

Competitive Security Assessment

WestCoast NFT

Apr 23rd, 2023





Summary	4
Overview	5
Audit Scope	6
Code Assessment Findings	7
WCN-1:Address can be different in different chain	10
WCN-2:Don't use payable for user functions in ERC721A0pensea that don't handle the transfer	11
WCN-3:Gas Optimization - Cache array length outside of loop	13
WCN-4:Gas Optimization - Use calldata instead of memory for function arguments that do not get mutated	14
WCN-5:Gas Optimization: Using external market instead of public probably	15
WCN-6:Logical issue of auctionTotal	16
WCN-7:Missing events on important state updates	20
WCN-8:NatSpec comments should be increased in contracts	24
WCN-9:New Auction can be created if auction is paused	28
WCN-10:Potential divide by zero	30
WCN-11:Sending of tokens to the user can be done only once because user.refundClaimed cannot be set to false	31
WCN-12:The owner can mint all of the NFTs	33
WCN-13:There is no public burn function to burn the tokens	34
WCN-14:Unlocked Pragma Version	36
WCN-15:Unlocked Pragma Version	38
WCN-16:Unnecessary centralisation risk in Mythos that can break NFT distribution	40
WCN-17:Unused errors	43
WCN-18:Unused internal function	44
WCN-19:Using constant to save gas	45
WCN-20: WCNFTToken::setDefaultRoyalty and setTokenRoyalty need more limit	47



WCN-21:critical parameter should not change once auction is started	49
WCN-22:getRemainingSaleTime() returns wrong value if auction is not started	52
WCN-23:malicious user can prevent the owner to refund funds to users	53
WCN-24:pausing the auction will skip the auction's time duration	55
WCN-25:preBuyActive can't be set to same value	57
WCN-26:provenance is not used in the contract	58
WCN-27:setMinimumPreBuyPrice lacks sanity check	59
WCN-28:users may lose bid amount	60
Disclaimer	61



Summary

This report is prepared for the project to identify vulnerabilities and issues in the smart contract source code. A group of NDA covered experienced security experts have participated in the Secure3's Audit Contest to find vulnerabilities and optimizations. Secure3 team has participated in the contest process as well to provide extra auditing coverage and scrutiny of the finding submissions.

The comprehensive examination and auditing scope includes:

- Cross checking contract implementation against functionalities described in the documents and white paper disclosed by the project owner.
- Contract Privilege Role Review to provide more clarity on smart contract roles and privilege.
- Using static analysis tools to analyze smart contracts against common known vulnerabilities patterns.
- Verify the code base is compliant with the most up-to-date industry standards and security best practices.
- Comprehensive line-by-line manual code review of the entire codebase by industry experts.

The security assessment resulted in findings that are categorized in four severity levels: Critical, Medium, Low, Informational. For each of the findings, the report has included recommendations of fix or mitigation for security and best practices.



Overview

Project Detail

Project Name	WestCoast NFT
Platform & Language	Solidity
Codebase	 https://github.com/westcoastnft/mythos-contracts audit commit - dcdcb3f8bb50ce98f66aa24c3a4d9229fcaed051 final commit - 6c0aedb173522a07b8f66cbd99cf130ac2fb2292
Audit Methodology	 Audit Contest Business Logic and Code Review Privileged Roles Review Static Analysis

Code Vulnerability Review Summary

Vulnerability Level	Total	Reported	Acknowledged	Fixed	Mitigated	Declined
Critical	2	0	0	1	0	1
Medium	3	0	3	0	0	0
Low	8	0	3	3	0	2
Informational	15	0	5	7	0	3

5



Audit Scope

File	Commit Hash
contracts/Mythos.sol	dcdcb3f8bb50ce98f66aa24c3a4d9229fcaed051
contracts/lib/SteppedDutchAuction.sol	dcdcb3f8bb50ce98f66aa24c3a4d9229fcaed051
contracts/lib/ERC721AOpensea.sol	dcdcb3f8bb50ce98f66aa24c3a4d9229fcaed051
contracts/external/ClosedSeaOperatorFilterer-1.0.0.sol	dcdcb3f8bb50ce98f66aa24c3a4d9229fcaed051
contracts/lib/WCNFTToken.sol	dcdcb3f8bb50ce98f66aa24c3a4d9229fcaed051
contracts/lib/IWCNFTErrorCodes.sol	dcdcb3f8bb50ce98f66aa24c3a4d9229fcaed051



Code Assessment Findings



ID	Name	Category	Severity	Status	Contributor
WCN-1	Address can be different in different chain	Logical	Medium	Acknowled ged	parth_15
WCN-2	Don't use payable for user functions in ERC721A0pensea that don't handle the transfer	Code Style	Informational	Acknowled ged	zaskoh
WCN-3	Gas Optimization - Cache array length outside of loop	Gas Optimization	Informational	Fixed	rajatbeladiy a
WCN-4	Gas Optimization - Use calldata instead of memory for function arguments that do not get mutated	Gas Optimization	Informational	Fixed	rajatbeladiy a



WCN-5	Gas Optimization: Using external market instead of public probably	Gas Optimization	Informational	Fixed	rajatbeladiy a
WCN-6	Logical issue of auctionTotal	Logical	Low	Declined	Yaodao
WCN-7	Missing events on important state updates	Code Style	Informational	Acknowled ged	Yaodao
WCN-8	NatSpec comments should be increased in contracts	Code Style	Informational	Fixed	parth_15
WCN-9	New Auction can be created if auction is paused	Logical	Critical	Fixed	parth_15
WCN-10	Potential divide by zero	Logical	Low	Fixed	Yaodao
WCN-11	Sending of tokens to the user can be done only once because user.refun dClaimed cannot be set to false	Logical	Critical	Declined	parth_15, Yaodao
WCN-12	The owner can mint all of the NFTs	Privilege Related	Low	Declined	rajatbeladiy a
WCN-13	There is no public burn function to burn the tokens	Logical	Low	Fixed	zaskoh, parth_15
WCN-14	Unlocked Pragma Version	Language Specific	Informational	Fixed	rajatbeladiy a, parth_15, Yaodao
WCN-15	Unlocked Pragma Version	Language Specific	Informational	Fixed	zaskoh
WCN-16	Unnecessary centralisation risk in My thos that can break NFT distribution	Privilege Related	Medium	Acknowled ged	zaskoh
WCN-17	Unused errors	Code Style	Informational	Declined	Yaodao
WCN-18	Unused internal function	Code Style	Informational	Fixed	Yaodao, rajatbeladiy a
WCN-19	Using constant to save gas	Gas Optimization	Informational	Acknowled ged	Yaodao
WCN-20	WCNFTToken::setDefaultRoyalty and setTokenRoyalty need more limit	Logical	Low	Acknowled ged	rajatbeladiy a, zaskoh



WCN-21	critical parameter should not change once auction is started	Logical	Medium	Acknowled ged	rajatbeladiy a
WCN-22	getRemainingSaleTime() returns wrong value if auction is not started	Logical	Informational	Declined	rajatbeladiy a
WCN-23	malicious user can prevent the owner to refund funds to users	Logical	Low	Fixed	rajatbeladiy a
WCN-24	pausing the auction will skip the auction's time duration	Logical	Low	Acknowled ged	rajatbeladiy a
WCN-25	preBuyActive can't be set to same value	Logical	Informational	Declined	parth_15
WCN-26	provenance is not used in the contract	Gas Optimization	Informational	Acknowled ged	parth_15
WCN-27	setMinimumPreBuyPrice lacks sanity check	Logical	Low	Acknowled ged	parth_15
WCN-28	users may lose bid amount	Logical	Informational	Acknowled ged	rajatbeladiy a



WCN-1:Address can be different in different chain

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Logical	Medium	code/contracts/lib/ERC721AOpens ea.sol#L97	Acknowledged	parth_15

Code

97:

return operator == address(0x1E0049783F008A0085193E00003D00cd54003c71);

Description

parth_15: Add a setAddress function to set different address for different chain instead of hardcoding.

Recommendation

parth_15: Use different address for different chain instead of hardcoding.

- We have copied the implementation from here
 https://github.com/Vectorized/closedsea/blob/0ae1c8d267cac0b05e87106835880b6e2be77631/src/example/ExampleERC7
- We have left the code as is. Mostly because opensea deploys to deterministic address using create2, so this exists at the same address in both goerli and mainnet.



WCN-2:Don't use payable for user functions in **ERC721A0pens** ea that don't handle the transfer

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Code Style	Informational	 code/contracts/lib/ERC721AOpens ea.sol#L32-L36 code/contracts/lib/ERC721AOpens ea.sol#L45 code/contracts/lib/ERC721AOpens ea.sol#L53 code/contracts/lib/ERC721AOpens ea.sol#L62 	Acknowledged	zaskoh

Code

```
32: function approve(address operator, uint256 tokenId)
33:    public
34:    payable
35:    override(IERC721A, ERC721A)
36:    onlyAllowedOperatorApproval(operator)

45:    ) public payable override(IERC721A, ERC721A) onlyAllowedOperator(from) {
53:    ) public payable override(IERC721A, ERC721A) onlyAllowedOperator(from) {
62:    ) public payable override(IERC721A, ERC721A) onlyAllowedOperator(from) {
```

Description

zaskoh: Currently the functions approve, transferFrom and both safeTransferFrom use payable in ERC721A Opensea. All of the functions don't expect to receive native tokens and don't track the values a user sent here.

You should only use payable on functions that expect to receive native tokens. Tokens sent by accident by a user are lost, or at least it depends on the admin to recover it for the user.

Recommendation



zaskoh: Remove payable from the functions. You will need to overwrite the ERC721A.sol implementation or as an alternative you could consider using the openzeppelin implementations for ERC721 or solmate for a more gas efficient implementation.

Client Response

• We realize they have added a payable modifier in ERC721A 4.2.2 to 4.2.3. The operator filter registry provided by churi-labs also targets 4.2.3 and has some syntax we did not go through; hence, we copied their examples and targeted the same versions.



WCN-3:Gas Optimization - Cache array length outside of loop

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Gas Optimization	Informational	code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L356- L358	Fixed	rajatbeladiya

Code

```
356: for (uint256 i; i < receivers.length; i++) {
357:    _sendTokensAndRefund(receivers[i]);
358: }</pre>
```

Description

rajatbeladiya: Cache array length outside of loop in sendTokensAndRefundBatch() function. If not cached, the solidity compiler will always read the length of the array during each iteration. That is, if it is a storage array, this is an extra sload operation (100 additional extra gas for each iteration except for the first) and if it is a memory array, this is an extra mload operation (3 additional gas for each iteration except for the first).

Recommendation

rajatbeladiya: Consider below fix in the mythos.sendTokensAndRefundBatch() function

```
uint256 receiversLength = receivers.length;
for (uint256 i; i < receiversLength; i++) {
    _sendTokensAndRefund(receivers[i]);
}</pre>
```

Client Response

We have implemented the recommendation provided



WCN-4:Gas Optimization - Use calldata instead of memory for function arguments that do not get mutated

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Gas Optimization	Informational	 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L356 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L404 	Fixed	rajatbeladiya

Code

```
356: for (uint256 i; i < receivers.length; i++) {
404: function setProvenance(string memory provenance_)</pre>
```

Description

rajatbeladiya: Mark data types as calldata instead of memory where possible. This makes it so that the data is not automatically loaded into memory. If the data passed into the function does not need to be changed (like updating values in an array), it can be passed in as calldata. The one exception to this is if the argument must later be passed into another function that takes an argument that specifies memory storage.

Recommendation

rajatbeladiya: change data types as calldata instead of memory

Client Response

• We have implemented the recommendation provided



WCN-5:Gas Optimization: Using external market instead of public probably

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Gas Optimization	Informational	 code/contracts/lib/SteppedDutchA uction.sol#L163 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L279 	Fixed	rajatbeladiya

Code

```
function getAuctionPrice() public view returns (uint256) {
function amountPurchased(address a) public view returns (uint256) {
```

Description

rajatbeladiya: Functions visibility that is not used internally could be marked as external

Recommendation

rajatbeladiya: change functions visibility to external

Client Response

• We have changed amountPurchased to be external; however, we left getAuctionPrice as public because it is being used in the main Mythos contract.



WCN-6:Logical issue of auctionTotal

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Logical	Low	 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L33 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L154- L175 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L226- L250 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L300- L328 	Declined	Yaodao

Code

16



```
uint256 public auctionTotal;
154:
        function deposit() external payable isPreBuyActive {
            User storage bidder = userData[msg.sender]; // get user's current bid total
            uint256 contribution = bidder.contribution; // bidder.contribution is uint216
157:
            uint256 auctionTotal_ = auctionTotal;
            unchecked {
159:
                contribution_ += msg.value;
                auctionTotal += msg.value;
162:
                auctionTotal = auctionTotal_;
            }
164:
            if (contribution_ < minimumPreBuyPrice)</pre>
                revert LowerThanMinimumDepositAmount();
167:
            bidder.contribution = uint216(contribution_);
            emit Deposit(
169:
                msg.sender,
170:
                msq.value,
                contribution_,
172:
                auctionTotal_,
                minimumPreBuyPrice
            );
        }
        function bid() external payable isAuctionActive {
            User storage bidder = userData[msg.sender]; // get user's current bid total
            uint256 contribution = bidder.contribution; // bidder.contribution is uint216
            uint256 auctionTotal_ = auctionTotal;
229:
230:
            unchecked {
231:
232:
                numberOfBids++;
                contribution += msq.value;
233:
234:
                auctionTotal_ += msg.value;
                auctionTotal = auctionTotal_;
            }
237:
            uint256 currentAuctionPrice = getAuctionPrice();
239:
            if (contribution_ < currentAuctionPrice)</pre>
240:
                revert LowerThanMinimumBidAmount();
```



```
bidder.contribution = uint216(contribution_);
241:
242:
            emit Bid(
                msg.sender,
                msg.value,
                contribution ,
                auctionTotal_,
247:
                numberOfBids,
                currentAuctionPrice
248:
            );
250:
        }
300:
        function _sendTokensAndRefund(ReceiverData calldata receiver) internal {
301:
            uint256 price_ = price;
302:
            address to = receiver.to;
            uint32 number0fTokens = receiver.number0fTokens;
304:
            User storage user = userData[to]; // get user data
            uint256 userContribution = user.contribution;
307:
            uint256 maxNumberOfTokens = amountPurchased(userContribution, price );
310:
            if (numberOfTokens > maxNumberOfTokens)
311:
                revert SendingMoreThanPurchased();
312:
            if (numberOfTokens > 0) {
                if (user.tokensClaimed != 0) revert TokensAlreadySent();
                user.tokensClaimed = uint32(numberOfTokens);
                _internalMint(to, numberOfTokens);
            }
317:
319:
320:
            if (user.refundClaimed) revert RefundClaimed();
321:
            user.refundClaimed = true;
322:
            uint256 refundValue = userContribution - (price_ * numberOfTokens);
324:
            if (refundValue > 0) {
                (bool success, ) = to.call{value: refundValue}("");
                if (!success) revert RefundFailed(to);
327:
            }
        }
```



Description

Yaodao: - WCNFT needs auctionTotal for offchain calculations.

- The auction will only be run once.
- It makes sense to maintain auctionTotal at its value as of the end of bidding in case it needs to be re-checked during off chain calculations.

Recommendation

Yaodao: Recommend confirming the usage of the variable auctionTotal and adding the logic to reduce the refund amount.

Consider below fix in the Mythos._sendTokensAndRefund function

```
if (refundValue > 0) {
    auctionTotal -= refundValue;
    (bool success, ) = to.call{value: refundValue}("");
    if (!success) revert RefundFailed(to);
}
```

- The auction will only be run once.
- It makes sense to maintain auctionTotal at its value as of the end of bidding in case it needs to be re-checked during off chain calculations.



WCN-7:Missing events on important state updates

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Code Style	Informational	 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L131-L135 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L142-L147 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L184-L193 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L257-L260 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L300-L328 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L386-L391 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L404-L409 	Acknowledged	Yaodao

Code



```
131:
        function setPreBuyActive(bool state) external onlyRole(SUPPORT ROLE) {
132:
            if (auctionActive) revert DutchAuctionIsActive();
            if (price != 0) revert PriceHasBeenSet();
            preBuyActive = state;
142:
        function setMinimumPreBuyPrice(uint256 minimumPreBuyPriceInWei)
143:
            external
144:
            onlyRole(SUPPORT ROLE)
        {
145:
            minimumPreBuyPrice = minimumPreBuyPriceInWei;
147:
        function createNewAuction(
            uint256 startPrice,
            uint256 finalPrice,
187:
            uint256 priceStep,
            uint256 timeStepSeconds
        ) external onlyRole(SUPPORT ROLE) {
            if (auctionActive) revert DutchAuctionIsActive();
191:
192:
            _createNewAuction(startPrice, finalPrice, priceStep, timeStepSeconds);
257:
        function setPrice(uint256 priceInWei_) external onlyOwner {
            if (auctionActive) revert UserCanStillAddBids();
259:
            price = priceInWei_;
300:
        function _sendTokensAndRefund(ReceiverData calldata receiver) internal {
301:
            uint256 price = price;
302:
            address to = receiver.to:
304:
            uint32 number0fTokens = receiver.number0fTokens;
            User storage user = userData[to]; // get user data
            uint256 userContribution = user.contribution;
307:
            uint256 maxNumberOfTokens = _amountPurchased(userContribution, price_);
309:
            if (numberOfTokens > maxNumberOfTokens)
311:
                revert SendingMoreThanPurchased();
312:
```



```
if (numberOfTokens > 0) {
313:
                if (user.tokensClaimed != 0) revert TokensAlreadySent();
                user.tokensClaimed = uint32(numberOfTokens);
                _internalMint(to, numberOfTokens);
            }
317:
320:
            if (user.refundClaimed) revert RefundClaimed();
            user.refundClaimed = true;
321:
322:
            uint256 refundValue = userContribution - (price_ * numberOfTokens);
324:
            if (refundValue > 0) {
                (bool success, ) = to.call{value: refundValue}("");
                if (!success) revert RefundFailed(to);
327:
        }
        function setBaseURI(string memory baseURI_)
387:
            external
            onlyRole(SUPPORT_ROLE)
388:
389:
390:
            _baseURIextended = baseURI_;
391:
        function setProvenance(string memory provenance_)
405:
            external
406:
            onlyRole(SUPPORT_ROLE)
        {
407:
            provenance = provenance_;
```

Description

Yaodao: Functions that update state variables should emit relevant events as notifications.

Recommendation

Yaodao: Recommend adding events for state-changing actions, and emitting them in their relevant functions.



- Most state changes referred to (setBaseURI(), setProvenance(), etc) are called once, and can easily be confirmed by calling the associated view functions.
- Event emission during sendTokensAndRefund() would considerably increase gas cost, and add very little useful info (if a user receives a token or refund, there will be an associated tx for it).



WCN-8:NatSpec comments should be increased in contracts

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Code Style	Informational	code/contracts/lib/ERC721AOpens ea.sol#L8-L99	Fixed	parth_15

Code



```
8:abstract contract ERC721AOpensea is
      ERC721AQueryable,
10:
       OperatorFilterer,
11:
       0wnable
12:{
13:
       bool public operatorFilteringEnabled;
15:
       constructor() {
16:
           _registerForOperatorFiltering();
17:
           operatorFilteringEnabled = true;
20:
21:
       * Operator Filterer
22:
23:
24:
       function setApprovalForAll(address operator, bool approved)
25:
           public
           override(IERC721A, ERC721A)
26:
27:
           onlyAllowedOperatorApproval(operator)
28:
29:
           super.setApprovalForAll(operator, approved);
30:
31:
32:
       function approve(address operator, uint256 tokenId)
           public
34:
           payable
           override(IERC721A, ERC721A)
35:
36:
           onlyAllowedOperatorApproval(operator)
37:
38:
           super.approve(operator, tokenId);
39:
       function transferFrom(
42:
           address from,
           address to,
           uint256 tokenId
44:
       ) public payable override(IERC721A, ERC721A) onlyAllowedOperator(from) {
           super.transferFrom(from, to, tokenId);
46:
47:
48:
       function safeTransferFrom(
```



```
address from,
           address to,
           uint256 tokenId
       ) public payable override(IERC721A, ERC721A) onlyAllowedOperator(from) {
           super.safeTransferFrom(from, to, tokenId);
57:
      function safeTransferFrom(
           address from,
           address to,
           uint256 tokenId,
           bytes memory data
       ) public payable override(IERC721A, ERC721A) onlyAllowedOperator(from) {
           super.safeTransferFrom(from, to, tokenId, data);
64:
       function supportsInterface(bytes4 interfaceId)
67:
           public
68:
           view
69:
           virtual
           override(IERC721A, ERC721A)
70:
           returns (bool)
71:
72:
       {
          // - IERC165: 0x01ffc9a7
           // - IERC721: 0x80ac58cd
           // - IERC721Metadata: 0x5b5e139f
77:
           return ERC721A.supportsInterface(interfaceId);
       function setOperatorFilteringEnabled(bool value) public onlyOwner {
           operatorFilteringEnabled = value;
       }
84:
       function _operatorFilteringEnabled() internal view override returns (bool) {
           return operatorFilteringEnabled;
       function _isPriorityOperator(address operator)
89:
           internal
90:
           pure
91:
           override
92:
           returns (bool)
```



Description

parth_15: It is recommended that Solidity contracts are fully annotated using NatSpec for all public interfaces (everything in the ABI). It is clearly stated in the Solidity official documentation. In complex projects such as Defi, the interpretation of all functions and their arguments and returns is important for code readability and auditability. https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.8.15/natspec-format.html

Recommendation

parth_15 : NatSpec comments should be increased in Contracts
Consider below fix in the sample.test() function

```
/**
    * @notice Sets approval for specific withdrawer addresses
    * @dev This function updates the amount of the withdrawApproval mapping value based on the give

n 3 argument values
    * @param vithdrawer_ Withdrawer address from state variable
    * @param token_ instance of ERC20
    * @param amount_ User amount
    * @param permissioned Control of admin for access
    */
function setApprovalFor(
        address withdrawer_,
        ERC20 token_,
        uint256 amount_
) external permissioned {
        withdrawApproval[withdrawer_] [token_] = amount_;
        emit ApprovedForWithdrawal(withdrawer_, token_, amount_);
}
```

Client Response

We have implemented comments for the ERC721AOpensea contract



WCN-9: New Auction can be created if auction is paused

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Logical	Critical	 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L184 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L217 	Fixed	parth_15

Code

```
184: function createNewAuction(
217: function endAuction() external onlyRole(SUPPORT_ROLE) {
```

Description

parth_15: SUPPORT_ROLE can start new auction by calling createNewAuction. If auction is not active, it is possible
to create a new auction. It is possible that the previous auction is paused for a while and due to that, auctionActive
will be false. In this case, new auction can still be created even if users had placed bids and deposited in previous
auction.

The impact is that user's bids and deposits to previous auction is invalid and new auction will overwrite the values of previous auction. The user will lose the trust from the protocols if multiple auctions are created.

Recommendation

parth_15 : Place a check that multiple auctions can not be created.Also if the developer want to pause the auction, they
should create a function named pauseAuction() rather than use endAuction() because it will lead to
misunderstanding.

- We have purposely left this as is since it may be possible to enter incorrect auction information, and should be able to adjust it before the auction starts
- We have altered the revert statement in the createNewAuction, such that it may not be modified after the dutch auction has started



```
function createNewAuction(...) ... {
    // replace this:
    if (auctionActive) revert DutchAuctionIsActive();

    // with this:
    if (startTime > 0) revert DutchAuctionHasStarted();

    // ...
}
```



WCN-10:Potential divide by zero

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Logical	Low	code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L279	Fixed	Yaodao

Code

```
279: function amountPurchased(address a) public view returns (uint256) {
```

Description

Yaodao: The variable price is set by the owner and can be zero. The following function amountPurchased() is a public view function, so it can be called by the users. According to the current codes, the call will revert without corresponding error information when the price is 0.

Consider below function

```
function amountPurchased(address a) public view returns (uint256) {
    return userData[a].contribution / price;
}
```

Recommendation

Yaodao: Recommend adding check to give the corresponding error information.

Consider below fix in the function

```
function amountPurchased(address a) public view returns (uint256) {
   if (price == 0) revert PriceHasNotBeenSet();
   return userData[a].contribution / price;
}
```

Client Response

· We have implemented the recommendation provided



WCN-11:Sending of tokens to the user can be done only once because user.refundClaimed cannot be set to false

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Logical	Critical	 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L226 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L314 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L320- L322 	Declined	parth_15, Yaodao

Code

```
226: function bid() external payable isAuctionActive {
314:         if (user.tokensClaimed != 0) revert TokensAlreadySent();
320:         if (user.refundClaimed) revert RefundClaimed();
321:         user.refundClaimed = true;
322:         uint256 refundValue = userContribution - (price_ * numberOfTokens);
```

Description

parth_15: Consider a scenario where auction is active, user placed the bid and tokens are sent to them for their contribution and remaining proportion is refunded to them. Now, if user bids again for making contribution, their new funds contributed will be locked in the protocol and they won't be able to claim. This is because of the check present in __sendTokensAndRefund. It checks that user can't claim refund again if they claimed it once. So, for a contribution made second time by the user, they won't receive tokens for that and their invested funds are lost.

The impact is that the users can lose their funds if they try to bid once they receive token and refund earlier.

Consider following steps to reproduce the error:

- · Auction is active
- User calls bid and sent some contribution
- Admin sent tokens to the user and remaining is refunded to the user. Thus, user.tokensClaimed will be true for that user.
- User against bids the amount.
- The new amount that user bid will be lost.

Yaodao: According to the following codes, once the user refund, the user.refundClaimed will be marked as true and the user can never refund again because the user's information will never be reset.



```
function _sendTokensAndRefund(ReceiverData calldata receiver) internal {
    ...
    // send refund
    if (user.refundClaimed) revert RefundClaimed();
    user.refundClaimed = true;
    uint256 refundValue = userContribution - (price_ * numberOfTokens);

    if (refundValue > 0) {
        (bool success, ) = to.call{value: refundValue}("");
        if (!success) revert RefundFailed(to);
    }
}
```

In the protocol, the SUPPORT_ROLE can create a new auction after the current auction is over. Besides, the user can deposit and bid again because the user.refundClaimed is not checked in the functions deposit() and bid(). However, the call of _sendTokensAndRefund() will fail as the user.refundClaimed has been marked as true. As a result, the user's ETH will be locked in the contract and the user can't get back unless the owner withdraws and transfers to the user directly.

Recommendation

parth_15 : Impose a strict check to ensure that user can't bid again once they have got their tokens.

Yaodao: Recommend resetting the user's information after the refund in the function _sendTokensAndRefund().

Client Response

• This is expected behaviour; the sendTokensAndRefund happens only at the end of the auction/pre buy phase



WCN-12: The owner can mint all of the NFTs

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Privilege Related	Low	code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L370- L376	Declined	rajatbeladiya

Code

```
370: function devMint(address to, uint256 numberOfTokens)
371:         external
372:         onlyRole(SUPPORT_ROLE)
373:         nonReentrant
374: {
375:         _internalMint(to, numberOfTokens);
376: }
```

Description

rajatbeladiya: In Mythos.devMint() function can mint an unrestricted amount of NFTs. There can be the scenario that the owner's private key is compromised and the attacker mint all of the NFTs during launch (Private keys are getting compromised very often in this space).

Recommendation

rajatbeladiya: Limit the number of NFTs the owner can mint. This will help with the trust of the protocol because buyers will know exactly how many NFTs can the Dev Team mint for themselves.

- this is expected behaviour
- Based on previous discussions, it adds necessary flexibility for SUPPORT_ROLE in multiple circumstances.



WCN-13: There is no public burn function to burn the tokens

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Logical	Low	 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L434- L441 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L435- L436 	Fixed	zaskoh, parth_15

Code

```
function _burn(uint256 tokenId, bool approvalCheck)
435:
            internal
436:
            virtual
437:
            override
        {
438:
            super._burn(tokenId, approvalCheck);
            _resetTokenRoyalty(tokenId);
440:
        }
441:
            internal
            virtual
```

Description

zaskoh: Currently Mythos overrides the internal _burn function where the royalty is reset.

```
File: code/contracts/Mythos.sol
434:    function _burn(uint256 tokenId, bool approvalCheck)
435:        internal
436:        virtual
437:        override
438:    {
439:        super._burn(tokenId, approvalCheck);
440:        _resetTokenRoyalty(tokenId);
441:    }
```

Still in the current implementation it misses the possibility to burn a NFT.

parth_15: The contract has internal _burn function that overrides ERC721A burn functionality and additionally add functionality to clear the royalty information for the token. However, there is no public burn function which can be called and thus this function is not usable.



The impact is that the users won't be able to burn their tokens and contract lacks one of it's intended functionality.

Recommendation

zaskoh: Implement a external function that a user can use to burn a NFT.

Example:

```
+ function burn(uint256 tokenId) external {
+ __burn(tokenId, true);
+ }
```

parth_15: Add a public burn function which calls the internal _burn function.

Client Response

• We will remove the function. This was left in case a burn function was implemented, which would require a reset of token royalty



WCN-14:Unlocked Pragma Version

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Language Specific	Informational	 code/contracts/lib/WCNFTToken.s ol#L2 code/contracts/lib/SteppedDutchA uction.sol#L2 code/contracts/lib/IWCNFTErrorCo des.sol#L2 code/contracts/lib/ERC721AOpens ea.sol#L2 code/contracts/external/ClosedSe aOperatorFilterer-1.0.0.sol#L2 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L3 	Fixed	rajatbeladiya, parth_15, Yaodao

Code

```
2:pragma solidity ^0.8.4;
2:pragma solidity ^0.8.12;
2:pragma solidity ^0.8.12;
2:pragma solidity ^0.8.12;
2:pragma solidity ^0.8.12;
3:pragma solidity ^0.8.12;
```

Description

rajatbeladiya: WestCoastNFT solidity files have a pragma solidity version number with ^0.8.12. The caret (^) points to unlocked pragma, meaning the compiler will use the specified version or above.

parth_15 : Avoid floating pragmas for non-library contracts.

While floating pragmas make sense for libraries to allow them to be included with multiple different versions of applications, it may be a security risk for application implementations.

A known vulnerable compiler version may accidentally be selected or security tools might fall-back to an older compiler version ending up checking a different EVM compilation that is ultimately deployed on the blockchain.

Yaodao: The contracts cited have an unlocked compiler version. An unlocked compiler version in the source code of the contract permits the user to compile it at or above a particular version. This, in turn, leads to differences in the generated



bytecode between compilations due to differing compiler version numbers. This can lead to ambiguity when debugging, as compiler specific bugs may occur in the codebase that would be hard to identify over a span of multiple compiler versions rather than a specific one.

Recommendation

rajatbeladiya: It's good practice to use specific solidity versions to know compiler bug fixes and optimisations were enabled at the time of compiling the contract.

parth_15: It is recommended to pin to a concrete compiler version. For ex: pragma solidity ^0.8.12 can be changed to pragma.solidity 0.8.12

Yaodao: Recommend the compiler version is instead locked at the lowest version possible that the contract can be compiled at.

- we have changed the pragma versions to pragma solidity 0.8.13;
- we have also changed the IWCNFT interface file pragma to be floating ^0.8.0



WCN-15:Unlocked Pragma Version

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Language Specific	Informational	 code/contracts/external/ClosedSe aOperatorFilterer-1.0.0.sol#L2 code/contracts/lib/ERC721AOpens ea.sol#L2 code/contracts/lib/IWCNFTErrorCo des.sol#L2 code/contracts/lib/SteppedDutchA uction.sol#L2 code/contracts/lib/WCNFTToken.s ol#L2 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L3 	Fixed	zaskoh

Code

```
2:pragma solidity ^0.8.4;

2:pragma solidity ^0.8.12;

2:pragma solidity ^0.8.12;

2:pragma solidity ^0.8.12;

2:pragma solidity ^0.8.12;

3:pragma solidity ^0.8.12;
```

Description

zaskoh: For implementation contracts it's best to choose a fixed version like 0.8.17 (best to choose the version you have tested it against).

For your Interfaces it's best to choose a floating version like 0.8.0° so other projects can import your original interfaces and dont need to rewrite or change them if they use a lower version than 0.8.X°.

Recommendation



zaskoh: Use a fixed version like 0.8.17 for your implementation contracts and 0.8.0^ for the interface IWCNFTErrorCodes.sol

- we have changed the pragma versions to pragma solidity 0.8.13;
- we have also changed the IWCNFT interface file pragma to be floating ^0.8.0



WCN-16:Unnecessary centralisation risk in Mythos that can break NFT distribution

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Privilege Related	Medium	 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L300- L328 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L450- L455 	Acknowledged	zaskoh

Code



```
300:
        function _sendTokensAndRefund(ReceiverData calldata receiver) internal {
301:
            uint256 price_ = price;
302:
            address to = receiver.to;
            uint32 number0fTokens = receiver.number0fTokens;
304:
            User storage user = userData[to]; // get user data
            uint256 userContribution = user.contribution;
307:
            uint256 maxNumberOfTokens = _amountPurchased(userContribution, price_);
309:
            if (numberOfTokens > maxNumberOfTokens)
310:
                revert SendingMoreThanPurchased();
311:
312:
            if (numberOfTokens > 0) {
                if (user.tokensClaimed != 0) revert TokensAlreadySent();
                user.tokensClaimed = uint32(numberOfTokens);
                internalMint(to, numberOfTokens);
317:
            }
            if (user.refundClaimed) revert RefundClaimed();
321:
            user.refundClaimed = true;
322:
            uint256 refundValue = userContribution - (price_ * numberOfTokens);
324:
            if (refundValue > 0) {
                (bool success, ) = to.call{value: refundValue}("");
                if (!success) revert RefundFailed(to);
            }
327:
450:
        function withdraw() external onlyOwner nonReentrant {
            (bool success, ) = shareholderAddress.call{
                value: address(this).balance
452:
            }("");
            if (!success) revert WithdrawFailed();
```

Description



zaskoh: Currently it's possibile for the owner to call Mythos.withdraw and move all tokens to the associated share holderAddress.

If this function is called before all the NFT's are distributed via sendTokensAndRefund / sendTokensAndRefundBat ch, it will break the contract, as the _sendTokensAndRefund function will not work in case he should get a refund.

To prevent users from such a rugpull, it's better to track what the owner is allowed to withdraw and only allow him to withdraw that amount.

Recommendation

zaskoh: You should implement a way to track how much the owner is allowed to withdraw.

Example:

```
user.refundClaimed = true;
    uint256 refundValue = userContribution - (price_ * numberOfTokens);

+ maximumWithdraw = price_ * numberOfTokens; // @audit add in _sendTokensAndRefund to track h
ow much admin is allowed to withdraw

if (refundValue > 0) {

- function withdraw() external onlyOwner nonReentrant {
+ uint256 maximumWithdraw;
+ function withdraw() external onlyOwner nonReentrant {
+ uint256 withdrawAmount = maximumWithdraw;
+ maximumWithdraw = 0;
    (bool success, ) = shareholderAddress.call{
- value: address(this).balance
+ value: withdrawAmount
    }("");
    if (!success) revert WithdrawFailed();
}
```

- wcnft is aware of this risk (discussed in bucket auction)
- · consensus was that:
 - the client is established and trusted,
 - the client is aware that strict procedure should be followed for this contract.
- Allowing withdraw() of the full amount at any time allows flexibility in case of problems.



WCN-17:Unused errors

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Code Style	Informational	 code/contracts/lib/IWCNFTErrorCo des.sol#L9 code/contracts/lib/IWCNFTErrorCo des.sol#L12 code/contracts/lib/IWCNFTErrorCo des.sol#L15 code/contracts/lib/IWCNFTErrorCo des.sol#L18 code/contracts/lib/IWCNFTErrorCo des.sol#L24 	Declined	Yaodao

Code

```
9: error ExceedsMaximumTokensPerTransaction();
12: error ExceedsMaximumSupply();
15: error ExceedsReserveSupply();
18: error PublicSaleIsNotActive();
24: error WrongETHValueSent();
```

Description

Yaodao: These errors are declared but never used in the contract.

Recommendation

Yaodao: Recommend removing these dead code.

Client Response

• We have left this as is since we utilize this file for other contracts



WCN-18:Unused internal function

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Code Style	Informational	code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L434- L441	Fixed	Yaodao, rajatbeladiya

Code

```
434: function _burn(uint256 tokenId, bool approvalCheck)
435:    internal
436:    virtual
437:    override
438: {
439:        super._burn(tokenId, approvalCheck);
440:        _resetTokenRoyalty(tokenId);
441: }
```

Description

Yaodao: The _burn() function is declared as the internal function which only can be called by the contract. However, the contract has no functions call the _burn() function.

rajatbeladiya: _burn() is used to burn token id and clears the royalty information for the token but the implementation of _burn() in Mythos.sol has internal visibility and is not used anywhere in the contract. so the _burn() function will not work if you intend to burn NFT token id.

Recommendation

Yaodao: Recommend removing the _burn() function.

rajatbeladiya: change visibility external from internal if you intend to burn NFT token id or remove it

Client Response

refer to WCN-13



WCN-19:Using constant to save gas

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Gas Optimization	Informational	code/contracts/lib/ERC721AOpens ea.sol#L97	Acknowledged	Yaodao

Code

```
97: return operator == address(0x1E0049783F008A0085193E00003D00cd54003c71);
```

Description

Yaodao: In solidity, using address public constant to store address saves gas. This is because constants are pre-hashed at compile time and don't need to be hashed at runtime. And if the hash operation is performed at runtime, it will consume more gas.

Consider below codes:

```
function _isPriorityOperator(address operator)
    internal
    pure
    override
    returns (bool)
{
        // OpenSea Seaport Conduit:
        // https://etherscan.io/address/0x1E0049783F008A0085193E00003D00cd54003c71
        // https://goerli.etherscan.io/address/0x1E0049783F008A0085193E00003D00cd54003c71
        return operator == address(0x1E0049783F008A0085193E00003D00cd54003c71);
}
```

Recommendation

Yaodao: Recommend using a public constant to store the address (0x1E0049783F008A0085193E00003D00cd540 03c71) and using it to check operator.

Consider below fix in the ERC721AOpensea._isPriorityOperator() function



```
address public constant OPERATOR_ADDRESS = address(0x1E0049783F008A0085193E00003D00cd54003c71);
function _isPriorityOperator(address operator)
    internal
    pure
    override
    returns (bool)
{
        // OpenSea Seaport Conduit:
        // https://etherscan.io/address/0x1E0049783F008A0085193E00003D00cd54003c71
        // https://goerli.etherscan.io/address/0x1E0049783F008A0085193E00003D00cd54003c71
        return operator == OPERATOR_ADDRESS;
}
```

Client Response

• refer to WCN-2



WCN-20: WCNFTToken::setDefaultRoyalty and setTokenR oyalty need more limit

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Logical	Low	 code/contracts/lib/WCNFTToken.s ol#L37 code/contracts/lib/WCNFTToken.s ol#L50-L56 code/contracts/lib/WCNFTToken.s ol#L55 	Acknowledged	rajatbeladiya, zaskoh

Code

```
37:    _setDefaultRoyalty(receiver, feeNumerator);
50:    function setTokenRoyalty(
51:        uint256 tokenId,
52:        address receiver,
53:        uint96 feeNumerator
54:    ) external onlyRole(SUPPORT_ROLE) {
55:        _setTokenRoyalty(tokenId, receiver, feeNumerator);
56:    }
55:    _setTokenRoyalty(tokenId, receiver, feeNumerator);
```

Description

rajatbeladiya: WCNFTToken.sol implemented ERC2981 to charge royalty amount on NFT transfer. setTokenRoyalty() is used to set the token royalty amount on transfer. Here it doesn't have any limit, it could be set to 100% which can lead to nft loss for the user.

zaskoh: The feeNumerator in WCNFTToken is used to set the fees that the protocol is charging / expecting when an NFT is sold (for example on Opensea).

Currently it's only checked if the value that is set by the admin is feeNumerator <= _feeDenominator() (<= 10000).

The fees can be set to a value like 9999 charging more than 99% for a sale.

Recommendation



rajatbeladiya : set limit to token royalty

zaskoh: Add a maximum fee that can be charged by the protocol and mention this in your documentation / site.

Example for a maximum of 30%:

```
uint96 MAX_FEE = 3000;
    error FeeToHighForRoyalty();
@@ -34,6 +35,9 @@ contract WCNFTToken is WCNFTAccessControl, Ownable, ERC2981 {
         external
         onlyRole(SUPPORT_ROLE)
    {
        if(feeNumerator > MAX_FEE) {
             revert FeeToHighForRoyalty();
         _setDefaultRoyalty(receiver, feeNumerator);
    }
    @@ -52,6 +56,9 @@ contract WCNFTToken is WCNFTAccessControl, Ownable, ERC2981 {
         address receiver,
         uint96 feeNumerator
     ) external onlyRole(SUPPORT_ROLE) {
         if(feeNumerator > MAX_FEE) {
             revert FeeToHighForRoyalty();
         _setTokenRoyalty(tokenId, receiver, feeNumerator);
```

Client Response

• The point is justified; we feel that setting a high fee would not be benefical to WCNFT or Mythos as there would be backlash from the community.



WCN-21:critical parameter should not change once auction is started

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Logical	Medium	 code/contracts/lib/SteppedDutchA uction.sol#L105-L119 code/contracts/lib/SteppedDutchA uction.sol#L124-L128 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L184- L193 	Acknowledged	rajatbeladiya

Code



```
resumed with this function. No time is added to the duration so all
110:
111:
112:
        function _resumeAuction() internal virtual {
            if (startTime == 0) revert DutchAuctionHasNotStarted();
            if (auctionActive) revert DutchAuctionIsActive();
117:
            auctionActive = true; // resume the auction
            emit DutchAuctionStart(startTime, duration);
119:
        }
124:
        function endAuction() internal virtual isAuctionActive {
            auctionActive = false;
127:
            emit DutchAuctionEnd(block.timestamp);
        }
128:
        function createNewAuction(
            uint256 startPrice,
            uint256 finalPrice,
187:
            uint256 priceStep,
            uint256 timeStepSeconds
        ) external onlyRole(SUPPORT ROLE) {
            if (auctionActive) revert DutchAuctionIsActive();
191:
192:
            _createNewAuction(startPrice, finalPrice, priceStep, timeStepSeconds);
        }
```

Description

rajatbeladiya: createNewAuction() is used to create new auction with parameters like startPrice, finalPrice, priceStep and timeStepSeconds. It should be not changed during the auction. There can be scenario that it will let you change the parameters.

createNewAuction() has one check that if auctionActive == false, it will let you create the auction again.



_resumeAuction() is used to resume the auction and it has a description as below mentioned, which shows that pause will be achieved by _endAuction()

```
/**
    * @dev if a Dutch auction was paused using _endAuction it can be
    * resumed with this function. No time is added to the duration so all
    * elapsed time during the pause is lost.
    *
    * To restart a stopped Dutch auction from the startPrice with its full
    * duration, use _startAuction() again.
    */
```

The team can change the above variables during the sale. It will either increase or decrease the price of an NFT. Scenario:

- 1. Auction started
- 2. during the auction, pausing the auction with endAuction() function will set auctionActive to false.
- 3. createNewAuction() can be used to set the auction parameters again
- 4. resume auction using _resumeAuction()

Recommendation

rajatbeladiya : prevent createNewAuction() during auction's pause state

Client Response

We intentionally left this in case of user error. Refer to WCN-9



WCN-22:getRemainingSaleTime() returns wrong value if auction is not started

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Logical	Informational	code/contracts/lib/SteppedDutchA uction.sol#L147-L157	Declined	rajatbeladiya

Code

```
147: function getRemainingSaleTime() external view returns (uint256) {
148:    if (startTime == 0) {
149:         // not started yet
150:         return duration;
151:    } else if (_getElapsedAuctionTime() >= duration) {
152:         // already at the resting price
153:         return 0;
154:    }
155:
156:    return (startTime + duration) - block.timestamp;
157: }
```

Description

rajatbeladiya: getRemainingSaleTime() is used to return the remaining auction sale duration. but when the auction is created and the auction is not started then it will return the duration of the auction. It is misleading, It should be returned 0 until the auction is started.

Recommendation

rajatbeladiya : return 0 until startTime == 0

Client Response

• We would like for the functionality to remain the same; the comments reflect what our intentions are. If it is 0, it might be confusing because it could be ended or not.



WCN-23:malicious user can prevent the owner to refund funds to users

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Logical	Low	code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L349- L359	Fixed	rajatbeladiya

Code

Description

rajatbeladiya: the owner can send refund funds to users after the auction ended using sendTokensAndRefundBatch () to a batch of addresses. for that, it is looping over the receiver's addresses and sending eth to users' addresses. Suppose one of the receiver's addresses includes a malicious contract address which is implemented below code to revert the whole sendTokensAndRefundBatch() transaction.

```
contract Attack {
    fallback() payable external {
       while(true){
     }
   }
}
```



when the owner will send funds to users, this contract's exploit will use all the transaction gas and will revert the transaction, and the used gas will be lost (it could cost a lot to the owner).

there is a sendTokensAndRefund() function to send individually refund funds, but the impact will be the same the owner could lose a lot of gas.

Impact: the owner will be lost maximum gas with the transaction (it could cost a lot to the owner)

Recommendation

rajatbeladiya: implement refunds in a way that users can claim refunds by themselves. forward limited gas with the call() transaction by specifying gas.

```
(bool success, ) = to.call{value: refundValue, gas: 30000}("");
    if (!success) revert RefundFailed(to);
```

- We have altered this function as recommended by another audit company (limit gas)
- We have also changed the RefundFailed to an event, so that the refund may continue in the event of a failed refund



WCN-24:pausing the auction will skip the auction's time duration

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Logical	Low	 code/contracts/lib/SteppedDutchA uction.sol#L105-L119 code/contracts/lib/SteppedDutchA uction.sol#L124-L128 	Acknowledged	rajatbeladiya

Code

```
105:  /**
106:     * @dev if a Dutch auction was paused using _endAuction it can be
107:     * resumed with this function. No time is added to the duration so all
108:     * elapsed time during the pause is lost.
109:     *
110:     * To restart a stopped Dutch auction from the startPrice with its full
111:     * duration, use _startAuction() again.
112:     */
113:     function _resumeAuction() internal virtual {
114:          if (startTime == 0) revert DutchAuctionHasNotStarted();
115:          if (auctionActive) revert DutchAuctionIsActive();
116:
117:          auctionActive = true; // resume the auction
118:          emit DutchAuctionStart(startTime, duration);
119:     }
124:     function _endAuction() internal virtual isAuctionActive {
125:          auctionActive = false;
126:
127:          emit DutchAuctionEnd(block.timestamp);
128:     }
```

Description

rajatbeladiya : _resumeAuction() is used to resume the auction and it has a description as below mentioned, which
shows that pause will be achieved by _endAuction()



```
/**
    * @dev if a Dutch auction was paused using _endAuction it can be
    * resumed with this function. No time is added to the duration so all
    * elapsed time during the pause is lost.
    *
    * To restart a stopped Dutch auction from the startPrice with its full
    * duration, use _startAuction() again.
    */
```

there is no any time maintaining regarding pausing the auction. So pausing an auction during the launch will skip the auction's actual time.

Scenario:

- 1. Auction created for 10 hours
- 2. 3 hours passed
- 3. Paused the auction
- 4. Resume the auction after 3 hours
- 5. Now users will have only 4 hours instead of 7 hours to purchase NFTs

Recommendation

rajatbeladiya: maintain the paused auction time

- The intention of this function was only to resume the auction if a user has accidentally ended the function with endAuction.
- We have reworded the comment of the function as follows

```
/**
 * @dev if a Dutch auction was ended prematurely using _endAuction it can be
 * resumed with this function. No time is added to the duration so all
 * elapsed time during the pause is lost.
 *
```



WCN-25:preBuyActive can't be set to same value

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Logical	Informational	code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L131	Declined	parth_15

Code

```
131: function setPreBuyActive(bool state) external onlyRole(SUPPORT_ROLE) {
```

Description

parth_15: setPreBuyActive function is used to set the value of preBuyActive and can only be called by SUPPOR
T_ROLE. It first checks that whether auction is not active and price is zero before setting the value of preBuyActive. It
is possible to call setPreBuyActive with same value and setting the same value. There is no sanity check to prevent
SUPPORT_ROLE to setting it to same value.

Recommendation

parth_15 : Add a sanity check that if the SUPPORT_ROLE is trying to set preBuyActive to same value, the call gets reverted.

Consider below fix in the setPreBuyActive function

```
function setPreBuyActive(bool state) external onlyRole(SUPPORT_ROLE) {
    if(preBuyActive == state) revert PreBuyActiveIsSame();
    if (auctionActive) revert DutchAuctionIsActive();
    if (price != 0) revert PriceHasBeenSet();
    preBuyActive = state;
}
```

- We don't believe adding this will provide any value; should not affect contract operation
- Only costs the SUPPORT_ROLE user gas for executing the function



WCN-26:provenance is not used in the contract

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Gas Optimization	Informational	 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L36 code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L404 	Acknowledged	parth_15

Code

36: string public provenance;
404: function setProvenance(string memory provenance_)

Description

parth_15: There is a state variable named provenance and function setProvenance to set it. This values are not used anywhere in the contract and thus can be removed from the contract.

The impact is that adding function for setting a value of unused variable can increase contract size.

Recommendation

parth_15 : Remove unused variables from the contract.

Client Response

• We use this function to provide customers with a way to verify the randomness of the nfts.



WCN-27:setMinimumPreBuyPrice lacks sanity check

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Logical	Low	code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L142	Acknowledged	parth_15

Code

```
142: function setMinimumPreBuyPrice(uint256 minimumPreBuyPriceInWei)
```

Description

parth_15 : setMinimumPreBuyPrice sets the minimumPreBuyPrice. It lacks sanity check and can be set to 0
which implies free mints.

The impact is user can make any small bids during the preBuy phase.

Recommendation

parth_15 : Use a sanity check to ensure that minimumPreBuyPrice is greater than 0 before setting the value.
Consider below fix in the setMinimumPreBuyPrice function

```
function setMinimumPreBuyPrice(
          uint256 minimumPreBuyPriceInWei
    ) external onlyRole(SUPPORT_ROLE) {
        if(minimumPreBuyPriceInWei == 0) revert InvalidValue();
        minimumPreBuyPrice = minimumPreBuyPriceInWei;
}
```

- The minimumPreBuyPrice is decided by the client and set by SUPPORT_ROLE.
- It is unlikely that the preBuy phase would be started with the wrong minimumPreBuyPrice set.
 - It will be set and checked before opening for deposits.



WCN-28:users may lose bid amount

Category	Severity	Code Reference	Status	Contributor
Logical	Informational	code/contracts/Mythos.sol#L241	Acknowledged	rajatbeladiya

Code

241: bidder.contribution = uint216(contribution_);

Description

rajatbeladiya: users can place a bid in ETH or add to their existing bid using the bid() function. In the bid() function it is typecasting the user's contribution from uint256 to uint216. Here users can bid any amount, it could be greater than uint216. It will lead to a loss amount for the user if the amount is greater than the uint216 max value. The likelihood is low but worth mentioning it.

Recommendation

rajatbeladiya : remove typecasting uint216(contribution_)

- The maximum size of uint216 is $2^216 1 = 1 \times 10^65$,
- This means a user's contribution would be truncated if it exceeded approx 1x10^65 WEI or 1x10^47 ETH.
- The entire circulating supply of ETH is less than this, at approx 1.2x10^8 ETH



Disclaimer

This report is subject to the terms and conditions (including without limitation, description of services, confidentiality, disclaimer and limitation of liability) set forth in the Invoices, or the scope of services, and terms and conditions provided to you ("Customer" or the "Company") in connection with the Invoice. This report provided in connection with the services set forth in the Invoices shall be used by the Company only to the extent permitted under the terms and conditions set forth in the Invoice. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to or relied upon by any person for any purposes, nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company, without Secure3's prior written consent in each instance.

This report is not an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Secure3 to perform a security assessment. This report does not provide any warranty or guarantee of free of bug of codes analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies, business model or legal compliancy.

This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. Instead, it represents an extensive assessing process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code and high-level consistency of implementation and business model, while reducing the risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

Secure3's position on the final decisions over blockchain technologies and corresponding associated transactions is that each company and individual are responsible for their own due diligence and continuous security.

The assessment services provided by Secure3 is subject to dependencies and under continuing development. The assessment reports could include false positives, false negatives, and other unpredictable results. The services may access, and depend upon, multiple layers of third-parties.