

## Lecture 17: 2D Elliptic Unified CG/DG

Let's consider the PDE:

$$\nabla^2 g(\bar{x}) = f(\bar{x}) \quad \text{with} \quad g|_{\Gamma_0} = g(\bar{x}) \quad \&$$

$$(\hat{n} \cdot \nabla g)|_{\Gamma_N} = h(\bar{x})$$

Now, let us merge the ideas from Lecture 15 (for CG)

& Lecture 16 (for DG) to find a unified approach.

However, we have to start w/ the flux formulation in order to use DG.

### Flux Formulation

As in the LDG method, let's start with the flux formulation:

$$\bar{Q}(\bar{x}) = \nabla g(\bar{x})$$

$$\nabla \cdot \bar{Q}(\bar{x}) = f(\bar{x})$$

Discretizing, we write:

$$(17.1.1) \quad \int_{\Omega_e} \bar{c}_i \cdot \bar{Q}_n^{(e)} d\Omega_e = \int_{\Omega_e} \bar{c}_i \cdot \nabla g_n^{(e)} d\Omega_e$$

$$(17.1.2) \int_{\Omega_e} \psi_i \bar{\nabla} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_v^{(e)} d\Omega_e = \int_{\Omega_e} \psi_i f_v^{(e)} d\Omega_e$$

Integrating Eq. (17.1) by parts we get:


$$(17.2.1) \int_{\Omega_e} \bar{\mathbf{z}}_i \cdot \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_v^{(e)} d\Omega_e = \int_{\Gamma_e} \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\bar{\mathbf{z}}_i \bar{q}_v^{(e)})^{(*)} d\Gamma_e \\ - \int_{\Omega_e} \bar{\nabla} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{z}}_i \bar{q}_v^{(e)} d\Omega_e$$

$$(17.2.2) \int_{\Gamma_e} \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\psi_i \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_v^{(e)})^{(*)} d\Gamma_e - \int_{\Omega_e} \bar{\nabla} \psi_i \cdot \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_v^{(e)} d\Omega_e \\ = \int_{\Omega_e} \psi_i f_v^{(e)} d\Omega_e$$

### Primal Formulation

If we let  $\bar{\mathbf{z}} = \psi \bar{\mathbf{I}}$  we recover the LDF method, with the appropriate fluxes.

How about if we choose  $\bar{\mathbf{z}} = \bar{\nabla} \psi$ ? Let's see what happens. we get:

$$(17.3.1) \int_{\Omega_e} \underbrace{\bar{\nabla} \psi_i \cdot \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_v^{(e)}} d\Omega_e = \int_{\Gamma_e} \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\bar{\nabla} \psi_i \bar{q}_v^{(e)})^{(*)} d\Gamma_e \\ - \int_{\Omega_e} \nabla^2 \psi_i \bar{q}_v^{(e)} d\Omega_e$$


$$(17.7.2) \quad \int_{\Gamma_c} \hat{n} \cdot (\psi_i \bar{Q}_v^{(c)})^{(*)} d\Gamma_c = \int_{\Omega_c} \bar{\nabla} \psi_i \cdot \bar{Q}_v^{(c)} d\Omega_c$$

$$= \int_{\Omega_c} \psi_i f_v^{(c)} d\Omega_c$$

Subbing 1<sup>st</sup> term in (17.7.1) into 2<sup>nd</sup> term in (17.7.2) gives:

$$(17.4) \quad \int_{\Gamma_c} \hat{n} \cdot (\psi_i \bar{Q}_v^{(c)})^{(*)} d\Gamma_c = \int_{\Gamma_c} \hat{n} \cdot (\bar{\nabla} \psi_i \ell_v^{(c)})^{(*)} d\Gamma_c$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega_c} \nabla^2 \psi_i \ell_v^{(c)} d\Omega_c = \int_{\Omega_c} \psi_i f_v^{(c)} d\Omega_c$$

We need to apply IBP here.

Let's replace:

$$(17.5) \quad \int_{\Omega_c} \nabla^2 \psi_i \ell_v^{(c)} d\Omega_c = \int_{\Gamma_c} \hat{n} \cdot (\bar{\nabla} \psi_i \ell_v^{(c)}) d\Gamma_c - \int_{\Omega_c} \bar{\nabla} \psi_i \cdot \bar{\nabla} \ell_v^{(c)} d\Omega_c$$

$\bar{\nabla} \ell_v^{(c)} = \bar{Q}_v^{(c)}$

no numerical flux here since we already introduced one.

Subbing (17.5) into (17.4) gives:

$$(17.6) \quad \int_{\Gamma_c} \hat{n} \cdot (\psi_i \bar{Q}_v^{(c)})^{(*)} d\Gamma_c + \int_{\Gamma_c} \hat{n} \cdot [(\bar{\nabla} \psi_i \ell_v^{(c)}) - (\bar{\nabla} \psi_i \ell_v^{(c)})^{(*)}] d\Gamma_c$$

$$- \int_{\Omega_e} \bar{\nabla} \psi_i \cdot \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_v^{(e)} d\Omega_e = \int_{\Omega_e} \psi_i f_v^{(e)} d\Omega_e$$

Assuming that  $\psi^{(*)} = \psi$  and  $\bar{\nabla} \psi^{(*)} = \bar{\nabla} \psi$  we get:

$$(17.7) \quad \int_{\Omega_e} \psi_i \hat{n} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_v^{(*)} d\Omega_e + \int_{\Omega_e} \hat{n} \cdot \bar{\nabla} \psi_i [\tau_v^{(e)} - \tau_v^{(x,e)}] d\Omega_e \\ - \int_{\Omega_e} \bar{\nabla} \psi_i \cdot \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_v^{(e)} d\Omega_e = \int_{\Omega_e} \psi_i f_v^{(e)} d\Omega_e$$

Replacing  $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_v^{(*)} = \bar{\nabla} \tau_v^{(e)}$  yields:

$$(17.8) \quad \int_{\Omega_e} \psi_i \hat{n} \cdot \bar{\nabla} \tau_v^{(e)} d\Omega_e + \int_{\Omega_e} \hat{n} \cdot \bar{\nabla} \psi_i [\tau_v^{(e)} - \tau_v^{(x,e)}] d\Omega_e \\ - \int_{\Omega_e} \bar{\nabla} \psi_i \cdot \bar{\nabla} \tau_v^{(e)} d\Omega_e = \int_{\Omega_e} \psi_i f_v^{(e)} d\Omega_e$$

With appropriate numerical fluxes, Eq. (17.8) represents the Symmetric Interior Penalty Galerkin (SIPG) method.

### SIPG Method

To define the SIPG method, we need to state the numerical fluxes.

The classical fluxes are the following:

$$\tau_v^{(v)} = \{ \tau_v^{(e,u)} \} \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\tau_v^{(e)} + \tau_v^{(u)})$$

For the gradient, we can define the flux:

$$\bar{\nabla} \psi^{(n)} = \{ \bar{\nabla} \psi^{(e,n)} \} - \hat{n} \mu [\delta^{(e,n)}]$$

where  $\mu$  plays the role of  $|\lambda|$  as in the Bussion flux  $\neq$

$$[\delta^{(e,n)}] = (\psi^{(n)} - \psi^{(e)})$$

Subbing these fluxes into Eq. (17.8) yields:

$$(17.9) \quad - \int_{\Omega_e} \bar{\nabla} \psi_i \cdot \bar{\nabla} \psi^{(e)} d\Omega_e + \int_{\Omega_e} \psi_i \hat{n} \cdot [\{ \bar{\nabla} \psi^{(e,n)} \} - \hat{n} \mu [\delta^{(e,n)}]] d\Omega_e \\ + \int_{\Omega_e} \hat{n} \cdot \bar{\nabla} \psi_i [\psi^{(e)} - \{ \psi^{(e,n)} \}] d\Omega_e = \int_{\Omega_e} \psi_i f^{(e)} d\Omega_e$$

The only term that has not yet been defined is  $\mu$ .

We can let  $\mu = 0$  but, another approach, is to let

$\mu = \mu_c \frac{N(N+1)}{2} \frac{J^{(f)}}{J^{(e)}}$  where  $J^{(e)}$  &  $J^{(f)}$  are the element & face Jacobians  
 &  $\mu_c$  is a const. the larger it is, the better we can satisfy Dirichlet BCs.

**Summary** Eq. (17.9) can be used for both CG & DG. With this eq. we can write one unified method.