



# # Competitive Security Assessment

Lymex

Nov 5th, 2022

Summary	2
Overview	3
Audit Scope	4
Code Assessment Findings	5
LYM-1:Inconsistent solidity compiler version	6
LYM-2: Risk of lost of fund due to zero address <code>payee_</code> in <code>Divestor</code>	7
LYM-3: <code>registerSignMining</code> should use defined <code>onlyOpen</code> modifier	8
LYM-4:Price manipulation risk in <code>LymSignPool::getPirce()</code> function	9
LYM-5:missing event in setter functions in <code>LymSignPool</code>	10
LYM-6:Function <code>registerSignMining</code> should check if <code>nodeLevel_</code> is valid	11
LYM-7: <code>swap</code> should set <code>amountOutMin</code> to avoid sandwich attack	12
LYM-8:typo in <code>getPirce()</code> function name	13
Disclaimer	14

## Summary

This report is prepared for the project to identify vulnerabilities and issues in the smart contract source code. A group of NDA covered experienced security experts have participated in the Secure3's Audit Contest to find vulnerabilities and optimizations. Secure3 team has participated in the contest process as well to provide extra auditing coverage and scrutiny of the finding submissions.

The comprehensive examination and auditing scope includes:

- Cross checking contract implementation against functionalities described in the documents and white paper disclosed by the project owner.
- Contract Privilege Role Review to provide more clarity on smart contract roles and privilege.
- Using static analysis tools to analyze smart contracts against common known vulnerabilities patterns.
- Verify the code base is compliant with the most up-to-date industry standards and security best practices.
- Comprehensive line-by-line manual code review of the entire codebase by industry experts.

The security assessment resulted in findings that are categorized in four severity levels: Critical, Medium, Low, Informational. For each of the findings, the report has included recommendations of fix or mitigation for security and best practices.

# Overview

## Project Detail

Project Name	Lymex
Platform & Language	Solidity
Codebase	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>audit code address - <a href="https://bscscan.com/address/0x78541049eb769AF9Cec448da6b581cb0BBB4D5f4#code">https://bscscan.com/address/0x78541049eb769AF9Cec448da6b581cb0BBB4D5f4#code</a></li><li>final code address - <a href="https://bscscan.com/address/0x12b23b7c99e737f0e34821f02d5e2ae243af716c#code">https://bscscan.com/address/0x12b23b7c99e737f0e34821f02d5e2ae243af716c#code</a></li></ul>
Audit Methodology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Audit Contest</li><li>Business Logic and Code Review</li><li>Privileged Roles Review</li><li>Static Analysis</li></ul>

## Code Vulnerability Review Summary

Vulnerability Level	Total	Reported	Acknowledged	Fixed	Mitigated	Declined
Critical	2	0	2	0	0	0
Medium	0	0	0	0	0	0
Low	2	0	0	2	0	0
Informational	4	0	0	4	0	0

## Audit Scope

File	SHA-256
lym_sign_mining.sol	ca818ae8b510577f76f15008dafbb7019462fe61d4ab4cd2fac2b9d885de014e
divestor.sol	f5344cf89e8d4388a776b11bc5bb860b963fa77d5fae433d419f11399ce2c20a

## Code Assessment Findings



ID	Name	Category	Severity	Status	Contributor
LYM-1	Inconsistent solidity compiler version	Language Specific	Informational	Fixed	Secure3
LYM-2	Risk of lost of fund due to zero address <code>payee_</code> in <code>Divestor</code>	Logical	Low	Fixed	Secure3
LYM-3	<code>registerSignMining</code> should use defined <code>onlyOpen</code> modifier	Code Style	Informational	Fixed	Secure3
LYM-4	Price manipulation risk in <code>LymSignPool::getPirce()</code> function	Oracle Manipulation	Critical	Acknowledged	Secure3
LYM-5	missing event in setter functions in <code>LymSignPool</code>	Code Style	Informational	Fixed	Secure3
LYM-6	Function <code>registerSignMining</code> should check if <code>nodeLevel_</code> is valid	Logical	Low	Fixed	Secure3
LYM-7	<code>swap</code> should set <code>amountOutMin</code> to avoid sandwich attack	Race condition	Critical	Acknowledged	Secure3
LYM-8	typo in <code>getPirce()</code> function name	Code Style	Informational	Fixed	Secure3

## LYM-1:Inconsistent solidity compiler version

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Language Specific	Informational	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>code/contracts/other/divestor.sol#L2</li><li>code/contracts/project/lym/lym_sign_mining.sol#L2</li></ul>	Fixed	Secure3

### Code

```
2: pragma solidity =0.8.9;  
  
2: pragma solidity ^0.8.4;
```

### Description

**Secure3** : The compiler version of the two contracts are not the same, one `=0.8.9` and one `^0.8.4`

### Recommendation

**Secure3** : Make the compiler version consistent in the project

### Client Response

Fixed. Changed the compiler version to be `pragma solidity =0.8.9;`

## LYM-2: Risk of lost of fund due to zero address `payee_` in `Divestor`

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Logical	Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><code>code/contracts/other/divestor.sol#L19</code></li></ul>	Fixed	Secure3

### Code

```
19: payable(payee_).transfer(value_);
```

### Description

**Secure3** : The passed in `payee_` address parameter can potentially be zero, when that is the case, the fund will be lost after transfer

### Recommendation

**Secure3** : Check if `payee_` is zero address Consider below fix in the `sample.test()` function

```
require(payee_ != address(0), "payee_ is zero")
```

### Client Response

Fixed. Added the `require(payee_ != address(0), "payee_ is zero");` check



## LYM-3: `registerSignMining` should use defined `onlyOpen` modifier

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Code Style	Informational	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><code>code/contracts/project/lym/lym_sign_mining.sol#L95</code></li></ul>	Fixed	Secure3

### Code

```
95:         require(meta.isOpen, "not open");
```

### Description

**Secure3** : use already defined `onlyOpen` modifier instead of coping the require check all the place

### Recommendation

**Secure3** : function `registerSignMining` use `onlyOpen` modifier and remove `require(meta.isOpen, "not open");`

### Client Response

Fixed. Used `onlyOpen` modifier

## LYM-4:Price manipulation risk in `LymSignPool::getPirce()` function

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Oracle Manipulation	Critical	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><code>code/contracts/project/lym/lym_sign_mining.sol#L226-232</code></li></ul>	Acknowledged	Secure3

### Code

```
226:     function getPirce() public view returns (uint256) {
227:         (uint256 reserve0, uint256 reserve1, ) = addr.pair.getReserves();
228:         if (addr.pair.token0() == address(addr.usdt)) {
229:             return (reserve0 * 1e18) / reserve1;
230:         } else {
231:             return (reserve1 * 1e18) / reserve0;
232:         }
233:     }
```

### Description

**Secure3** : the `getPirce()` function only gets the single tick price of that moment, which is very vulnerable to the price manipulation especially for the low liquidity tokens. this can lead to mis-compute power in the `registerSignMining()` function as `registerSignMining -> coutingPower -> getPirce`.

### Recommendation

**Secure3** : use more robust algorithms such as time weighted average price (TWAP) to calculate the price.

More readings on

- <https://docs.uniswap.org/protocol/V2/concepts/core-concepts/oracles>
- <https://github.com/Uniswap/v2-periphery/blob/master/contracts/examples/ExampleSlidingWindowOracle.sol>

### Client Response

Acknowledged. Contract already restricts the external contract to call the Lymex contract, hence the potential attack can only be achieved by calling the contract manually via EOA and the risk is reduced as the cost of manual operation will be too high for flash loan attack.

## LYM-5:missing event in setter functions in LymSignPool

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Code Style	Informational	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>code/contracts/project/lym/lym_sign_mining.sol#L378-L411</li></ul>	Fixed	Secure3

### Code

```
387:     function setFoundation(address foundation_) external onlyOwner {
388:         addr.foundation = foundation_;
389:     }
390:
391:     function setStaticRate(uint8 staticRate_) external onlyOwner {
392:         meta.staticRate = staticRate_;
393:     }
```

### Description

**Secure3** : It is best practice to emit an event after the contract state is changed.

### Recommendation

**Secure3** : add emit event statement at the end of the setter functions `setNodeInfo`, `setFoundation`, `setStaticRate`, `setReferRate`, `setOpen`,

### Client Response

Fixed. Added event for all the setter functions.

## LYM-6:Function `registerSignMining` should check if `nodeLevel_` is valid

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Logical	Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><code>code/contracts/project/lym/lym_sign_mining.sol#L105</code></li></ul>	Fixed	Secure3

### Code

```
105:         require(nodeLevel_ > user.node, "need to buy higher node");
```

### Description

**Secure3** : The current `registerSignMining` only checks if `nodeLevel_` is higher than `user.node`. `nodeLevel_` may still be a node that has not been set and its `needValue` is `0`.

### Recommendation

**Secure3** : Check if `nodeInfo[nodeLevel_]` is set.

```
require(node.needValue > 0, "invalid node");
```

### Client Response

Fixed. Added check for `node.needValue`.

## LYM-7: swap should set amountOutMin to avoid sandwich attack

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Race condition	Critical	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>code/contracts/project/lym/lym_sign_mining.sol#L304</li></ul>	Acknowledged	Secure3

### Code

```
304:         addr.router.swapExactTokensForTokensSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(amountUsdt_, 0,  
path, address(this), block.timestamp + 120);
```

### Description

**Secure3** : swap function swaps USDT for LYM tokens but dose not set amountOutMin . MEV bots can front-run registerSignMining transaction with a trade buying large amount of LYM tokens, and then sell tokens after the transaction to make user and protocol lose USDT.

### Recommendation

**Secure3** : Get current LYM price off-chain and set a reasonable amountOutMin instead of 0.

### Client Response

By design the user cannot control slippage.

## LYM-8: typo in `getPirce()` function name

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Code Style	Informational	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>code/contracts/project/lym/lym_sign_mining.sol</li></ul>	Fixed	Secure3

### Code

```
226:     function getPirce() public view returns (uint256) {
```

### Description

Secure3 : typo in `lym_sign_mining` contract `getPirce()` function.

### Recommendation

Secure3 : fix the typo to `getPrice()`

### Client Response

Fixed. Fixed the typo.

## Disclaimer

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Invoices, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you (“Customer” or the “Company”) in connection with the Invoice. This report provided in connection with the services set forth in the Invoices shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Invoice. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Secure3’s prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not an “endorsement” or “disapproval” of any particular project or team. This report is not an indication of the economics or value of any “product” or “asset” created by any team or project that contracts Secure3 to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee of free of bug of codes analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies, business model or legal compliancy.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. Instead, it represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code and high-level consistency of implementation and business model, while reducing the risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

Secure3’s position on the final decisions over blockchain technologies and corresponding associated transactions is that each company and individual are responsible for their own due diligence and continuous security.

The assessment services provided by Secure3 is subject to dependencies and under continuing development. The assessment reports could include false positives, false negatives, and other unpredictable results. The services may access, and depend upon, multiple layers of third-parties.