# 1 Systems of linear equations, Matrices, and vector spaces, rank of matrices, Linear maps, and affine spaces

#### Problem 1.

We consider  $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\}, \star)$  where:

$$a \star b = a + ab + b$$

for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\}$ . Show that this is an abelian group and solve  $3 \star x \star x = 15$ .

**Solution.** We prove this showing that the five axioms are true:

- First, suppose that a + ab + b = -1 and so we would have that a(1 + b) + b = -1, and so we have a(1 + b) = -(1 + b) and since  $b \neq 1$  we can assure that  $1 + b \neq 0$  so a = -1 which is a contradiction to the hypothesis that  $a \neq 1$ , so  $\star$  is closed under the set.
- Now, we want to prove associativity (We pay attention to the associativity in the real numbers)

$$a \star (b \star c) = a \star (b + bc + c) \qquad (a \star b) \star c = (a + ab + b) \star c$$

$$= a + a(b + bc + c) + (b + bc + c) \qquad = (a + ab + b) + c(a + ab + b) + c$$

$$= a + ab + abc + ac + b + bc + c \qquad = a + ab + b + ac + abc + bc + c$$

and so it is clear that they are the same.

• Note that the element 0 is an identity element because:

$$a \star 0 = a + a \cdot 0 + 0$$
$$= a$$
$$= a$$
$$0 \star a = 0 + a \cdot 0 + a$$
$$= a$$

• The inverse element for x is  $\frac{-x}{1+x}$  since:

$$x \star \frac{-x}{1+x} = x - x \cdot \frac{x}{1+x} - \frac{x}{1+x}$$

$$= x - \frac{x^2}{1+x} - \frac{x}{1+x}$$

$$= \frac{x+x^2}{1+x} - \frac{x^2}{1+x} - \frac{x}{1+x}$$

$$= 0$$

And the commutated case is the same, so it has inverses.

• The commutativity is a consequence of these properties in  $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$a \star b = a + ab + b$$
$$= b + ba + a$$
$$= b \star a$$

And so we conclude that  $(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{-1\}, \star)$  is an abelian group. For the equation, we do:

$$3 \star x \star x = 15$$

$$3 \star (2x + x^{2}) = 15$$

$$3 + 3(2x + x^{2}) + (2x + x^{2}) = 15$$

$$6x + 3x^{2} + 2x + x^{2} = 12$$

$$4x^{2} + 8x = 12$$

$$x^{2} + 2x = 3$$

$$x^{2} + 2x - 3 = 0$$

$$(x - 1)(x + 3) = 0$$

And therefore we conclude that x = 1 or x = -3. If we put this into the equation we have:

$$3 \star 1 \star 1 = 3 \star 3$$
  $3 \star (-3 \star (-3)) = 3 \star 3$   
=  $3 + 9 + 3$  =  $15$  = 15

So the solutions are x = 1 and x = -3.

### Problem 2.

Consider the set  $\mathcal G$  of  $3 \times 3$  matrices defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{G} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3} \,\middle|\, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

And we define  $\cdot$  as the standard matrix multiplication. Is  $(\mathcal{G}, \cdot)$  a group? If yes, is it abelian?

**Solution.** We prove this showing that the four axioms are true:

• First, it is closed under · since:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & c \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a+x & c+bx+z \\ 0 & 1 & b+y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

And since the operations of addition and product of real numbers are closed, by definition the matrix is also in  $\mathcal{G}$  so it is a closed operation.

• We can prove the associativity by taking three matrices and show that their product don't vary.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & c \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & k & m \\ 0 & 1 & n \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a+x & c+bx+z \\ 0 & 1 & b+y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a+x & c+bx+z \\ 0 & 1 & b+y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a+k+x & m+an+ax+c+bx+z \\ 0 & 1 & b+n+y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

And if we make the other option:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & c \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & k & m \\ 0 & 1 & n \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a+k & m+an+c \\ 0 & 1 & n+b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a+k+x & m+an+c+xn+bx \\ 0 & 1 & b+n+y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

And we can see that they are the same, so  $\cdot$  is associative.

- Note that the matrix  $I_3$  is also in the set since  $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , and we know that any matrix  $3 \times 3$  operated with  $I_3$  is the same, so  $I_3$  is the identity for  $\mathcal{G}$ .
- For finding the inverse matrix for an element in  $\mathcal{G}$  we do the next:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & z & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & y & | & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & 0 & | & 1 & 0 & -z \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 0 & 1 & -y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - zR_3$$

$$\longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 1 & -x & xy - z \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 0 & 1 & -y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - xR_2$$

So the matrix done in the right side is the inverse of the matrix in  $\mathcal{G}$ . For that, note that:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -x & xy - z \\ 0 & 1 & -y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

And the same is true for the converse, and since -x, -y and xy - z are also real numbers, we have shown the existence of inverses in  $\mathcal{G}$ .

Note that this group is not abelian since:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & c \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a+x & c+bx+z \\ 0 & 1 & b+y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & c \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a+x & z+ay+c \\ 0 & 1 & b+y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

And if  $bx \neq ay$  then they are not the same. So, the group is not abelian.

#### Problem 3.

If it is possible compute the next products.

**Solution.** The products are:

1.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 \\ 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

This product is not possible since the first matrix is a  $3 \times 2$  matrix and the other one is a  $3 \times 3$  matrix, and hence  $3 \neq 2$  we cannot operate it.

2.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 & 5 \\ 10 & 9 & 11 \\ 16 & 15 & 17 \end{bmatrix}$$

3.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 7 & 9 \\ 11 & 13 & 15 \\ 8 & 10 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$$

4.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 & -1 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 14 & 5 \\ -21 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

5.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 & -1 & -4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & 3 & -3 & -12 \\ -3 & 1 & 2 & 6 \\ 6 & 5 & 1 & 0 \\ 13 & 12 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

### Problem 4.

Find all the solutions in  $x=\begin{bmatrix}x_1\\x_2\\x_3\end{bmatrix}\in\mathbb{R}^3$  of the equation system Ax=12x where:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 4 & 3 \\ 6 & 0 & 9 \\ 0 & 8 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1$ .

**Solution.** First, note that Ax is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 4 & 3 \\ 6 & 0 & 9 \\ 0 & 8 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6x_1 + 4x_2 + 3x_3 \\ 6x_1 + 9x_3 \\ 8x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

And so we want that:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6x_1 + 4x_2 + 3x_3 \\ 6x_1 + 9x_3 \\ 8x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 12x_1 \\ 12x_2 \\ 12x_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

So we have the equations

$$6x_1 + 4x_2 + 3x_3 = 12x_1 
6x_1 + 9x_3 = 12x_2 
8x_2 = 12x_3$$

And so we end up with the system:

Which can be expressed in the next matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -6 & 4 & 3 \\ 6 & -12 & 9 \\ 0 & 8 & -12 \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} -6 & 4 & 3 \\ 0 & -8 & 12 \\ 0 & 8 & -12 \end{bmatrix} - R_1$$

$$\longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} -6 & 4 & 3 \\ 0 & -8 & 12 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - R_2$$

$$\longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} -6 & 4 & 3 \\ 0 & -2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} R_2$$

$$\longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} -6 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} - R_2$$

Which lead us to the next equations:

$$-6x_1 + 6x_2 = 0$$
  
$$-2x_2 + 3x_3 = 0$$

From which we derive that:

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{2}x_3\\ \frac{3}{2}x_3\\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

And with the other condition, we must satisfy the equation as:

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1$$

$$\frac{3}{2}x_3 + \frac{3}{2}x_3 + x_3 = 1$$

$$4x_3 = 1$$

$$x_3 = \frac{1}{4}$$

### Problem 5.

Which of the following sets are subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ?

### Solution.

1.  $A = \{(\lambda, \lambda + \mu^3, \lambda - \mu^3) | \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Take two elements in A and a scalar  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , then:

$$c(\lambda_1,\lambda_1+\mu_1^3,\lambda_1-\mu_1^3)+(\lambda_2,\lambda_2+\mu_2^3,\lambda_2-\mu_2^3)=(c\lambda_1,c\lambda_1+c\mu_1^3,c\lambda_1-c\mu_1^3)+(\lambda_2,\lambda_2+\mu_2^3,\lambda_2-\mu_2^3)\\ =(c\lambda_1+\lambda_2,(c\lambda_1+\lambda_2)+(c\mu_1^3+\mu_2^3),(c\lambda_1+\lambda_2)-(c\mu_1^3+\mu_2^3))$$

And since  $\sqrt[3]{c\mu_1^3 + \mu_2^3}$  will always be a real number, then the linear combination of these elements is in A and so it is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ 

2.  $B = \{(\lambda^2, -\lambda^2, 0) | \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . If you take c = -1 and two elements in B we have:

$$-(\lambda_1^2, -\lambda_1^2, 0) + (\lambda_2^2, -\lambda_2^2, 0) = (-\lambda_1^2, \lambda_1^2, 0) + (\lambda_2^2, -\lambda_2^2, 0)$$
$$= (\lambda_2^2 - \lambda_1^2, \lambda_1^2 - \lambda_2^2, 0)$$

And if  $\lambda_1^2 > \lambda_2^2$  then it is not defined its square and so it would not be an element of B. So B is not a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ 

3.  $C = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) | \lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3 = \gamma\}$  for a fixed  $\gamma$ . If we take two elements of C we would have the equations:

$$\lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 + 3\lambda_3 = \gamma$$
$$\psi_1 - 2\psi_2 + 3\psi_3 = \gamma$$

And adding them up we get:

$$(\lambda_1 + \psi_1) - 2(\lambda_2 + \psi_2) + 3(\lambda_3 + \psi_3) = 2\gamma$$

So, unless  $\gamma = 0$  we conclude that C is not a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

4.  $D = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) | \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . If we take an element  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that c is irrational and we multiply it by an element of D then  $c\lambda_2$  would not be an integer and so that element would not be on D. Therefore, D is not a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

### Problem 6.

Write the vector  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$  as a linear combination of the vectors  $x_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $x_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

Solution. For that, let's write the matrix of the system of equations as:

$$\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 2 & | & 1 \\
1 & 2 & -1 & | & -2 \\
1 & 3 & 1 & | & 5
\end{bmatrix}
\longrightarrow
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 2 & | & 1 \\
0 & 1 & -3 & | & -3 \\
0 & 2 & -1 & | & 4
\end{bmatrix}
-R_1$$

$$\longrightarrow
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 2 & | & 1 \\
0 & 1 & -3 & | & -3 \\
0 & 0 & 5 & | & 10
\end{bmatrix}
-2R_2$$

$$\longrightarrow
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 2 & | & 1 \\
0 & 1 & -3 & | & -3 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & | & 2
\end{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{2}R_3$$

$$\longrightarrow
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 & | & -3 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & | & 2
\end{bmatrix}
-2R_3$$

$$\longrightarrow
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 & | & -3 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & | & 2
\end{bmatrix}
-R_2$$

$$\longrightarrow
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & | & -6 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & | & 3 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & | & 2
\end{bmatrix}$$

And so we have the linear combination:

$$-6 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + 3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + 2 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -6 \\ -6 \\ -6 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

### Problem 7.

Consider the linear mapping defined by:

$$\phi: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^4 (x_1, x_2, x_3) \mapsto (3x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3, x_1 + x_2 + x_3, x_1 - 3x_2, 2x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3)$$

Find the matrix associated with the linear transformation, determine the rank of the matrix and compute the image, the kernel and their dimension.

**Solution.** First, we apply the transformation to the canonical basis in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  as:

$$\phi(1,0,0) = (3,1,1,2)$$
  

$$\phi(0,1,0) = (2,1,-3,3)$$
  

$$\phi(0,0,1) = (1,1,0,1)$$

And so we end up with the matrix:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -3 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now, if we reduce it to the echelon form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 & | & a \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & | & b \\ 1 & -3 & 0 & | & c \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & | & d \end{bmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & | & b \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & | & a \\ 1 & -3 & 0 & | & c \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & | & d \end{bmatrix}^{R_2} R_1$$

$$\longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & | & b \\ 0 & -1 & -2 & | & a - 3b \\ 0 & -4 & -1 & | & c - b \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & | & d - 2b \end{bmatrix}^{-3R_1} - R_1$$

$$\longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & | & b \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & | & 3b - a \\ 0 & 4 & 1 & | & b - c \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & | & 2b - d \end{bmatrix}^{-R_2} - R_3$$

$$-R_4$$

$$\longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & | & b \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & | & 3b - a \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & | & 2b - d \end{bmatrix}^{-4R_1} - 4R_1$$

$$\longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & | & b \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & | & 3b - a \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & | & 3b - a \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & | & 3b - a \end{bmatrix}^{-\frac{1}{3}R_3} - \frac{1}{3}R_3$$

$$-R_4$$

$$\longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & | & b \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & | & 3b - a \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b + \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b + \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b + \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b + \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b + \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b + \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - a - \frac{2c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - a - \frac{2c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - a - \frac{2c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - a - \frac{2c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - a - \frac{2c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - a - \frac{2c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - a - \frac{2c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - a - \frac{2c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - a - \frac{2c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - a - \frac{2c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - a - \frac{2c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - a - \frac{2c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - a - \frac{2c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & b - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & c - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & c - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & c - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & c - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & c - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & c - \frac{c}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & | & c - \frac$$

So the image is the set:

$$Im(\phi) = \{(a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^4 | d + \frac{c}{3} - 2b = 0\}$$

Note that when (a, b, c, d) = (0, 0, 0, 0) then the system only has the trivial solution and so we conclude that the rank of A is 3 and that the kernel is just  $\{0\}$ . By the rank theorem, we have:

$$\dim V = \dim(\ker(\phi)) + \dim(\phi(V))$$
$$\dim \mathbb{R}^3 = \dim(\{0\}) + \dim(\phi(V))$$
$$3 = 0 + \dim(\phi(V))$$
$$\dim(\phi(V)) = 3$$

And so we conclude that even when the linear transformation is monic, it is not epic.

### Problem 8.

Let V be a vector space. Let f and g two automorphism over E such that  $f \circ g = Id_E$ . Show that  $\ker(f) = \ker(g \circ f)$ ,  $Im(g) = Im(g \circ f)$  and that  $\ker(f) \cap Im(g) = \{0_E\}$ .

**Solution.** First, we want to show that  $\ker(g \circ f) = \{0_E\}$  since f is an automorphism. So, suppose that  $v \in E$  is such that  $(g \circ f)(v) = g(f(v)) = 0$ . Since g is an automorphism, we conclude that f(v) = 0 and since f is also an automorphism, we conclude that v = 0. So,  $\ker(f) = \ker(g \circ f)$ .

Also, we want to show  $Im(g \circ f) = E$ , so take  $v \in E$ , since g is an automorphism we can find  $u \in E$  such that g(u) = v. And also, since f is an automorphism, we can find  $w \in E$  such that f(w) = u, so we have that:

$$(g \circ f)(w) = g(f(w))$$
$$= g(u)$$
$$= v$$

And so we conclude that  $Im(g) = Im(g \circ f)$ . Note that also  $0 \in Im(g)$  but the only element in  $\ker(f)$  is 0, so we must have that  $\ker(f) \cap Im(g) = \{0_E\}$ .

#### Problem 9.

Let  $F = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | x + y - z = 0\}$  and  $G = \{(a - b, a + b, a - 3b) \in \mathbb{R}^3 | a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Prove that they are subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , calculate  $F \cap G$  and then using basis for F and G check the result.

**Solution.** First, we are going that both sets are subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

• Let  $(x, y, z), (a, b, c) \in F$  and let  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , we want to show that their linear combination is in F:

$$r(x, y, z) + (a, b, c) = (rx, ry, rz) + (a, b, c)$$
  
=  $(rx + a, ry + b, rz + c)$ 

And by definition, we have the equations:

$$x + y - z = 0$$
$$a + b - c = 0$$

If we multiply the first by r we get:

$$rx + ry - rz = 0$$
$$a + b - c = 0$$

and if we add them up:

$$(rx + a) + (ry + b) - (rz + c) = 0$$

Which proves that  $(rx + a, ry + b, rz + c) \in F$  and so it is a subspace.

• Let (a-b,a+b,a-3b),(x-y,x+y,x-3y) and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  we want to show that their linear combination is in G:

$$r(a-b, a+b, a-3b) + (x-y, x+y, x-3y) = (ar-br, ar+br, ar-3br) + (x-y, x+y, x-3y)$$
$$= ((ar+x) - (br+y), (ar+x) + (br+y), (ar+x) - 3(br+y))$$

and since  $ar + x, br + y \in \mathbb{R}$ , by definition ((ar + x) - (br + y), (ar + x) + (br + y), (ar + x) - 3(br + y)) and so G is a subspace.

Now, if we calculate  $F \cap G$ , we take a vector  $(x, y, z) \in F \cap G$  then it is necessary that x + y - z = 0 and for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  we got x = a - b, y = a + b and z = a - 3b. If we replace it into the another equation we get:

$$x+y-z=0$$

$$a-b+a+b-a+3b=0$$

$$a+3b=0$$

$$b=-\frac{a}{3}$$

and so we get:

$$x = \frac{4a}{3}$$
$$y = \frac{2a}{3}$$
$$z = 2a$$

so we got vectors of the form  $(\frac{4a}{3}, \frac{2a}{3}, 2a)$  such that  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Now, we are going to find a basis for F and for G.

• For F, note that each vector is of the form (x, y, z) with x + y - z = 0 and so z = x + y, so we can express it:

$$(x, y, z) = (x, y, x + y)$$

$$= (x, 0, x) + (0, y, y)$$

$$= x(1, 0, 1) + y(0, 1, 1)$$

And so a basis for F is  $\{(1,0,1),(0,1,1)\}.$ 

• For G, we have vectors of the form (a-b, a+b, a-3b) so we can descompose it like:

$$(a-b, a+b, a-3b) = (a, a, a) + (-b, b, -3b)$$
$$= a(1, 1, 1) + b(-1, 1, -3)$$

And so a basis for G is  $\{(1,1,1),(-1,1,-3)\}.$ 

And now we express a vector of  $F \cap G$  as a linear combination with scalars  $x, y, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  as:

$$x(1,0,1) + y(0,1,1) = a(1,1,1) + b(-1,1,-3)$$
$$(x,0,x) + (0,y,y) = (a,a,a) + (-b,b,-3b)$$
$$(x,y,x+y) = (a-b,a+b,a-3b)$$

and so we get x = a - b, y = a + b and x + y = a - 3b, but we can replace in this last equation as:

$$x + y = a - 3b$$

$$a - b + a + b = a - 3b$$

$$2a = a - 3b$$

$$a = -3b$$

$$b = -\frac{a}{3}$$

and so we get the same result as we did without the basis.

## 2 Analytic Geometry

#### Problem 10.

Show that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  defined for all  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  by:  $\langle x, y \rangle = x_1 y_1 - (x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1) + 2(x_2 y_2)$ 

is an inner product.

**Solution.** We need to prove three things. That  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a bilinear map, that it is symmetric and that is positive definite.

• First, to prove that it is a bilinear transformation, take  $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\begin{split} \langle (x_1,x_2),(ay_1+bz_1,ay_2+bz_2)\rangle &= x_1(ay_1+bz_1) - (x_1\cdot(ay_2+bz_2) + x_2(ay_1+bz_1)) + 2x_2(ay_2+bz_2) \\ &= ax_1y_1 + bx_1z_1 - (ax_1y_2+bx_1z_2+ax_2y_1+bx_2z_1) + 2ax_2y_2 + 2bx_2z_2 \\ &= ax_1y_1 - ax_1y_2 - ax_2y_1 + 2a(x_2y_2) + bx_1z_1 - bx_1z_2 - bx_2z_1 + 2b(x_2z_2) \\ &= a(x_1y_1 - (x_1y_2+x_2y_1) + 2(x_2y_2)) + b(x_1z_1 - (x_1z_2+x_2z_1) + 2(x_2z_2)) \\ &= a\langle (x_1,x_2),(y_1,y_2)\rangle + b\langle (x_1,x_2),(z_1,z_2)\rangle \end{split}$$

In a similar way we prove that  $\langle (ax_1+by_1, ax_2+by_2), (z_1, z_2) \rangle = a \langle (x_1, x_2), (z_1, z_2) \rangle + b \langle (y_1, y_2), (z_1, z_2) \rangle$ , so we conclude that it is a bilinear transformation.

• We want to show that this transformation is symmetric. So:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = x_1 y_1 - (x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1) + 2(x_2 y_2)$$
  
=  $y_1 x_1 - (y_1 x_2 + y_2 x_1) + 2(y_2 x_2)$   
=  $\langle y, x \rangle$ 

• And for the last part, we want to show that it is positive define. That is, suppose that  $(x_1, x_2) \neq (0, 0)$  so we want to show that its inner product is positive:

$$\langle (x_1, x_2), (x_1, x_2) \rangle = x_1 \cdot x_1 - (x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_2) + 2(x_2 \cdot x_2)$$

$$= x_1^2 - 2x_1 x_2 + 2x_2^2$$

$$= x_1^2 - 2x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 + x_2^2$$

$$= (x_1 - x_2)^2 + x_2^2$$

And no matter the chooses of  $x_1, x_2$  we always end up with a positive sum of squares, so  $\langle x, x \rangle > 0$  and it is easy to see that  $\langle 0, 0 \rangle = 0$ .

### Problem 11.

Consider  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  defined for all x and y in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  as:

$$\langle x,y\rangle := x^\top \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} y$$

Is it an inner product?

**Solution.** First, we could rearrange the expression taking  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2)$  as:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2y_1 \\ y_1 + 2y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= 2x_1y_1 + x_2y_1 + 2x_2y_2$$

And now we must to test the three conditions:

• First, we want to prove that it is a bilinear transformation:

$$\begin{split} \langle (x_1,x_2),(ay_1+bz_1,ay_2+bz_2)\rangle &= 2x_1(ay_1+bz_1) + x_2(ay_1+bz_1) + 2x_2(ay_2+bz_2) \\ &= 2ax_1y_1 + 2bx_1z_1 + ax_2y_1 + bx_2z_1 + 2ax_2y_2 + 2bx_2z_2 \\ &= 2ax_1y_1 + ax_2y_1 + 2ax_2y_2 + 2bx_1z_1 + bx_2z_1 + 2bx_2z_2 \\ &= a(2x_1y_1 + x_2y_1 + 2x_2y_2) + b(x_1z_1 + x_2z_1 + x_2z_2) \\ &= a\langle (x_1,x_2),(y_1,y_2)\rangle + b\langle (x_1,x_2),(z_1,z_2)\rangle \end{split}$$

And we can prove in a similar way that  $\langle (ax_1 + by_1, ax_2 + by_2), (z_1, z_2) \rangle = a \langle (x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \rangle + b \langle (x_1, x_2), (z_1, z_2) \rangle$ . So we conclude that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a bilinear transformation.

• Now, we need to show that it is symmetric:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = 2x_1y_1 + x_2y_1 + 2x_2y_2$$
$$= 2x_2y_2 + y_1x_2 + 2x_1y_1$$

But this does not implies that  $y_1x_2 = y_2x_1$  so it is not symmetric and therefore it is not an inner product.

#### Problem 12.

Compute the distance between x = (1, 2, 3) and y = (-1, -1, 0) using:

1. 
$$\langle x, y \rangle := x^{\top} y$$

2. 
$$\langle x, y \rangle := x^{\top} y A$$
 with  $A := \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ 

**Solution.** Remmber that the distance induced by a product is the norm induced by that inner product. We can first calculate x - y as:

$$x - y = (1, 2, 3) - (-1, -1, 0)$$
  
=  $(2, 3, 3)$ 

And so we do the norm of that vector under each of the inner products defined:

1. For  $\langle x, y \rangle := x^{\top} y$ , we have:

$$d(x,y) = ||x - y||$$

$$= \sqrt{\langle (x - y, x - y)\rangle}$$

$$= \sqrt{\langle (2,3,3), (2,3,3)\rangle}$$

$$= \sqrt{2^2 + 3^2 + 3^2}$$

$$= \sqrt{4 + 9 + 9}$$

$$= \sqrt{22}$$

2. For  $\langle x, y \rangle := x^\top y A$  with  $A := \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ , so if we make  $\langle x - y, x - y \rangle$  we got:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 8 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= 2 \cdot 7 + 3 \cdot 8 + 3 \cdot 3$$
$$= 14 + 24 + 9$$
$$= 47$$

So we have:

$$d(x,y) = ||x - y||$$

$$= \sqrt{\langle x - y, x - y \rangle}$$

$$= \sqrt{\langle (2,3,3), (2,3,3) \rangle}$$

$$= \sqrt{47}$$

### Problem 13.

Compute the angle between:

$$x = (1,2)$$
  $y = (-1,-1)$ 

using the inner products:

1. 
$$\langle x, y \rangle = x^{\top} y$$

2. 
$$\langle x, y \rangle = x^{\top} B y$$
 with  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ 

### Solution.

1. With the usual product and the norm induced by that, we consider that:

$$\cos \omega = \frac{|\langle x, y \rangle|}{\|x\| \|y\|}$$

$$= \frac{|1 \cdot (-1) + 2 \cdot (-1)|}{\sqrt{1^2 + 2^2} \sqrt{(-1)^2 + (-1)^2}}$$

$$= \frac{|-1 - 2|}{\sqrt{5}\sqrt{2}}$$

$$= \frac{3}{\sqrt{10}}$$

And so we get that  $\omega = \arccos \frac{3}{\sqrt{10}} \approx 18 \deg$ 

2. It is convenient to compute the inner product with arbitrary  $(x_1, x_2)$  and  $(y_1, y_2)$ :

$$\langle (x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2y_1 + y_2 \\ y_1 + 3y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= 2x_1y_1 + x_1y_2 + y_1x_2 + 3x_2y_2$$

Now, with that we are ready to compute the angle given by this inner product.

$$\cos \omega = \frac{|\langle x, y \rangle|}{\|x\| \|y\|}$$

$$= \frac{|2(1)(-1) + (1)(-1) + (2)(-1) + 3(2)(-1)|}{\sqrt{2(1)(1) + (1)(2) + (1)(2) + 3(2)(2)}} \sqrt{(2)(-1)(-1) + (-1)(-1) + (-1)(-1) + (3)(-1)(-1)}$$

$$= \frac{|-2 - 1 - 2 - 6|}{\sqrt{2 + 2 + 2 + 12}} \sqrt{2 + 1 + 1 + 3}$$

$$= \frac{|-11|}{\sqrt{18}} \sqrt{7}$$

$$= \frac{|11|}{\sqrt{126}}$$

$$= \frac{11}{\sqrt{126}}$$

And so we end up that  $\omega = \arccos \frac{11}{\sqrt{126}} \approx 11.5 \deg$ .

#### Problem 14.

Consider  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with the inner product:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = x^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} y$$

and let  $e_1, e_2, e_3$  be the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

- Define the orthogonal projection  $\pi_U(e_2)$  onto  $U = span[e_1, e_3]$
- Compute the distance  $d(e_2, U)$
- Draw the scenario.

**Solution.** Since we want to project  $e_2$  into the set  $span[e_1, e_3]$  for the projection  $\pi_U(e_2)$  there would be  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$  such that:

$$\pi_{IJ}(e_2) = \lambda_1 \cdot e_1 + \lambda_2 \cdot e_3$$

so we must have that:

$$\langle e_1, e_2 - \pi_U(e_2) \rangle = 0$$
$$\langle e_3, e_2 - \pi_U(e_2) \rangle = 0$$

Now, we end up with the system:

$$e_1^{\top} B(e_2 - \pi_U(e_2)) = 0e_3^{\top} B(e_2 - \pi_U(e_2))$$
 = 0

And this can be showed as a matricial product like:

$$\begin{bmatrix} e_1^\top \\ e_2^\top \end{bmatrix} B(e_2 - \pi_U(e_2)) = 0$$

And if we call  $A = \begin{bmatrix} e_1^\top \\ e_2^\top \end{bmatrix}$  and knowing that  $\pi_U$  shall be expressed as a linear combination of  $P = [e_1, e_3]$  then it becomes:

$$AB(e_2 - \pi_U(e_2)) = 0$$
  

$$ABe_2 - ABP\lambda = 0$$
  

$$ABP\lambda = ABe_2$$

If we compute the product ABP we got:

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

And if we multiply by P then:

$$ABP = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= 2I$$

And so we get:

$$ABP\lambda = ABe_2$$
$$2I\lambda = ABe_2$$
$$2\lambda = ABe_2$$

And so we only need to compute  $ABe_2$ :

$$ABe_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

And if we divide by 2 we get:

$$\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{-1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

And therefore we get that:

$$\pi_U(e_2) = e_1 \cdot \lambda_1 + e_3 \cdot \lambda_3$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

And that is the projection of  $e_2$  into U.

Now, for compute the distance between  $e_2$  and U we compute what is  $e_2 - \pi_U(e_2)$  and then we apply it to the norm induced by the inner product:

$$e_{2} - \pi_{U}(e_{2}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

And now we apply to itself the inner product:

$$\langle e_2 - \pi_U(e_2), e_2 - \pi_U(e_2) \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} \\ 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= 1$$

And so we see that the distance between that two vectors is 1.

#### Problem 15.

Let V be a vector space and  $\pi$  an endomorphism of V:

- Prove that  $\pi$  is a projection if and only if  $Id_V \pi$  is a projection
- Assume that  $\pi$  is a projection. Calculate  $Im(Id_V \pi)$  and  $\ker(id_V \pi)$  as a function of  $Im(\pi)$  and  $\ker(\pi)$ .

**Solution.** First, let's prove the equivalence:

 $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $\pi$  is a projection. Then  $\pi \circ \pi = \pi$ . And if we compute  $Id_V - \pi$  composed with itself we get:

$$(Id_{V} - \pi)((Id_{v} - \pi)(x)) = (Id_{V} - \pi)(Id_{v}(x) - \pi(x))$$

$$= (Id_{V} - \pi)(x - \pi(x))$$

$$= Id_{V}(x - \pi(x)) - \pi(x - \pi(x))$$

$$= x - \pi(x) + \pi(\pi(x) - x)$$

$$= x - \pi(x) + \pi(\pi(x)) - \pi(x)$$

$$= x - \pi(x) + \pi(x) - \pi(x)$$

$$= x - \pi(x)$$

And so we get that  $(Id_V - \pi) \circ (Id_V - \pi) = Id_V - \pi$  and so it is a projection.

 $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that  $Id_V - \pi$  is a projection. Then  $(Id_V - \pi) \circ (Id_V - \pi) = Id_V - \pi$ . If we compute  $\pi \circ \pi$  we get:

$$\pi(\pi(x)) = \pi(-(Id - \pi - Id)(x))$$

$$= \pi(Id(x) - (Id - \pi)(x))$$

$$= \pi(x - (Id - \pi(x)))$$

$$= -((Id - \pi) - Id)(x - (Id - \pi)(x))$$

$$= -((Id - \pi)(x - (Id - \pi)(x))) - Id(x - (Id - \pi)(x))$$

$$= x - (Id - \pi)(x) - (Id - \pi)(x - (Id - \pi)(x))$$

$$= x - (Id - \pi)(x) - (Id - \pi)(x) + (Id - \pi)((Id - \pi)(x))$$

$$= x - (Id - \pi)(x) - (Id - \pi)(x) + (Id - \pi)(x)$$

$$= x - (Id - \pi)(x)$$

$$= x - (Id - \pi)(x)$$

$$= x - Id(x) + \pi(x)$$

$$= x - x + \pi(x) = \pi(x)$$

And since  $\pi \circ \pi = \pi$ , then  $\pi$  is a projection.

Now, if we assume that  $\pi$  is a projection, then we are going to give a description for each one.

 $-y \in Im(Id_V - \pi)$  if and only if  $y \in \ker(\pi)$ . Let's prove this. If  $y \in Im(Id_V - \pi)$  then there is  $x \in V$  such that  $(Id_V - \pi)(x) = y$ . And so:

$$(Id_{V} - \pi)(x) = y$$

$$Id_{V}(x) - \pi(x) = y$$

$$\pi(x) + y - x = 0$$

$$\pi(\pi(x) + y - x) = \pi(0)$$

$$\pi(\pi(x)) + \pi(y) - \pi(x) = 0$$

$$\pi(x) + \pi(y) - \pi(x) = 0$$

$$\pi(y) = 0$$

And so we get that  $y \in \ker(\pi)$ . If  $y \in \ker(\pi)$  then  $\pi(y) = 0$ . If we add and substract y we get:

$$\pi(y) = 0$$

$$\pi(y) + y - y = 0$$

$$\pi(y) - y = -y$$

$$y - \pi(y) = y$$

$$Id_V(y) - \pi(y) = y$$

$$(Id_V - \pi)(y) = y$$

And by definition  $y \in Im(Id_V - \pi)$ . So  $Im(Id_V - \pi) = \ker(\pi)$ .

- In a similar way, we get that  $x \in \ker(Id_V - \pi)$  if and only if  $x \in Im(\pi)$ . Suppose that  $x \in \ker(Id_V - pi)$ , that means that:

$$(Id_V - \pi)(x) = 0$$
$$Id_V(x) - \pi(x) = 0$$
$$x - \pi(x) = 0$$
$$\pi(x) = x$$

And so we get that  $x \in \Im(\pi)$ . If  $x \in Im(\pi)$  we know that there is  $y \in V$  such that  $\pi(y) = x$ . If we apply  $\pi$  again we get that  $\pi(y) = \pi(x)$ . Now, we get:

$$(Id_V - \pi)(x) = Id_V(x) - \pi(x)$$

$$= x - \pi(x)$$

$$= x - \pi(y)$$

$$= x - x$$

$$= 0$$

So  $x \in \ker(\pi)$ . Therefore,  $\ker(Id_V - \pi) = Im(\pi)$ .

### Problem 16.

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $x_1, \ldots, x_n > 0$  be n positive real numbers so that  $x_1 + \cdots + x_n = 1$ . Use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and show that:

1. 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 \ge \frac{1}{n}$$

2. 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{x_1} \ge n^2$$