Question 3)

Explanations:

The Boruta package was used to select the features that have the largest impact on the determining the class result. Boruta is a wrapper function that applies the random forest algorithm to the dataset its given, requiring specification of the evalutated attributes and the attribute that is determined by the others, and returns the important attributes in an boruta object. The tentative rough fix function is another method of the Boruta package that tries to identify if features on the edge of important or un important should be kept or not. The getSelectedAttributes function is used to get the names of the features selected in a vector, which is then used to loop through the dataset and remove all other proteins. The CLASS column was looped through replacing any values that were not "AD" with "NON_AD", the matrix was then written to a file for Weka to use.

For Classification the Weka software was used to generate a J48 pruned tree using the features selected. The collapseTree setting within the classifier in Weka was set to true as this ensured that unneccesary checks were not being formed. Other values were mainly set to default as they didn't have a significant impact on the classifiers perforamance.

a)

The following proteins were chosen as the most important for determining the outcome of the CLASS value.

```
[1] "CLASS"
                  "EGF_1"
                                "IL.1a_1"
                                             "IL.3_1"
                                                          "MIG_1"
                                                                        "RANTES_1" "TNF.a_1"
Code:
 feature_selection <- function(data){
    set.seed(111)
    bor <- Boruta(as.factor(data[,1]), x = data)</pre>
   bor <- TentativeRoughFix(bor, averageOver = Inf)</pre>
   x <- getSelectedAttributes(bor, withTentative = FALSE)
   print(x)
    x <- as.data.frame(x)</pre>
     hile(a <= nrow(x))
      x[a,1] \leftarrow gsub(".","-",x[a,1], fixed = TRUE)
      \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{1}
   matrix1 <- matrix(data = data[,colnames(data) %in% x[,1]], nrow = nrow(data), ncol = nrow(x))</pre>
    colnames(matrix1) <- x[,1]</pre>
   rownames(matrix1) <- rownames(data)
     hile(a <= nrow(matrix1))
      \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{1}
    write.csv(matrix1, file = "Results/features.csv")
```

b)

Weka outputs the classification system below, with the pruned tree being the actual system that will be used to test on the different datasets.

```
=== Run information ===
             weka.classifiers.trees.J48 -B -C 0.25 -M 2
            features
Relation:
Instances:
              83
Attributes: 9
               CLASS
              EGF_1
              IL-la 1
               IL-3_1
               MIG_1
               RANTES_1
               TNF-a_1
              GCSF_1
Test mode: evaluate on training data
=== Classifier model (full training set) ===
J48 pruned tree
IL-1a_1 <= -0.145044532
| IL-3_1 <= 0.113499427
    | GCSF_1 <= -0.415675342
| | EGF_1 > 0.568856941: NON_AD (2.0)
| GCSF_1 > -0.415675342: AD (31.0)
| IL-3_1 > 0.113499427: NON_AD (4.0)
IL-la_1 > -0.145044532: NON_AD (43.0/9.0)
Number of Leaves : 5
Size of the tree: 9
Time taken to build model: 0 seconds
c)
Weka outputs with the classification system.
Training Set Results:
Time taken to test model on training data: 0 seconds
=== Summary ===
                                       74
9
Correctly Classified Instances
                                                         89.1566 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances
                                                          10.8434 %
                                         0.7845
Kappa statistic
                                         0.1715
Mean absolute error
                                         0.2928
Root mean squared error
                                       34.3391 %
Relative absolute error
Root relative squared error
                                        58.6005 %
Total Number of Instances
=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===
                                                                          ROC Area PRC Area Class
                 TP Rate FP Rate Precision Recall F-Measure MCC
                0.791  0.000  1.000  0.791  0.883  0.803  0.911  0.908  
1.000  0.209  0.816  1.000  0.899  0.803  0.911  0.844  
0.892  0.101  0.911  0.892  0.891  0.803  0.911  0.877
                                                                                                 AD
                                                                                                 NON_AD
Weighted Avg.
 === Confusion Matrix ===
  a b <-- classified as
 34 9 | a = AD
  0 40 | b = NON_AD
```

Test Set AD Results:

Time taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.15 seconds

=== Summary ===

82 Correctly Classified Instances 89.1304 % 10 Incorrectly Classified Instances 10.8696 %

0.7784 0.202 Kappa statistic Mean absolute error Root mean squared error 0.3265 Relative absolute error 40.2707 % 65.0694 % Root relative squared error Total Number of Instances

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

	TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
	0.810	0.040	0.944	0.810	0.872	0.785	0.864	0.850	AD
	0.960	0.190	0.857	0.960	0.906	0.785	0.864	0.834	NON_AD
Weighted Avg.	0.891	0.122	0.897	0.891	0.890	0.785	0.864	0.842	

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as

34 8 | a = AD

2 48 | b = NON AD

Test Set MCI Results:

Time taken to test model on supplied test set: 0.07 seconds

=== Summary ===

27 20 57.4468 % Correctly Classified Instances Incorrectly Classified Instances 42.5532 %

0.136 Kappa statistic Mean absolute error 0.4478 0.5998 Root mean squared error 89.3583 % Relative absolute error Root relative squared error 119.618 % Total Number of Instances

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

 TP Rate
 FP Rate
 Precision
 Recall
 F-Measure
 MCC
 ROC Area
 PRC Area
 Class

 0.455
 0.320
 0.556
 0.455
 0.500
 0.138
 0.558
 0.506
 AD
 0.680 0.545 0.586 0.680 0.630 0.138 0.558 0.562 0.574 0.440 0.572 0.574 0.569 0.138 0.558 0.536 NON_AD Weighted Avg.

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a b <-- classified as

10 12 | a = AD 8 17 | b = NON AD

	Training	Test AD	Test MCI
Sensitivity	0.791	0.81	0.455
Specificity	1.0	0.96	0.68
Accuracy	89.16%	89.13%	57.45%
F1-Score	0.891	0.89	0.569
MCC	0.803	0.785	0.138
Youden's J	0.791	0.77	0.135

The classifier algorithm showed promise based on its performance with the first Test set with strong scores all around, specifically in its specificity, however the second test set performed very poorly using the same classifier system, with its accuracy only being slightly better then a coin flip. These results could indicate a flaw in the classifier system however the MCI test set also has the lowest sample size and could have been a statistical outlier.