Testbed for the 1d hungry walker model. Map the process onto a Markov process with state space (i,r), where i is the position of the walker, r is the position of the food front. (Such that i=r means the walker is just at the food front and will have jump rates that are biased.) We write the bias towards food as $1-\epsilon$.

```
In[39]:= maxSteps = 12;
In[40]:= lattice = Range[-maxSteps, maxSteps];
    offset = 1 - Min[lattice];
    dim = Length[lattice];
```

The trick to deal with this: sparse arrays. Otherwise, the matrices become too big to handle, and we're storing zeros mostly for nothing. But this means we need to map (i,r) to a onedimensional index. Helper functions:

```
idx[i_, r_] := (i + offset - 1) dim + (r + offset);
rx[idx_] := Mod[idx - 1, dim] - offset + 1;
ix[idx_] := Quotient[idx - 1, dim] - offset + 1;
```

Helpers to map back and forth between a matrix and its functional representation: if T is a function taking four arguments (i,r,id,rd), then mat[T] makes a matrix out of it. Reversely, ind[Tmat] takes such a matrix and gives a function object that we can access with four arguments.

```
In[46]:= mat[T_] := Table[T[ix[idx], rx[idx], ix[idxd], rx[idxd]], {idx, dim^2}, {idxd, dim^2}]
In[47]:= ind[Tmat_] := Function[{i, r, id, rd}, Tmat[[idx[i, r], idx[id, rd]]]]
```

We need n-th powers of the T matrix of the stochastic process. Trick: break down $T^{2n} = T^n.T^n$ for integer n and $T^{2n+1} = T^n.T^n.T$, and perform the exponentiation recursively, storing all intermediate results. This way, we will save ourselves repeated reevaluation of the matrix powers.

The first power is set to the T matrix itself.

(mat here is the bottleneck: should the initial matrix already be too big to story as a non-sparse array, we have to think about creating it as a sparse array right in the beginning.)

Test: probability to be at i=n with r=n, after t=n steps. This should be $(1 - \epsilon)^n$.

Note our finite lattice: since the walker in n steps can at most go from i=0 to i=n or i=-n, we are good if n is less or equal the lattice extension, if the process starts at i=0.

In[52]:= Table[ind[TtoN[n]][n, n, 0, 0], {n, maxSteps}]

Out[52]=
$$\left\{1 - \epsilon, \ (1 - \epsilon)^2, \ (1 - \epsilon)^3, \ (1 - \epsilon)^4, \ (1 - \epsilon)^5, \ (1 - \epsilon)^6, \ (1 - \epsilon)^7, \ (1 - \epsilon)^8, \ (1 - \epsilon)^9, \ (1 - \epsilon)^{10}, \ (1 - \epsilon)^{11}, \ (1 - \epsilon)^{12}\right\}$$

This is the formula for which Sebastian has derived a closed form, it would be nice to check!

ln[57]:= With[{n = maxSteps / 2}, Table[ind[TtoN[2 n]][2 (n - k), 2 (n - k), 0, 0], {k, 0, n}]] // Simplify

Out[57]=
$$\left\{ (-1+\epsilon)^{12}, \frac{11}{2} (-1+\epsilon)^{10} \epsilon, \frac{9}{8} (-1+\epsilon)^8 \epsilon (1+10\epsilon), \frac{7}{16} (-1+\epsilon)^6 \epsilon (1+8\epsilon+24\epsilon^2), \frac{5}{128} (-1+\epsilon)^4 \epsilon (5+30\epsilon+84\epsilon^2+112\epsilon^3), \frac{3}{256} (-1+\epsilon)^2 \epsilon (7+28\epsilon+60\epsilon^2+80\epsilon^3+56\epsilon^4), \frac{\epsilon (21+42\epsilon+56\epsilon^2+56\epsilon^3+40\epsilon^4+16\epsilon^5)}{1024} \right\}$$

In[59]:= Table[ind[TtoN[2 n]][0, n, 0, 0], {n, maxSteps / 2}]

$$\text{Out}[59] = \left\{ (1-\epsilon)\,\epsilon\,,\; \frac{1}{2}\,(1-\epsilon)^2\,\epsilon\,,\; \frac{1}{4}\,(1-\epsilon)^3\,\epsilon\,,\; \frac{1}{8}\,(1-\epsilon)^4\,\epsilon\,,\; \frac{1}{16}\,(1-\epsilon)^5\,\epsilon\,,\; \frac{1}{32}\,(1-\epsilon)^6\,\epsilon \right\}$$