

New Look Hockey Prospectus is available with new Premium Content! Limited time offer: \$9.99 for an entire year!

<< Previous Article

Driving To The Net (08/12)

Next Article >> Summer Skate (08/14)

August 13, 2009

Behind The Net

Rebounds

Printerfriendly



by Gabriel Desjardins

There so no question that rebounds are dangerous, but just how dangerous? Here we have the overall save percentages for 2005-2009 versus the number of seconds since the previous shot:

	Time	Save	PCI
1	second	.549	
2	seconds	.526	
3	seconds	.736	
4	seconds	.869	
5+	seconds	.907	

So clearly rebounds are significantly more likely to go in for the first two seconds after the original save. The advantage decreases over the next two seconds until, after five seconds, there is essentially no memory of the original shot.

However, rebounds are also typically shot from much closer to the net than the average shot. Is the difference in expected save percentage due to the location of the rebound? We can answer this question by dividing the ice into a grid and constructing a matrix of expected save percentages based on past shooting data. We find that we do expect rebounds to go in far more often than regular shots • and that rebounds go in even more often than expected:

Time	Expect	Actual
second	.721	.549
seconds	.792	.527
seconds	.835	.736
seconds	.877	.869
seconds	.895	.907
	seconds seconds seconds	second .721 seconds .792 seconds .835 seconds .877

1 of 3 5/27/2014 12:42 PM

There are two effects at play here • over the first three seconds, rebounds are both shot from closer than typical shots, and are also more dangerous than typical shots from the same locations. At four seconds, rebounds still come from closer to the net than a typical shot, but are also no more likely to go in than the original shot from the same spot.

We can see the same effect if we split rebound data by man-advantage:

		Save PCT					
	Time	ES Expected	ES Actual	PP Expected	PP Actual		
1	second	.744	.581	.664	.498		
2	seconds	.751	.545	.650	.460		
3	seconds	.838	.756	.726	.662		
4	seconds	.882	.876	.793	.821		
5+	seconds	.908	.919	.847	.861		

Interestingly, being on the power-play doesn to have a significant impact on rebounds: 5.3% of shots get a second chance, compared to 4.7% at even-strength. Similarly, the percentage drop in save percentage on rebounds is the same whether the shots are at even-strength or on the power-play.

Ultimately, half of the increase in rebound shooting percentage is due to rebounds being shot from closer to the net on average. The other half is due to the unpredictability of the rebound, which results in three seconds of disorganization as the defense tries to smother the second shot.

Gabriel Desjardins is a contributor to Puck Prospectus and runs the statistical hockey website Behindthenet.ca. Email him at info at behindthenet.ca.



0 comments have been left for this article.

<< Previous Article
Driving To The Net (08/12)

Next Article >> Summer Skate (08/14)

RECENTLY AT HOCKEY PROSPECTUS

Annoucements: Where Are The New Articles? Zamboni Tracks: Who's That Guy? Special Edmo... Hall Of Fame: My 2014 HHOF Inductees On The Horizon: Four Nations And Junior "A" ... A Closer Look: MacArthur-Turris-Ryan Keeping...

MORE BY GABRIEL DESJARDINS

More...

2009-09-03 - 2009-10 VUKOTA Projections: Phoenix Coyotes,...
2009-08-26 - Behind The Net: Junior Hockey Quality of Com...
2009-08-19 - Behind The Net: Shot Quality and the Minneso...
2009-08-13 - Behind The Net: Rebounds
2009-08-07 - Behind The Net: The Dangers of Defensive Zon...
2009-08-06 - Derri ♦ re le filet: Avec ou Sans Saku
2009-08-05 - Summer Skate: Northeast Division

2 of 3 5/27/2014 12:42 PM

3 of 3 5/27/2014 12:42 PM