Regulatory documents via LDA

Sebastian Knigge
18 8 2019

1 Setup

Following libraries are used in the code:

```
library(dplyr)
library(tidytext)
library(pdftools)
library(tidyr)
library(stringr)
library(tidytext)
library(udpipe)
library(topicmodels)
library(ggplot2)
library(wordcloud)
library(tm)
library(SnowballC)
library(RColorBrewer)
library(RCurl)
library(XML)
```

2 Import data

In this code reulatory documents are red in and processed via LDA. This first part focusses on reading in the pdf documents.

```
# getting the right directiory
library(here)
setwd("../")
path <- getwd() %>%
  file.path("TextDocs")
documents <- list.files(path)</pre>
```

Following functions are used to set up and analyze the pdfs.

```
read_pdf_clean <- function(document){
    # This function loads the document given per name
    # and excludes the stop words inclusive numbers
pdf1 <- pdf_text(file.path(path, document)) %>%
    strsplit(split = "\n") %>%
    do.call("c",.) %>%
    as_tibble() %>%
    unnest_tokens(word,value) %>%
    # apply a filter for ^
    filter(!grepl("^",word))
# load stopword library
data(stop_words)
```

Now we can read in all documents in a for loop:

```
# inital set up for the corpus
pdf1 <- read_pdf_clean(documents[1])
corpus <- tibble(document=1, word=pdf1$word)
# adding the documents iteratively
for (i in 2:length(documents)){
   pdf_i <- read_pdf_clean(documents[i])
   corpus <- tibble(document=i, word=pdf_i$word) %>% bind_rows(corpus,.)
}
```

3 LDA

The LDA model is applied. First the document term matrix has to be set up.

```
dtm <- corpus %>% count(document, word, sort = TRUE) %>%
   select(doc_id=document, term=word, freq=n) %>%
   document_term_matrix()
dim(dtm)
```

```
## [1] 28 12992
```

Using the function LDA sets up the model and prediction/evaluation is done via predict(). But first of all it shall be verified whether the Predict function actually delivers the same classification as the export of the gamma matrix directly from the LDA model. Therefore both gamma matrices of the single functions are compared. Table 1 displays the output of the gamma matrix received by the predict() function and Table 2 displays the gamma matrix returned by the LDA model itself.

```
mutate_each(funs(as.numeric), doc_id,topic_001,topic_002,topic_003,topic_004,topic_005) %>%
arrange(desc(-doc_id)) %>%
round(2) %>%
stargazer(summary=F, rownames = F, header = F, title="Gamma matrix for predict function", label="pred")
```

Table 1: Gamma matrix for predict function

$\underline{\mathrm{doc}}_{\mathrm{id}}$	$topic_001$	$topic_002$	$topic_003$	$topic_004$	$topic_005$
1	0	1	0	0	0
2	0	0.930	0	0.070	0
3	0.040	0	0.240	0.710	0.010
4	0	0	0.280	0.720	0
5	0	0	1	0	0
6	0.310	0.010	0.680	0	0
7	0	0	1	0	0
8	0	0	1	0	0
9	0	0	1	0	0
10	0	0	1	0	0
11	0.040	0	0.960	0	0
12	0	0	1	0	0
13	0	0.060	0	0.940	0
14	0	0	0.290	0.710	0
15	0	0	0.460	0.540	0
16	0.980	0	0	0	0.020
17	1	0	0	0	0
18	1	0	0	0	0
19	1	0	0	0	0
20	1	0	0	0	0
21	0	0	0	1	0
22	0.990	0	0	0.010	0
23	0	0	0	0	1
24	0.990	0	0	0.010	0
25	0.800	0	0.020	0.160	0.010
26	0	0.170	0	0	0.830
27	0	0.010	0	0.890	0.090
28	0	0.010	0	0.090	0.910

```
ext_gamma_matrix <- function(model=documents_lda){
    # get gamma matrix for chapter probabilities
    chapters_gamma <- tidy(model, matrix = "gamma")
    # get matrix with probabilities for each topic per chapter
    spreaded_gamma <- chapters_gamma %>% spread(topic, gamma)
    spreaded_gamma %>%
        mutate_each(funs(as.numeric), document,1,2,3,4,5) %>%
        arrange(desc(-document))
}

ext_gamma_matrix(documents_lda) %>%
    round(2) %>%
    stargazer(summary=F, rownames = F, header=F, title="Gamma matrix extracted from model", label="extraction" label="extraction" model | label="extraction" label="extraction" model | label="extraction" label="extraction" model | label="extraction" label="extraction" model | label="extraction"
```

The tables below summarize which document refers to which topic, according to the LDA model.

Table 2: Gamma matrix extracted from model

document	1	2	3	4	5
1	0	1	0	0	0
2	0	0.93	0	0.07	0
3	0.04	0	0.24	0.71	0.01
4	0	0	0.28	0.72	0
5	0	0	1	0	0
6	0.31	0.01	0.68	0	0
7	0	0	1	0	0
8	0	0	1	0	0
9	0	0	1	0	0
10	0	0	1	0	0
11	0.04	0	0.96	0	0
12	0	0	1	0	0
13	0	0.06	0	0.94	0
14	0	0	0.29	0.71	0
15	0	0	0.46	0.54	0
16	0.98	0	0	0	0.02
17	1	0	0	0	0
18	1	0	0	0	0
19	1	0	0	0	0
20	1	0	0	0	0
21	0	0	0	1	0
22	0.99	0	0	0.01	0
23	0	0	0	0	1
24	0.99	0	0	0.01	0
25	0.8	0	0.02	0.16	0.01
26	0	0.17	0	0	0.83
27	0	0.01	0	0.89	0.09
28	0	0.01	0	0.09	0.91

Table 3: Documents for Topic 1

Group	Doc
1	5
1	6
1	10
1	16
1	21
1	23
1	27
1	28

Table 4: Documents for Topic 2 =

Group	Doc
2	1
2	4

Table 5: Documents for Topic 3

Group	Doc
3	12
3	13
3	15
3	19
3	20
3	22
3	24
3	26

Table 6: Documents for Topic 4

Group	Doc
4	3
4	7
4	9
4	11
4	14
4	17
4	25

Table 7: Documents for Topic 5

Group	Doc
5	2
5	8
5	18

4 Wordclouds

To check what topics tackle which context, we produce wordclouds using the TFIDF and the TF itself.

```
plot_wordcloud <- function(corpus, selection="ALL", max.words=25, i, freq="tfidf"){</pre>
  # setting up a tibble which returns tfidf and tf and frequency for
  # the whole corpus
  tfidf <- corpus %>% count(document, word, sort = TRUE) %>%
   bind_tf_idf(word, document, n)
  # include all documents for selection if selection="ALL"
  if (all(selection=="ALL")) {
    selection <- corpus %>%
      select(document) %>%
      unique() %>%
      unlist() %>%
      sort()}
  # filter for all selected documents
  # use either ft or tfidf
  if (freq=="tfidf"){
   dtm_selected <- tfidf %>% filter(document%in%selection) %>%
      select(word, tf_idf) %>% count(word, wt=tf_idf, sort=TRUE)
  } else {
   dtm selected <- tfidf %>% filter(document%in%selection) %>%
      select(word, tf) %>% count(word, wt=tf, sort=TRUE)
  wordcloud(words = dtm_selected$word, freq = dtm_selected$n, min.freq = 1,
            max.words=max.words, random.order=FALSE,
            colors=brewer.pal(8, "Dark2"), scale=c(3,0.2),
            main="Title", use.r.layout = TRUE)
  text(x=0.5, y=1, paste("Topic", i))
}
```

For getting specific and more individual words for each cloud, we use the TFIDF in the first step.

```
# compare topic 1 with topic 2, 3, 4 and 5
ind1 <- which(prediction5$topic==1)
ind2 <- which(prediction5$topic==2)
ind3 <- which(prediction5$topic==3)
ind4 <- which(prediction5$topic==4)
ind5 <- which(prediction5$topic==5)</pre>
```

4.1 Wordclouds using tfidf

```
par(mfrow=c(2,3))
par(mar=c(1,1,0.5,1))
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind1, i=1)
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind2, i=2)
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind3, i=3)
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind4, i=4)
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind5, i=5)
```

Topic 1 Topic 2 Topic 3







```
variance estimator
Imaworkflow
Greg
ads brace
Amount
Configuration
Confi
```



4.2 Wordclouds using tf

The same can be done using the regular term frequency.

```
par(mfrow=c(2,3))
par(mar=c(1,1,0.5,1))
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind1, i=1, freq="tf")
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind2, i=2, freq="tf")
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind3, i=3, freq="tf")
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind4, i=4, freq="tf")
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind5, i=5, freq="tf")
```

Topic 1 Topic 2 Topic 3







Topic 4 Topic 5





5 Embedding via tfidf

Now it's interesting to see if embedding with thidf will cluster other groups or the same. So we will reduce the Document Term Matrix to 10000 words which is a reduction by approx. 20%.

5.1 Wordclouds

```
par(mfrow=c(2,3))
par(mar=c(1,1,0.5,1))
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind1_2, i=1)
```

Table 8: Documents for Topic 1

Group	Doc_embedding_0.5
1	5
1	6
1	10
1	12
1	16
1	22
1	24
1	26

Table 9: Documents for Topic 2

Group	Doc_embedding_0.5
2	8
2	21
2	23
2	27
2	28

Table 10: Documents for Topic 3

Group	Doc_embedding_0.5
3	1
3	4

Table 11: Documents for Topic 4

Group	Doc_embedding_0.5
4	2
4	7
4	14
4	18
4	25

Table 12: Documents for Topic 5

Group	$Doc_embedding_0.5$
5	3
5	9
5	11
5	13
5	15
5	17
5	19
5	20

Table 13: Gamma matrix extracted from model for embedding with tfidf $\,$

document	1	2	3	4	5
1	0	0	1	0	0
2	0	0	0.95	0.05	0
3	0.09	0	0	0.08	0.83
4	0	0	0	0.02	0.98
5	0.61	0	0	0	0.39
6	0.16	0	0	0	0.84
7	0.74	0	0	0	0.26
8	0.4	0	0	0	0.6
9	0.5	0	0	0	0.49
10	0.44	0	0	0	0.56
11	0.55	0	0	0	0.45
12	0.4	0	0	0	0.6
13	0	0	0.18	0.26	0.55
14	0	0	0	0.01	0.99
15	0.07	0	0	0.93	0
16	1	0	0	0	0
17	0	1	0	0	0
18	0	1	0	0	0
19	0	1	0	0	0
20	0	1	0	0	0
21	0	0	0	0.97	0.03
22	0.99	0	0	0.01	0
23	0	0.89	0	0.11	0
24	0.99	0	0	0.01	0
25	0.86	0	0	0.14	0
26	0	0	0.12	0.88	0
27	0	0	0	1	0
28	0	0.05	0	0.95	0.01

```
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind2_2, i=2)
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind3_2, i=3)
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind4_2, i=4)
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind5_2, i=5)
             Topic 1
                                                  Topic 2
                                                                                       Topic 3
                                                                                          configuration
                                                                                       permutation
                                                                                     scalar gopaprojection
                                                 e cooperation
                                                                                     coherence
                                                                                               ··vek
                                                                                            ye frames
                                                                               consultants \mathbf{\Phi}^{\text{local}}
             Topic 4
                                                  Topic 5
variance estimator
     ImaWorkflow
                                          exemplary Success
delaycontributing
                                           stratum var wage erwlfnsi
                                          erwlfnsi
                                                    / grmt nh accessing
                                           access
                                           earners J
                                        cooperationbr
saelatent frozen configuration
                                             practices deductive edits owners
```

6 Explorative Analysis for ANN

qmcmpage