

# iDynoMiCS 2: Fully flexible computer modelling platform for microbial ecology

Robert J Clegg<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>, Bastiaan Cockx<sup>4</sup>, Stefan Lang<sup>5</sup>, Jan-Ulrich Kreft<sup>1,2,3</sup>,

**1** Centre for Computational Biology, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B12 5TT, United Kingdom

**2** Institute of Microbiology and Infection, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B12 5TT, United Kingdom

**3** School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham B12 5TT, United Kingdom

**4** Department of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Bygningstorvet 115, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

**5** Department of Bioinformatics, Friedrich Schiller University, Ernst-Abbe-Platz 2, 07743 Jena, Germany

\* r.j.clegg@bham.ac.uk

## Abstract

The source code is publicly available to download from <https://github.com/roughhawkbit/iDynoMiCS-2>.

## Author Summary

### Introduction

Individual-based modelling of microbial communities is becoming ever more widespread as software is developed and computational power increases [5]. Drive for more generic software: checked by more people, so more reliable [1]; easier to compare different model formulations, i.e. structural sensitivity [2]. Software based on [3, 4].

### Methods

We follow the Overview, Design concepts and Details (ODD) protocol for description of iDynoMiCS 2 [6]. Further details are available in Supporting Information for some parts of the model description.

### Purpose

### State variables and scales

### Process overview and scheduling

Each compartment is self-contained. No interaction between compartments within a global time-step, all transfers are stored and happen instantly between timesteps.

## Design concepts

The iDynoMiCS 2 structure inherits many concepts from previous packages [3,4], but also builds on these.

## Emergence

**Modularity** Aids customisability

**Ease of comparison** Since iDynoMiCS 2 already adopts the hybrid approach to modelling (discrete) cells in (continuous) concentration fields, it is straightforward from a design perspective to instead treat cells as a continuum. This "retrofit" allows easy comparison of the hybrid IBM approach with more traditional population-based approaches [7] for the same system. Such calibration is already used often, but implementing this feature is, to our knowledge, the first time it has been offered *within a single software package*.

## Initialization

### Input

Native protocol file layout, but (hopefully!) able to read in other formats such as SBML [8] and CellML [9].

## Submodels

?

## Results

## Discussion

## Supporting Information

## Acknowledgments

## References

1. Joppa LN, McInerney G, Harper R, Salido L, Takeda K, O'Hara K, et al. Troubling trends in scientific software use. *Science*. 2013; 340:814–815. doi:10.1126/science.1231535
2. Adamson MW, Morozov AY. When can we trust our model predictions? Unearthing structural sensitivity in biological systems. *Proc R Soc A*. 2013; 469:20120500. doi:10.1098/rspa.2012.0500
3. Kreft J-U, Booth G, Wimpenny JWT. BacSim, a simulator for Individual-based Modelling of bacterial colony growth. *Microbiology*. 1998; 144: 3275–87. doi:10.1099/00221287-144-12-3275.
4. Lardon LA, Merkey BV, Martins S, Dötsch A, Picioreanu C, Kreft J-U, Smets BF. iDynoMiCS: next-generation individual-based modelling of biofilms. *Environ Microbiol*. 2011; 13(9):2416–2434. doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02414.x

5. Ferrer J, Prats C, Lopez D. Individual-based Modelling: An essential tool for microbiology. *J Biol Phys*. 2008; 34(1-2): 19–37. doi:10.1007/s10867-008-9082-3.
6. Grimm V, Berger U, Bastiansen F, Eliassen S, Ginot V, Giske J, Goss-Custard J, et al. A standard protocol for describing Individual-based and Agent-based Models. *Ecological Modelling*. 2006; 198(1-2): 115–26. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2006.04.023.
7. Wanner O, Gujer W. A multispecies biofilm model. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*. 1986; 28(3): 314–28. doi:10.1002/bit.260280304.
8. Hucka M, Finney A, Sauro HM, Bolouri H, Doyle JC, Kitano H, Arkin AP, et al. The Systems Biology Markup Language (SBML): A medium for representation and exchange of biochemical network models. *Bioinformatics*. 2003; 19(4): 524–31. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btg015.
9. Cuellar AA, Lloyd CM, Nielsen PF, Bullivant DP, Nickerson DP, Hunter PJ. An overview of CellML 1.1, a biological model description language. *Simulation*. 2003;79: 740–747. doi:10.1177/0037549703040939