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**Highlights**

* Application of compost and biol increase mango sweetness at physiological maturity.
* Organic fertilizers improve internal flesh color and mango fruit blush percentage.
* Using compost and biol reduce mango dehydration by 0.6% in postharvest handling.
* Organic fertilizers maintain mango firmness at 4.39 kg/cm² at commercial maturity.

**Impact of organic fertilizers on the quality and postharvest management of mango ‘Kent’ (*Mangifera indica* L.)**

# ABSTRACT

Mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) is a fruit tree with high global demand. Its fruit presents morphological, physiological, and biochemical changes during maturation. An adequate nutrient supply during different physiological stages is key to increasing fruit yield and quality. This research was conducted in the Piura region of Peru; eight-year-old mango trees of the ‘Kent’ variety, were planted at a distance of 7 × 7 m during the 2022–2023 season. A 3 × 3 factorial design with three replications was employed, where the first factor was the application of compost at 0, 5, and 15 t/ha, and the second factor was the application of biol at concentrations of 0%, 5%, and 10%. The results revealed that combined organic fertilization with compost and biol positively affected fruit yield and quality, as it improved fruit firmness, increased soluble solids and dry matter content, and decreased titratable acidity and fruit dehydration during the physiological and commercial maturity stages. These results demonstrate that applying organic fertilizers significantly enhances the quality of mango fruits.

**Keywords:** agricultural practices; crop productivity; fruit quality; nutrient management; sustainable farming

# INTRODUCTION

The mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) is a tropical fruit tree whose origin dates back to 4000 B.C. in South Asia [(R et al., 2022; Saroj and Prasad, 2023)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?0c8sC5). Worldwide, the production of mangoes (M. *indica* L.), guavas (*Psidium guajava*), and mangosteens (*Garcinia mangostana* L.) amounts to 59.15 million metric tons (Mt), with India producing 15.64 Mt, China 3.02 Mt, Indonesia 2.09 Mt, and Thailand 2.07 Mt as the leading producers. On the American continent, production reaches 4.80 Mt, with Mexico and Brazil being the leading producers, contributing 1.78 Mt and 1.21 Mt, respectively [(Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2022)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?SkrMOj). The high demand for mango globally is attributed to its sensory characteristics and health benefits, making it a tropical treasure among seasonal fruits [(Khadivi et al., 2022; Saroj and Prasad, 2023; Shivran et al., 2023)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?QhzXPD).

The mango fruit is a fleshy drupe that undergoes morphological, physiological, and biochemical changes during its physiological and commercial ripening process, which enhances its sensory and organoleptic qualities [(Kumar et al., 2022a; Thiruchelvam et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2019)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?p0uVcK). Physiological maturity is characterized by reaching the maximum fruit size, maintaining seed vigor, and preserving substances, serving as an intermediate stage before the senescence period [(Giovannoni, 2004; Martínez-González et al., 2017)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?as2WHJ). On the other hand, commercial maturity is determined by a decrease in chlorophyll, an increase in carotenoids, softening of the cell wall, degradation of polysaccharides, and modification of organic acids, which enhance the sensory perception and flavor of the fruit [(Martínez et al., 2022; Martínez-González et al., 2017; Prasad et al., 2022a; Villarroel and Albornoz, 2024)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?cUtqZl).

A proper nutrient supply during the phenological stages of growth and development ensures optimal fruit yield. However, fruit quality and postharvest management involve addressing aesthetic, physical, chemical, and biological characteristics, as well as the health benefits and environmental impacts associated with production [(Estrada-Arellano et al., 2022; González-Salas et al., 2021; Magallanes-López et al., 2020)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?ifejwC).

Mango fertilization is linked to the application of synthetic NPK fertilizers to achieve high yields [(Bolaños Risco, 2023)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?sRBv0j). However, synthetic fertilizers and pesticides have caused environmental pollution, decreased soil health, and impacted food security [(Naikoo et al., 2022; Rivera-Solís et al., 2023; Solano, 2023)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?Qp1C10). An alternative to mitigate the use of synthetic fertilizers is organic farming, which employs organic composts to achieve native soil fertilization, high-yield crops, high-quality fruits, and reduced environmental pollutants [(Aguiñaga-Bravo et al., 2020; López-Morales et al., 2022)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?0J6IXO).

The mango plant requires sources of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium to achieve optimal vegetative growth, reproductive development, and yield [(Azam et al., 2022; Ma et al., 2023)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?KkZY7p). Organic fertilizers are characterized by lower concentrations of nutrients but a greater number of nutrients because they affect the synthesis of compounds [(Guo et al., 2019)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?Zr2hW7). They can be applied as soil amendments or foliar treatments because they release slowly and improve the yield and quality of mango fruit from trees [(Jurado et al., 2015)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?nZnMcR). Soil amendments improve the soil‒plant system by increasing root hair density, promoting the soil biota, preventing pathogens, and ensuring the gradual mineralization of nutrients [(Campos Mariscal et al., 2020; Díaz-Chuquizuta et al., 2022; Sánchez-Hernández et al., 2019)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?wx9MyZ). Foliar fertilizers stimulate morphological and physiological changes in plants via micronutrients, phytohormones, and aromatic substances, which elongate cell tissues and provide repellent action against pests and diseases [(Chew et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2015, 2021)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?eMg0q1).

The objective of the present study was to evaluate the effects of organic fertilizers, specifically compost, and biol applied at the soil and foliar levels on the quality of mango fruits at physiological and commercial maturity. The study was conducted in Tambogrande district in Peru during the 2022–2023 production season.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Study area and plant material

The research area was located in the village of Las Mónicas, Tambogrande district, Piura region, with a latitude of 4° 54’ 45’’ S, longitude of 80° 16’ 9’’ W, and elevation of 96 m.a.s.l ([Figure 1](#fig-id.umhwcapm7kiw)). The climate is characterized by minimum temperatures of 14°C, maximum temperatures of 37.5°C, and monthly accumulated precipitation ranging from 0 mm to 147.7 mm ([Figure 2](#fig-id.qcsp3ox8lybb)).

The physical and chemical properties of the soil presented a texture with 68% sand, 16% silt, and 16% clay; a pH of 7.51; an organic matter content of 0.96%; and electrical conductivity of 0.18 dS/m; a nitrogen at 480 mg/kg; a phosphorus content of 25.9 mg/kg; a potassium content of 268 mg/kg; and cation exchange capacity of 10.88 cmol/kg.

The plant material consisted of eight-year-old ‘Kent’ mango trees, planted with a 7 × 7 meter spacing. The study was conducted from September 2022 to February 2023.

The ‘Kent’ variety was developed in 1932 in Florida, USA, from seeds of the ‘Brooks’ variety. However, it was not until 1938 that the first fruits were produced, and the variety was officially described in 1945 [(Sergent, 1999)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?yAdOh0). In Peru, the ‘Kent’ variety of mango predominates over the other varieties due to its slightly oval shape, dark green color with reddish hues at the base, prominent lenticels around the thin skin, juicy flesh with low fiber, and sweet, buttery flavor. These characteristics have led to increased exports and expansion into new international markets such as South Korea, Belgium, and Germany [(Aragón Mendieta, 2022)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?eL6SVD) **.**

## Organic fertilizers

The organic fertilizers used in this study include compost as commercial product Compost Nutri Suelo 3M. It was produced by Soluciones Orgánicas Loma Fértil® in Las Monicas-CP7, Piura, Peru. The formulation presents native efficient microorganisms isolated from Tambogrande. The bio was prepared artisanally following the methodology proposed by [Chanduví-García et al. (2023)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?yWNIHW) with modifications. A 200-liter capacity cylinder was used, into which a 25 kg sack of Compost Nutri Suelo 3M was introduced. In a 60-liter pot of water, 12 kg of leaves from native plants such as Altamisa (*Ambrosia peruviana*), Higuerilla (*Ricinus communis*), and Neem (*Azadirachta indica*), which were cut into 2×2 cm squares, was introduced, along with 4 kg of garlic (*Allium sativum*), 4 kg of onion (*Allium cepa*), 3 kg of chili (*Capsicum annuum*), and 20 liters of water. These ingredients were boiled for 8 hours, during which 30 liters of water were added. The boiled ingredients were subsequently placed into a 200-liter cylinder. To this end, 5 liters of fermented maize beverage “chicha de jora”, 4 kg of cane molasses, and 4 liters of efficient microorganisms were added, and the cylinder was filled with water to reach its full capacity. The container was then sealed tightly and left for 20 days.

The physicochemical analysis and nutritional composition of Compost Nutri Suelo 3M and homemade biol were conducted at the Water, Soil, Plants, and Fertilizers Laboratory of the Faculty of Agronomy at the National Agrarian University La Molina, Lima, Peru (Table 1).

## Organic fertilization treatments

The organic fertilization treatments used in this study included a control with conventional application (0–0) commonly used by farmers: 150 kg/ha N, 80 kg/ha P₂O₅, 200 kg/ha K₂O, 25 kg/ha MgO, and 40 kg/ha Ca. Compost was incorporated at different rates, 5 t/ha (5–0) and 15 t/ha (15–0). Biol was applied at two concentrations, 5% (0–5) and 10% (0–10), as well as combinations of compost and biol. These combinations included compost at 5 t/ha with 5% biol (5–5), compost at 5 t/ha with 10% biol (5–10), compost at 15 t/ha with 5% biol (15–5), and compost at 15 t/ha with 10% biol (15–10).

The fertilization application was carried out in a single instance during the fruit formation stage, corresponding to the second fruit drop category BBCH: 73 [(Meier, 2018)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?vNqG2N). This involved incorporating the compost into the arable layer around the projected canopy of the tree. In contrast, the biol applications were performed foliar at three intervals: the first coincided with the compost application, the second fifteen days after the first application, and the third coincided twenty days after the second application.

## **Study Variables**

The variables were evaluated during the 2022-2023 growing season. The analysis focused on mango fruits of the ‘Kent’ variety at two stages, physiological maturity BBCH: 87 and commercial maturity BBCH: 88.

The traits included yield by plant (YP) and fruit quality variables for physiological maturity. At harvest, five fruits per mango tree were collected, with the fruits being marked before the application of the treatments. The percentage of fruit canopy cover at physiological maturity (PFCCPM, %) was analyzed via an image chart that provides percentage values of carotenoids present in the mango skin (Figure A.1). Fruit firmness at physiological maturity (FFPM, kg/cm²) was evaluated according to the [NMX-FF-014 (1982)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?dYnqfE) methodology. The internal fruit color at physiological maturity (IFCPM) was analyzed via an image chart generated in our study with representative color codes (Figure A.2). The soluble solids content of the fruit at physiological maturity (SSCFPM, ºBrix), fruit pH at physiological maturity (FpHPM), titratable acidity of the fruit at physiological maturity (TAFPM, %), and fruit dry matter percentage at physiological maturity (FDMPPM, %) were analyzed via methods 932.12, 981.12, 942.15, and 963.15 as described in the [Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC, 2005)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?qh4EcI). The fruit physiological maturity index (FPMI) was calculated by dividing the SSCFPM by the TAFPM.

Fifteen mango fruits were sampled from each treatment for the analysis of traits at commercial maturity. The fruits were selected at physiological maturity during harvest and subjected to a commercial ripening process according to the methods [Zamora-Cienfuegos et al. (2022)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?WDYDBk) with minor modifications. The process involves treating mango fruits without refrigeration or ethylene doses. The mangoes were initially stored in a refrigerator at 13±1 ºC for 2 days. Subsequently, the fruits are transferred to a closed room for 12 hours, where the ambient temperature rises to above 26±2 ºC. After this period, the room is opened. The carbon dioxide (CO₂) released by the fruits was expelled via a fan for 12 hours. This procedure was repeated for five days, during which the mango fruits reached commercial maturity. The percentage of fruit dehydration at commercial maturity (FDPCM, %) was determined by comparing the weights before and after the commercial ripening process. The fruit firmness at commercial maturity (FFCM, kg/cm²) was evaluated via the [NMX-FF-014 (1982)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?iIsm7J) methodology. The internal fruit color at commercial maturity (IFCCM) was analyzed via a color-coded image chart (Figure A.2). The soluble solids content of the fruit at commercial maturity (SSCFCM, °Brix), fruit pH at commercial maturity (FpHCM), and titratable acidity of the fruit at commercial maturity (TAFCM, %) were measured via methods 932.12, 981.12, and 942.15 from the [Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC, 2005)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?FM4M7l). The commercial maturity index of the fruit (FCMI) was calculated by dividing the SSCFCM by the percentage of TAFCM.

## Statistical analysis

The experiment was carried out via a 3 × 3 factorial design with three replications. The first factor was the application of compost at concentrations of 0, 5, and 15 t/ha, and the second factor was the application of biol at concentrations of 0%, 5%, and 10%. The experimental unit consisted of five mango trees. Three plants were randomly selected for the evaluations YP, PFCCPM, FFCM, IFCPM, SSCFCM, FpHPM, TAFPM, FDMPPM, FPMI, FDPCM, FFCM, IFCCM, SSCFCM, FpHCM, TAFCM, and FCMI. Five fruits per plant were evaluated for analysis at physiological maturity. Fifteen fruits per treatment were selected for analysis of fruits at commercial maturity. An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to determine if there were differences among the treatment applications. The mean comparison test used was Tukey’s test implemented in the R package *emmeans* [(Lenth, 2017; Searle et al., 1980)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?RqdqHS). The 16 variables under study were subjected to multivariate analysis of principal components (PCA) via the *FactoMineR* package [(Francois Husson, Julie Josse, Sebastien Le, Jeremy Mazet, 2006)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?7uqEPX). The correlation analysis of variables in PCA was conducted via the corrplot package. The data analysis was performed via R statistical software version 4.4.1 (Supplementary File 2, [R Core Team, 2024)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?i9Y5V5).

# RESULTS

## Wheater conditions

To determine the effects of meteorological conditions on mango cultivation during physiological and commercial maturity, the following variables were used: temperature, precipitation, and relative humidity ([Figure 2](#fig-id.qcsp3ox8lybb)). These variables determine the presence of pests, diseases, and physiological deficiencies that affect fruit production and quality [(Ullah et al., 2024)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?FLYdsJ).

The lowest temperature values were recorded from September to December 2022, reaching 14.0 °C in October. Moreover, the highest temperature values were observed in January and February 2023, reaching 37.5 °C in January. For precipitation, the highest values were recorded in February 2023, with an accumulation of 147.7 mm, which coincided with the highest relative humidity percentage of 67.91%. On the other hand, the lowest relative humidity value of 57.94% was recorded in January, which coincided with the highest temperature. The temperatures recorded during January and February favored fruit ripening.

## **Fruit quality traits at physiological maturity**

To evaluate the quality characteristics of the fruit at physiological maturity ([Figure 3](#fig-kix.jeoh8ws8urib)), a univariate analysis was conducted at physiological maturity on fruit firmness (FFPM), soluble solids content of the fruit (SSCFPM), titratable acidity of the fruit (TAFPM), and fruit dry matter percentage (FDMPPM). These variables are crucial for determining the physiological maturity of the fruit at harvest and in preventing damage from handling during commercialization or industrial processes [(Alebidi et al., 2023; Jiménez-Maldonado et al., 2022; Kumar et al., 2022b)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?uXWLFS).

For FFPM, no significant differences were detected between the interaction of compost and biol applications (p-value = 0.68, [Figure 3](#fig-kix.jeoh8ws8urib)a). However, differences were noted in the various doses of compost and biol used. In terms of the application of biol, significant differences were observed across the different doses used at the three compost levels. The 10% biol treated had the highest firmness values, with an average of 12.46 kg/cm2. With respect to compost application, the 15 t/ha dose resulted in slightly greater firmness with an average of 12.41 kg/cm2. Despite the lack of interaction between the compost and the biol, the application of 15 t/ha + 10% biol resulted in the highest fruit firmness value of 12.96 kg/cm2. This value represents a 13.8% increase in firmness compared with that of the control, with firmness of 11.38 kg/cm2.

The interaction between compost and biol significantly affected the SSCFPM (p-value = 0.01, [Figure 3](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=kix.jeoh8ws8urib)b). The highest average soluble solids values were achieved with the applications of 15 t/ha compost + 5% biol and 5 t/ha compost + 10% biol, both reaching 9.29 ºBrix. In contrast, the lowest average soluble solids content was observed with the conventional fertilization treatment (0 t/ha compost + 0% biol), which was 8.57 ºBrix. These values represent an 8.4% increase compared with the soluble solids content obtained with the combined application of organic fertilizers at a dose of 15 t/ha compost + 5% biol, compared with the absence of these applications.

Significant differences were found for TAFPM between the compost and biol interactions (p-value = 0.01, [Figure 3](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=kix.jeoh8ws8urib)c). The combined application of organic fertilizers resulted in the lowest average acidity levels, with a dose of 15 t/ha compost + 10% biol resulting in a value of 1.23%. In contrast, the highest acidity values were observed with conventional fertilization (0 t/ha compost + 0% biol), at 1.36%. Notably, applying biol at 10% either individually or in combination with compost resulted in lower acidity values, reaching a maximum of 1.27%.

The FDMPPM did not show significant differences between the interactions of compost and biol application (p-value = 0.61, [Figure 3](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=kix.jeoh8ws8urib)d). However, the highest mean value of 20.96% was obtained with the application of 15 t/ha compost + 10% biol. In contrast, the lowest percentage was observed with the conventional fertilization treatment (0 t/ha compost + 0% biol), at 19.26%, representing an 8% increase in dry matter. Importantly, fruits treated with biol at 10% either individually or in combination with compost exceeded 20.0% dry matter.

To analyze the interaction effects of the variables and organic fertilizer dose at physiological maturity, a principal component analysis (PCA) and a Pearson correlation analysis were conducted with the PCA variable ([Figure 4](#fig-id.lorxrx2m0tsw), Figure A.3).

To evaluate the interaction of the traits, a multivariate principal component analysis (PCA) was used [Figure 4](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=id.lorxrx2m0tsw)). The first two components represent 79.29% of the variance at dimension 1 and 10.27% of the variance at dimension 2, resulting in a cumulative variance of 89.56% ([Figure 4](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=id.lorxrx2m0tsw)a, Supplementary Figure 3a). For dimension 1, the variables FFPM, FPMI, and FDMPPM contributed the most to this dimension, with contributions of 13.27%, 13.20%, and 12.62%, respectively, compared with the IFCPM at 4.59% and YP at 7.51% ([Figure 4](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=id.lorxrx2m0tsw)a, Supplementary Figure 3b). In dimension 2, the IFCPM had the highest contribution at 71.60%, whereas PFCCPM and YPP had the lowest contributions at 0.18% and 0.88%, respectively ([Figure 4](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=id.lorxrx2m0tsw)a, Supplementary Figure 3c). The variables FFPM, FDMPPM, SSCFPM, and TAFPM presented the greatest contribution to the analysis because of their significance in determining the physiological maturity of the fruit at the time of harvest.

The PCA of the variables illustrates the relationships between the traits. Positive relationships among FFPM, FDMPPM, SSCFPM, TAFPM, IFCPM, FpHPM, FPMI, PFCCPM, and YP were found. In contrast, TAFPM has a negative relationship. There is a strong positive correlation (0.97) between FFPM and a strong negative correlation (-0.93) between TAFPM for dimension 1. For Dimension 2, the variable IFCPM shows a strong positive correlation of 0.81 ([Figure 4](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=id.lorxrx2m0tsw)a, Figure A.3d).

On the other hand, conventional fertilization (0 t/ha compost + 0% biol) aligns with the direction of the TAFPM vector, indicating that individuals receiving this treatment presented relatively high values of fruit titratable acidity. In the case of the IFCPM, the dose of compost enriched with 15 t/ha + 10% biol had showed the highest value. Similarly, for the variables FFPM and FDMPPM, the application of compost at 15 t/ha + 10% biol had yielded the highest values. For the variables, SSCFPM, FPMI, and FpHPM, the doses of compost at 15 t/ha + 5% biol and compost at 5 t/ha +10% biol had the best results ([Figure 4](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=id.lorxrx2m0tsw)b).

## Characteristics of mango fruit quality at commercial maturity

To evaluate the quality characteristics of the fruit at commercial maturity a univariate analysis was conducted on fruit firmness (FFCM), soluble solids content of the fruit (SSCFCM), titratable acidity of the fruit (TAFCM), and fruit dehydration percentage (FDPCM). These variables are important for determining the commercial maturity of the fruit in the postharvest handling process [(Barrón-García et al., 2021; Saroj et al., 2023; Saroj and Prasad, 2023)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?rnMSUZ).

Significant differences were observed for FFCM between the interactions of compost and biol application (p-value = 0.03). The highest mean value for fruit firmness was obtained with the application of compost at 15 t/ha + 10% biol, with a value of 4.33 kg/cm2. In contrast, the lowest mean value for fruit firmness was observed with the application of 0 t/ha compost + 5% biol, at 3.44 kg/cm2. This demonstrates a 25.87% increase in fruit firmness ([Figure 5](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=id.apkbyaf8rnn2)a).

The SSCFCM did not significantly differ between the interactions of compost and biol (p-value = 0.91, [Figure 5](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=id.apkbyaf8rnn2)b). However, differences were observed among the various doses of compost and biol used. The highest mean values of soluble solids were obtained with the application of compost 15 t/ha + 10% biol with 16.12 ºBrix. In contrast, the lowest mean soluble solids content was observed with the application of 5 t/ha compost + 0% biol, at 14.84 ºBrix. These values represent an increase of 8.6% in the soluble solids present in the fruit.

For TAFCM, significant differences were found between the interactions of compost and biol (p-value < 0.001, [Figure 5](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=id.apkbyaf8rnn2)c). With the combined application of organic fertilizers, the lowest mean acidity, 0.47%, was achieved with a dose of compost of 15 t/ha + 10% biol. In contrast, the highest acidity values of 0.62% were observed with conventional fertilization (0 t/ha compost+ 0% biol). Notably, applying compost at 15 t/ha, either alone or in combination with biol, resulted in lower acidity values with values less than 0.51%.

For FDPCM, no significant differences were found in the interaction between compost and biol (p-value = 0.40, [Figure 5](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=id.apkbyaf8rnn2)d). However, differences were observed among the various doses of compost and biol used. With respect to the application of biol, significant differences were observed compost doses of 0 t/ha and 10 t/ha. The doses with no biol application (0%) resulted in the greatest degree of fruit dehydration, with an average of 6.58%. With respect to compost application, the 0 t/ha dose resulted in a relatively high percentage of dehydration, with a mean value of 6.57%. Despite the lack of interaction between the compost and the biol, the application of 15 t/ha compost + 10% biol resulted in the lowest dehydration value of 6.16%. This represents an 8.9% decrease in fruit dehydration compared with the 6.76% observed with conventional fertilization (0 t/ha compost + biol 0% biol).

To analyze the interaction of the traits and treatments with different doses of organic fertilizers a principal component analysis (PCA) and Pearson correlation analysis were conducted in the PCA variables ([Figure 6](#fig-id.awvbghja6kxt), Figure A.4).

To evaluate the interaction of the variables at commercial maturity a multivariate principal component analysis (PCA) method was used ([Figure 6](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=id.awvbghja6kxt)). The first two components represent 84.79% of the variance at dimension 1 and 10.35% at dimension 2 representing a cumulative variance of 95.14% ([Figure 6](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=id.awvbghja6kxt)a, Figure A.4a). For dimension 1, the variables FDPCM and SSCFCM contributed the most to this dimension with values of 16.06% and 16.24%, respectively, compared with the IFCCM with an 8.50% contribution ([Figure 6](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=id.awvbghja6kxt)a, Figure A.4b). In dimension 2, the IFCCM had the highest contribution at 64.34%, whereas the FDPCM and fruit SSCFCM had the lowest contributions at 1.57% and 0.49%, respectively ([Figure 6](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=id.awvbghja6kxt)a, Figure A.4c). Importantly, the variables FDPCM, SSCFCM, IFCCM, TAFCM, FpHCM, and FFCM presented high contributions to the analysis because of their importance in determining the commercial maturity of the fruit for sale.

The PCA of the variables shows the relationship between the variables, with a positive correlation among the variables SSCFCM, IFCCM, FpHCM, and FFCM, in contrast with fruit FDPCM and TAFCM, which show a negative correlation ([Figure 6](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=id.awvbghja6kxt)a, Figura A.4d). At this point, it is notable that the variable SSCFCM shows a strong positive correlation of 0.98, while the variable FDPCM exhibits a strong negative correlation of -0.98 for dimension 1. Additionally, for dimension 2, the variable IFCCM demonstrates a moderate positive correlation of 0.68 ([Figure 6](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=id.awvbghja6kxt)a, Figura A.4d).

Conventional fertilization (0 t/ha compost + 0% biol) and 0 t/ha compost + 5% biol are aligned with the vectors for FDPCM and titratable TAFCM. Therefore, the individuals subjected to these treatments presented higher values for these variables ([Figure 6](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=id.awvbghja6kxt)). In the case of the IFCCM, the conventional fertilization dose (0 t/ha compost + 0% biol) also presented the lowest values. Similarly, for the variables FFCM and SSCFCM, the application of compost at 15 t/ha + 10% and compost at 15 t/ha + 5% resulted in the highest values. With respect to the IFCCM and FpHCM, the compost dose of 15 t/ha + 10% biol had high values for these variables ([Figure 6](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=id.awvbghja6kxt)).

# DISCUSSION

The mango produced in Peru is a highly demanded crop internationally, as more than 60% of the mango production is exported to countries such as the Netherlands, the United States, Spain, and the Republic of Korea [(Ministerio de Desarrollo Agrario y Riego, 2023)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?G6g5us). The use of organic fertilizers and organic molecules increases yield and improves the quality of mango fruit [(García-Santiago et al., 2023; Ma et al., 2022)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?pAkl0Y). In the present study, field research was conducted to assess the efficiency of organic fertilizers on eight-year-old ‘Kent’ mango plants. Various fruit quality parameters were evaluated at both the physiological and commercial maturity stages.

The quality of mango at physiological maturity is determined by variables such as FFPM, SSCFPM, TAFPM, and FDMPPM [(Alebidi et al., 2023; Jiménez-Maldonado et al., 2022; Kumar et al., 2022b; Velasquez et al., 2023)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?8s2eEV). In the present study, it was found that biol application increased mango fruit firmness ([Figure 3](#fig-kix.jeoh8ws8urib)a) and dry solids ([Figure 3](#fig-kix.jeoh8ws8urib)d). This could be attributed to the calcium, potassium, and magnesium nutrients present in biol (Table 1) which are responsible for the accumulation of homogalacturonans, the binding of pectin networks, and the formation of phospholipids that structure the cell wall and regulate the water permeability of the membrane [(Huang et al., 2023; Morales-Meléndez et al., 2024; Vásquez – Rojas, 2020)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?qxbOL4). Additionally, the combined application of compost and biol increased YP and SSCFPM ([Figure 3](#fig-kix.jeoh8ws8urib)b) while decreasing TAFPM ([Figure 3](#fig-kix.jeoh8ws8urib)c). This could be due to the presence of phosphorus and potassium in compost and biol (Table 1), which are involved in carbohydrate accumulation in the fruit and the reduction of acids at the vacuolar level [(Irfan et al., 2019; Navarro et al., 2023)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?ljTf7G). Additionally, compost contains humic acids (Table A.1), which, according to [Murbach et al. (2020)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?G2PHiw) act as chelators in nutrient absorption. Although both compost and biol contain calcium, potassium, and magnesium (Table 1), foliar application of biol increased the firmness value. This suggests that the foliar application method of organic fertilizer can affect FFPM and FDMPPM, which is in agreement with the findings of [Díaz-Chuquizuta et al. (2022)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?OZ8MR2). The correlation between YP and SSCFPM can be attributed to the nutrients present in compost and biol, such as nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium, and magnesium (Table 1). These nutrients, when present in adequate proportions, improve fruit quality by preventing internal disorders [(Ma et al., 2023, 2022)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?hw2pri).

With respect to the timing of the biol applications, our study involved three applications. This allowed for greater potassium availability throughout fruit development, which, according to [Wang et al. (2019)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?uOeKmO), enhances starch accumulation in fruits. Other studies have reported that the fractionation of foliar applications based on potassium and calcium allows for better assimilation of the product. This is because more than 50% of these nutrients are absorbed during fruit maturation. [Osuna-Enciso et al. (2023)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?LhV0ZL) reported that the application of ethephon generates significant differences in fruit firmness, soluble solids, and acidity at harvest [(Castro-Villarreal and Villarreal-Núñez, 2020; Jaime-Guerrero et al., 2024; Sotiropoulos et al., 2021)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?pmvOXp).

During the commercial maturity stage, mango shows physicochemical changes reflected in quality variables such as FFCM, SSCFCM, TAFCM, and FDPCM [(Barrón-García et al., 2021; Prasad et al., 2024; Saroj et al., 2023; Saroj and Prasad, 2023)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?8CVrUa). In the present study, the interaction of compost and biol improved fruit firmness ([Figure 5](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=id.apkbyaf8rnn2)a) and reduced fruit acidity ([Figure 5](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=id.apkbyaf8rnn2)c). This can be attributed to the calcium absorbed by the fruits during organic fertilization. According to previous studies, calcium regulates enzymatic activity and increases shelf life during the commercial maturity process [(Kumar et al., 2022b; Prasad et al., 2024)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?87eMVG). [Prasad et al. (2024)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?S5cy2K) reported that fruits fertilized with organic fertilizers experienced lower FDPCM during the commercial maturity process. The decrease in TAFCM and the increase in SSCFCM can be attributed to the hydrolysis of starch, which is responsible for the increase in sugars and the decrease in organic acids at the vacuolar level [(Prasad et al., 2024, 2022b)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?8XltO1). In our work, the improvement in fruit firmness, increase in soluble solids, and decrease in acidity and dehydration ([Figure 5](https://docs.google.com/document/d/1ySwDDV4CYy3IYeRz8Fg_sUGJ30KOWt2aGAcdRm7EHFU/edit?pli=1#bookmark=id.apkbyaf8rnn2)a,c,d) can be attributed to high doses of organic fertilizers. These doses likely increase the supply of nutrients that are potentially delivered to the fruit [(Sudha et al., 2012)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?I5COPJ). These findings are supported by those of [Sun et al. (2022)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?f0Q0cW) who demonstrated that fertilizing mango fruit during the maturation period affects the concentrations of soluble solids, vitamin C, carotenoids, and the water content of the fruit. Additionally, [Abd El-Rahman (2021)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?WKUN7E) reported that applying compost to soil and biofertilizers through foliar spraying improved the physical properties, soluble solids, acidity percentage, and vitamin C concentration of mango fruit. Other studies mention that the content of photosynthates and nutrients in the leaves is correlated with the total sugars of the fruit because of decreases in water supply [(Hueso et al., 2021; Rani et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2022)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?J1lhMp). Although the interaction of compost and biol did not significantly differ for FFPM, FDMPPM, SSCFCM, and FDPCM, the best values of fruit yield and quality were achieved with the highest doses of combined compost and biol. Which can be associated with the increased dosage of nutrients, which has been reported to prevent metabolic imbalances and influence fruit development and maturation [(De Bang et al., 2021)](https://www.zotero.org/google-docs/?cMwYRK).

Among the limitations of the present study is the lack of soil analysis at the end of the experiment, which would have been crucial for determining nutrient extraction by the plants. This omission was due to the coincidence of the harvest time with the physico-chemical analysis of the mango fruits, which were conducted in different provinces. Additionally, no foliar analysis was performed before or after organic fertilization, which would have provided valuable information on nutrient concentrations in the leaves and allowed for more precise adjustments to foliar or soil applications. Despite these limitations, the results of this study contribute to the understanding of sustainable agricultural practices, benefiting both producers and the environment. Another challenge for comparison studies is the lack of specification regarding the exact timing of variable evaluations. Therefore, adopting the BBCH scale to standardize evaluations and facilitate comparisons between studies is suggested.

Research on organic fertilization of mangoes to achieve cumulative effects that better respond to fruit productivity and quality is necessary. Ideally, the efficiency of compost and biol should be evaluated in different geographic regions with varying climatic and edaphic conditions. New equipment is needed to determine both external and internal fruit coloration, which would encode the carotenoid content and more effectively highlight physical changes in fruit fertilized with organic fertilizers during physiological and commercial maturity.

# CONCLUSIONS

The present study revealed that mango fruits of the variety ‘Kent’ analyzed at physiological and commercial maturity presented positive effects when organically fertilized. Organic fertilization using compost and biol not only increased yield but also improved fruit quality. Therefore, compost and biol ensure an adequate supply of nutrients during the fruit filling and maturation stages of the mango variety ‘Kent,’ resulting in high-quality fruit at physiological maturity that persists until commercial maturity. These findings are crucial for optimizing the agronomic management of mangoes in regions such as Piura, Peru, and contribute to the knowledge of sustainable agricultural practices, benefiting both producers and the environment.
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|  |
| --- |
| Figure 1: Research area on organic fertilizers and postharvest fruit quality management of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) var. ‘Kent’ in Tambogrande, Piura region. Coordinates: 4° 54’ 45’’ S, 80° 16’ 9’’ W. |

|  |
| --- |
| Figure 2: Weather conditions during the experiment of organic fertilizers in the quality and postharvest management of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) Var. ‘Kent’, Located in the District of Tambogrande, Piura Región. Source: SENAMHI. |

|  |
| --- |
| Figure 3: Quality traits for ‘Kent’ mango fruit at physiological maturity. (a) Fruit firmness. (b) Soluble solids content of the fruit. (c) Titratable acidity of the fruit (d) Fruit dry matter percentage. The 0-0 combination represents the synthetic fertilizer application commonly used by the farmer which is equivalent to 150 kg/ha of N, 80 kg/ha of P₂O₅, 200 kg/ha of K₂O, 25 kg/ha of MgO and 40 kg/ha of Ca. Different letters indicate significant differences in Tukey’s mean comparison test (p < 0.05). |

|  |
| --- |
| Figure 4: Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of quality traits for mango fruits at physiological maturity from compost and biol applications. (a) Physicochemical variables evaluated at physiological maturity for mango fruits. (b) Treatments based on compost and biol used for evaluating agronomic traits. Where: yield per plant (YP), percentage of fruit canopy cover at physiological maturity (PFCCPM, %), fruit firmness at physiological maturity (FFPM, kg/cm2), internal fruit color at physiological maturity (IFCPM), soluble solids content of the fruit at physiological maturity (SSCFPM, ºBrix), fruit pH at physiological maturity (FpHPM), titratable acidity of the fruit at physiological maturity (TAFPM, %), fruit dry matter percentage at physiological maturity (FDMPPM, %), fruit physiological maturity index (FPMI). The 0-0 combination represents the synthetic fertilizer application commonly used by the farmer which is equivalent to 150 kg/ha of N, 80 kg/ha of P₂O₅, 200 kg/ha of K₂O, 25 kg/ha of MgO and 40 kg/ha of Ca. Analysis was based on 405 samples (n = 405). |

|  |
| --- |
| Figure 5: Quality characteristics for mango fruit of the ‘Kent’ variety at commercial maturity. (a) Fruit firmness at commercial maturity. (b) Soluble solids content of the fruit at commercial maturity. (c) Titratable acidity of the fruit at commercial maturity. (d) Fruit dehydration percentage at commercial maturity. The 0-0 combination represents the synthetic fertilizer application commonly used by the farmer which is equivalent to 150 kg/ha of N, 80 kg/ha of P₂O₅, 200 kg/ha of K₂O, 25 kg/ha of MgO and 40 kg/ha of Ca. Different letters indicate significant differences in Tukey’s multiple comparison tests (p < 0.05). |

|  |
| --- |
| Figure 6: Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of physicochemical variables of mango fruits at commercial maturity with compost and biol applications. (a) Physicochemical traits of fruits at commercial maturity. (b) Treatments based on compost and biol-base were used to evaluate the agronomic traits. Where: fruit dehydration percentage at commercial maturity (FDPCM, %), fruit firmness at commercial maturity (FFCM, kg/cm2), internal fruit color at commercial maturity (IFCCM), soluble solids content of the fruit at commercial maturity (SSCFCM, °Brix), fruit pH at commercial maturity (FpHCM), titratable acidity of the fruit at commercial maturity (TAFCM, %), and fruit commercial maturity index (FCMI). The 0-0 combination represents the synthetic fertilizer application commonly used by the farmer which is equivalent to 150 kg/ha of N, 80 kg/ha of P₂O₅, 200 kg/ha of K₂O, 25 kg/ha of MgO and 40 kg/ha of Ca. Analysis based on 135 samples (n = 135). |

Biol (p/v) | 1143.2 | 181.4 | 1975 | 440 | 145 | 248 | 2.14 | 3.1 | 10.54 |  
Table 1: Chemical analysis and nutritional composition of organic fertilizers, Compost Nutri Suelo 3M, and biol used to evaluate the quality and postharvest characteristics of mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) var. ‘Kent’ in Tambogrande, Piura. |  
**Organic fertilizers** | **N (ppm)** | **P (ppm)** | **K (ppm)** | **Ca (ppm)** | **Mg (ppm)** | **Na (ppm)** | **M.O %** | **pH** | **CE dS/m** |

|————————-|————————-|————————-|————————-|————————-|————————-|————————-|————————-|————————-|————————–| | Compost | 20900 | 20100 | 25600 | 44500 | 28000 | 2100 | 28.5 | 7 | 31.7 |