Prevalence of Disability Status Report

Summary:

- From 2016 to 2022, the largest relative increase occurred in Cognitive Disability (+30.55%), followed by Visual Disability (+21.21%) and 'Any Disability' (+17.03%). 'No Disability' and 'Mobility Disability' were the only categories that decreased.
- Puerto Rico consistently has the highest prevalence rates in multiple disability categories, including 'Any Disability,' 'Mobility,' and 'Vision.'
- West Virginia stands out for having the largest increases in 'Any Disability,'
 'Cognitive Disability,' 'Independent Living Disability,' and 'Mobility Disability' over the past five years.
- Alaska showed the most significant decreases in almost all disability indicators over the past five years.
- There is a very high positive correlation between almost all types of disability (e.g., 'Any Disability' with "Cognitive" is 0.93). Conversely, there is a strong negative correlation between 'No Disability' and the other indicators.

Conclusions:

In conclusion, the prevalence of disability has generally increased from 2016 to 2022, with cognitive and vision disabilities showing the most significant relative growth. The prevalence varies significantly by location, with Puerto Rico consistently showing the highest percentages of disability across most categories and the lowest proportion of people without disabilities. West Virginia also stands out for its high prevalence of multiple disabilities. The analysis of changes over time shows that West Virginia had the largest increases across multiple indicators, while Alaska had the most significant decreases. The strong correlations between most disability types suggest a common underlying factor, although hearing disability appears to be more independent.

Detailed Analysis:

1. How do the values (data_value) of each indicator change over the years (year)?

The largest relative increase was in Cognitive Disability (+30.55%), followed by Vision Disability (+21.21%) and Any Disability (+17.03%).

No Disability and Mobility Disability were the only categories that decreased.

Response	2016	2022	Cambio absoluto	Cambio %
Any Disability	24.66	28.86	+4.20	+17.03%

Response	2016	2022	Cambio absoluto	Cambio %
Cognitive Disability	10.77	14.06	+3.29	+30.55%
Hearing Disability	5.71	6.47	+0.76	+13.31%
Independent Living Disability	6.56	7.62	+1.06	+16.16%
Mobility Disability	12.32	12.15	-0.17	-1.38%
No Disability	75.34	71.14	-4.20	-5.57%
Self-care Disability	3.35	3.50	+0.15	+4.48%
Vision Disability	4.48	5.43	+0.95	+21.21%

2. Which locationdesc has the highest and lowest values for each indicator? General disability ("Any Disability"):

Puerto Rico tends to have the highest percentages (38–42%), while states such as Colorado, Hawaii, and the District of Columbia have the lowest values (18–21%).

Prevalence remains relatively stable over time with minor fluctuations.

Specific types of disability:

Cognitive: Higher prevalence in West Virginia and Arkansas (16–20%). The lowest levels are observed in states such as Hawaii and North Dakota (6–9%).

Hearing: West Virginia leads with 11–12%, while the lowest values are around 3% (U.S. Virgin Islands, District of Columbia).

Mobility: Puerto Rico again has the highest levels (18–21%), with states such as Colorado and Vermont in the lowest range (7–9%).

Independent living: Puerto Rico and West Virginia are the most affected, while states such as Alaska, Iowa, and South Dakota have the lowest levels.

Self-care: Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands have the highest levels, with some states such as Hawaii and Iowa around 2%.

Vision: Puerto Rico has the highest percentages (15–19%), with the lowest values in North Dakota, Minnesota, and South Dakota (2–3%).

No disability:

Colorado, Hawaii, and the District of Columbia have the highest percentages of people without disabilities (\approx 80%), while Puerto Rico has the lowest (\approx 58–61%).

General trends:

Puerto Rico stands out for having the highest prevalence of disability in several categories.

Some states consistently have the lowest values, especially for cognitive, mobility, and vision disabilities.

No drastic changes are observed from year to year; variations tend to be moderate.

"yea	"response	"location_hig	"value_high	"location_lo	"value_low
r"	"	hest"	est"	west"	est"
201	"Any	"Puerto Rico"	38.5	"Colorado"	18.7
6	Disability"				
201	"Cognitive	"West	16.4	"South	6.9
6	Disability"	Virginia"		Dakota"	
201	"Hearing	"West	11.5	"U.S. Virgin	3.1
6	Disability"	Virginia"		Islands"	
201	"Independ	"Puerto Rico"	11.6	"Alaska"	4.3
6	ent Living				
	Disability"				
201	"Mobility	"Puerto Rico"	20.3	"Colorado"	8.2
6	Disability"				
201	"No	"Colorado"	81.3	"Puerto Rico"	61.5
6	Disability"				
201	"Self-care	"Puerto Rico"	7.2	"U.S. Virgin	1.9
6	Disability"			Islands"	
201	"Vision	"Puerto Rico"	15.7	"North	2.4
6	Disability"			Dakota"	
201	"Any	"West	39.2	"Hawaii"	20.2
7	Disability"	Virginia"			
201	"Cognitive	"West	19.1	"North	7.8
7	Disability"	Virginia"		Dakota"	
201	"Hearing	"West	11.9	"Illinois"	3.2
7	Disability"	Virginia"			

201	"Independ	"Puerto Rico"	12.8	"Utah"	4.8
7	ent Living				
	Disability"				
201	"Mobility	"Puerto Rico"	21.8	"North	8.8
7	Disability"			Dakota"	
201	"No	"Hawaii"	79.8	"West	60.8
7	Disability"			Virginia"	
201	"Self-care	"Puerto Rico"	7	"North	2
7	Disability"			Dakota"	
201	"Vision	"Puerto Rico"	16.6	"Maine"	2.5
7	Disability"	"D ' D' "	40.0		10.1
201	"Any	"Puerto Rico"	42.2	"Colorado"	19.1
8 201	Disability" "Cognitive	"Puerto Rico"	18.7	"Colorado"	7.9
8	Disability"	Puerto Nico	10.7	Colorado	7.9
201	"Hearing	"West	12.4	"District of	3.4
8	Disability"	Virginia"	12.4	Columbia"	5.4
201	"Independ	"West	11.2	"lowa"	4.8
8	ent Living	Virginia"		10114	1.0
	Disability"				
201	"Mobility	"West	19.7	"Colorado"	8.1
8	Disability"	Virginia"			
201	"No	"Colorado"	80.9	"Puerto Rico"	57.8
8	Disability"				
201	"Self-care	"Mississippi"	6.1	"Hawaii"	1.9
8	Disability"				
201	"Vision	"Puerto Rico"	17.3	"North	2.7
8	Disability"			Dakota"	
201	"Any	"Puerto Rico"	41.8	"Colorado"	20.1
9	Disability"				
201	"Cognitive	"West	19.6	"Nebraska"	8.9
9	Disability"	Virginia"	44	"D' ' ' ' '	0.7
201	"Hearing	"West	11	"District of	3.7
9 201	Disability" "Independ	Virginia"	10.6	Columbia" "Iowa"	4.9
9	ent Living	"West Virginia"	12.6	iowa	4.9
9	Disability"	viigiilia			
201	"Mobility	"Puerto Rico"	21.2	"Minnesota"	8.4
9	Disability"	1 4011011100	21.2	1 mmooda	0.4
201	"No	"Colorado"	79.9	"Puerto Rico"	58.2
9	Disability"				
201	"Self-care	"Puerto Rico"	7.4	"Vermont"	2
9	Disability"				
201	"Vision	"Puerto Rico"	16.9	"Colorado"	2.8
9	Disability"				
202	"Any	"Puerto Rico"	40.2	"Hawaii"	18.7
0	Disability"				

202	"Cognitive	"Puerto Rico"	17	"Hawaii"	7
0	Disability"	1 derternee	17	Travvan	,
202	"Hearing	"Oklahoma"	9.1	"District of	3.5
0	Disability"			Columbia"	
202	"Independ	"Puerto Rico"	10.2	"South	4.1
0	ent Living			Dakota"	
	Disability"				
202	"Mobility	"Puerto Rico"	17.8	"Colorado"	7.2
0	Disability"				
202	"No	"Hawaii"	81.3	"Puerto Rico"	59.8
0	Disability"				1.0
202	"Self-care	"Guam"		"Hawaii"	1.8
202	Disability" "Vision	"Puerto Rico"	19.1	"lowa"	2.6
0	Disability"	Fuerto Nico	19.1	IOWA	2.0
202	"Any	"Puerto Rico"	39.1	"Hawaii"	20.6
1	Disability"	1 4011011100		riawan	20.0
202	"Cognitive	"West	18.4	"Hawaii"	8
1	Disability"	Virginia"			
202	"Hearing	"Kentucky"	10	"U.S. Virgin	3.7
1	Disability"			Islands"	
202	"Independ	"West	11.4	"U.S. Virgin	3.7
1	ent Living	Virginia"		Islands"	
	Disability"				
202	"Mobility	"Puerto Rico"	18.9	"Hawaii"	8.3
1	Disability"		l		
202	"No	"Hawaii"	79.4	"Puerto Rico"	60.9
202	Disability" "Self-care	"Guam"		"lowa"	2.1
1	Disability"	Guain		IOWa	2.1
202	"Vision	"Puerto Rico"	16	"Minnesota"	2.5
1	Disability"	1 derto meo		riiiiiicsota	2.5
202	"Any	"Puerto Rico"	41.5	"District of	21.1
2	Disability"			Columbia"	
202	"Cognitive	"Arkansas"	19.9	"Hawaii"	9.2
2	Disability"				
202	"Hearing	"Oklahoma"	10.7	"U.S. Virgin	3.1
2	Disability"			Islands"	
202	"Independ	"U.S. Virgin		"South	4.7
2	ent Living	Islands"		Dakota"	
	Disability"				
202	"Mobility	"Puerto Rico"	20.3	"Vermont"	8.8
2	Disability"	IIDiatrict of	70.0	IID. and Die II	F0 F
202	"No	"District of	78.9	"Puerto Rico"	58.5
202	Disability" "Self-care	Columbia" "U.S. Virgin		"Hawaii"	2
202	Disability"	Islands"		I IaWall	~
	טופטטווווע	เอเสเเนอ	<u> </u>		

202	"Vision	"Puerto Rico"	16.7	"South	3.2
2	Disability"			Dakota"	

3. Which indicators have the widest confidence intervals (high confidence limit - low confidence limit), and in which locations?

Conclusion:

U.S. Virgin Islands and Guam have the widest range of confident in the majority of categories.

Any disability:

U.S. Virgin Islands present the widest confidence interval with **11,4 absolute value**, **66.67**% bigger than the second widest range (Guam with 6.7)

Cognitive disability:

U.S. Virgin Islands present the widest confidence interval with **9.5 absolute value**, **98.74**% bigger than the second widest range (Guam with 4.78)

Hearing disability:

Guam present the widest confidence interval with **4.5 absolute value**, **21.25**% bigger than the second widest range (U.S. Virgin Islands with 3.34)

Independent Living disability:

Guam present the widest confidence interval with **4.57 absolute value**, **5%** bigger than the second widest range (U.S. Virgin Islands with 4.35)

4. Are there any locations with extremely high or low data_value values compared to the national average?

Puerto Rico stands out for having extremely high values in several disability categories:

Vision Disability: 16.9 ($z \approx 5.89$) \rightarrow Extremely High

Any Disability: 40.2 (z ≈ 3.12) → Extremely High

Self-care Disability: 6.47 ($z \approx 3.10$) \rightarrow Extremely High

Mobility Disability: 19.84 ($z \approx 2.71$) \rightarrow Extremely High

Independent Living Disability: 11.06 (z ≈ 2.56) → Extremely High

Cognitive Disability: 17.11 ($z \approx 2.04$) \rightarrow Extremely High

In addition, it has extremely low values for No Disability: 59.8 ($z \approx -3.12$), indicating a lower than average national population without disabilities.

West Virginia also shows multiple high values:

Hearing Disability: 10.13 ($z \approx 2.75$)

Independent Living Disability: 11.24 ($z \approx 2.68$)

Cognitive Disability: $18.0 (z \approx 2.40)$

Any Disability: 36.94 ($z \approx 2.38$)

Mobility Disability: 18.73 ($z \approx 2.31$)

Self-care Disability: $5.47 (z \approx 2.06)$

It also has No Disability with an extremely low value: 63.06 ($z \approx -2.38$).

Other places with notable extremes:

Mississippi: Self-care 5.70 and Mobility 18.3 → Extreme High

Kentucky: Mobility 17.91 → Extreme High

Oklahoma: Hearing 9.51 → Extreme High

U.S. Virgin Islands: Hearing 3.3 → Extremely Low

Conclusion

Puerto Rico leads in the highest prevalence of several disabilities and the lowest proportion of people without disabilities.

West Virginia also has multiple high values for disabilities, reflecting a regional pattern.

Some small or less populated locations (such as the U.S. Virgin Islands) show extremely low values.

5. Which states have had the largest increase or decrease in data_value over the last 5 years for each indicator?

West Virginia showed the most significant increases across multiple disability indicators, including "Any Disability," "Cognitive Disability," "Independent Living Disability," and "Mobility Disability." This suggests a notable rise in the percentage of the population reporting these specific disabilities in the state.

Alaska showed the most significant decreases across nearly all disability indicators, which suggests a considerable drop in the percentage of the population reporting disabilities.

"No Disability" is inversely correlated with the other indicators. The state with the largest increase in "No Disability" (Minnesota) will naturally have larger decreases in other disability categories, and vice versa.

6. Is there a correlation between the values of different indicators in the same locations and years?

There are very high and positive correlations between almost all types of disability.

Some examples:

any_disability with cognitive (0.93)

mobility with self_care (0.93)

independent_living with self_care (0.89)

There are **strongly negative correlations between no_disability** and all other indicators (\approx -0.9 or -1), which makes sense: more people with disabilities \rightarrow fewer people without disabilities.

hearing seems to be the indicator least correlated with other types of disability (values from 0.45 to 0.72), suggesting that it varies somewhat more independently.