

.....

.....

(.....)

.....

# 1 Exact diagonalization of the two-site Hubbard model

## The Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{\sigma} \left( c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma} + c_{2\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{1\sigma} \right) + U \sum_i \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow} - \mu \hat{N}$$

I have two lattice sites, indexed by 1 and 2, occupied by electrons.  $\mu$  is the chemical potential,  $c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger}$  and  $c_{i\sigma}$  are the fermionic creation and annihilation operators at the  $i^{\text{th}}$  site, with spin-index  $\sigma$ .  $\sigma$  can take values  $\uparrow$  and  $\downarrow$ , denoting spin-up and spin-down states respectively.  $\hat{n}_{i\sigma} = c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma}$  is the number operator for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  site and at spin-index  $\sigma$ ; it counts the number of fermions with the designated quantum numbers.  $\hat{N} = \sum_{i\sigma} \hat{n}_{i\sigma}$  is the total number operator; it counts the total number of fermions at all sites and spin-indices.  $t$  is the hopping strength; the more the  $t$ , the more are the electrons likely to hop between sites.  $U$  is the on-site repulsion cost; it represents the increase in energy when two electrons occupy the same site.

## 1.1 Symmetries of the problem

The following operators commute with the Hamiltonian.

### 1. Total number operator:

$$[\mathcal{H}, \hat{N}] = 0$$

The proof is as follows: The last term in the Hamiltonian is the number operator itself. Ignoring that, there are three terms that I need to individually consider.

- $c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma}$

$$\begin{aligned} [c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma}, \hat{N}] &= \sum_{i\sigma'} [c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma}, \hat{n}_{i\sigma'}] \\ &= \sum_{i\sigma'} [c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma}, c_{i\sigma'}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma'}] \\ &= \sum_{i\sigma'} \left( c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} [c_{2\sigma}, c_{i\sigma'}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma'}] + [c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger}, c_{i\sigma'}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma'}] c_{2\sigma} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Because the electrons on different sites are distinguishable, creation and annihilation operators of different sites will commute among themselves.

$$\begin{aligned} [c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma}, \hat{N}] &= \sum_{i\sigma'} \left( c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} \delta_{i,2} [c_{2\sigma}, c_{2\sigma'}^{\dagger} c_{2\sigma'}] + \delta_{i,1} [c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger}, c_{1\sigma'}^{\dagger} c_{1\sigma'}] c_{2\sigma} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma'} \left( c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} \{c_{2\sigma}, c_{2\sigma'}^{\dagger}\} c_{2\sigma'} - c_{1\sigma'}^{\dagger} \{c_{1\sigma'}, c_{1\sigma'}^{\dagger}\} c_{2\sigma} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma'} \left( c_{1\sigma}^{\dagger} \delta_{\sigma,\sigma'} c_{2\sigma'} - c_{1\sigma'}^{\dagger} \delta_{\sigma,\sigma'} c_{2\sigma} \right) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

- $c_{2\sigma}^\dagger c_{1\sigma}$ : Since the operator  $\hat{N}$  is symmetric with respect to the site indices 1 and 2, we can go through the last proof again with the site indices 1 and 2 exchanged and since the proof does not depend on the site indices, this commutator will also be zero.
- $\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}\hat{n}_{i\downarrow}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}\hat{n}_{i\downarrow}, \hat{N}\right] &= \sum_{j\sigma} [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}\hat{n}_{j\downarrow}, \hat{n}_{j\sigma}] \\
&= \sum_{\sigma} [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}\hat{n}_{i\downarrow}, \hat{n}_{i\sigma}] \\
&= \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} [\hat{n}_{i\downarrow}, \hat{n}_{i\uparrow}] + [\hat{n}_{i\uparrow}, \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}] \hat{n}_{i\downarrow} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

2.