

# The Mordell-Faltings theorem

---

<https://seasawher.github.io/kitamado/>  
@seasawher

2019 年 4 月 16 日

# 1 Some basics of algebraic number theory

## Lemma 1.3

**quotation.** Recall that  $(\ , \ )_{\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}}$  is non-degenerate if the Gram matrix with respect to one (and hence any) basis of  $L$  over  $F$  is invertible.

*Proof.* Almost trivial. Try to prove it. □

## Proposition 1.4

**quotation.** Let  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$  be the dual basis of  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  with respect to  $(\ , \ )_{\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}}$ . Then, for any  $x \in O_K$ , we have  $x = (x, \alpha_1)_{\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}} \beta_1 + \dots + (x, \alpha_n)_{\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}} \beta_n$ .

*Proof.* Since the trace form  $(\ , \ )_{\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}}$  is degenerate,  $(\ , \alpha_i)_{\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}}$  are linearly independent in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}}(K, \mathbb{Q}) = K^*$  and form  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis of  $K^*$ .

Let  $p_i: K \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  be a projection map such that  $p_i(x_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + x_n \alpha_n) = x_i$ . There are  $\beta_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$p_i = \sum_{j=1}^n (\ , \alpha_j)_{\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}} \beta_{ij}.$$

This means  $id_K = \sum_i \alpha_i p_i = \sum_j (\ , \alpha_j)_{\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}} \sum_i \alpha_i \beta_{ij}$ , then we get  $O_K \subset \mathbb{Z} \beta_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z} \beta_n$  for  $\beta_j = \sum_i \alpha_i \beta_{ij}$ . Since  $id_K = \sum_j (\ , \alpha_j)_{\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}} \beta_j$ ,  $\beta_j$  are basis of  $K$  and  $\mathbb{Z} \beta_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z} \beta_n$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

We set  $c_{ij} = (\alpha_i, \alpha_j)_{\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}}$ . And we get

$$\delta_{ik} = p_i(\alpha_k) = \sum_j \beta_{ij} c_{jk}.$$

That means  $I = \beta c$  by setting  $\beta = (\beta_{ij}), c = (c_{ij})$ , so  $\beta$  is symmetric i.e.  $\beta_{ij} = \beta_{ji}$ .

Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta_j, \alpha_k)_{\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}} &= \sum_i \beta_{ij} (\alpha_i, \alpha_k)_{\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}} \\ &= \sum_i \beta_{ji} (\alpha_i, \alpha_k)_{\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}} \\ &= p_j(\alpha_k) \\ &= \delta_{jk}. \end{aligned}$$

This is suggestive of orthogonality. □

### Lemma 1.7

**quotation.** To see this, we take  $t \in P(O_K)_P$  with  $t \notin P^2(O_K)_P$ .

**remark.** Since  $(O_K)_P$  is a Dedekind domain, we get  $P(O_K)_P \neq P^2(O_K)_P$  by uniqueness of prime decomposition.

### Adjacent to Lemma 1.8

**quotation.** For a nonzero prime ideal  $P$  of  $O_K$ , we set  $P \cap \mathbb{Z} = (p)$ , where  $p$  is a prime of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Because  $O_K$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank  $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ ,  $O_K/P$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Z}/(p)$  with degree at most  $[K : \mathbb{Q}]$ .

*Proof.* There is a canonical surjection  $O_K/pO_K \rightarrow O_K/P$ , so we get  $\#(O_K/P) \leq \#(O_K/pO_K)$ . But we obtain  $O_K/pO_K \cong O_K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $O_K$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank  $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ , we conclude  $O_K/pO_K \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^n$ . So,  $\#(O_K/P) \leq \#(O_K/pO_K) = p^n$ .  $\square$

### Lemma 1.8

**quotation.**

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^r O_K/P_i^{e_i} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r (O_K/P_i^{e_i})_{P_i}$$

*Proof.* Because  $O_K/P_i^{e_i}$  is a local ring with maximal ideal  $P_i/P_i^{e_i}$ .  $\square$

### Lemma 1.16

**quotation.** Because  $(O_K)_P$  is a principal ideal domain,  $(O_{K'})_P$  is a free  $(O_K)_P$ -module of rank  $[K' : K]$ .

*Proof.* See the proof of Prop 1.4. We obtain  $O_{K'} \subset O_K\beta_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus O_K\beta_n$  for some  $\beta_i \in K'$ . Taking a localization, we get  $(O_{K'})_P \subset (O_K)_P\beta_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus (O_K)_P\beta_n$ . Since  $(O_K)_P$  is a PID,  $(O_{K'})_P$  is a free  $(O_K)_P$ -module. The rank is not lower than  $[K' : K]$  because integral basis generate  $K'$  over  $K$ .  $\square$

## Lemma 1.16

**quotation.** Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_{O_K/P} O_{K'}/PO_{K'} &= \dim_{O_K/P} (O_{K'})_P/P(O_{K'})_P \\ &= \dim_{O_K/P} ((O_K)_P/P(O_K)_P) \otimes_{(O_K)_P} (O_{K'})_P\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We set  $A = O_K$ ,  $A' = O_{K'}$ . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}A'/PA' &\cong A' \otimes_A A/P \\ &\cong A' \otimes_A \text{Frac } A/P \\ &\cong A' \otimes_A \text{Coker}(PA_P \rightarrow A_P) \\ &\cong \text{Coker}(A' \otimes_A PA_P \rightarrow A' \otimes_A A_P) \\ &\cong (A')_P/P(A')_P \\ (A')_P/P(A')_P &\cong A' \otimes_A \text{Coker}(PA_P \rightarrow A_P) \\ &\cong A' \otimes_A A_P/PA_P \\ &\cong (A' \otimes_A A_P) \otimes_{A_P} A_P/PA_P \\ &\cong (A')_P \otimes_{A_P} A_P/PA_P.\end{aligned}$$

□

## Adjacent to Lemma 1.17

**quotation.** We take a integral basis  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  of  $O_K$ , we denote by  $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$  the dual basis with respect to  $(\ , \ )_{\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}}$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{Z}\beta_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\beta_n$ .

*Proof.* See the note of Prop 1.4.

□

## Adjacent to Lemma 1.17

**quotation.** The difference of  $K$  is defined by  $\mathcal{D}_K = \mathcal{M}^{-1}$ . Because  $O_K \subset \mathcal{M}$ , we have  $\mathcal{D}_K \subset O_K$ , so  $\mathcal{D}_K$  is an ideal of  $O_K$ .

*Proof.*  $O_K = \mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}^{-1} = \mathcal{D}_K\mathcal{M} \supset \mathcal{D}_KO_K \supset \mathcal{D}_K$ .

□

## Lemma 1.17

**quotation.** Indeed, because  $\#(O_K/\mathcal{D}_K) = \#(\mathcal{M}/O_K)$ ,

*Proof.* See Yukie[1] Proposition 1.8.6. □

### Theorem 1.18

**quotation.** Lemma 1.17 (3) gives

$$\log_p(\#(((O_K)_P/(\mathcal{D}_K)_P))) = \sum_i \text{ord}_{P_i}(\mathcal{D}_K)_{f_i}$$

*Proof.* **It remains to be solved.** □

### Theorem 1.18

**quotation.** Because  $\#(O_K/\mathcal{D}_K) = \prod_{p \in S} \#(((O_K)_P/(\mathcal{D}_K)_P))$ , we obtain the assertion.

*Proof.* See Yukie[1] Prop1.8.9. □

## ■ 2 Theory of heights

---

### Proposition 2.8

┆ **quotation.** If  $\phi_1^*(O_{\mathbb{P}^{m_1}}(1)) \cong \phi_2^*(O_{\mathbb{P}^{m_2}}(1))$ ,

**remark.** What is a  $O_{\mathbb{P}^{m_1}}(1)$ ? I think it is a Serre's twisted sheaf. See Bosch[2] 9.2/Definition 3. **It remains to be learned.**

## ■ 参考文献

---

- [1] 雪江明彦『整数論 2 代数的整数論の基礎』(日本評論社, 2013)
- [2] Siegfried Bosch『Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra』(Springer, 2013)