# Regulatory Documents via LDA - Documentation

Sebastian Knigge 18 8 2019

### 1 Setup

Following libraries are used in the code:

```
library(dplyr)
library(tidytext)
library(pdftools)
library(tidyr)
library(stringr)
library(tidytext)
library(udpipe)
library(topicmodels)
library(ggplot2)
library(wordcloud)
library(tm)
library(SnowballC)
library(RColorBrewer)
library(RCurl)
library(XML)
library(openxlsx)
library(keras)
```

### 2 Import data

The Documents had to be preprocessed. For the documents wp2.5 all list of contents had to be deleted, because they were the same in each of these documents. No more adjustments had to be made.

In this code reulatory documents are red in and processed via LDA. This first part focusses on reading in the pdf documents.

Table 1: Document Titles

.

1	admin-wp1.1_analysis_legal_institutional_environment_final.pdf
2	admin-wp1.2_good_practices_final.pdf
3	$admin-wp2.1\_estimation\_methods1.pdf$
4	$admin-wp2.2\_estimation\_methods2.pdf$
5	$admin-wp 2.3-estimation\_methods 3.pdf$
6	$admin-wp2.4\_examples.pdf$
7	$admin-wp2.5\_alignment.pdf$
8	$admin-wp2.5\_editing.pdf$
9	$admin-wp2.5\_greg.pdf$
10	$admin-wp2.5\_imputation.pdf$
11	$admin-wp2.5\_macro\_integration.pdf$
12	$admin-wp2.5\_macro\_integration.pdf$
13	$admin-wp2.6\_good\_practices.pdf$
14	$admin-wp2.6\_guidelines.pdf$
15	$admin-wp3.1\_quality1.pdf$
16	$admin-wp3.2\_quality2.pdf$
17	$admin-wp3.3\_quality.pdf$
18	$admin-wp3.4\_quality.pdf$
19	$admin-wp3.5\_quality\_measures.pdf$
20	$admin-wp3\_coherence.pdf$
21	$admin-wp3\_growth\_rates.pdf$
22	$admin-wp3\_suitability1.pdf$
23	$admin-wp3\_suitability2.pdf$
24	$admin-wp3\_suitability3.pdf$
25	$admin-wp3\_uncertainty.pdf$
26	$admin-wp5\_frames.pdf$
27	$admin-wp5\_frames\_examples.pdf$
28	$admin-wp5\_frames\_recommendation.pdf$

Following functions are used to set up and analyze the pdfs. When cleaning up data, we have to take into account certain circumstances of the regulatory documents. For example, there are many formulas and technical abbreviations in the documents. Every variable, every estimator, and every index is included as a single word in the bag of words. These terms sometimes have a big influence on the documents, because they are very specific for individual documents and occur quite often. To avoid this, we exclude all mixed words with characters and numeric values, as well as all terms with special characters (e.g. Greek letters).

```
read_pdf_clean <- function(document){</pre>
  # This function loads the document given per name
  # and excludes the stop words inclusive numbers
  pdf1 <- pdf text(file.path(path, document)) %>%
    strsplit(split = "\n") %>%
    do.call("c",.) %>%
    as_tibble() %>%
    unnest_tokens(word, value) %>%
    # also exclude all words including numbers and special characters
    filter(grepl("^[a-z]+$", word))
  # load stopword library
  data(stop_words)
  # add own words to stop word library - here the numbers from 1 to 10
  new_stop_words <- tibble(word=as.character(0:9),</pre>
                            lexicon=rep("own",10)) %>%
                            bind_rows(stop_words)
  # stop words are excluded via anti_join
  pdf1 %>%
    anti join(new stop words)
}
plot_most_freq_words <- function(pdf, n=7){</pre>
  # plots a bar plot via ggplot
  pdf %>% count(word) %>% arrange(desc(n)) %>% head(n) %>%
    ggplot(aes(x=word,y=n)) +
    geom_bar(stat="identity")+
    \# no labels for x and y scale
    theme(axis.title.y=element_blank(),
          axis.title.x=element_blank())
}
```

Now we can read in all documents in a for loop:

```
setwd(path)
# inital set up for the corpus
pdf1 <- read_pdf_clean(documents[1])
corpus <- tibble(document=1, word=pdf1$word)
# adding the documents iteratively
for (i in 2:length(documents)){
   pdf_i <- read_pdf_clean(documents[i])
   corpus <- tibble(document=i, word=pdf_i$word) %>% bind_rows(corpus,.)
}
```

#### 3 LDA

The LDA model is applied. First the document term matrix has to be set up.

```
dtm <- corpus %>% count(document, word, sort = TRUE) %>%
   select(doc_id=document, term=word, freq=n) %>%
   document_term_matrix()
c(N,M) %<-% dim(dtm)</pre>
```

We use term frequency 2 embedding because in the example with the Gutenberg Data it turned out to be advantageous with regard to the "predictive power" of the LDA algorithm.

```
dtm_tf2 <- dtm %>%
    # reduce by low frequencies
    dtm_remove_lowfreq(minfreq = 2)
ncol(dtm_tf2 )
```

```
## [1] 5717
```

set.seed(123)

Using the function LDA sets up the model and prediction/evaluation is done via predict(). But first of all it shall be verified whether the Predict function actually delivers the same classification as the export of the gamma matrix directly from the LDA model. Therefore both gamma matrices of the single functions are compared. Table 2 displays the output of the gamma matrix received by the predict() function and Table 3 displays the gamma matrix returned by the LDA model itself.

Table 2: Gamma matrix for predict function

$doc_id$	topic_001	topic_002	topic_003	topic_004	topic_005	topic_006	topic_007
1	0	0.010	0	0.960	0	0.020	0.010
2	0	0.050	0	0.830	0	0.090	0.020
3	0.010	0.030	0.200	0.020	0.160	0.520	0.060
4	0.030	0.020	0.270	0.020	0.030	0.620	0.030
5	0.050	0.020	0.770	0.010	0.040	0.110	0.010
6	0.100	0.010	0.640	0.020	0.120	0.110	0.010
7	0.040	0.010	0.840	0.010	0.040	0.030	0.030
8	0.030	0.030	0.790	0.020	0.020	0.090	0.020
9	0.030	0.030	0.740	0.010	0.070	0.070	0.040
10	0.030	0	0.920	0	0.010	0.020	0.010
11	0.070	0.010	0.810	0.010	0.060	0.030	0.010
12	0.060	0.020	0.820	0.010	0.050	0.040	0.010
13	0	0.040	0.020	0.120	0.010	0.770	0.040
14	0.020	0.010	0.230	0.010	0.020	0.690	0.010
15	0.010	0.690	0.020	0.020	0.020	0.230	0.010
16	0.060	0.030	0.020	0	0.840	0.050	0
17	0.050	0.060	0.030	0.010	0.090	0.040	0.730
18	0.050	0.030	0.010	0	0.850	0.050	0.010
19	0.070	0.140	0.100	0	0.610	0.040	0.050
20	0.050	0.810	0.040	0.010	0.010	0.060	0.020
21	0.930	0.010	0	0.010	0.040	0.010	0.010
22	0.050	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.900	0.020	0.010
23	0.090	0.020	0.010	0.010	0.820	0.020	0.040
24	0.060	0.010	0.020	0.010	0.860	0.030	0.010
25	0.880	0.010	0.060	0	0.030	0.010	0
26	0.010	0.090	0.010	0.020	0.010	0.170	0.670
27	0	0.020	0.010	0.190	0	0.090	0.690
28	0.010	0.630	0.010	0.070	0.010	0.110	0.170

Table 3: Gamma matrix extracted from model

document	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	0	0.01	0	0.95	0	0.02	0.01
2	0	0.06	0	0.81	0.01	0.1	0.02
3	0.02	0.03	0.2	0.02	0.16	0.52	0.06
4	0.02	0.02	0.27	0.01	0.02	0.6	0.05
5	0.04	0.03	0.76	0.01	0.04	0.12	0.01
6	0.1	0	0.62	0.02	0.12	0.11	0.02
7	0.05	0.02	0.83	0	0.03	0.03	0.04
8	0.03	0.04	0.79	0.02	0.02	0.08	0.03
9	0.03	0.03	0.72	0.01	0.1	0.04	0.05
10	0.03	0.01	0.91	0	0.02	0.03	0.01
11	0.06	0.01	0.83	0.01	0.04	0.04	0.01
12	0.06	0.01	0.81	0.01	0.06	0.04	0.01
13	0	0.05	0.02	0.14	0.01	0.74	0.04
14	0.02	0.02	0.24	0.01	0.02	0.67	0.01
15	0.01	0.67	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.22	0.01
16	0.05	0.03	0.02	0	0.83	0.05	0.01
17	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.01	0.09	0.04	0.71
18	0.06	0.03	0.02	0	0.84	0.05	0.01
19	0.07	0.14	0.1	0.01	0.59	0.04	0.06
20	0.05	0.79	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.06	0.02
21	0.91	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.01
22	0.07	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.87	0.02	0.01
23	0.1	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.79	0.02	0.05
24	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.85	0.04	0.02
25	0.86	0.01	0.05	0.01	0.04	0.01	0.01
26	0.01	0.09	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.17	0.67
27	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.19	0.01	0.1	0.67
28	0.01	0.61	0.01	0.09	0.01	0.09	0.18

The tables below summarize which document refers to which topic, according to the LDA model.

Table 4: Documents for Topic 1

Topic	$doc\_id$	Group
1	21	6
1	25	6

Table 5: Documents for Topic 2

Topic	$doc\_id$	Group
2	15	5
2	20	5
2	28	7

Table 6: Documents for Topic 3

Topic	$doc\_id$	Group
3	10	4
3	11	4
3	12	4
3	5	2
3	6	3
3	7	4
3	8	4
3	9	4

Table 7: Documents for Topic 4

Topic	$doc\_id$	Group
4	1	1
4	2	1

Table 8: Documents for Topic 5

Topic	$doc\_id$	Group
5	16	5
5	18	5
5	19	5
5	22	6
5	23	6
5	24	6

Table 9: Documents for Topic 6

Topic	$doc\_id$	Group
6	13	3
6	14	4
6	3	2
6	4	2

Table 10: Documents for Topic 7

Topic	$doc\_id$	Group
7	17	5
7	26	7
7	27	7

#### 4 Wordclouds

To check what topics tackle which context, we produce wordclouds using the TFIDF and the TF itself.

```
plot_wordcloud <- function(corpus, selection="ALL",</pre>
                           max.words=50, i, freq="tfidf",
                           scale=c(3,0.2)){}
  # setting up a tibble which returns tfidf and tf and frequency for
  # the whole corpus
  tfidf <- corpus %>% count(document, word, sort = TRUE) %>%
   bind_tf_idf(word, document, n)
  # include all documents for selection if selection="ALL"
  if (all(selection=="ALL")) {
   selection <- corpus %>%
      select(document) %>%
      unique() %>%
      unlist() %>%
      sort()}
  # filter for all selected documents
  # use either ft or tfidf
  if (freq=="tfidf"){
   dtm_selected <- tfidf %>% filter(document%in%selection) %>%
      select(word, tf_idf) %>% count(word, wt=tf_idf, sort=TRUE)
  } else {
   dtm_selected <- tfidf %>% filter(document%in%selection) %>%
      select(word, tf) %>% count(word, wt=tf, sort=TRUE)
  wordcloud(words = dtm_selected$word, freq = dtm_selected$n,
            min.freq = 1, max.words=max.words, random.order=FALSE,
            colors=brewer.pal(8, "Dark2"), scale=scale,
            main="Title", use.r.layout = TRUE)
  text(x=0.5, y=1, paste("Topic", i))
}
```

For getting specific and more individual words for each cloud, we use the TFIDF in the first step.

#### 4.1 Wordclouds using tfidf













Topic 6



Topic 7

#### 4.2 Wordclouds using tf

The same can be done using the regular term frequency.

```
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind5[,1], i=5, freq="tf", scale=c(2.5,0.1))
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind6[,1], i=6, freq="tf")
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind7[,1], i=7, freq="tf", scale=c(2.5,0.2),
                      max.words = 45)
                                                                                                                Topic 4
           Topic 1
                                             Topic 2
                                                                              Topic 3
          classification
probability series
yeka true covariance
ibplindustryerrors
          variance code
                                          coherence
                                                                                                               data
                                                                                 methods
   stment account the measures bias log to
      uncertainty
       probabilities variables estimates scalarquarter industries permutation
           Topic 5
                                             Topic 6
                                                                              Topic 7
                                                                              coverage
```

## 5 Embedding via tfidf

Now it's interesting to see if embedding with tfidf will cluster other groups or the same. So we will reduce the Document Term Matrix to 0.8\*M words, which amounts to a reduction by 20%

```
dtm 50 <- dtm %>% dtm remove tfidf(top=0.8*M)
set.seed(123)
documents_lda_2 <- LDA(dtm_50, method="Gibbs",</pre>
                    k = 7, control = list(seed = 1234))
prediction5_2 <- predict(documents_lda_2, newdata=dtm_50, type="topic")</pre>
prediction5_2 <- merge(prediction5_2, classes, by.x="doc_id", by.y="No")</pre>
# compare topic 1 with topic 2, 3, 4 and 5
ind1_2 <- prediction5_2 %>% filter(topic==1) %>% select(doc_id, Group)
ind2_2 <- prediction5_2 %>% filter(topic==2) %>% select(doc_id, Group)
ind3_2 <- prediction5_2 %>% filter(topic==3) %>% select(doc_id, Group)
ind4_2 <- prediction5_2 %>% filter(topic==4) %>% select(doc_id, Group)
ind5_2 <- prediction5_2 %>% filter(topic==5) %>% select(doc_id, Group)
ind6_2 <- prediction5_2 %>% filter(topic==6) %>% select(doc_id, Group)
ind7_2 <- prediction5_2 %% filter(topic==7) %% select(doc_id, Group)
ext_gamma_matrix(documents_lda_2) %>%
  round(2) %>%
  stargazer(summary=F, rownames = F, header=F,
            title="Gamma matrix extracted from model for embedding with tfidf",
```

Table 11: Documents for Topic 1

Topic_embedding_0.8	$doc\_id$	Group
1	16	5
1	18	5
1	19	5
1	21	6

Table 12: Documents for Topic 2

Topic_embedding_0.8	$doc\_id$	Group
2	17	5
2	26	7
2	27	7

Table 13: Documents for Topic 3

Group  4
4
1
4
4
2
2
2
3
4
4
4

Table 14: Documents for Topic 4

Topic_embedding_0.8	$doc\_id$	Group
4	1	1
4	2	1

Table 15: Documents for Topic 5

Topic_embedding_0.8	$doc\_id$	Group
5	13	3
5	14	4
5	15	5
5	20	5
5	28	7

Table 16: Documents for Topic 6

Topic_embedding_0.8	$doc\_id$	Group
6	25	6

Table 17: Documents for Topic 7

Topic_embedding_0.8	$doc\_id$	Group
7	22	6
7	23	6
7	24	6

label="extract2")

Table 18: Gamma matrix extracted from model for embedding with tfidf

document	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	0	0	0	0.98	0.02	0	0
2	0.01	0.01	0	0.85	0.11	0.01	0
3	0.22	0.06	0.42	0.02	0.26	0.01	0.02
4	0.02	0.04	0.54	0.02	0.33	0.04	0.01
5	0.08	0.01	0.85	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.01
6	0.23	0.01	0.7	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02
7	0.05	0.02	0.86	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.01
8	0.03	0.03	0.84	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.02
9	0.07	0.04	0.76	0.01	0.04	0.05	0.03
10	0.02	0.01	0.94	0	0	0.02	0.01
11	0.08	0.01	0.87	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
12	0.08	0	0.88	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
13	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.17	0.73	0	0
14	0.05	0.01	0.43	0.03	0.48	0	0
15	0.05	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.88	0.01	0.01
16	0.91	0.01	0.01	0	0.01	0	0.06
17	0.05	0.81	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.05	0.03
18	0.91	0.01	0.01	0	0.02	0	0.06
19	0.78	0.05	0.05	0.01	0.1	0.01	0.01
20	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.82	0.05	0.01
21	0.95	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
22	0.04	0	0.01	0	0.01	0	0.93
23	0.17	0.03	0	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.76
24	0.05	0.01	0.01	0	0.01	0.01	0.91
25	0.02	0	0.01	0	0.01	0.95	0.01
26	0.02	0.73	0.02	0.04	0.18	0	0.01
27	0	0.68	0.01	0.21	0.09	0.01	0.01
28	0.02	0.14	0.01	0.12	0.69	0.01	0.01

#### 5.1 Wordclouds

```
par(mfrow=c(2,4))
par(mar=c(1,1,0.5,1))
set.seed(123)
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind1_2[,1], i=1, scale=c(2,0.2))
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind2_2[,1], i=2, scale=c(2.5,0.1))
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind3_2[,1], i=3, scale=c(1.5,0.001),
                                                        max.words = 30)
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind4_2[,1], i=4, scale=c(2,0.1))
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind5_2[,1], i=5, scale=c(2.5,0.1),
                                                        max.words = 40)
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind6_2[,1], i=6, scale=c(2,0.1),
                                                       max.words = 40)
plot_wordcloud(corpus, selection=ind7_2[,1], i=7, scale=c(2,0.03),
                                                      max.words = 35)
        expressions industries
                                                                                                                 Topic 2
                                                                                                                                                                                                    Topic 3
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       Topic 4
     estimator
stratum keywords
                                                                                                                                                                                   deductive
contractimputation
matching memobust
 qmcm variance
   growth gbrcare
                                                                                                                                                                              matching memodusi greg ads latent edits method latent ece models page ras macro gsbpm bedit turnover vat configuration of the state of 
 qmcms' sga
        configuration
continuing classes scalar suitability matrix trade
                                                                                                                                                                                     harmonisation
benchmarking
```



We want to give an overview over the clustered documents using the thid embedding.

Table 19: Documents for Topic 1

Topic	doc_id	Group
1	16	5
1	18	5
1	19	5
1	21	6

Now we use the validation measure we used for the Example 1.

```
validate_LDAclassification <- function(predict_table){
   # gamma_matrix is an object of the function ext_gamma_matrix()</pre>
```

Table 20: Documents for Topic 2

Topic	$doc\_id$	Group
2	17	5
2	26	7
2	27	7

Table 21: Documents for Topic 3

Topic	$doc\_id$	Group
3	10	4
3	11	4
3	12	4
3	3	2
3	4	2
$\frac{3}{3}$	5	2
	6	3
3	7	4
3	8	4
3	9	4

Table 22: Documents for Topic 4

Topic	$doc\_id$	Group
4	1	1
4	2	1

Table 23: Documents for Topic 5

Topic	$doc\_id$	Group
5	13	3
5	14	4
5	15	5
5	20	5
_ 5	28	7

Table 24: Documents for Topic 6

Topic	$doc\_id$	Group
6	25	6

Table 25: Documents for Topic 7

Topic	$doc\_id$	Group
7	22	6
7	23	6
7	24	6

```
# First we'd find the topic that was most associated with
  # each chapter
  conversion <- predict_table %>%
    select(Group, topic) %>%
    group_by(Group) %>%
    top_n(1,topic) %>%
    unique()
  predict_table %>%
    left_join(conversion, by=c("topic")) %>%
    filter(Group.x!=Group.y) %>%
    nrow()/nrow(predict_table)
}
On both full bag of words and 80\% embedding via Tfidf
predict_table <- prediction5 %>% select(doc_id, topic) %>%
  merge( y=classes, by.x=1, by.y=1)
validate_LDAclassification(predict_table)
## [1] 0.5
predict_table2 <- prediction5_2 %>% select(doc_id, topic) %>%
  merge( y=classes, by.x=1, by.y=1)
validate_LDAclassification(predict_table2)
## [1] 0.7857143
```

#### 6 coherence cloud

## Warning in brewer.pal(max(3, ncol(term.matrix)), "Dark2"): n too large, allowed maximum for palette
## Returning the palette you asked for with that many colors

