{\dagger} c_{\pi\uparrow} = \frac{U}{2} \frac{1}{(E - H_h) (1 - \hat{n}_{\pi\uparrow})} \left( c_{0\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{\pi\downarrow} + c_{\pi\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{0\downarrow} \right) c_{0\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{\pi\uparrow} \quad (193)$$

$$(194)$$

The working equations for the occupied and unoccupied subspaces become (using  $\eta^{\dagger} \eta = \hat{n}$  and  $\eta \eta^{\dagger} = 1 - \hat{n}$ )

- occupied:  $(E - H_e)^2 = \frac{U^2}{2} (1 - \hat{n}_{0\uparrow}) \left( c_{0\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{\pi\downarrow} + c_{\pi\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{0\downarrow} \right)^2$

- vacant:  $(E - H_h)^2 = \frac{U^2}{2} \hat{n}_{0\uparrow} \left( c_{0\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{\pi\downarrow} + c_{\pi\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{0\downarrow} \right)^2$

The notation to be used is  $|\hat{n}_{\pi,\uparrow}, \hat{n}_{\pi,\downarrow}, \hat{n}_{0,\uparrow}, \hat{n}_{0,\downarrow}\rangle$ .

## 6.1 $N = 1$

$\mathcal{P} = |0100\rangle \langle 0100| + |0001\rangle \langle 0001|$  ( use vacant equation)

$$\hat{n}_{0\uparrow} = 0 \quad (195)$$

$$H_h = t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (196)$$

$$\implies E = H_h = \pm t \quad (197)$$

$\eta^\dagger \propto c_{0\uparrow}$ . There is no  $0 \uparrow$  electron in this subspace. So  $U^\dagger = I$ . Hence the eigenstates are  $|\downarrow, 0\rangle$  and  $|0, \downarrow\rangle$ .

$\mathcal{P} = |0010\rangle \langle 0010|$  ( use vacant equation)

$$H_h = -t \implies E = H_h = -t \quad (198)$$

$\eta^\dagger \propto c_{\pi\downarrow}, c_{0\downarrow}$ . No such electron in this subspace. Hence,  $U^\dagger = I$ . Eigenstate is  $|0, \uparrow\rangle$ .

$\mathcal{P} = |1000\rangle \langle 1000|$  ( use occupied equation)

$$c_{0\downarrow}^\dagger c_{\pi\downarrow} + c_{\pi\downarrow}^\dagger c_{0\downarrow} = 0 \quad (199)$$

$$H_e = t \implies E = H_e = t \quad (200)$$

$\eta \propto c_{\pi\downarrow}, c_{0\downarrow}$ . Hence eigenstate is  $|\uparrow, 0\rangle$ .

t	$ \downarrow, 0\rangle$
-t	$ 0, \downarrow\rangle$
t	$ \uparrow, 0\rangle$
-t	$ 0, \uparrow\rangle$

## 6.2 $N = 2$

$\mathcal{P} = |1010\rangle \langle 1010|$  ( use occupied equation)

$$1 - \hat{n}_{0\uparrow} = 0 \quad (201)$$

$$H_e = 0 \quad (202)$$

$$\implies E = H_e = 0 \quad (203)$$



$\eta \propto c_{0\uparrow}^\dagger$ . Since  $0\uparrow$  is already occupied,  $U^\dagger = I$ . Hence the eigenstates are  $|\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle$  and  $|\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ .

$\mathcal{P} = |0101\rangle \langle 0101|$  (use vacant equation)

$$H_h = 0 \implies E = H_h = 0 \quad (204)$$

$\eta \propto c_{\pi\downarrow}^\dagger, c_{0\downarrow}^\dagger$ . These states are filled. Hence,  $U^\dagger = I$ . Eigenstate is  $|\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle$ .

$\mathcal{P} = |1001\rangle \langle 1001|$  ( use occupied equation)

$$(c_{0\downarrow}^\dagger c_{\pi\downarrow} + c_{\pi\downarrow}^\dagger c_{0\downarrow})^2 = 1 \quad (205)$$

$$H_e = \frac{U}{2} \implies E = H_e \pm \frac{U}{2} = U, 0 \quad (206)$$

$\eta \propto c_{\pi\downarrow}^\dagger, c_{0\downarrow}^\dagger$ . These states are filled. Hence,  $U^\dagger = I$ . Eigenstate is  $|\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ .

$\mathcal{P} = |1100\rangle \langle 1100|$  (use occupied equation)

$$(c_{0\downarrow}^\dagger c_{\pi\downarrow} + c_{\pi\downarrow}^\dagger c_{0\downarrow})^2 = 1 \quad (207)$$

$$H_e = 2t + \frac{U}{2} \implies E = H_e \pm \frac{U}{2} = 2t + \frac{U}{2} \pm \frac{U}{2} \quad (208)$$

But there's a catch here. If I carry out the same calculation using the vacant counterpart to this state ( $|0011\rangle$ ), I get a different equation:

$$E = -2t + \frac{U}{2} \pm \frac{U}{2} \quad (209)$$

These two equations should be the same because they are rotated versions of the same eigenstates. That means that we have to take the two states together. The combined equation in this subspace becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{U^2}{4} (c_{0\downarrow}^\dagger c_{\pi\downarrow} + c_{\pi\downarrow}^\dagger c_{0\downarrow})^2 &= \frac{U^2}{4} = \left( \frac{U\sigma_x}{2} \right)^2 \\ E &= \frac{U}{2} + \begin{pmatrix} 2t & 0 \\ 0 & -2t \end{pmatrix} \pm \frac{U}{2} \sigma_x \end{aligned} \quad (210)$$

Choosing the minus sign (either will work),

$$E = \frac{U}{2} + \begin{pmatrix} 2t & -\frac{U}{2} \\ -\frac{U}{2} & -2t \end{pmatrix} \quad (211)$$

The eigenvalues are  $\frac{U \pm \Delta(U,t)}{2}$ . Eigenstates are  $\frac{U}{2} |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle + (2t \pm \Delta) |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ .

0,0	$ \uparrow, \uparrow\rangle,  \downarrow, \downarrow\rangle$
0,U	$ \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle +  \downarrow, \uparrow\rangle,  \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle -  \downarrow, \uparrow\rangle$
$\frac{U \pm \Delta}{2}$	$\frac{U}{2}  \uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle + (2t \pm \Delta)  0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$

### 6.3 $N = 3$

$\mathcal{P} = |1110\rangle \langle 1110| + |1011\rangle \langle 1011|$  ( use occupied equation)

$$1 - \hat{n}_{0\uparrow} = 0 \quad (212)$$

$$H_e = \frac{U}{2} + t \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (213)$$

$$\implies E = H_e = U \pm t \quad (214)$$

$\eta \propto c_{0\uparrow}^\dagger$ . Since  $0 \uparrow$  is already occupied,  $U^\dagger = I$ . Hence the eigenstates are  $|\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle$  and  $|\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ .

$\mathcal{P} = |1101\rangle \langle 1101|$  ( use occupied equation)

$$H_e = U + t \implies E = H_e = U + t \quad (215)$$

$\eta \propto c_{\pi\downarrow}^\dagger, c_{0\downarrow}^\dagger$ . These states are filled. Hence,  $U^\dagger = I$ . Eigenstate is  $|\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle$ .

$\mathcal{P} = |0111\rangle \langle 0111|$  ( use vacant equation)

$$c_{0\downarrow}^\dagger c_{\pi\downarrow} + c_{\pi\downarrow}^\dagger c_{0\downarrow} = 0 \quad (216)$$

$$H_h = U - t \implies E = H_h = U - t \quad (217)$$

$\eta \propto c_{\pi\downarrow}^\dagger, c_{0\downarrow}^\dagger$ . These states are filled. Hence,  $U^\dagger = I$ . Eigenstate is  $|\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ .

U+t	$ \uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle$
U-t	$ \uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$
U+t	$ \uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle$
U-t	$ \downarrow, \uparrow\uparrow\rangle$

## 7 Applying the RG on the Anderson molecule (real-space)

$$\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{\sigma} (c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma} + c_{2\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{1\sigma}) + U \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} \hat{n}_{1\downarrow} + \epsilon_d (\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{1\downarrow}) + \epsilon_s (\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{2\downarrow}) \quad (218)$$

For this problem, we need to disentangle both  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}$  and  $\hat{n}_{1\downarrow}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} H_{e,1\uparrow} &= -t(c_{2\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{1\downarrow} + c_{1\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{2\downarrow}) + U \hat{n}_{1\downarrow} + \epsilon_d (1 + \hat{n}_{1\downarrow}) + \epsilon_s (\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{2\downarrow}) \\ H_{h,1\uparrow} &= -t(c_{2\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{1\downarrow} + c_{1\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{2\downarrow}) + \epsilon_d \hat{n}_{1\downarrow} + \epsilon_s (\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{2\downarrow}) \\ \hat{T}_{1\uparrow} &= -t c_{2\uparrow} \\ \Rightarrow \hat{T}_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger} &= -t c_{2\uparrow}^{\dagger} \\ \eta_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger} &= \frac{-t}{\hat{E} \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} - H_e \hat{n}_{1\uparrow}} c_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{2\uparrow} \\ \eta_{1\uparrow} &= \frac{-t}{\hat{E}' (1 - \hat{n}_{1\uparrow}) - H_h (1 - \hat{n}_{1\uparrow})} c_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{2\uparrow} \end{aligned} \quad (219)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{e,1\downarrow} &= -t(c_{2\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{1\uparrow} + c_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{2\uparrow}) + U \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} + \epsilon_d (1 + \hat{n}_{1\uparrow}) + \epsilon_s (\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{2\downarrow}) \\ H_{h,1\downarrow} &= -t(c_{2\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{1\uparrow} + c_{1\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{2\uparrow}) + \epsilon_d \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} + \epsilon_s (\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{2\downarrow}) \\ \hat{T}_{1\downarrow} &= -t c_{2\downarrow} \\ \Rightarrow \hat{T}_{1\downarrow}^{\dagger} &= -t c_{2\downarrow}^{\dagger} \\ \eta_{1\downarrow}^{\dagger} &= \frac{-t}{\hat{E} \hat{n}_{1\downarrow} - H_e \hat{n}_{1\downarrow}} c_{1\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{2\downarrow} \\ \eta_{1\downarrow} &= \frac{-t}{\hat{E}' (1 - \hat{n}_{1\downarrow}) - H_h (1 - \hat{n}_{1\downarrow})} c_{1\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{2\downarrow} \end{aligned} \quad (220)$$

The equation for  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 0$  is

$$t^2 \hat{n}_{2\uparrow} = \left[ E' - \epsilon_d \hat{n}_{1\downarrow} - \epsilon_s (\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{2\downarrow}) + t(c_{1\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{2\downarrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{1\downarrow}) \right]^2 \quad (221)$$

The equation for  $\hat{n}_{1\downarrow} = 0$  is

$$t^2 \hat{n}_{2\downarrow} = \left[ E' - \epsilon_d \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} - \epsilon_s (\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{2\downarrow}) + t(c_{1\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2\uparrow} + c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow}) \right]^2 \quad (222)$$

## 7.1 $N = 1$

- $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 0 : \mathcal{P} = |0100\rangle \langle 0100| + |0001\rangle \langle 0001|$

$$\epsilon_d \hat{n}_{1\downarrow} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (223)$$

$$\epsilon_s (\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{2\downarrow}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_s \end{pmatrix} \quad (224)$$

$$t(c_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (225)$$

$$t^2 \hat{n}_{2\uparrow} = 0 \quad (226)$$

$$\Rightarrow E = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_d & -t \\ -t & \epsilon_s \end{pmatrix} \quad (227)$$

The eigenvalues are  $\frac{\epsilon_s + \epsilon_d}{2} \pm \frac{\Delta}{4}$ , with eigenvectors  $t|\downarrow, 0\rangle - (\frac{\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d}{2} \pm \frac{\Delta}{4})|0, \downarrow\rangle$ , where  $\Delta(t) = 2\sqrt{(\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d)^2 + 4t^2}$ . Now,  $\eta^\dagger = c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} \frac{-t}{E - H_h}$ , but since both the basis kets have  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 0$ ,  $\eta^\dagger$  will act to give 0. Therefore,

$$U^\dagger(t|\downarrow, 0\rangle - (\frac{\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d}{2} \pm \frac{\Delta}{4})|0, \downarrow\rangle) = t|\downarrow, 0\rangle - (\frac{\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d}{2} \pm \frac{\Delta}{4})|0, \downarrow\rangle \quad (228)$$

This is the final eigenstate.

- $\hat{n}_{1\downarrow} = 0 : \mathcal{P} = |1000\rangle \langle 1000| + |0010\rangle \langle 0010|$

$$\epsilon_d \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (229)$$

$$\epsilon_s (\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{2\downarrow}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_s \end{pmatrix} \quad (230)$$

$$t(c_{1\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2\uparrow} + c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (231)$$

$$t^2 \hat{n}_{2\downarrow} = 0 \quad (232)$$

$$\implies E = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_d & -t \\ -t & \epsilon_s \end{pmatrix} \quad (233)$$

The eigenvalues are  $\frac{\epsilon_s + \epsilon_d}{2} \pm \frac{\Delta}{4}$ , with eigenvectors  $t|\uparrow, 0\rangle - (\frac{\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d}{2} \pm \frac{\Delta}{4})|0, \uparrow\rangle$ . Now,  $\eta^\dagger = c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow} \frac{-t}{E - H_h}$ , but since both the basis kets have  $\hat{n}_{1\downarrow} = 0$ ,  $\eta^\dagger$  will act to give 0. Therefore,

$$U^\dagger(t|\uparrow, 0\rangle - (\frac{\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d}{2} \pm \frac{\Delta}{4})|0, \uparrow\rangle) = t|\uparrow, 0\rangle - (\frac{\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d}{2} \pm \frac{\Delta}{4})|0, \uparrow\rangle \quad (234)$$

This is the final eigenstate.

E.V.	E.S.	$\omega$
$\frac{\epsilon_s + \epsilon_d}{2} \pm \frac{\Delta}{4}$	$t \uparrow, 0\rangle - (\frac{\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d}{2} \pm \frac{\Delta}{4}) 0, \uparrow\rangle$	—
$\frac{\epsilon_s + \epsilon_d}{2} \pm \frac{\Delta}{4}$	$t \downarrow, 0\rangle - (\frac{\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d}{2} \pm \frac{\Delta}{4}) 0, \downarrow\rangle$	—

## 7.2 $N = 2$

- $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1 : \mathcal{P} = |1100\rangle\langle 1100| + |1001\rangle\langle 1001|$

$$U\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}\hat{n}_{1\downarrow} = \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (235)$$

$$\epsilon_d(\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{1\downarrow}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\epsilon_d & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_d \end{pmatrix} \quad (236)$$

$$\epsilon_s(\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{2\downarrow}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_s \end{pmatrix} \quad (237)$$

$$t(c_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -t \\ -t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (238)$$

$$t^2(1 - \hat{n}_{2\uparrow}) = t^2 a_x^2 \quad (239)$$

$$\Rightarrow E = \begin{pmatrix} U + 2\epsilon_d & 2t \\ 2t & \epsilon_d + \epsilon_s \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} U + 2\epsilon_d & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_d + \epsilon_s \end{pmatrix} \quad (240)$$

The first matrix gives eigenvalues  $\frac{3\epsilon_d + \epsilon_s + U \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d - U)^2 + 16t^2}}{2}$  and eigenvectors  $2t|\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle + \frac{\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d - U \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d - U)^2 + 16t^2}}{2}|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle$ . Now,  $\eta = c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} \frac{-t}{E - H_e} = -c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} \hat{a}_x$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & U^\dagger \left( 2t|\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle + \frac{\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d - U \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d - U)^2 + 16t^2}}{2} |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle \right) \\ &= (1 - c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} \hat{a}_x) \left( 2t|\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle + \frac{\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d - U \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d - U)^2 + 16t^2}}{2} |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle \right) \\ &= \frac{\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d - U \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d - U)^2 + 16t^2}}{2} (|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle) + 2t(|\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle - |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (241)$$

These are the final eigenstates. The second matrix is already diagonal; the eigenvalues are  $2\epsilon_d + U, \epsilon_s + \epsilon_d$ , with eigenvectors  $|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle$ . Here,  $\eta = c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} \hat{a}_x$ . Therefore,

$$U^\dagger \{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle\} = (1 + c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow} \hat{a}_x) \{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle\} = \{|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle + |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle\}. \quad (242)$$

These are the final eigenstates.

- $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 0 : \mathcal{P} = |0101\rangle \langle 0101|$

$$\epsilon_d \hat{n}_{1\downarrow} = \epsilon_d \quad (243)$$

$$\epsilon_s (\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{2\downarrow}) = \epsilon_s \quad (244)$$

$$t(c_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow}) = 0 \quad (245)$$

$$t^2 \hat{n}_{2\uparrow} = 0 \quad (246)$$

$$\implies E = \epsilon_d + \epsilon_s \quad (247)$$

The eigenvalue is  $\epsilon_d + \epsilon_s$  with eigenvector  $|\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle$ .

- $\hat{n}_{1\downarrow} = 0 : \mathcal{P} = |1010\rangle \langle 1010|$

$$\epsilon_d \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = \epsilon_d \quad (248)$$

$$\epsilon_s (\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{2\downarrow}) = \epsilon_s \quad (249)$$

$$t(c_{1\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2\uparrow} + c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow}) = 0 \quad (250)$$

$$t^2 \hat{n}_{2\uparrow} = 0 \quad (251)$$

$$\implies E = \epsilon_d + \epsilon_s \quad (252)$$

The eigenvalue is  $\epsilon_d + \epsilon_s$  with eigenvector  $|\uparrow, \uparrow\rangle$ .

For these last two subspaces,  $U^\dagger = 1 - \eta^\dagger$ . For the  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 0$  case,  $\eta^\dagger \propto c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow}$ , but the state has  $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 0$ . Similarly, for the last case,  $\eta^\dagger \propto c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow}$ , but the state has  $\hat{n}_{1\downarrow} = 0$ . Therefore, the final eigenstates for these cases remain unchanged.

E.V.	E.S.	$\omega$
$\epsilon_d + \epsilon_s$	$ \downarrow, \downarrow\rangle$	—
$\epsilon_d + \epsilon_s$	$ \uparrow, \uparrow\rangle$	—
$2\epsilon_d + U$	$ \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle +  \downarrow, \uparrow\rangle$	—
$\epsilon_s + \epsilon_d$	$ \uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle +  0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$	—
$\frac{3\epsilon_d + \epsilon_s + U \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d - U)^2 + 16t^2}}{2}$	$\frac{\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d - U \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d - U)^2 + 16t^2}}{2} ( \uparrow, \downarrow\rangle -  \downarrow, \uparrow\rangle) + 2t( \uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle -  0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle)$	—

### 7.3 $N = 3$

- $\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} = 1 : \mathcal{P} = |1110\rangle \langle 1110| + |1011\rangle \langle 1011|$

$$U\hat{n}_{1\uparrow}\hat{n}_{1\downarrow} = \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (253)$$

$$\epsilon_d(\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{1\downarrow}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\epsilon_d & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_d \end{pmatrix} \quad (254)$$

$$\epsilon_s(\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{2\downarrow}) = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_s & 0 \\ 0 & 2\epsilon_s \end{pmatrix} \quad (255)$$

$$t(c_{1\downarrow}^\dagger c_{2\downarrow} + c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (256)$$

$$t^2(1 - \hat{n}_{2\uparrow}) = 0 \quad (257)$$

$$\Rightarrow E = \begin{pmatrix} 2\epsilon_d + \epsilon_s + U & -t \\ -t & \epsilon_d + 2\epsilon_s \end{pmatrix} \quad (258)$$

The eigenvalues are  $\frac{3(\epsilon_s + \epsilon_d) + U \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d - U)^2 + 4t^2}}{2}$  and eigenvectors are  $t|\uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle - \frac{\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d + U \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d)^2 + 4t^2}}{2}|\uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ . Here,  $\eta \propto c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow}$ . But, for these states,  $\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} = 1$ , hence  $c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger$  will give zero. Therefore, the final states remain unchanged.



- $\hat{n}_{1\downarrow} = 1 : \mathcal{P} = |1101\rangle \langle 1101| + |0111\rangle \langle 0111|$

$$U \hat{n}_{1\uparrow} \hat{n}_{1\downarrow} = \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (259)$$

$$\epsilon_d(\hat{n}_{1\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{1\downarrow}) = \begin{pmatrix} 2\epsilon_d & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_d \end{pmatrix} \quad (260)$$

$$\epsilon_s(\hat{n}_{2\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{2\downarrow}) = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_s & 0 \\ 0 & 2\epsilon_s \end{pmatrix} \quad (261)$$

$$t(c_{1\uparrow}^\dagger c_{2\uparrow} + c_{2\uparrow}^\dagger c_{1\uparrow}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ t & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (262)$$

$$t^2(1 - \hat{n}_{2\downarrow}) = 0 \quad (263)$$

$$\Rightarrow E = \begin{pmatrix} 2\epsilon_d + \epsilon_s + U & -t \\ -t & \epsilon_d + 2\epsilon_s \end{pmatrix} \quad (264)$$

The eigenvalues are  $\frac{3(\epsilon_s + \epsilon_d) + U \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d - U)^2 + 4t^2}}{2}$  and eigenvectors are  $t|\uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle - \frac{\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d - U \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d)^2 + 4t^2}}{2}|\downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ . Here,  $\eta \propto c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger c_{1\downarrow}$ . But, for these states,  $\hat{n}_{2\downarrow} = 1$ , hence  $c_{2\downarrow}^\dagger$  will give zero. Therefore, the final states remain unchanged.

E.V.	E.S.	$\omega$
$\frac{3(\epsilon_s + \epsilon_d) + U \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d - U)^2 + 4t^2}}{2}$	$t \uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle - \frac{\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d - U \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d)^2 + 4t^2}}{2} \downarrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$	—
$\frac{3(\epsilon_s + \epsilon_d) + U \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d - U)^2 + 4t^2}}{2}$	$t \uparrow\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle - \frac{\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d + U \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon_s - \epsilon_d)^2 + 4t^2}}{2} \uparrow, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$	—

The route via the URG, on the other hand, proceeds in the following way:

## 8 Applying the RG on the Anderson molecule (momentum-space)

Similar to the Hubbard molecule, we can transform the Anderson molecule Hamiltonian to the momentum-space by using the Fourier-transformed creation and annihilation operators. Without the translational invariance of the Hubbard dimer, the Hamiltonian is very complicated in the momentum space, so I set  $\epsilon_s = 0$  and  $\epsilon_d = -\frac{U}{2}$ . The momentum-space Hamiltonian then comes out as

$$\mathcal{H} = t(n_\pi - n_0) - \frac{U}{8}(n_\uparrow - n_\downarrow)^2 - \frac{U}{4}(n_\uparrow - n_\downarrow)(c_{0\uparrow}^\dagger c_{\pi\uparrow} + c_{\pi\uparrow}^\dagger c_{0\uparrow} - c_{0\downarrow}^\dagger c_{\pi\downarrow} - c_{\pi\downarrow}^\dagger c_{0\downarrow}) - \frac{U}{8}(c_{0\uparrow}^\dagger c_{\pi\uparrow} + c_{\pi\uparrow}^\dagger c_{0\uparrow} - c_{0\downarrow}^\dagger c_{\pi\downarrow} - c_{\pi\downarrow}^\dagger c_{0\downarrow})^2 \quad (265)$$

where  $n_0 = n_{0\uparrow} + n_{0\downarrow}$  and  $n_\uparrow = n_{0\uparrow} + n_{\pi\uparrow}$ . Disentangling the  $\pi \uparrow$  degree of freedom:

$$H_e = t(1 + n_{\pi\downarrow} - n_0) + \frac{U}{4} \left[ n_{0\uparrow}(n_\downarrow - 1 - c_{0\downarrow}^\dagger c_{\pi\downarrow} - c_{\pi\downarrow}^\dagger c_{0\downarrow}) - 1 \right] \quad (266)$$

$$H_h = t(n_{\pi\downarrow} - n_0) + \frac{U}{4} \left[ n_{0\uparrow}n_\downarrow + (n_{0\uparrow} - 1)(c_{0\downarrow}^\dagger c_{\pi\downarrow} + c_{\pi\downarrow}^\dagger c_{0\downarrow}) - n_0 - n_{\pi\downarrow} \right] \quad (267)$$

The working equation (using  $\eta^\dagger \eta = \hat{n}$ ) becomes

$$(E - H_e)^2 = \left( \frac{U}{4} \right)^2 (1 - n_{0\uparrow})(n_\downarrow + c_{0\downarrow}^\dagger c_{\pi\downarrow} + c_{\pi\downarrow}^\dagger c_{0\downarrow} - 1)^2 \quad (268)$$

$$(E' - H_h)^2 = \left( \frac{U}{4} \right)^2 n_{0\uparrow}(n_\downarrow + c_{0\downarrow}^\dagger c_{\pi\downarrow} + c_{\pi\downarrow}^\dagger c_{0\downarrow} - 1)^2 \quad (269)$$

Similarly, the equation for disentangling  $\pi \downarrow$  is

$$(E - H_e)^2 = \left( \frac{U}{4} \right)^2 (1 - n_{0\downarrow})(n_\uparrow + c_{0\uparrow}^\dagger c_{\pi\uparrow} + c_{\pi\uparrow}^\dagger c_{0\uparrow} - 1)^2 \quad (270)$$

$$(E' - H_h)^2 = \left( \frac{U}{4} \right)^2 n_{0\downarrow}(n_\uparrow + c_{0\uparrow}^\dagger c_{\pi\uparrow} + c_{\pi\uparrow}^\dagger c_{0\uparrow} - 1)^2 \quad (271)$$

## 8.1 N = 1

$$\mathcal{P} = |0100\rangle \langle 0100| + |0001\rangle \langle 0001| \quad (272)$$

$$n_{0\uparrow} = 0 \implies \text{RHS} = 0 \quad (273)$$

$$\implies E = H_h = -\frac{U}{4} + \begin{pmatrix} t & -\frac{U}{4} \\ -\frac{U}{4} & t \end{pmatrix} \quad (274)$$

The eigenvalues are  $\frac{-U \pm \Delta}{4}$ .

$$\mathcal{P} = |1000\rangle \langle 1000| + |0010\rangle \langle 0010| \quad (275)$$

$$n_{0\downarrow} = 0 \implies \text{RHS} = 0 \quad (276)$$

$$\implies E = H_h = -\frac{U}{4} + \begin{pmatrix} t & -\frac{U}{4} \\ -\frac{U}{4} & t \end{pmatrix} \quad (277)$$

The eigenvalues are  $\frac{-U \pm \Delta}{4}$ .

## 8.2 N = 2

$$\mathcal{P} = |1100\rangle \langle 1100| + |0011\rangle \langle 0011| \quad (278)$$

$$\text{RHS} = \left(\frac{U}{4}\right)^2 \quad (279)$$

$$\implies E = H_e \pm \frac{U}{4}\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 2t & \pm\frac{U}{4} \\ \pm\frac{U}{4} & -2t \end{pmatrix} \quad (280)$$

The eigenvalues are  $-\frac{U}{4} \pm \frac{\Delta(\frac{U}{2})}{2}$ .

$$\mathcal{P} = |1001\rangle \langle 1001| + |0110\rangle \langle 0110| \quad (281)$$

$$\text{RHS} = \left(\frac{U}{4}\right)^2 \quad (282)$$

$$\implies E = H_e \pm \frac{U}{4}\sigma_x = -\frac{U}{4} + \begin{pmatrix} & \pm\frac{U}{4} \\ \pm\frac{U}{4} & \end{pmatrix} \quad (283)$$

The eigenvalues are  $0, -\frac{U}{2}$ .

$$\mathcal{P} = |0011\rangle \langle 0011| \quad (284)$$

$$\text{RHS} = 0 \quad (285)$$

$$\implies E = H_e = -\frac{U}{2} \quad (286)$$

$$\mathcal{P} = |1010\rangle \langle 1010| \quad (287)$$

$$\text{RHS} = 0 \quad (288)$$

$$\implies E = H_e = -\frac{U}{2} \quad (289)$$

The final eigenvalues are both  $-\frac{U}{2}$ .

### 8.3 $\mathbf{N} = 3$

$$\mathcal{P} = |1110\rangle \langle 1110| + |1011\rangle \langle 1011| \quad (290)$$

$$\text{RHS} = 0 \quad (291)$$

$$\implies E = H_e = -\frac{U}{4} + \begin{pmatrix} t & \frac{U}{4} \\ \frac{U}{4} & -t \end{pmatrix} \quad (292)$$

The eigenvalues are  $-\frac{U}{4} \pm \frac{\Delta}{4}$ .

$$\mathcal{P} = |1101\rangle \langle 1101| + |0111\rangle \langle 0111| \quad (293)$$

$$\text{RHS} = 0 \quad (294)$$

$$\implies E = H_e = -\frac{U}{4} + \begin{pmatrix} t & -\frac{U}{4} \\ -\frac{U}{4} & -t \end{pmatrix} \quad (295)$$

The eigenvalues are  $-\frac{U}{4} \pm \frac{\Delta}{4}$ .

## 9 Comparison of Schrieffer-Wolff transformation and URG

### 9.1 The Schrieffer-Wolff transformation

The general method of Schrieffer-Wolff transformation involves defining a unitarily transformed Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = e^{-\lambda \hat{S}} \mathcal{H} e^{\lambda \hat{S}} \quad (296)$$

where  $\mathcal{H} = H_0 + V$ ,  $H_0$  is the diagonal part (with known eigenstates) and  $V$  is the perturbation. Unitarity of the transformation requires  $\hat{S}^\dagger = -\hat{S}$ . Expanding  $\mathcal{H}_{eff}$  upto second order in  $\lambda$  gives

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} \simeq H_0 + \lambda \left( V + [H_0, \hat{S}] \right) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \left( [V, \hat{S}] + [[H_0, \hat{S}], \hat{S}] \right) \quad (297)$$

To extract the low energy physics, we set the first order term to zero, giving us the condition

$$[\hat{S}, H_0] = -V \quad (298)$$

The effective Hamiltonian then simplifies to

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} \simeq H_0 + \frac{1}{2} [V, \hat{S}] \quad (299)$$

To find  $\hat{S}$ , take the inner product of eq. 298 between  $\langle \alpha |$  and  $|\beta \rangle$ . The mentioned kets are eigenstates of  $H_0$  with eigenvalues  $E_{\alpha, \beta}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\alpha\beta} &= \langle \alpha | (H_0 \hat{S} - \hat{S} H_0) | \beta \rangle \\ \implies S_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{V_{\alpha\beta}}{E_\alpha - E_\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (300)$$

Again taking the matrix element of eq. 299 between  $\langle \alpha |$  and  $|\beta \rangle$ , we get

$$(\mathcal{H}_{eff})_{\alpha\beta} = E_\alpha \delta_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_\gamma V_{\alpha\gamma} V_{\gamma\beta} \left( \frac{1}{E_\alpha - E_\gamma} - \frac{1}{E_\gamma - E_\beta} \right) \quad (301)$$

### 9.2 Anderson Molecule

I take the simplified case where I set the chemical potential  $\epsilon_s$  to 0, and for particle-hole symmetry put  $\epsilon_d = -\frac{U}{2}$ . We then have

$$H_0 = U n_{1\uparrow} n_{1\downarrow} - \frac{U}{2} n_1 \quad V = -t \sum_\sigma \left( c_{1\sigma}^\dagger c_{2\sigma} + c_{2\sigma}^\dagger c_{1\sigma} \right) \quad (302)$$

Eq. 299 then gives the SW-transformed Hamiltonian. The journey is shown below.

$$\mathcal{H}(\text{exact}) = \begin{pmatrix} |\uparrow, \uparrow\rangle & |\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle & |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle & |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle & |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle & |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle \\ 2\epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2} & & & & & \\ & 2\epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2} & & & & \\ & & 2\epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2} & & t & -t \\ & & & 2\epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2} & -t & t \\ & & t & -t & 2\epsilon_d + U & \\ & -t & t & & & 2\epsilon_d + U \end{pmatrix} \quad (303)$$

$$\downarrow \text{SWT} \quad (304)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{U}{2} & & & & & \\ & -\frac{U}{2} & & & & \\ & & -\frac{U}{2} - \frac{4t^2}{U} & \frac{4t^2}{U} & & \\ & & \frac{4t^2}{U} & -\frac{U}{2} - \frac{4t^2}{U} & & \\ & & & & \frac{4t^2}{U} & -\frac{4t^2}{U} \\ & & & & -\frac{4t^2}{U} & \frac{4t^2}{U} \end{pmatrix} \quad (305)$$

$$\downarrow \text{diag.} \quad (306)$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{U}{2} & & & & & \\ & -\frac{U}{2} & & & & \\ & & -\frac{U}{2} & & & \\ & & & -\frac{U}{2} - \frac{8t^2}{U} & & \\ & & & & 0 & \\ & & & & & \frac{8t^2}{U} \end{pmatrix} \quad (307)$$

In the final matrix, the first four states form the lower band, with energies around  $-\frac{U}{2}$ , and the last two form the upper band, with energies around  $\frac{U}{2}$ . They are separated by a gap of  $U$ . The appearance of  $\frac{t^2}{U}$  means that this is equivalent to performing a second-order perturbation-theoretic calculation in the parameter  $\frac{t}{U}$ .

**The Unitary RG** On the other hand, the path via the URG goes as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{H}(\text{exact}) &= \begin{pmatrix}
 |\uparrow, \uparrow\rangle & |\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle & |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle & |\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle & |\uparrow\downarrow, 0\rangle & |0, \uparrow\downarrow\rangle \\
 2\epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2} & & & & & \\
 & 2\epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2} & & & & \\
 & & 2\epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2} & & t & -t \\
 & & & 2\epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2} & -t & t \\
 & t & -t & 2\epsilon_d + U & & \\
 & -t & t & & & 2\epsilon_d + U
 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &\quad \downarrow \text{URG} \\
 &\begin{pmatrix}
 2\epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2} & & & & & \\
 & 2\epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2} & & & & \\
 & & 2\epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2} & 2t & & \\
 & & 2t & 2\epsilon_d + U & & \\
 & & & & 2\epsilon_d + \frac{U}{2} & \\
 & & & & & 2\epsilon_d + U
 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &\quad \downarrow \epsilon_d = -\frac{U}{2} \text{ (particle-hole symmetry)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{U}{2} & & & & \\ & -\frac{U}{2} & & & \\ & & -\frac{U}{2} & 2t & \\ & & 2t & 0 & \\ & & & & -\frac{U}{2} \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \text{diag.} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{U}{2} & & & & \\ & -\frac{U}{2} & & & \\ & & \frac{-U-\Delta(2t)}{4} & & \\ & & & \frac{-U+\Delta(2t)}{4} & \\ & & & & -\frac{U}{2} \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- First thing to note is that the eigenvalues and vectors are preserved in this process, because the transformations are unitary (instead of perturbative).
- Another thing to note is that under the action of the RG, the matrix has become block-diagonalized; there are three  $2 \times 2$  disconnected blocks; the top and bottom blocks are diagonal, the middle one is not.
- Thirdly, since the process is non-perturbative, the upper and lower bands are not yet completely manifest; they appear only in the limit of large  $U$ . Most of the states are already present though, as seen in the already-diagonal blocks. Applying that limit on the exact eigenstates obtained from the middle block do indeed give the remaining states of the lower and upper bands, that is,  $-\frac{U}{2} - \frac{8t^2}{U}$ ,  $\frac{U}{2} + \frac{8t^2}{U}$ .

### 9.3 Hubbard dimer

For the Hubbard dimer,

$$H_0 = U \sum_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} \quad V = -t \sum_{\sigma} \left( c_{1\sigma}^\dagger c_{2\sigma} + c_{2\sigma}^\dagger c_{1\sigma} \right) \quad (308)$$

The transformation of the Hubbard Hamiltonian under SWT is shown:

$$H_{\text{exact}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ & 0 & & & \\ & & 0 & -t & t \\ & & & 0 & t & -t \\ -t & t & U & & \\ t & -t & & U \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{SWT}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ & 0 & & & \\ & & -\frac{2t^2}{U} & \frac{2t^2}{U} & \\ & & \frac{2t^2}{U} & -\frac{2t^2}{U} & \\ & & & & U + \frac{2t^2}{U} & -\frac{2t^2}{U} \\ & & & & -\frac{2t^2}{U} & U + \frac{2t^2}{U} \end{pmatrix}$$



$$\begin{array}{c} \downarrow \text{diag.} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ & 0 & & & & \\ & & 0 & & & \\ & & & -\frac{4t^2}{U} & & \\ & & & & U & \\ & & & & & U + \frac{4t^2}{U} \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

As seen in the previous example as well, the SWT block-diagonalises the Hamiltonian into low energy and high energy blocks. The first two blocks form a lower band, with energies around 0. The third block forms the upper band, after a gap of  $U$ . This is done perturbatively, because contributions of third order  $(\frac{t}{U})^3$  and higher are dropped.

### The Unitary RG

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ & 0 & & & & \\ & & 0 & -t & t & \\ & & & 0 & t & -t \\ & & & & & \\ -t & t & U & & & \\ t & -t & & U & & \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{URG}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ & 0 & & & & \\ & & U & 2t & & \\ & & 2t & 0 & & \\ & & & & U & \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{diag.}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ & 0 & & & & \\ & & \frac{U+\Delta}{2} & & & \\ & & & \frac{U-\Delta}{2} & & \\ & & & & U & \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

All orders are kept in the rotation (non-perturbative), as seen in the  $\Delta = \sqrt{U^2 + 16t^2}$ . Taking the limit  $U \gg t$  produces the bands:  $\Delta \simeq U + \frac{8t^2}{U}$ .

## Conclusions

Although a proper estimation of the advantages and problems offered by this method (URG) can only be inferred after applying it to many-body problems, a naive discussion is presented here. One thing that stands out is the ease of obtaining the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions. One just needs to select the proper subspaces and they are done. Compare this with the exact diagonalization method where one may run into complications if they are to diagonalize a  $3 \times 3$  or  $4 \times 4$  block. Even the Anderson molecule, with lower symmetry (and hence higher computational difficulty), which posed some complication during exact diagonalization, was relatively easier to solve using the URG. Schrieffer-Wolff transformation, despite being a neat technique, does not really compare to a method that can give exact solutions. One might argue that the SW transformation filters out the low energy states, but that is also possible using the URG, as shown by Anirban Mukherjee and co. in various problems like the two-dimensional Hubbard model and the quantum kagome antiferromagnet.