Problem set
Sebastián Caballero
Axiomatization

## Problem 1.

Show that for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , m < n if and only if  $m \subset n$ 

# Solution.

 $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that m < n, so  $m \in n$ , and now suppose that  $p \in m$ . We have seen that in  $\mathbb{N}$  the relation  $\in$  is transitive, so  $p \in n$ , but this implies that  $m \subset n$ .

 $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that  $m \subset n$ , it is impossible that m = n because  $(\exists x)(x \in n \land x \not\in m)$  and if n < m then  $n \subset m$  but this would mean that  $m \subset m$  which is absurd. So, we must conclude by the trichotomy that m < n.

# Problem 2.

Show that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $x \in n$ , then  $x \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Solution.** For n=0, it is absurdly true. If n=1, then  $n=\{0\}$  and  $0\in\mathbb{N}$ . Suppose this is true in general for n, and suppose that  $x\in n^+$ . Then,  $x\in n$  or x=n. If  $x\in n$ , by the hypothesis  $x\in\mathbb{N}$  and if x=n it is obvious that  $x\in\mathbb{N}$ .

Problem set Set Theory Caballero 2 of ??

# Problem 3.

Show that for all  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\min\{n, m\} = n \cap m$ .

**Solution.** Without loss of generality, we can suppose that  $n \le m$  and so  $\min\{n, m\} = n$ . This would implies that  $n \subseteq m$  and therefore  $n \cap m = n$ , so  $\min\{n, m\} = n \cap m$ .

Problem set Set Theory Caballero 3 of ??

## Problem 4.

Show that for all  $m, n, a \in \mathbb{N}$ :

- If a + m = a + n then m = n
- If a > 0 and  $a \cdot m = a \cdot n$  then m = n
- If a > 1 and  $a^m = a^n$  then m = n

**Solution.** Suppose that  $m \neq n$ , then m < n or n < m. Suppose with no loss of generality that m < n, so by the monotony laws we have:

- a + m < a + n
- If a > 0,  $a \cdot m < a \cdot n$
- If a > 1,  $a^m < a^n$

And since the relation < is irreflexive(In other words, that  $n \nleq n$ ) then it is not possible that they are equal. So:

- $a+m \neq a+n$
- If a > 0,  $a \cdot m \neq a \cdot n$
- If a > 1,  $a^m \neq a^n$

## Problem 5.

Let  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ :

- Show that m+n=0 if and only if m=n=0
- Show that  $m \cdot n$  if and only if m = 0 or n = 0

**Solution.** It is obvious the left side of both propositions. Now, for prove the other sides:

• Suppose  $n \neq 0$  and m could be or not 0. Since  $n \neq 0$  then  $(\exists x)(x \in \mathbb{N} \land x^+ = n)$ . This implies that:

$$m + n = m + x^+$$
$$= (m + x)^+$$

And since  $a^+ \neq 0$  for any  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that  $m + n \neq 0$ .

• Suppose  $m \cdot n = 0$  and that  $n \neq 0$ . Then, we can assure that  $(\exists x)(x \in \mathbb{N} \land x^+ = n)$  and therefore:

$$m \cdot n = m \cdot x^{+}$$
$$= (m \cdot x) + m = 0$$

So by the previous proposition we can conclude that  $m \cdot x = 0$ , and especially m = 0.

-

## Problem 6.

Prove that for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \le n$  if and only if exists  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that m + k = n.

# Solution.

- $\Rightarrow$ ) For n=0 this is true easily true. Suppose it is true for n and suppose that  $m \le n^+$ . If  $m=n^+$  it is obvious so if  $m < n^+$  then m < n or m=n. For the first case, there is k such that m+k=n and then  $m+(k+1)=n^+$ . If m=n then  $m+1=n^+$ .
- $\Leftarrow$ ) The case for when n=0 is trivial. Suppose this is true n, so that for  $n^+$  if there is k such that  $m+k=n^+$  there are two possibilities. If k=0 then  $m=n^+$ . Else,  $\exists x\in\mathbb{N}$  such that  $x^+=k$  and therefore:

$$m + k = n^{+}$$

$$m + x^{+} = n^{+}$$

$$(m + x)^{+} = n^{+}$$

$$m + x = n$$

And by the induction hypothesis, we have that  $m \leq n$  and since  $n < n^+$  then  $m \leq n^+$ .

## Problem 7.

Prove that for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ , if b > 0 then there exists unique q, r such that r < b and a = bq + r

**Solution.** For a fixed b > 0, it is easy to see that the proposition is true for a = 0, since  $0 = b \cdot 0 + 0$ . Suppose  $a = b \cdot q + r$  for  $q, r \in \mathbb{N}$  and r < b. There are two cases, if  $r^+ = b$  then:

$$a = b \cdot q + r$$

$$a^{+} = b \cdot q + r^{+}$$

$$a^{+} = b \cdot q + b$$

$$a^{+} = b \cdot (q+1) + 0$$

But if  $r^+ < b$  then

$$a = b \cdot q + r$$
$$a^{+} = b \cdot q + r^{+}$$

And in any case, the proposition is true. So, it is true for all a. To prove that this is unique, then take  $a = b \cdot q + r$  and  $a = b \cdot p + s$  such that  $p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{N}$  and r, s < b. Assume that  $r \le s$  so we would have that

$$b \cdot p + s = b \cdot q + r$$
$$\leq b \cdot q + s$$

And we conclude that  $p \leq q$ . In the other hand, we have:

$$b \cdot q \le b \cdot q + r$$

$$= b \cdot p + s$$

$$< b \cdot p + b$$

$$= b \cdot (p+1)$$

So  $b \cdot q < b \cdot (p+1)$  and we would have that q < p+1, so we have in summary that  $p \le q < p+1$  which is only possible if p = q. And now, it follows easily that r = s.