Secure Coding - Team 7- Phase 5

Magnus Jahnen, Thomas Krex, Elias Tatros ${\rm January}\ 25,\, 2015$

Part I Executive Summary

Contents

Ι	Executive Summary	1
II	Time Tracking Table	4
1	Time Tracking Table	5
II	I Application Architecture	6
I	V Security Measures	7
2	Security Measures	8
	2.1 PDF Password Protection	8
	2.2 HTTPS	8
	2.3 Strong password policy	8
	2.4 Secure Session Management	8
	2.5 Prepared Statements for SQL database	8
	2.6 CSRF Token	9
	2.7 Secure Generation of Transaction Codes	9
	 2.8 Protection of C Parser against Heap/Buffer Overflows 2.9 Secure Handling of uploaded files 	9
\mathbf{V}	Fixes	10
3	Fix of HTTP Strict Transport Security	11
	3.1 Affected Files	11
	3.2 Description	11
4	Fix for Bypassing Session Management Schema and Cookies	
	attributes	12
	4.1 Affected Files	12
	4.2 description	12

5	\mathbf{Fix}	Process Timing	13				
	5.1	Affected Files	13				
	5.2	Description	13				
6	Fix of TAN Generation						
	6.1	Affected Files	15				
	6.2	Description	15				

Part II Time Tracking Table

Time Tracking Table

Time Tracking						
Name	Time	Description				
	15h	Reverse engineer batch parser (team 8)				
	2h	Reverse engineer batch parser (own)				
Magnus Jahnen	5h	Reverse engineer Java-SCS (team 8)				
Magnus Jannen	2h	Reverse engineer Java-SCS (own)				
	10h	Meetings				
	5h	Report & Presentation				
	3h	Self introduction into test environment and target application				
	5h	Searching for Vulnerabilities in PHP&JavaScript Code and of Team 7				
Thomas Krex	11h	Testing own app according owasp checklist				
Thomas Krex	6h	Exploiting Processing Time and Account guessing vulnerabilities				
	10h	Meetings				
	6h	Documentation				
	2h	Static Analysis decompiled Java and PHP				
	4h	Finding Encryption Flaws in Java/PHP				
Elias Tatros	5h	Analysis of application memory				
Ellas Tatios	15h	Planning, Implementation and Testing of Memory Scanner				
	6h	Working on Report (Sections on Key Weakness, Memory Scanner, Static IV)				
	10h	Meetings				

Part III Application Architecture

Part IV Security Measures

Security Measures

2.1 PDF Password Protection

The TAN List of our customers are password protected. That ensures that only customer himself can access the transaction codes. To porotect the pdfs we used thethird party library FDPI.

2.2 HTTPS

Our webservice uses the HTTPS protocoll to encrypt all transmitted data to and from our clients. This prevents attackers from reading sensitive data via man-in-the-middle attacks.

2.3 Strong password policy

We enforce the user to choose a string password to minimize the possible threat based on brute force attacks.

2.4 Secure Session Management

The session cookie of an user is only transmitted via HTTP, can not be accessed via javascript and expires after 30 minutes. So attackers cannot bypassing the authentication schema by stealing cookies of logged in users.

2.5 Prepared Statements for SQL database

We protected our web service against sql injections by using prepared statements for database requests.

- 2.6 CSRF Token
- 2.7 Secure Generation of Transaction Codes
- 2.8 Protection of C Parser against Heap/Buffer Overflows

2.9 Secure Handling of uploaded files

Uploaded Files for batch transaction are handled in a secure manner to avoid damage of uploaded malicious code. This includes the usage of the default PHP temporary folder. All files are stored in /tmp and get a randomly chosen name. This has two effects. First of all files are not stored at the webroot and can not be access via an unsecure webserver. Secondly, renaming the files before passing them to the c parser, prevents malicous code in the filename to be executed. Another precoution ew took, is to delete this files right after parsing them, so that malicous code can't be executed later on.

 $\mathbf{Part} \ \mathbf{V}$

Fixes

Fix of HTTP Strict Transport Security

3.1 Affected Files

/etc/apache2/httpd.conf: line 15

3.2 Description

We added an HTTP Scrict Transport Security Header to teh config of our web server. Therefore the server notifies the client's browser that all traffic has to be exchanged via HTTPS. To do so the added the following line to the Virtual Host Config:

Header add Strict-Transport-Security "max-age=15768000"

Fix for Bypassing Session Management Schema and Cookies attributes

4.1 Affected Files

• /etc/apache2/httpd.conf lines: 2++

4.2 description

In order to protect the session cookie and its attributes against attackers the added the following settings to httpd.conf:

```
php_value session.cookie_httponly true
php_value session.cookie_secure true
php_value session.cookie_lifetime 1800
```

The httponly flag was already set in phase 3 and prevents that the cookie can be acessed by javascript. The http_secure flag ensures that cookies are only sent via HTTPS.

Futhermore the attribute cookie_lifetime defines the expire data for a cookie. A short lifetime decreases the chances for an attacker to successfully use a foreign session cookie to authenticate with the web service.

Fix Process Timing

5.1 Affected Files

• webroot/pw_recovery.php: 137++

5.2 Description

Our App was leaking information by its process timing. To be more precise, the vulnerability was placed in the password recovery service. The User can enter his/her email address and will retrieve an email with an new password. The problem was the time, the system took to send that email. If entering an email which isn't registered in the system, the process takes round about 30 ms instead of 3 seconds. This means that an attacker can guess regitered email addresses my observing the process time. To fix this problem there is more than one solution. You could start an asynchronous task that is sending the mail while the web service reponds to the client. For that you have to use external libraries that would increase the complexity of the system. Another way would be to send a curl request to an internal web service. This basically would work but it requires a static host name to do so. So we decided to chose a third solution. We inserted a sleep command that will wait a randomly picked interval between two and four seconds if the email address is not known to the system. This prevents an attacker of easily guessing registered email addresses.

Listing 5.1: Random Timeout in pw_recovery.php

```
if($user->email) {
// if we found the mail it is a valid user
$user->sendPwRecoveryMail();
}
else {
// timeout , so it's not that easy to guess existing accounts
    via the processing time.
```

```
$timeout = rand(2,4);
sleep($timeout);
}
```

Fix of TAN Generation

6.1 Affected Files

• c_user.php : line 324++

• helper.php: line 216

6.2 Description

Our app offers the possibility to use a SmartCardSimulator to generate transaction codes for single or batch transactions. This code can then be entered at our website or in the batch transaction batch file. To verify the TAN, the webservice is using the same computations as the SCS. This includes the hashing of a string containing the current time as seed, the destination account, the amount and the pin of the user. This String was hashed with md5. This was a vulnerability because md5 is not secure in terms of collision attacks. So we changed the hashing algorithm to sha256 which generates a 256 bit long hash instead of 128 bit. To receive the same results as the java code and the c code for parsing batch files, we had to modify the hashing, shown in Listing 6.1. The hash function returns a string with a 128 bit hexadecimal number. 16 of this 32 characters are pairwaised concatenated and transformed into decimal numbers. Because this process ingores possible signed numbers, each decimal number greater than 127 and to be substracted by 256. Of these rsulting numbers the absolute values of the first 16 numbers is concatenated and trimmed to 15 characters.

Listing 6.1: Generation of a TAN using sha256

function generateTanWithSeed(\$seed,\$pin,\$destination,\$amount){

\$plaintext = \$seed.\$pin.\$destination.\$amount.\$seed;

The next problem was the unavailbility of the time server which was used to synchronize the SCS and the webservice. Also it ensures that transaction codes for an transaction with the same amount and destinations differs over the time. This results in a stronger protection against brutforcing the transaction codes. To fix this problem we simply changed the time server from that the time is requested, shown in Listing ??

Listing 6.2: New TimeServer IP

```
function getUTCTime(){
$timeserver = "129.6.15.28";
```