

# MASTER THESIS

Thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Engineering at the University of Applied Sciences Technikum Wien - Degree Program Mechatronics/Robotics

## Rail Track Prediction

### Arbeitstitel

By: Sebastian Goebel, BSc.

Student Number: University of

Applied Science:

51912403

Luzern University

of Applied Sci-

ences and Arts:

23-565-161

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Björn Jensen

Vienna, November 13, 2024

# Declaration

"As author and creator of this work to hand, I confirm with my signature knowledge of the relevant copyright regulations governed by higher education acts (see Urheberrechtsgesetz / Austrian copyright law as amended as well as the Statute on Studies Act Provisions / Examination Regulations of the UAS Technikum Wien as amended).

I hereby declare that I completed the present work independently and that any ideas, whether written by others or by myself, have been fully sourced and referenced. I am aware of any consequences I may face on the part of the degree program director if there should be evidence of missing autonomy and independence or evidence of any intent to fraudulently achieve a pass mark for this work (see Statute on Studies Act Provisions / Examination Regulations of the UAS Technikum Wien as amended).

I further declare that up to this date I have not published the work to hand nor have I presented it to another examination board in the same or similar form. I affirm that the version submitted matches the version in the upload tool."

Vienna, November 13, 2024

Signature

# Kurzfassung

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Schlagworte:** Schlagwort1, Schlagwort2, Schlagwort3, Schlagwort4

# Abstract

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

**Keywords:** Keyword1, Keyword2, Keyword3, Keyword4

# Acknowledgements

Hello, here is some text without a meaning. This text should show what a printed text will look like at this place. If you read this text, you will get no information. Really? Is there no information? Is there a difference between this text and some nonsense like "Huardest gefburn"? Kjift – not at all! A blind text like this gives you information about the selected font, how the letters are written and an impression of the look. This text should contain all letters of the alphabet and it should be written in of the original language. There is no need for special content, but the length of words should match the language.

# Contents

<b>1 Erste Überschrift der Tiefe 1 (chapter)</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Erste Überschrift Tiefe 2 (section) . . . . .	1
1.1.1 Erste Überschrift Tiefe 3 (subsection) . . . . .	1
<b>2 Zweite Überschrift der Tiefe 1 (chapter)</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1 Zweite Überschrift Tiefe 2 (section) . . . . .	1
2.1.1 Zweite Überschrift Tiefe 3 (subsection) . . . . .	1
2.1.2 Dritte Überschrift Tiefe 3 (subsection) . . . . .	1
<b>3 Dritte Überschrift der Tiefe 1 (chapter)</b>	<b>3</b>
3.1 Algorithms . . . . .	3
<b>4 Introduction</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5 State of the Art</b>	<b>7</b>
5.1 Different solutions approaches for rail prediction . . . . .	7
5.1.1 Ensemble Learning . . . . .	7
5.1.2 Panoptic segmenation (vielleicht) . . . . .	7
5.1.3 Rail Segmentation . . . . .	7
5.1.4 Line detection algorithms . . . . .	7
5.1.5 Backbone architectures . . . . .	8
5.1.6 mögliches Baseline Paper . . . . .	8
5.1.7 verwendetes Baseline Paper . . . . .	8
5.1.8 Temporal Models . . . . .	8
5.2 Datasets . . . . .	9
5.3 Baseline Paper . . . . .	21
5.3.1 Annotations . . . . .	21
5.3.2 Data augmentation . . . . .	21
5.3.3 TEP-Net Model . . . . .	23
5.3.4 Loss function . . . . .	25
5.3.5 Experiments, Results and Comparison other state-of-the-art approaches of TEP-Net . . . . .	27
5.3.6 Limitation . . . . .	29
5.4 Baseline Paper . . . . .	30
5.4.1 Description of Baseline Paper . . . . .	30

5.4.2	Results and Limits of Baseline Paper . . . . .	30
5.4.3	Erste Überschrift Tiefe 3 (subsection) . . . . .	30
<b>6</b>	<b>Methodology</b>	<b>32</b>
6.1	Datasets . . . . .	32
6.1.1	RailSem19 and used subsets . . . . .	32
6.1.2	vieleicht: Rail-DB for multi rail setection . . . . .	32
6.1.3	used annotations . . . . .	32
6.2	Switch evaluation dataset . . . . .	32
6.3	labeling task for temporal models . . . . .	32
6.4	Setup used for Training CNNs . . . . .	33
6.5	Measuring Inference on NVIDIA Jetson Device . . . . .	33
6.5.1	Hardware Setup for Measuring Inference . . . . .	33
6.5.2	Optimizing models with TensorRT . . . . .	34
<b>7</b>	<b>Experiments</b>	<b>37</b>
7.1	Ensemble Learning Approach . . . . .	37
7.2	improved TEP-Net . . . . .	37
7.2.1	Autocrop . . . . .	37
7.2.2	Backbones . . . . .	37
7.2.3	Pooling Layers . . . . .	37
7.2.4	Prediction Heads . . . . .	37
7.2.5	Sliding Window Approach . . . . .	37
7.2.6	Temporal Models . . . . .	37
7.2.7	Erste Überschrift Tiefe 3 (subsection) . . . . .	38
7.2.8	Erste Überschrift Tiefe 3 (subsection) . . . . .	38
<b>8</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>39</b>
8.1	Ensemble Learning Approach . . . . .	39
8.2	improved TEP-Net . . . . .	39
8.2.1	Autocrop . . . . .	39
8.2.2	Backbones . . . . .	39
8.2.3	Pooling Layers . . . . .	39
8.2.4	Prediction Heads . . . . .	39
8.2.5	Sliding Window Approach . . . . .	39
8.2.6	Temporal Models . . . . .	39
8.3	Erste Überschrift Tiefe 2 (section) . . . . .	40
8.3.1	Erste Überschrift Tiefe 3 (subsection) . . . . .	40
<b>9</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>41</b>
9.1	Erste Überschrift Tiefe 2 (section) . . . . .	41
9.1.1	Erste Überschrift Tiefe 3 (subsection) . . . . .	41

<b>10 Conclusion and Outlook</b>	<b>42</b>
10.1 Erste Überschrift Tiefe 2 (section) . . . . .	42
10.1.1 Erste Überschrift Tiefe 3 (subsection) . . . . .	42
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>Quellcodeverzeichnis</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>Abkürzungsverzeichnis</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>A Anhang A</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>B Anhang B</b>	<b>55</b>

# 1 Erste Überschrift der Tiefe 1 (chapter)

Etwas Text... Hier kommen noch einige Abkürzungen vor zum Beispiel Alphabet (ABC), world wide web (WWW) und Rolling on floor laughing (ROFL).

## 1.1 Erste Überschrift Tiefe 2 (section)

blindtext

### 1.1.1 Erste Überschrift Tiefe 3 (subsection)

blindtext

#### **Erste Überschrift Tiefe 4 (subsubsection)**

blindtext

# 2 Zweite Überschrift der Tiefe 1 (chapter)

blindtext

## 2.1 Zweite Überschrift Tiefe 2 (section)

blindtext

### 2.1.1 Zweite Überschrift Tiefe 3 (subsection)

blindtext

### 2.1.2 Dritte Überschrift Tiefe 3 (subsection)

blindtext

## Zweite Überschrift Tiefe 4 (subsubsection)

blindtext

Querverweise werden in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X automatisch erzeugt und verwaltet, damit sie leicht aktualisiert werden können. Hier wird zum Beispiel auf Abbildung 1 verwiesen.



Figure 1: Beispiel für die Beschriftung eines Buchrückens.



Figure 2: 2. Beispiel für die Beschriftung eines Buchrückens.

Und hier ist ein Verweis auf Tabelle 1. Das gezeigte Tabellenformat ist nur ein Beispiel. Tabellen können individuell gestaltet werden.

Table 1: Semesterplan der Lehrveranstaltung „Angewandte Mathematik“.

Datum	Thema	Raum
20.08.2008	Graphentheorie	HS 3.13
01.10.2008	Biomathematik	HS 1.05

Table 2: 2. Semesterplan der Lehrveranstaltung „Angewandte Mathematik“.

Datum	Thema	Raum
20.08.2008	Graphentheorie	HS 3.13
01.10.2008	Biomathematik	HS 1.05

Hier wird auf die Formel 1 verwiesen.

$$x = -\frac{p}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{p^2}{4} - q} \quad (1)$$

$$x = -\frac{p}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{p^2}{4} - q} \quad (2)$$

Literaturverweise sollten automatisch verwaltet werden, vor allem, wenn es viele Quellenverweise gibt. Beispiele sind [1] [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15]. Das verwendete Zitierformat (bzw. das Format des Literaturverzeichnisses) ist entsprechend der Vorgaben der Studiengänge zu wählen.

### 3 Dritte Überschrift der Tiefe 1 (chapter)

Hier wird etwas Quellcode dargestellt:

```
1 #include <iostream>
2
3 void SayHello(void)
4 {
5     // Kommentar
6     cout << "Hello World!" << endl;
7 }
8
9 int main(int argc, char **argv)
10 {
11     SayHello();
12     return 0;
13 }
```

Listing 1: Hello-World

#### 3.1 Algorithms

Use a defined environment for algorithms.

Algorithm 1 is an example from the gallery (<https://www.overleaf.com/latex/examples/euclids-algorithm-an-example-of-how-to-write-algorithms-in-latex/mbysznrmktqf>) .

---

##### Algorithm 1 Euclid's algorithm

---

```
1: procedure EUCLID( $a, b$ )                                ▷ The g.c.d. of a and b
2:    $r \leftarrow a \bmod b$ 
3:   while  $r \neq 0$  do                                         ▷ We have the answer if r is 0
4:      $a \leftarrow b$ 
5:      $b \leftarrow r$ 
6:      $r \leftarrow a \bmod b$ 
7:   return  $b$                                                  ▷ The gcd is b
```

---

## 4 Introduction

After a drop in rail passenger transport in 2020, it quickly recovered and increased by almost 71%, resulting in 328,3 million passengers in 2023 [16]. The Wiener Linien and the ÖBB show similar behaviors with an increase of 218 million and 115,4 million passengers from 2020 to 2023 respectively [17] [18].

The increase in train traffic is bound to a rise in accidents. In Austria, 34 rail traffic accidents with 38 victims were recorded in 2021. Of them 24 were seriously injured and 14 were killed [19]. Incidents include a train derailment in the area of a signal box, where there usually are many switches [20]. In 2022 in Münchendorf a train drove too fast over a switch, resulting in a derailment accident, with one passenger dead and 25 injured [21]. Also in other countries like the Czech Republic, an accident occurred, where a head-on collision of two trains resulted in four deaths. It is suspected that an incorrect switch caused that incident [22]. The cause of all accidents mentioned can be traced back to either an incorrect set switch or a derailment at a switch. This leads to the idea that correctly identifying the switch states may have prevented these accidents.



(a) Accident in Münchendorf, Austria. The train drove too fast over a switch and derailed, resulting in one death and 25 injured. [21]



(b) Accident in the Czech Republic. Head-on collision of two trains. The suspected cause is an incorrectly set switch, resulting in four deaths. [22]

Figure 3: Train accidents in (a) Austria and (b) the Czech Republic, where misinformation of switches resulted in deaths.

Simultaneously, autonomous vehicles are becoming increasingly seen as revolutionary technology for the future [23]. Also indicated by the "Autonomous Vehicle Readiness Index" [24] in most countries including Austria [25]. Especially autonomous road vehicles are rapidly evolving and could improve safety [26] [1], with machine-learning algorithms being a key trend [26]. The rail domain on the other hand, has gotten little attention [26]. This is because, unlike other

modes of transportation like airplanes or cars, trains follow a predetermined path defined by the tracks. Nevertheless, trams or other low-speed trains operate in dynamic environments where autonomous systems are still needed to respond to unpredictable events [1]. Therefore autonomy for these modes of transport will gain importance in the future [27].

One particular task of an autonomous train system involves filtering out the area in front of the vehicle, which can be defined as the danger zone. This area represents where the train is headed and the space, which is occupied next.

The problem is, that many state-of-the-art techniques in the rail domain consider all rails or rail tracks, and often make no distinction between the train's track and other tracks [1]. This can be observed in figure 5, in the right two images of figure 6 and in figure 7. While the segmentation of rails can be used for obstacle detection [27], the output of these methods can easily be misinterpreted [1]. Train infrastructure is complex, with multiple tracks often crossing, merging, or splitting at switches. Therefore, it is insufficient to recognize all tracks in a single image. A more targeted system is needed, which only detects the train's track and predicts the upcoming path at switches [1]. Such a system identifies the most relevant danger zone and when it is real-time capable it could be used as a preprocessing step for other algorithms or safety features [1] [27]. Leading to better-informed decisions [1], possibly preventing accidents like the ones mentioned before and potentially save lives. Figure 4 shows a schematic visualization of a more targeted system.

This is why in the line of this work a distinction between *rail detection* and *rail track prediction* is made. On the one hand, *rail detection* in this context is filtering out rails or rail tracks with no further information about the own or adjacent rails. On the other hand, *rail track prediction* answers the question of where the train will be in the next few moments, particularly when switches are present that split the track. In figure 4 a *rail detection* would output both the green and the red marked tracks as one class and a *rail track prediction* outputs just the green track.



Figure 4: Example of a diverging rail track at a switch. The train's path is marked in green. The path the train cannot take is marked in red. This path is obstructed and would be unsafe [1]. *rail detection*-output: green and red track; *rail track prediction*-output: green track

The focus of *rail track prediction* extends beyond solely camera-based detection of the train's path. It particularly emphasizes the accurate identification of switches where the train's path diverges, as illustrated in Figure 4.

Consequently, the goal of this work is the development of a rail track prediction system, which correctly predicts the most relevant danger zone and the direction in which the train is moving. This is done with an emphasis on scenarios involving track splits through switches present in the Field Of View (FOV). Since the use case primarily takes place in dynamic environments, another goal, besides achieving an acceptable accuracy, is to ensure it operates in real-time and is therefore lightweight [1].

As the goal is to perceive the environment in front of the train, it is most advantageous to use front-view cameras [1] [27]. Images are captured in the driving direction from the driver's cabin because it is the best view of the tracks [1].

The main contributions of this work can be roughly divided into three parts.

1. Firstly, an initial approach was taken that aimed to combine object detection and semantic segmentation. However, due to unsatisfactory results, this methodology was deemed ineffective, leading to the pursuit of a fundamentally different solution.
2. Secondly, TEP-Net [1] was chosen as the new baseline for further experiments. Improvements are implemented with a specific focus on correct path prediction in the presence of switches.
3. Thirdly, as further improvements were anticipated through the use of the temporal component with RNNs, the system was adjusted to accept video information rather than single frames as input. Thus, the data loading logic was adapted and models were modified. Additionally, a temporal dataset was created. To minimize the workload for annotation, an auto labeler was developed utilizing the improved single-frame-based model.

**5 State of the Art** describes different approaches, to how the train track prediction problem can be solved. In this section, thorough research is done, which gives an overview of various approaches, models, and fitting datasets. Additionally, a couple of papers that could serve as a baseline are discussed in more detail. In **6 Methodology** the used datasets as well as the hardware and software frameworks used for training and evaluation are described. Additionally, this work includes the development of a temporal dataset with partly auto-labeled annotations. The labeling process and algorithms for auto-labeling are also described in this section. After that carried-out experiments are described in **7 Experiments** and their results are described in **8 Results** following a discussion in **9 Discussion**. In the final section **10 Conclusion and Outlook**, the work is summarized and further possible ideas for improvements are presented.

# 5 State of the Art

Etwas Text... Hier kommen noch einige Abkürzungen vor zum Beispiel ABC, WWW und ROFL.

## 5.1 Different solutions approaches for rail prediction

möglichkeiten - rail segmentation (detection und keine direction prediction) hat normalerweise Probleme wenn mehrere rails in der scene sind → weichen schwer trennbar weil der pixelhaufen dazwischen irgendwas ist - rail line detection (detection und keine direction prediction) Anzahl der rails in einer scene ist das Problem - TEP-Net

### 5.1.1 Ensemble Learning

#### **Real-time Object detection**

- Yolov9
- Yolov7
- ...

#### **Semantic Segmentation**

- DeepLab V3+
- AdapNet++
- ...

### 5.1.2 Panoptic segmentation (vielleicht)

### 5.1.3 Rail Segmentation

### 5.1.4 Line detection algorithms

Rail Detection: An Efficient Row-based Network and A New Benchmark → hat auch Lane Detection & Railroad Detection

### 5.1.5 Backbone architectures

- EfficientNet
- ResNet
- MobileNet
- DenseNet

### 5.1.6 mögliches Baseline Paper

### 5.1.7 verwendetes Baseline Paper

### 5.1.8 Temporal Models

- LSTM
- GRU
- ...

## 5.2 Datasets

Datasets are essential for the development of autonomous driving systems, particularly for training and testing algorithms or neural networks. Typically, raw sensor data is collected from real-world driving scenarios, providing a realistic environment that reflects potential situations the system may encounter in future applications. This allows for more accurate modeling and evaluation of the system's performance under real conditions. A common approach to solving problems in autonomous driving systems is using vision-based machine learning algorithms [26, S. 1221]. These applications typically rely on camera-based data to address various challenges [26, S. 1221].

Since, autonomous vehicles are increasingly considered a groundbreaking technology of the future [23] a lot of work is put in the development of such systems. However often the main focus of this quickly evolving field is on road vehicles, like cars or trucks. Therefore, most publicly available datasets focus on this application and primarily reflect scenarios in road traffic [26, S. 1221].

### Classification Datasets

There are a couple of public datasets for different Computer Vision (CV) applications. The data of the following datasets are especially gathered for classification tasks.

Table 3: Datasets for Classification

Dataset	Labels	Number of relevant images
CIFAR 100	trains	600
PASCAL VOC2012	trains	544
Microsoft COCO	trains	3745
1000 ImageNet	electric_locomotive, steam_locomotive, bullet_train	6722
Open Images Dataset V4	train	9284

*CIFAR 100* dataset [28] consists of small images with pixel size 32x32. This dataset includes 600 images with the label *trains*. In *PASCAL VOC2012* [29] there are 544 images labeled *trains*. *Microsoft COCO* [30] has 3745 images with the class *trains*. Additionally, there are classes like *traffic lights* and *stop sign*, however these are not useful to the task of this work because they are from the street domain and not the rail domain. *1000 ImageNet* [31] includes labels like *electric\_locomotive* (4330 images), *steam\_locomotive* (1187 images) and *bullet\_train* (1205 images). *Open Images Dataset V4* [32] consists of more than 9.2 million images, annotated with bounding boxes. Included are 10506 *train*-labels in 9284 images. However, there are no

other significant labels relevant to the rail domain. Additionally, the dataset contains labels for toy trains, which could pose potential challenges.

## Semantic Segmentation

Semantic segmentation labels are often referred to as dense or pixel-wise annotated data. These datasets are characterized by the fact that each pixel in their images is assigned to a class.

Table 4: Datasets for Semantic Segmentation

Dataset	Labels	Number of relevant images
Cityscapes	rail track, train	284
Mapillary Vistas	construction-flat-rail-track, object-vehicle-on-rails	710
COCO-Stuff	platform, railroads, train	8615
KITTI	rail tracks, train	65

The *Cityscapes* dataset [33] is commonly used for benchmarks when it comes to road scenes. It has 35 different labels of which two are *rail track* (131 labels in 117 images) and *train* (194 labels in 167 images). The *rail track* does not differ between the rails and track bed. *Mapillary Vistas* [34] also has more labels, but again only two are rail related ones. *construction-flat-rail-track* is annotated in 710 images and *object-vehicle-on-rails* occurs 272 times. *COCO-Stuff* dataset [35] includes the same 182 classes like the *Microsoft COCO* [30] but as dense labels. The rail relevant ones are *railroad* (2839) and *train* (4761). There is a third rail related label *platform*, however this is a very general label because this can be any plane. *KITTI* [36] has the same dense labels like *Cityscapes* [33]. Likewise, the rail relevant ones are 47 *rail track* and 18 *train* labels.

These are commonly used datasets in CV tasks. However there are three main issues when it comes to solving the track prediction use case presented in this work. Firstly, there is not enough data because the amount of included rail relevant labels is relatively low in each dataset. Secondly, the labels present are not suitable for training a track prediction algorithm. In this case only the rails, rail tracks or track beds are needed. Thirdly, the images of the presented datasets are taken out of passenger and pedestrian views. Additionally there are some road views [37].

The datasets mentioned before are very general with a vast amount of different labels. However there are some datasets specially captured for the rail domain. As with the other datasets, it is important to consider what specific tasks the datasets are intended to be used for. There are some datasets captured in the birds eye view to detect damages like cracks in rails [38] [39] or even some to detect garbage in grooved rails [40]. Then there are datasets in ego-

perspective of the train driver like *FRSign* [41] or *GERALD* [42], which are created for detecting different traffic lights on French and German railways.

This work deals with Rail Track Prediction, so the system should predict the direction of the track in front of the train. For this particular use case it is most advantages when the dataset is recorded out of the driver cabin in ego-perspective, because it offers a clear sight of the rails in front of the train. Therefore the data has to reflect scenarios, which are comparable. Since the view of the captured images represents a key factor, the before mentioned dataset become unsuitable. An additional reason why these datasets cannot be used for this work is the fact that they are created for different use cases. Other datasets which deal with the perception in a rail domain environment and are captured in the right perspective are discussed in the following paragraphs.

## RailSem19

*RailSem19* [26] is the first publicly available dataset fitted for environments in the rail domain. It consists of 8500 annotated images which are gathered from YouTube videos. All of these images are captured in the ego perspective of the train driver, which makes it suitable for the use case of this work. Additionally there are both bounding box labels and dense labels included for object detection and semantic segmentation. The bounding box labels are: *guard-rail*; *rail*; *traffic-signal-front*; *traffic-signal-back*; *traffic-sign-front*; *crossing*; *train*; *platform*; *buffer-stop*; *switch-indicator*; *switch-static*; *switch-left*; *switch-right*; *switch-unknown*. Important for predicting the direction of the train are the switch labels, because it gives valuable information. The *switch-unknown* label is used when there is a switch visible but it is unclear in which direction the train would proceed. The presence of this label is mainly due to the high noise levels of the images of YouTube videos. The dense labels of *RailSem19* are: *road*; *sidewalk*; *construction*; *tram-track*; *fence*; *pole*; *traffic-light*; *traffic-sign*; *vegetation*; *terrain*; *sky*; *human*; *rail-track*; *car*; *truck*; *track-bed*; *on-rails*; *rail-raised*; *rail-embedded*; *void*. In the case of dense labels the labels *tram-track*; *rail-track*; *track-bed*; *on-rails*; *rail-raised*; *rail-embedded* are of importance for predicting the direction of trains and trams. Another advantage is that very diverse environments have been used for this dataset. The creators of *RailSem19* took images from 38 different countries in all four seasons and weather conditions. Additionally, the focus was not only on rails but also on trams, providing a very diverse reflection of rail scenarios and not limiting the use on a specific use case.



Figure 5: RailSem19 dataset examples. First row raw images. Second row dense Ground Truth (GT) [26].

## RailVID

Another dataset that focuses on the detection of rails is the *RailVID* dataset [43]. The goal of this project is to detect rail tracks and obstacles on the rails, which can lead to possible hazardous situations. With a functioning system, fully automatic train operation is aimed for. The *RailVID* dataset is a collection of 1071 images with the following labels: *background*, *railway*, *car*, *people*. Since, the area of application is on the "Suzhou Rail Transit Line 1" in Jiangsu Province, China all data is captured there. *RailVID* is a collection of infrared data captured with the AT615X infrared thermal instrument from InfiRay. The decision to use infrared data and not RGB images is because it is more robust against challenging imaging conditions, like darkness at night, fog, rain and direct light disturbance. Since, this dataset only consists of infrared data and in this work Red Green Blue (RGB) data should be used, *RailVID* cannot be used for training. An additional issue is, that the dataset is recorded only on a specific Chinese line. This is advantageous for this particular use case, but it could become an issue if the system were to be deployed elsewhere.




Figure 6: Example images and GT of RailVID dataset [43]

## RailSet

[44] and [45] presented the *RailSet* dataset, which is divided into two sub sets: RailSet-Seg for segmentation and RailSet-Ano for anomaly detection [44]. Both of them are captured in the ego perspective of the train driver [44] [45]. The idea is to firstly detect the railways using semantic segmentation and secondly using positional information of the prediction for the creation of the anomaly dataset [44]. RailSet-Ano is a collection of 1100 images of railway defects like rail discontinuity and holes in the rail bed. Some anomalies are taken from other images and pasted on images of RailSet-Seg and RailSem19 dataset others are generated with a network [44]. Since, RailSet-Ano deals with a different use case than the one in this work, this particular data cannot be used.

On the other hand, RailSet-Seg fits the problem. It consists of 6600 images of normal situations. The images are collected from 23 YouTube videos with a collective duration of 15 hours. It includes two labels: *rail* and *rail-track*. Besides the use of RailSet-Seg for the creation of RailSet-Ano, an additional motivation is to include more complex scenes of the rail domain than RailSem19. That is why the focus of RailSet lies on scenarios with poor weather conditions or lighting conditions. Furthermore, images are included in which the rails are not visible at all, like in tunnels without lighting or in snowy scenes. Additionally, it was ensured that the videos were recorded by different cameras and from different mounting positions [44] [45].

An advantage of this dataset is that it can be joined with RailSem19, when combining four specific labels. *trackbed* and *rail-track* from RailSem19 have to be transformed into RailSet's *rail-track* label and *rail-raised* and *rail-embedded* become the *rail* label. This method leads to more data for the training and validation which could be an advantage. However, it also shows the disadvantage of this dataset for the specific use case of this work. RailSet exclusively addresses railway and not tram scenes [45]. Since, the goal is to target a broad applicability, leaning towards tram scenes this dataset is not used for this work.




Figure 7: RailSet-Seg example with annotations [44] [45]: **(a)** raw-image, **(b)** rail class, **(c)** rail and rail-track class

## RSDS

The creators of the Railroad Segmentation Dataset (RSDS) [27], tried to solve the railroad detection problem with a segmentation approach. Because there was no publicly available dataset for this task at the time, they had to construct their own. RSDS is captured from the

ego perspective of the train driver and is a collection of 3000 images. They used 2500 for training, 200 for validation and 300 for testing. The dataset only includes one *railroad* label. It is described that the labels only incorporate pixels between the two rails and intentionally ignore railway sleepers outside this area. Images are of size 1920 x 1080. Although it is not mentioned in the paper, it seems as if all images of RSDS are captured from a specific rail line in China. Additionally, from the images in the paper alone one can tell that this particular rail line has very distinctive structural characteristics. The colors are bright and it seems like the area between the rails is mostly concrete, which is unusual for most railways. 8 shows that structures besides the rails are specific too. One additional detail of this dataset, which can present a disadvantage for this work, is that switches are not addressed. Since this dataset only covers very specific railroads with unique characteristics and additionally does not address switches or other situations where the track splits, RSDS is not further considered for this work.



Figure 8: RSDS example with annotation [27]: **(a)** raw-image, **(b)** ground truth

## Rail-DB

A very similar dataset is Rail-DB [46]. This dataset is a collection of 7432 images, which are taken from 15 videos. The labels in this dataset are consist of poly lines, which represent all existing rails in an image. Additionally the the poly lines all have different classes. This way there is not only one rail class, but as many classes as there are rails in one image. The labeling policy specifies that the central rails are marked with the annotations 1 and 2. Additional rails are labeled with rising numbers. Since this dataset includes poly lines and not binary masks, this dataset can be used for training line detection algorithms. Compared to RSDS, this dataset includes not only lines and curves, but also rail switches. Additionally, the images are taken in various conditions and scenes. 9 shows example images of Rail-DB's different scenes. However, it seems that the images again have very specific characteristics like in RSDS. 9 also shows, that all images are captured on a Chinese line. Even though [46] presents a very interesting approach for solving the rail detection problem, because of the before mentioned specific characteristics it is not used for this work. Even the author of [46] states in the GitHub repository [47], that this project fails to generalize on example videos, where the scene looks

different from the one the dataset is captured on.



Figure 9: Rail-DB [46] images with annotations in different conditions

### OSDaR23

Another dataset is the OSDaR23 [48]. This dataset is a collection of 21 sequences, which are split into 45 subsequences. Several sequences are short ones with 10 frames each, some are longer with 40 to 100 frames. In total there are 1534 labeled scenes in this dataset. Since, OSDaR23 is captured with 9 cameras (RGB and Infrared (IR)) in different angles, one lidar and one radar sensor, the total number of frames are  $1534 * 11 = 16874$ . Additionally, position and acceleration sensors are used. Because also lidar and radar is used, this dataset offers 3D data as well. OSDaR23 consists of 20 different labels. These labels include the rail context, like *track*, *switch* or *train* and the environment, like *person*, *animal*, *bicycle*, *smoke*, *flame* or *crowd*. The annotations for the environment can be used for safety applications. For more details please refer to *TABLE V* in [48]. 10 shows the 11 different frames of each sensor and 11 shows an example of an annotated scene. As illustrated in 11 the *track* label and the *switch* label only offers positional information about their presents, but do not include any information on the direction of the train. Furthermore, there is no information that distinguishes the train rails from the adjacent rails. Therefore OSDaR23 can only be used for the detection of rails, but not the track prediction. Moreover, the capturing of this dataset only took place on rail roads in Hamburg, Germany. No tram scenes are included. Due to the necessity of re-labeling for this work and the fact that only data from Hamburg is offered, OSDaR23 is not used.





Figure 10: OSDaR23 data from all different sensors [48]




(a) RGB center camera



(b) merged lidar point cloud



(c) IR center camera



(d) Radar (zoomed)

Figure 11: OSDaR23 annotated scene [48]




Figure 12: OSDaR23 labels skaliert

### TEP-Net dataset

[1] presents the Train Ego Path (TEP)-Net dataset. The problem this paper aims to solve is rail track prediction. This differs from all previously mentioned datasets. No dataset but [1] provides information, which distinguishes possible rails in the image from the one the train actually follows. Since RailSem19 is the most popular dataset in the rail domain, it is used as initial point. A total of 7917 images were taken from RailSem19. The remaining 583 were excluded because they are taken from unusual perspectives or it is unclear which track the train is on or would continue on. Examples of such images are shown in 13. These images are excluded simply by not annotating them. For annotation a new labeling format is created. Two classes *rail\_right* and *rail\_left* give information about where the tracks of the train run. All other rails which might be in the image are ignored. These two classes consist of poly lines, which are annotated by the corresponding x and y pixel coordinates of the image. Only the tracks on which the train is located are labeled. Even if a switch appears in the image and the train would travel over it, only the tracks in the correct direction are further labeled. This way, switches are indirectly included in this dataset, providing information on the direction a train would continue, even though there is no explicit label for switches. The poly lines start from lowest pixel row

of the images and extend up to a specific horizon line. Above this horizon further labeling is not possible. This may occur for various reasons. The first reason can be an obstruction of the view by the environment or other trains, as shown in 14. Since the images are sourced from YouTube videos, it is also possible that the resolution becomes too low in the distance for separately identifying both rails. Another reason is when it is not possible to determine the direction in which the track continues based on a switch present in an image. This can happen due to low resolution or unfortunate camera angles. These cases may be labeled as *switch-unknown* in the original RailSem19 dataset for example. Here, the polylines stop before the switch. The polylines from this annotation can then be converted into several different labels using preprocessing algorithms. On one hand, they can be directly used as polylines. On the other hand, they can be transformed into a mask for segmentation tasks by filling in the area between the lines. A third application would be to convert this mask into a grid for classification tasks.



Figure 13: Examples images from RailSem19, which are not included in the TEP-Net dataset due to unclear circumstances about the trains direction. [1]



Figure 14: TEP-Net dataset example images with annotation [1]

### **notes wo alles ist**

- Railroad Segmentation Dataset (RSDS) → in RailNet: A Segmentation Network for Railroad Detection fertig
- RailSem19 in RailSem19 fertig

- RailVID in RailVID fertig
- RailSet in RailSet & Application of Rail Segmentation in the Monitoring of Autonomous Train's Frontal Environment fertig
- Rail-DB (compared to RSDS) -> Rail Detection: An Efficient Row-based Network and A New Benchmark fertig
- OSDaR23 in OSDaR23: Open Sensor Data for Rail 2023 fertig
- TEP-net dataset fertig
- 
- RailSet -> Segmentation & Anomaly detection
- Application of Rail Segmentation in the Monitoring of Autonomous Train's Frontal Environment -> RailSegmentation (only rails and trackbed)

## 5.3 Baseline Paper

Due to the unique approach of [1], it does not fit in any of the sections above. To do justice to this unique contribution, it is addressed in a separate section highlighting the paper's specific insights and relevance.

To the best of the author's knowledge, [1] is the only paper in the literature, which makes a distinction between rail detection and train track prediction. In this paper, the train's rails are defined as the "ego-path" and others are ignored. To realize a system with this output [1] presents a novel regression-based approach, inspired by autonomous driving applications for road cars.

The main contributions of this work consist of several aspects. First, unique annotations are created specifically for this project. Furthermore, a data augmentation strategy is presented, incorporating two distinct cropping techniques for training and inference. Additionally, a new Regression-based model architecture is developed, to meet the requirements of the novel problem formulation. A custom loss function is introduced.

In the next few sections, each of these novelties is described in more detail.

### 5.3.1 Annotations

A new dataset is required for this. [1] works with its annotations and the RailSem19 dataset. This dataset is in the so-called "ego-view", so the cameras are positioned in the driver's cabin and view the rails in front of the train. For further details of the dataset utilized in this project, refer to section 5.2.

### 5.3.2 Data augmentation

This section describes both the data augmentation strategy for training and the cropping augmentation for inference.

#### Data augmentation for Training

Three data augmentation techniques are implemented to train the model. The first two are commonly used in CV tasks, including random horizontal flips and traditional image adjustments like brightness, contrast, saturation, and hue variations. For this, the standard ColorJitter method from PyTorch is used [49]. The third augmentation is the cropping mechanism, which reduces the image to the most relevant part. Randomness is also introduced to enhance generalization.

Figure 15 visualizes the cropping algorithm steps schematically. At first, there are two green lines, which follow the train's ego-path and represent the GT in the form of polylines. Then the yellow rectangle is computed with this information, being the smallest possible rectangle around the GT. In the next step, the orange box, the start pixels of the rails GT are centered by expanding the yellow borders in one direction. Important to mention is that in this tailored

dataset the rails always begin in the first row at the bottom of each image. After that margins are added shown by the red rectangle. These margins are predefined with hyperparameters. After calculating the borders of the outermost box variability is added to enhance generalization and prevent biases. The left, right, and top borders are moved by a distance calculated with a normal distribution, which is defined by hyperparameters. This introduced variability follows the policy, which ensures that the starting pixels of the rails at the bottom stay in the cropped image. Figure 15 illustrates four augmented variations of the top image in the bottom row.




Figure 15: Data augmentation of TEP-Net [1], including the image horizontal flips, the color variations and the cropping mechanism.

### Cropping in inference

In [1] only cropped images are used for training the model to minimize the images to their most relevant Region of Interest (ROI). For training and validating the model on the dataset, the GT allows the computation of crop coordinates (left, top, right). However, GT data is unavailable in practical applications like video inference. As a result, the crop coordinates must be, either predefined by a user or computed on the fly.

In a real-world application the ROI in the images changes, even when the camera is mounted in a fixed position. Because of these perspective shifts when the train drives right or left turns, it easily can become unsustainable to have predetermined crop coordinates. Figure 16 visualizes these perspective shifts. The tighter the curve, the worse the shift. The approach of [1] to solve this particular problem is the so-called "Autocrop". This developed technique starts with the whole image, so the initial crop cords are the image borders. After the initialization, the three coordinates (left, top, right) are updated according to the prediction of every new frame. In more detail, new coordinates are calculated with a running average of the smallest rectangle around the prediction plus the predefined margins from the training.



Figure 16: Example of perspective shifts with three different scenarios: left curve, right curve and straight rail [1].

### 5.3.3 TEP-Net Model

In the literature, the particular problem of rail detection is usually solved with segmentation-based approaches. One limitation of these approaches is the lack of distinction between all rails visible in the image and the rail the train continues. The unique approach introduced by [1] takes a regression-based approach, which restricts the model to predict a single track. The idea comes from various lane detection methods in autonomous driving applications for road cars and is fitted the rail domain.

Although rails can be represented by second or third-degree polynomials in curves, they may also take more complex geometric shapes. Hence, limiting the output to presumed forms is discouraged. Therefore, the method used in [1] employs spline interpolation to describe such complex structures.




Figure 17: TEP-Net model architecture[1]. The input of the model is a cropped and resized image and the output of the model are the  $x$ -values for the left and right rail on each anchor line plus an additional value for the  $y$ -limit.

As shown in the prediction of Figure 17, a set of horizontal lines are overlayed in the cropped image. The number of  $y$ -lines or "anchors" is determined by a hyperparameter. They are uniformly distributed along the  $y$ -axis. For each line, two  $x$ -values are predicted. One for the left rail and one for the right rail. The second and fourth images in the bottom row of Figure 15 and the prediction image of Figure 17 show that rails do not necessarily cross with anchor lines at the top of image crops. Therefore, an additional  $y$ -limit is predicted, which gives information up to which anchor the rail should be detected. Anchors and their  $x$ -values above this horizon line do not hold valuable information and are ignored.

For this novel regression task, a new model architecture is created. For this model widely used backbone architectures like ResNet and EfficientNet are chosen. These backbones extract relevant features from the cropped images reducing the spatial dimensions and increasing channel size to a high number. After that, the feature map's number of channels is reduced to a predefined size with a  $1 \times 1$  Conv2d layer [50] and flattened to a vector. This feature vector serves as the input for the prediction head, which consists of two fully connected layers [51] in series. The size of the linear layers is set with a hyperparameter. In the last layer, a reduction leads to the resulting prediction vector with the dimension  $2 \times H + 1$ .  $H$  is the number of anchors. This vector includes the entire information of one prediction. The first set of values with size  $H$  is for the left rail, another  $H$  set for the right rail and the  $+1$  is for the last values being the horizon line.

This architecture's policy for value ranges does not restrict the  $x$ -values in any way. This way predictions can be outside of a crop and the model can learn that sometimes the rails extend out of the viewed field. The  $y$ -limit on the other hand is constrained to a range between 0 and 1 with a Sigmoid function.

The introduced model architecture can be classified as an end-to-end framework. This means the model can be trained and used for inference without any steps in between. It takes in raw data and results in a complete prediction.

### 5.3.4 Loss function

One of the main contributions of [1] is the loss function, which is tailored to the particular problem formulation of the regression-based approach. The final loss function Equation 3 [1] consists of two sub-functions, where the outputs are added together, with the  $y$ -limit loss weighted by a multiplication factor of  $\lambda = 0.5$  before summing.

$$L = L_{traj} + \lambda \times L_{ylim} \quad (3)$$

The two sub-functions are the trajectory loss  $L_{traj}$  and  $y$ -limit loss  $L_{ylim}$ . For the following functions,  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$  and  $\mathbf{X}$  represent the predicted and GT  $x$ -values. These include the points for the left and right rails. For the  $y$ -limit, the predicted and GT values are  $\hat{y}_{lim}$  and  $y_{lim}$ .

**Trajectory Loss**  $L_{traj}$  determines the error between the predicted and actual  $x$ -values. To calculate this error, the sum of smoothL1 is used as shown in the numerator Equation 4. This is done with a  $\beta_1 = 0.005$ . As shown in figure Figure 18, this function allows a linearly proportional relationship between loss and error. Additionally, for noise in the data, it includes a smooth transition at zero.

One issue of the front view perspective is the so-called linear perspective effect. This effect occurs when rails continue into the distance. The same pixel error represents a small distance when near the camera's capturing point and a larger distance when far away. This ratio grows linearly the greater the distance to the camera. To consider this effect, the results of the  $L_{rails}(\hat{\mathbf{X}}_i, \mathbf{X}_i)$  are multiplied by the  $w_i$  factor, which is inversely proportional to the width of the rail. To prevent distortion of the results due to unreasonable weighting  $W_{max}$  is used. This value is the percentile of all  $w_i$  values from the training set. This value is around 20 when data augmentation is used.

The  $m_i$  factor is used to zero out and ignore all values above the  $y$ -limit. Furthermore, it is ensured that the averaging of the loss is conducted exclusively over the relevant segment of the track. This is done by summing all  $m_i$  values in the denominator of Equation 4.

$$L_{traj} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^H m_i \times w_i \times L_{rails}(\hat{\mathbf{X}}_i, \mathbf{X}_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^H m_i} \quad (4)$$

$$L_{rails} = \sum_{r \in \{left, right\}} SmoothL1(\hat{\mathbf{X}}_{i,r} - \mathbf{X}_{i,r}, \beta_1) \quad (5)$$

$$SmoothL1(x, \beta) = \begin{cases} 0.5x^2/\beta, & \text{if } |x| < \beta \\ |x| - 0.5 * \beta, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

$$w_i = \min \left( \frac{1}{\mathbf{X}_{i,right} - \mathbf{X}_{i,left}}, W_{max} \right) \quad (7)$$

$$m_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \leq y_{lim} \times H \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

**Y-Limit Loss**  $L_{ylim}$  is responsible for calculating the error of the horizon line. For this sub-function also the *SmoothL1* loss is taken with a  $\beta_2 = 0.015$ . The value of  $\beta_2$  is chosen to be higher, because of greater inaccuracy of annotations. Due to images from the dataset stemming from low-resolution YouTube videos, it becomes difficult to accurately label the horizon line, especially when the end of the rail track is located at a significant distance.

$$L_{ylim} = SmoothL1(\hat{y}_{lim} - y_{lim}, \beta_2) \quad (9)$$

Figure 18 shows a plot of the Equation 6 because it is an important part of this loss function.




Figure 18: *SmoothL1* Loss Funktion

### 5.3.5 Experiments, Results and Comparison other state-of-the-art approaches of TEP-Net

State-of-the-art approaches include two main ideas: a classification and a segmentation approach. To fairly compare the novel regression-based architecture, [1] extends the model with two prediction heads, which support the two state-of-the-art methods. For the segmentation model a U-Net-like [52] decoder architecture is implemented, which outputs a binary mask with dimensions  $1 \times 512 \times 512$ . A binary Dice loss is used for training. The classification model is inspired by [46]. Therefore the prediction is also described in a grid system with the dimensions  $C \times H \times (W + 1)$ . With  $C = 2$  for the number of rails,  $H = 64$  like the number of anchors in the regression model, and  $W = 128$  for the width of the grid following the settings from [46]. The  $+1$  is for the background class. Consequently, the classification model outputs a  $2 \times 64 \times (128 + 1) = 16512$ -dimensional vector. A cross-entropy loss is used to train this model [1].

For experiments, the same dataset is utilized. With various preprocessing steps, the data annotation is transformed to fit the specific task of the model. As described in section 5.2 the area between the rails is transformed into a binary mask for segmentation and the grid system is used for classification. To get the most transparent comparison the same backbone is used for the three different models, as illustrated in Figure 19.




Figure 19: The model architecture is designed to enable a fair comparison between the novel regression model proposed in [1] and other State Of The Art (SOTA) approaches. All models use the same dataset with preprocessing steps to fit annotation to the model task.

Experiments include trainings with different backbones: ResNet18, 34, 50 and EfficientNet B0, B1, B2, B3. Results of [1] show that the segmentation-based approach is the most accurate when it comes to the Intersection Over Union (IoU). However, the difference in IoU performances is within a range of only 1.4 percent. The segmentation model with the EfficientNet-B3 is the best and the classification model with the ResNet18 is the worst performing. The Latency on the other hand shows that the segmentation model is the slowest. Here the regression-based approach outperforms other models. For the rail track prediction application of this work, the latency is of great importance. Additionally, the regression model has lower numbers in parameters and Multiply-Accumulate Operations (MACs), proving to be more lightweight compared to state-of-the-art models. For more detailed results, please refer to [1].




Figure 20: Comparison between classification (CLS), regression (REG) and segmentation (SEG) models with challenging scenes. The ResNet18 backbone is used for this figure.

Since all three model architectures achieve similar IoU scores, performances are compared on individual challenging scenes. To see the differences more clearly the ResNet18 backbone is used because it is the worst performing in terms of accuracy. As visualized in Figure 20, the

correctness of the prediction is negatively impacted when the model becomes unsure of where the rail track continues. However, Figure 20 shows that the regression model is the only one that seems to have no issues with obstructions like in the lower two rows. Additionally, when tracks split at upcoming switches, the novel approach of [1] is the only one that keeps the form of a rail.

This is due to the loss functions of both state-of-the-art models. Both the dice loss and the cross-entropy loss penalize their models in the case of misclassification. However, this penalty does not grow as the distance from the GT increases.

The segmentation and the classification model work with probabilities, either on a pixel-wise or a grid-row level. When segmentation models are confident, their pixel predictions tend toward the extremes of the output range. Under uncertainty, they move closer to the middle which is also the threshold. Similarly, classification models produce one-hot-like distributions when confident, but show more spread-out probabilities across classes when uncertain. Since the regression approach inherently involves continuous values, the model assumes an average among the uncertain possibilities. The concept of distance in the error between prediction and GT is only provided in the regression-based model, but missing in segmentation and classification models. Resulting in a more robust system [1].

### 5.3.6 Limitation

The main limitation of [1] lies in its single-frame-based model architecture. This model cannot capture temporal context, which becomes problematic when the train encounters a switch. An example scenario is illustrated in Figure 21. Typically, the model effectively predicts the train’s path when approaching switches, with all necessary information contained within the frame. However, once the train passes over the switch and the start of the switch is no longer visible, the model cannot determine the continuation of the ego path. Only after a certain duration, does the correct track become identifiable again.



Figure 21: Limitation of TEP-Net [1]. The introduced approach is a single-frame-based model. Therefore, no temporal context can be captured, which leads to uncertainty in prediction when driving over a switch. All images are from [53]. A YouTube video, which is also used by RailSem19. It is ensured that none of the frames are included in the dataset, creating a fair test scenario.

There are two approaches suggested in [1]. The first one includes integrating a confidence score to tell if the model is in a scenario where it is prone to become unreliable. The second suggested approach is more complete. It would encounter the temporal component by implementing a model like a Recurrent Neural Network (RNN), that can capture temporal information. However, [1] states that there is no public temporal dataset available, which fits this task. To the best of the author knowledge, this statement is correct. Therefore, a corresponding dataset must also be created, if this approach is pursued.

## 5.4 Baseline Paper

### 5.4.1 Description of Baseline Paper

blindtext

### 5.4.2 Results and Limits of Baseline Paper

blindtext

### 5.4.3 Erste Überschrift Tiefe 3 (subsection)

blindtext

#### **Erste Überschrift Tiefe 4 (subsubsection)**

blindtext

# 6 Methodology

blindtext

## 6.1 Datasets

blindtext

### 6.1.1 RailSem19 and used subsets

blindtext

### 6.1.2 vielleicht: Rail-DB for multi rail setection

blindtext

### 6.1.3 used annotations

blindtext

## 6.2 Switch evaluation dataset

blindtext

## 6.3 labeling task for temporal models

**CVAT**

**Autolabler**

blindtext

## 6.4 Setup used for Training CNNs

For training Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)s a powerful hardware setup is necessary. In this work, one main setup is used to train all models. This work is based on a project proposed and supported by the Institute for Computer Technology (ICT) at the Technical University Vienna (TU), which also provides the necessary resources. The department has a server with two NVIDIA Tesla V100S-PCIE-32GB Graphics Processing Unit (GPU)s [54]. Since the GPUs utilized are equipped with 32 GB of memory and this is sufficient for the needs of this study, all trainings are done on a single GPU. No multi-GPU setup is needed. For this project, CUDA version 12.6 is used, which provides an environment for developing GPU-accelerated applications [55]. The server employs two Intel(R) Xeon(R) Gold 5118 CPUs @ 2.30GHz [56]. These Central Processing Unit (CPU)s have 24 threads and 12 cores each.

All trainings are logged with the "Weights & Biases" [57], a developer platform for training and fine-tuning machine learning models. [57] is utilized for logging configurations and results in this work. The *train loss* and *validation loss* of each training is tracked and visualized in a graph. The *test IoU* and the *best validation loss* are displayed at the end of each training. Additionally, the GPU's power usage and allocated memory are tracked and graphs are plotted. Moreover, "Weights & Biases" [57] assigns a unique name to each training session, a critical feature when starting hundreds of training sessions. All training logs are available at <https://wandb.ai/sebiorganization/train-ego-path-detection>.

## 6.5 Measuring Inference on NVIDIA Jetson Device

Due to the nature of this work's use case and potential applications for the system encompassing safety features or preprocessing steps for autonomous trains, the system has to ensure real-time capability when deployed on embedded devices. In more detail, one goal for the train track prediction is to be deployed on an Nvidia Jetson device, because of their high computing power and their low power consumption. Additionally, through NVIDIA's software ecosystem, rapid deployment and latency measurements are possible. Jetson devices are suitable for applications in autonomous systems and computer vision tasks [58]. Furthermore, the NVIDIA Jetson series is specifically built for machine learning applications, because the devices have Deep Learning Accelerator (DLA)s built in. DLAs are tensor processor units designed to accelerate the inference of neuronal networks [59].

### 6.5.1 Hardware Setup for Measuring Inference

For this study, the NVIDIA Jetson AGX Xavier is chosen because of several reasons. This platform achieves up to 32 TOPS by utilizing a GPU with 512 cores and 64 Tensor cores, which is advantageous for parallel data processing and neural network inference [60]. An additional advantage present the two built-in NVIDIA Deep Learning Accelerator (NVDLA)s of the AGX

Xavier. NVDLAs are NVIDIA's own DLAs. Even though there are more powerful devices like some of the NVIDIA Jetson Orin series, the AGX Xavier is sufficient for this application and cheaper [61]. Additionally, the Xavier has a lower power consumption than the Orin. Finally but yet important is the ease of integration. Since, track prediction is a use case of a company, that most commonly uses the Jetson AGX Xavier platform. Conducting tests on this specific device is appropriate. The technical specifications of the NVIDIA Jetson AGX Xavier are shown in table 5.

AI Performance	32 TOPS
GPU	512-core NVIDIA Volta GPU with 64 Tensor Cores
CPU	8-core NVIDIA Carmel ARM v8.2 64-bit CPU   8 MB L2 + 4 MB L3
Memory	32 GB 256-Bit LPDDR4x   136.5 GB/s
Storage	32 GB eMMC 5.1
DL Accelerator	(2x) NVDLA
Power	10 W - 30 W

Table 5: Jetson AGX Xavier technical specifications [60]

### 6.5.2 Optimizing models with TensorRT

To fully leverage the used hardware platform Jetson AGX Xavier, NVIDIA introduced TensorRT [62]. This ecosystem is developed to allow faster inference times when deploying deep learning models. Also, the baseline paper [1] demonstrates through latency measurements that TensorRT consistently outperforms PyTorch in the context of speed. TensorRT is approximately six times faster than PyTorch, which aligns with the claims made by NVIDIA [1] [62]. Consequently, all latency measurements in this study are conducted using TensorRT. This framework optimizes inference with methods like quantization, layer and tensor fusion, and kernel tuning [62]. This can be done for various types of NVIDIA GPUs.

**Quantization** can optimize an already trained model. This technique shows a small reduction in accuracy but minimizes latency significantly. While PyTorch uses FP32 for the inference of its standard models, TensorRT allows to use GPUs and Tensor Processing Unit (TPU)s to their maximum capacity by permitting FP8, INT8, and INT4.

**Layer and Tensor fusion** are used from TensorRT for further optimizing inference. Often specific layer sequences include two consecutive layers that can be mathematically combined into a single layer. Resulting in a reduction of unessential computations.

**Kernal tuning** is a process that seeks the optimal configuration of available kernels in the Jetson device. The selected kernels depend on the specific machine-learning application and the used device. In more detail, the model is executed on the device several times using different CUDA kernels in each run and the best combination is utilized. Since, Kernel tuning is an iterative process it usually takes a couple of minutes even with compact models.

### TensorRT engine from PyTorch model

Since TensorRT does not support PyTorch models, a workaround has to be made. First, the PyTorch models are converted into an Open Neural Network Exchange (ONNX) format [63]. After that, the `.onnx` files are optimized by TensorRT with the techniques mentioned before.

ONNX is an approach for easier access to hardware optimization and to make interoperability possible [63]. Often machine learning applications are locked in the framework they are developed in, which can present some hurdles. The ONNX format aims for a standardized representation of machine learning models and is therefore commonly used in the community. Once converted to ONNX, a model utilizes standard data types and a set of built-in operators. The ONNX format version, known as the "opset", defines which operators are used [63]. Therefore the TensorRT version must be compatible with the ONNX opset number.

The TensorRT version installed on the Jetson AGX Xavier must support the used ONNX opset. Therefore in this work, the opset 11 is used for all model exports.

```
1 # Export the model to ONNX
2 torch.onnx.export(
3     model,                      # Model to be exported
4     input_tensor,                # Input to the model
5     "onnx_file_path/model.onnx", # Output file path
6     opset_version=11,           # ONNX version to export the model to
7     export_params=True,          # Store the trained parameter
8                           # weights inside the model file
9 )
```

Listing 2: Exporting a PyTorch model to ONNX format

In this work, all PyTorch models are converted to `.onnx` files with the built-in torch exporter. Listing 2 shows that the conversion is done with a single `torch.onnx.export()` python line [64]. After an ONNX model format is created it can be optimized by TensorRT. This can be executed with the `trtexec` console application.

```
trtexec -onnx=model.onnx -saveEngine=model.engine
```

This command provides an example, in which the `model.onnx` is optimized with TensorRT techniques mentioned above. Furthermore, the `model.engine` is saved and can be executed from now on without the need to create it again. After a couple of minutes, TensorRT outputs a performance summary with many different latencies, like the min, max, mean, or median. Of these values, the median inference time is considered as the final latency rather than the mean, because it is more robust against outliers.

# 7 Experiments

Etwas Text... Hier kommen noch einige Abkürzungen vor zum Beispiel ABC, WWW und ROFL.

## 7.1 Ensemble Learning Approach

blindtext

## 7.2 improved TEP-Net

blindtext

### 7.2.1 Autocrop

blindtext

### 7.2.2 Backbones

blindtext

### 7.2.3 Pooling Layers

blindtext

### 7.2.4 Prediction Heads

blindtext

### 7.2.5 Sliding Window Approach

blindtext

### 7.2.6 Temporal Models

blindtext

## 7.2.7 Erste Überschrift Tiefe 3 (subsection)

blindtext

## 7.2.8 Erste Überschrift Tiefe 3 (subsection)

blindtext

### **Erste Überschrift Tiefe 4 (subsubsection)**

blindtext

# 8 Results

Etwas Text... Hier kommen noch einige Abkürzungen vor zum Beispiel ABC, WWW und ROFL.

## 8.1 Ensemble Learning Approach

blindtext

## 8.2 improved TEP-Net

blindtext

### 8.2.1 Autocrop

blindtext

### 8.2.2 Backbones

blindtext

### 8.2.3 Pooling Layers

blindtext

### 8.2.4 Prediction Heads

blindtext

### 8.2.5 Sliding Window Approach

blindtext

### 8.2.6 Temporal Models

blindtext

## 8.3 Erste Überschrift Tiefe 2 (section)

blindtext

### 8.3.1 Erste Überschrift Tiefe 3 (subsection)

blindtext

#### **Erste Überschrift Tiefe 4 (subsubsection)**

blindtext

# 9 Discussion

Etwas Text... Hier kommen noch einige Abkürzungen vor zum Beispiel ABC, WWW und ROFL.

## 9.1 Erste Überschrift Tiefe 2 (section)

blindtext

### 9.1.1 Erste Überschrift Tiefe 3 (subsection)

blindtext

#### **Erste Überschrift Tiefe 4 (subsubsection)**

blindtext

# 10 Conclusion and Outlook

Etwas Text... Hier kommen noch einige Abkürzungen vor zum Beispiel ABC, WWW und ROFL.

## 10.1 Erste Überschrift Tiefe 2 (section)

blindtext

### 10.1.1 Erste Überschrift Tiefe 3 (subsection)

blindtext

#### **Erste Überschrift Tiefe 4 (subsubsection)**

blindtext

# Bibliography

- [1] T. Laurent, *Train ego-path detection on railway tracks using end-to-end deep learning*, 2024. arXiv: [2403.13094 \[cs.CV\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.13094). [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.13094>.
- [2] H. Kopka, *LaTeX, Band 1: Einführung*, 3rd ed. München: Pearson Studium, 2005.
- [3] ——, *LaTeX, Band 1: Einführung*, 3rd ed. München: Pearson Studium, 2005. [Online]. Available: <http://www.pearson-studium.de> (visited on 07/06/2011).
- [4] M. Goossens, F. Mittelbach, and A. Samarin, *Der LaTeX Begleiter*. Bonn: Addison-Wesley Deutschland, 2002.
- [5] S. Teschl, K. M. Göschka, and G. Essl, *Leitfaden zur Verfassung einer Bachelorarbeit oder Master Thesis*, FH Technikum Wien, 2014. [Online]. Available: [www.technikum-wien.at](http://www.technikum-wien.at) (visited on 08/04/2014).
- [6] M. Humenberger, D. Hartermann, and W. Kubinger, “Evaluation of stereo matching systems for real world applications using structured light for ground truth estimation,” in *Proceedings of the Tenth IAPR Conference on Machine Vision Applications (MVA2007)*, Tokyo, Japan: MVA Conference Committee, May 16, 2007, pp. 433–436.
- [7] M. Humenberger, C. Zinner, M. Weber, W. Kubinger, and M. Vincze, “A fast stereo matching algorithm suitable for embedded real-time systems,” *Computer Vision and Image Understanding*, vol. 114, no. 11, pp. 1180–1202, 2010.
- [8] C. Zinner, W. Kubinger, and R. Isaacs, “Pfelib: A performance primitives library for embedded vision,” *EURASIP Journal on Embedded Systems*, vol. 2007, pp. 1–14, 2007. [Online]. Available: <http://downloads.hindawi.com/journals/es/2007/049051.pdf> (visited on 07/06/2011).
- [9] H. Hemetsberger, *Ait stereo sensor im Einsatz während der darpa urban challenge 2007*, AIT Austrian Institute of Technology, 2007.
- [10] Siemens Automation Technology, *Simatic*, 2011. [Online]. Available: <http://www.automation.siemens.com/mcms/topics/de/simatic/Seiten/Default.aspx> (visited on 07/06/2011).
- [11] ——, *Simatic*, [Online] Verfügbar unter: <<http://www.automation.siemens.com/mcms/topics/de/simatic/Seiten/Default.aspx>> [Zugang am 17.10.2014], 2014.
- [12] International Standards Office, *Iso 690 – information and documentation: Bibliographical references: Electronic documents*, Genf: International Standards Office, 1998.

- [13] Atmel Corporation, *Atmel atmega16 – 8-bit microcontroller with 16k bytes in-system programmable flash*, San Jose, United States: Atmel Corporation, 2011. [Online]. Available: [http://www.atmel.com/dyn/resources/prod%5C\\_documents/doc2466.pdf](http://www.atmel.com/dyn/resources/prod%5C_documents/doc2466.pdf) (visited on 07/06/2011).
- [14] M. Humenberger, *Real-time stereo matching for embedded systems in robotic applications*, Wien: Technische Universität Wien, Fakultät für Elektrotechnik und Informationstechnik, 2011.
- [15] J. Pohn, *Condition monitoring systeme für die zustandorientierte instandhaltung von windkraftanlagen*, Wien: FH Technikum Wien, Masterstudiengang Innovations- und Technologiemanagement, 2010.
- [16] Statista-Austria. (2024). Anzahl der beförderten personen im schienenpersonenverkehr in österreich von 2009 bis 2023 (in millionen) [graph], [Online]. Available: <https://de.statista.com/statistik/daten/studie/296524/umfrage/schienenpersonenverkehr-der-eisenbahn-in-oesterreich/> (visited on 11/05/2024).
- [17] Wiener-Stadtwerke. (2024). Anzahl der fahrgäste der wiener linien von 2006 bis 2023 (in millionen) [graph], [Online]. Available: <https://de.statista.com/statistik/daten/studie/714378/umfrage/fahrgaeste-der-wiener-linien/> (visited on 11/05/2024).
- [18] Österreichische-Bundesbahnen. (2024). Anzahl der fahrgäste der österreichischen bundesbahnen (öbb) von 2012 bis 2023 (in millionen) [graph], [Online]. Available: <https://de.statista.com/statistik/daten/studie/299369/umfrage/fahrgaeste-der-oesterreichischen-bundesbahnen/> (visited on 11/05/2024).
- [19] Weninger, *Verkehrstatistik 2022*. 2022. [Online]. Available: [https://www.statistik.at/fileadmin/user\\_upload/Verkehr-2022-barr.pdf](https://www.statistik.at/fileadmin/user_upload/Verkehr-2022-barr.pdf) (visited on 11/05/2024).
- [20] red, *Zug entgleist: 40 passagiere gerettet*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://noe.orf.at/stories/3260056/> (visited on 11/05/2024).
- [21] Stefan Schwarzwald-Sailer, *Tödlicher zugsunfall bringt öbb in erklärungsnot*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://noe.orf.at/stories/3259860/> (visited on 11/05/2024).
- [22] red, *Mehrere tote bei zugsunglück in tschechien*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://orf.at/stories/3359814/> (visited on 11/05/2024).
- [23] Fraunhofer Institute for Cognitive Systems IKS, *Autonomous driving - fraunhofer iks*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.iks.fraunhofer.de/en/topics/autonomous-driving.html> (visited on 11/05/2024).
- [24] KPMG. (2020). 2020 autonomous vehicles readiness index, [Online]. Available: <https://assets.kpmg.com/content/dam/kpmg/xx/pdf/2020/07/2020-autonomous-vehicles-readiness-index.pdf> (visited on 11/05/2024).

- [25] B. Impey. (2024). Entwicklungsstand im bereich autonomer mobilität nach ländern weltweit nach autonomous vehicle readiness index<sup>1</sup> (stand: 2020 und vergleich zum vor-jahr) [graph], [Online]. Available: <https://de.statista.com/statistik/daten/studie/1113863/umfrage/entwicklungsstand-im-bereich-autonomer-mobilitaet-nach-laendern-weltweit/> (visited on 11/05/2024).
- [26] O. Zendel, M. Murschitz, M. Zeilinger, D. Steininger, S. Abbasi, and C. Beleznai, “Railsem19: A dataset for semantic rail scene understanding,” in *2019 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops (CVPRW)*, IEEE, 2019, pp. 1221–1229, ISBN: 978-1-7281-2506-0. DOI: [10.1109/CVPRW.2019.00161](https://doi.org/10.1109/CVPRW.2019.00161).
- [27] Y. Wang, L. Wang, Y. H. Hu, and J. Qiu, “Railnet: A segmentation network for railroad detection,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 143 772–143 779, 2019. DOI: [10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2945633](https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2945633).
- [28] A. Krizhevsky, G. Hinton, *et al.*, “Learning multiple layers of features from tiny images,” Toronto, ON, Canada, 2009. [Online]. Available: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:18268744>.
- [29] M. Everingham, S. A. Eslami, L. Van Gool, C. K. Williams, J. Winn, and A. Zisserman, “The pascal visual object classes challenge: A retrospective,” *International journal of computer vision*, vol. 111, pp. 98–136, 2015.
- [30] T.-Y. Lin, M. Maire, S. J. Belongie, J. Hays, P. Perona, D. Ramanan, P. Dollár, and C. L. Zitnick, “Microsoft coco: Common objects in context,” in *European Conference on Computer Vision*, 2014. [Online]. Available: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:14113767>.
- [31] O. Russakovsky, J. Deng, H. Su, J. Krause, S. Satheesh, S. Ma, Z. Huang, A. Karpathy, A. Khosla, M. Bernstein, A. C. Berg, and L. Fei-Fei, “Imagenet large scale visual recognition challenge,” *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. 115, no. 3, pp. 211–252, Apr. 2015, ISSN: 1573-1405. DOI: [10.1007/s11263-015-0816-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11263-015-0816-y). [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11263-015-0816-y>.
- [32] A. Kuznetsova, H. Rom, N. G. Alldrin, J. R. R. Uijlings, I. Krasin, J. Pont-Tuset, S. Kamali, S. Popov, M. Malloci, A. Kolesnikov, T. Duerig, and V. Ferrari, “The open images dataset v4,” *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. 128, pp. 1956–1981, 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:53296866>.
- [33] M. Cordts, M. Omran, S. Ramos, T. Rehfeld, M. Enzweiler, R. Benenson, U. Franke, S. Roth, and B. Schiele, “The Cityscapes Dataset for Semantic Urban Scene Understanding,” in *2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, Los Alamitos, CA, USA: IEEE Computer Society, Jun. 2016, pp. 3213–3223. DOI: [10.1109/CVPR.2016.350](https://doi.ieeecomputersociety.org/10.1109/CVPR.2016.350). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.ieeecomputersociety.org/10.1109/CVPR.2016.350>.

- [34] G. Neuhold, T. Ollmann, S. R. Bulò, and P. Kortschieder, “The mapillary vistas dataset for semantic understanding of street scenes,” in *2017 IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, 2017, pp. 5000–5009. DOI: [10.1109/ICCV.2017.534](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCV.2017.534).
- [35] H. Caesar, J. Uijlings, and V. Ferrari, “Coco-stuff: Thing and stuff classes in context,” in *2018 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, IEEE, Jun. 2018. DOI: [10.1109/cvpr.2018.00132](https://doi.org/10.1109/cvpr.2018.00132). [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/CVPR.2018.00132>.
- [36] H. Abu Alhaija, S. K. Mustikovela, L. Mescheder, A. Geiger, and C. Rother, “Augmented reality meets computer vision: Efficient data generation for urban driving scenes,” *International Journal of Computer Vision*, vol. 126, pp. 961–972, 2018. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11263-018-1070-x>.
- [37] M. A. Hadded, A. Mahtani, S. Ambellouis, J. Boonaert, and H. Wannous, “Application of rail segmentation in the monitoring of autonomous train’s frontal environment,” in *Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence*, ser. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, M. El Yacoubi, E. Granger, P. C. Yuen, U. Pal, and N. Vincent, Eds., vol. 13363, Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2022, pp. 185–197, ISBN: 978-3-031-09036-3. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-031-09037-0\\_16](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-09037-0_16).
- [38] Z. Zhang, S. Yu, S. Yang, Y. Zhou, and B. Zhao, *Rail-5k: A real-world dataset for rail surface defects detection*, 2021. arXiv: [2106.14366 \[cs.CV\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.14366). [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.14366>.
- [39] S. Ma, K. Song, M. Niu, *et al.*, “Cross-scale fusion and domain adversarial network for generalizable rail surface defect segmentation on unseen datasets,” *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, vol. 35, pp. 367–386, 2024. DOI: [10.1007/s10845-022-02051-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10845-022-02051-7).
- [40] X. Huang, K. Ye, Z. Fang, Y. Xie, X. Ma, J. Ji, and Q. Wu, “Research on grooved rail garbage identification algorithm based on improved yolov3,” *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, vol. 1827, no. 1, p. 012185, 2021. DOI: [10.1088/1742-6596/1827/1/012185](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1827/1/012185). [Online]. Available: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1827/1/012185>.
- [41] J. Harb, N. R’eb’ena, R. Chosidow, G. Roblin, R. Potarusov, and H. Hajri, “Frsign: A large-scale traffic light dataset for autonomous trains,” *ArXiv*, vol. abs/2002.05665, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:211096970>.
- [42] P. Leibner, F. Hampel, and C. Schindler, “Gerald: A novel dataset for the detection of german mainline railway signals,” *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part F: Journal of Rail and Rapid Transit*, vol. 237, no. 10, pp. 1332–1342, 2023.
- [43] H. Yuan, Z. Mei, Y. Chen, W. Niu, and C. Wu, “Railvid: A dataset for rail environment semantic,” *ICONS*, vol. 2022, 17th, 2022.

- [44] A. Zouaoui, A. Mahtani, M. A. Hadded, S. Ambellouis, J. Boonaert, and H. Wannous, “Railset: A unique dataset for railway anomaly detection,” in *2022 IEEE 5th International Conference on Image Processing Applications and Systems (IPAS)*, vol. Five, 2022, pp. 1–6. DOI: [10.1109/IPAS55744.2022.10052883](https://doi.org/10.1109/IPAS55744.2022.10052883).
- [45] M. A. Hadded, A. Mahtani, S. Ambellouis, J. Boonaert, and H. Wannous, “Application of rail segmentation in the monitoring of autonomous train’s frontal environment,” in *International Conference on Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence*, Springer, 2022, pp. 185–197.
- [46] X. Li and X. Peng, “Rail detection: An efficient row-based network and a new benchmark,” in *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 2022, pp. 6455–6463.
- [47] S. Lee, *Rail-detection*, GitHub repository, 2022. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com/Sampson-Lee/Rail-Detection>.
- [48] R. Tilly, P. Neumaier, K. Schwalbe, P. Klasek, R. Tagiew, P. Denzler, T. Klockau, M. Boekhoff, and M. Köppel, *Osdar23: Open sensor data for rail 2023*, de, 2023. DOI: [10.57806/9MV146R0](https://doi.org/10.57806/9MV146R0). [Online]. Available: <https://data.fid-move.de/dataset/3d7e7406-639f-49f6-bbca-caac511b4032>.
- [49] PyTorch, *Colorjitter*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://pytorch.org/vision/main/generated/torchvision.transforms.ColorJitter.html> (visited on 11/12/2024).
- [50] ——, *Conv2d*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://pytorch.org/docs/stable/generated/torch.nn.Conv2d.html> (visited on 11/12/2024).
- [51] ——, *Linear*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://pytorch.org/docs/stable/generated/torch.nn.Linear.html> (visited on 11/12/2024).
- [52] O. Ronneberger, P. Fischer, and T. Brox, “U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation,” in *Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention – MICCAI 2015*, N. Navab, J. Hornegger, W. M. Wells, and A. F. Frangi, Eds., Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2015, pp. 234–241, ISBN: 978-3-319-24574-4. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-24574-4\\_28](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-24574-4_28).
- [53] W. YouTube, *Austria ried im innkreis scharding*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Vj9lO19kGLg&t=1224s> (visited on 11/12/2024).
- [54] NVIDIA Corporation, *Nvidia v100 gpu architecture data sheet*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://images.nvidia.com/content/technologies/volta/pdf/volta-v100-datasheet-update-us-1165301-r5.pdf> (visited on 11/07/2024).
- [55] ——, *Cuda toolkit*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://developer.nvidia.com/cuda-toolkit> (visited on 11/07/2024).
- [56] Intel Corporation, *Intel® xeon® gold 5118 processor data sheet*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://ark.intel.com/content/www/de/de/ark/products/120473/intel-xeon-gold-5118-processor-16-5m-cache-2-30-ghz.html> (visited on 11/07/2024).

- [57] Weights & Biases, *Weights & biases*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://wandb.ai/site> (visited on 11/07/2024).
- [58] NVIDIA Corporation, *Nvidia jetson for next-generation robotics*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.nvidia.com/de-de/autonomous-machines/embedded-systems/> (visited on 11/08/2024).
- [59] ——, *Deep learning accelerator (dla)*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://developer.nvidia.com/deep-learning-accelerator> (visited on 11/08/2024).
- [60] ——, *Nvidia jetson xavier technical specifications*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.nvidia.com/en-us/autonomous-machines/embedded-systems/jetson-xavier-series/> (visited on 11/07/2024).
- [61] ——, *Buy the latest jetson products*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://developer.nvidia.com/buy-jetson?product=all&location=DE> (visited on 11/08/2024).
- [62] ——, *Nvidia tensorrt*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://developer.nvidia.com/tensorrt> (visited on 11/08/2024).
- [63] ONNX, *Open neural network exchange*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://onnx.ai/> (visited on 11/08/2024).
- [64] PyTorch, *Torch.onnx*, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://pytorch.org/docs/stable/onnx.html> (visited on 11/08/2024).

# List of Figures

Figure 1	Beispiel für die Beschriftung eines Buchrückens. . . . .	2
Figure 2	2. Beispiel für die Beschriftung eines Buchrückens. . . . .	2
Figure 3	Train accidents in <b>(a)</b> Austria and <b>(b)</b> the Czech Republic, where misinformation of switches resulted in deaths. . . . .	4
Figure 4	Example of a diverging rail track at a switch. The trains path is marked in green. The path the train cannot take is marked in red. This path is be obstructed and would be unsafe [1]. <i>rail detection</i> -output: green and red track; <i>rail track prediction</i> -output: green track . . . . .	5
Figure 5	RailSem19 dataset examples. First row raw images. Second row dense GT [26].	12
Figure 6	Example images and GT of RailVID dataset [43] . . . . .	12
Figure 7	RailSet-Seg example with annotations [44] [45]: <b>(a)</b> raw-image, <b>(b)</b> rail class, <b>(c)</b> rail and rail-track class . . . . .	13
Figure 8	RSDS example with annotation [27]: <b>(a)</b> raw-image, <b>(b)</b> ground truth . . . . .	14
Figure 9	Rail-DB [46] images with annotations in different conditions . . . . .	15
Figure 10	OSDaR23 data from all different sensors [48] . . . . .	16
Figure 11	OSDaR23 annotated scene [48] . . . . .	17
Figure 12	OSDaR23 labels skaliert . . . . .	18
Figure 13	Examples images from RailSem19, which are not included in the TEP-Net dataset due to unclear circumstances about the trains direction. [1] . . . . .	19
Figure 14	TEP-Net dataset example images with annotation [1] . . . . .	19
Figure 15	Data augmentation of TEP-Net [1], including the image horizontal flips, the color variations and the cropping mechanism. . . . .	22
Figure 16	Example of perspective shifts with three different scenarios: left curve, right curve and straight rail [1]. . . . .	23
Figure 17	TEP-Net model architecture[1]. The input of the model is a cropped and resized image and the output of the model are the $x$ -values for the left and right rail on each anchor line plus an additional value for the $y$ -limit. . . . .	24
Figure 18	<i>SmoothL1</i> Loss Funktion . . . . .	26
Figure 19	The model architecture is designed to enable a fair comparison between the novel regression model proposed in [1] and other SOTA approaches. All models use the same dataset with preprocessing steps to fit annotation to the model task. . . . .	27

Figure 20 Comparison between classification (CLS), regression (REG) and segmentation (SEG) models with challenging scenes. The ResNet18 backbone is used for this figure. . . . .	28
Figure 21 Limitation of TEP-Net [1]. The introduced approach is a single-frame-based model. Therefore, no temporal context can be captured, which leads to uncertainty in prediction when driving over a switch. All images are from [53]. A YouTube video, which is also used by RailSem19. It is ensured that none of the frames are included in the dataset, creating a fair test scenario. . . . .	30

# List of Tables

Table 1 Semesterplan der Lehrveranstaltung „Angewandte Mathematik“ . . . . .	2
Table 2 2. Semesterplan der Lehrveranstaltung „Angewandte Mathematik“ . . . . .	2
Table 3 Datasets for Classification . . . . .	9
Table 4 Datasets for Semantic Segmentation . . . . .	10
Table 5 Jetson AGX Xavier technical specifications [60] . . . . .	34

# Quellcodeverzeichnis

1 Hello-World . . . . .	3
2 Exporting a PyTorch model to ONNX format . . . . .	35

# Abkürzungsverzeichnis

**ABC** Alphabet

**WWW** world wide web

**ROFL** Rolling on floor laughing

**CV** Computer Vision

**RGB** Red Green Blue

**RSDS** Railroad Segmentation Dataset

**IR** Infrared

**TEP** Train Ego Path

**GT** Ground Truth

**FOV** Field Of View

**CNN** Convolutional Neural Network

**ICT** Institute for Computer Technology

**TU** Technical University Vienna

**GPU** Graphics Processing Unit

**IoU** Intersection Over Union

**CPU** Central Processing Unit

**TPU** Tensor Processing Unit

**ONNX** Open Neural Network Exchange

**DLA** Deep Learning Accelerator

**NVDLA** NVIDIA Deep Learning Accelerator

**ROI** Region of Interest

**RNN** Recurrent Neural Network

**MACs** Multiply-Accumulate Operations

**SOTA** State Of The Art

## A Anhang A

## B Anhang B