

L4: Query Evaluation - Sort, Select

Sorting - External Merge Sort

Projection, join, bulk loading etc all require sorting

- Uses B number of buffer pages
- **Pass 0:** Creation of sorted runs
 - Read in and sort B pages at a time
 - Number of sorted runs created = $\lceil N/B \rceil$
 - Size of each sorted run = B pages (except possibly for last run)
- **Pass i, $i \geq 1$:** Merging of sorted runs
 - Use B-1 buffer pages for input & one buffer page for output
 - Performs (B-1)-way merge

- **Analysis:**
 - N_0 = number of sorted runs created in pass 0 = $\lceil N/B \rceil$
 - Total number of passes = $\lceil \log_{B-1}(N_0) \rceil + 1$
 - Total number of I/O = $2N(\lceil \log_{B-1}(N_0) \rceil + 1)$
 - ★ Each pass reads N pages & writes N pages

External Merge Sort - Bocked I/O

- Read and write in blocks of **b** buffer pages (replace b with 1 for unoptimised)
- $\lfloor \frac{B-b}{b} \rfloor$ blocks for input, 1 block for output
- Can merge at most $\lfloor \frac{B-b}{b} \rfloor$ sorted runs in each merge pass
- $F = \lfloor \frac{B}{b} \rfloor - 1$ runs can be merged at each pass
- Num passes = $\log_F N_0$

B+ tree sort

- B+ Tree is sorted by key
- Format 1 (clustered): Sequential Scan
- Format 2/3: Retrieve data using RID for each data entry
- Unclustered implies more I/Os

Access Path refers to the different ways to retrieve tuples from a relation. It is either a **file scan** or a **index plus matching selection condition**. The more **selective** the access paths, the fewer pages are read from the disk.

- Table scan: scan all data pages
- Index scan: scan all index pages
- Table intersection: combine results from multiple index scans (union, intersec). Find RIDs of each predicate and get the intersection

Query: Selection Covering Index

- I is a covering index of *query*_Q if I contains all attributes of Q
- No RID lookup is needed, Index-only plan
- If data is unclustered, unsorted, no index - \hat{c} best way is to collect all entries and sort by RID before doing I/O

CNF Predicate

- Find RIDs of each predicate and get the intersection
- Conjuncts are in the form (R.A op c V R.a op R.b)
- CNF are conjuncts (or terms) connected by \wedge

Matching Predicates - B+ Tree

- Non-disjunctive CNF (no \vee)
- At most one non-equality comparison operator which must be on the **last attribute in the CNF**
- $(k_1 = c_1) \wedge (k_2 = c_2) \wedge \dots k_i \text{opc}_i | I = (k_1, k_2 \dots k_n)$
- The order of k matters, and there cannot be missing K_i in the middle of the CNF

- Having inequality operator before equality operator makes the query to be less selective

Matching Predicates - Hasing

- No inequality operators
 $(k_1 = c_1) \wedge \dots k_i = c_n | I = (k_1, k_2 \dots k_n)$
 - Unlike B+ tree, **all predicates must match**
- $I = (\text{age, weight, height})$, $p = (\text{age} \geq 20 \wedge \text{age} \geq 18 \text{weight} = 50 \wedge \text{height} = 150 \wedge \text{level} = 3)$

Primary Conjuncts : The subset of conjuncts in p that I matches

Primary Conjuncts: $\text{age} \geq 20 \wedge \text{age} \geq 18$

Covered Conjuncts : The subset of conjuncts in p that I covers (conjuncts that appear in I). Primary conjunct \subseteq covered conjunct

Covered Conjuncts: $\text{age} \geq 20 \wedge \text{age} \geq 18 \wedge \text{height} = 150$

Cost Notation

Notation	Meaning
r	relational algebra expression
$ r $	number of tuples in output of r data records
$ r $	number of pages in output of r
b_d	number of data records that can fit on a page
b_i	number of data entries that can fit on a page
F	average fanout of B ⁺ -tree index (i.e., number of pointers to child nodes)
h	height of B ⁺ -tree index (i.e., number of levels of internal nodes)
$h = \lceil \log_F(\lceil \frac{ R }{b_i} \rceil) \rceil$	if format-2 index on table R
B	number of available buffer pages

Cost of B+-tree index evaluation of p

Let p'=primary conjuncts of p — p_c =covered conjuncts of p

1. Navigate internal nodes to locate the first leaf page

$$Cost_{internal} = \begin{cases} \lceil \log_F(\lceil \frac{|R|}{b_d} \rceil) \rceil |Format 1| \\ \lceil \log_F(\lceil \frac{|R|}{b_i} \rceil) \rceil |Otherwise| \end{cases}$$

- 1.1 This is traversing the height of B+ tree
2. Scan leaf pages to access all qualifying data entries

$$Cost_{leaf} = \begin{cases} \lceil \frac{|\sigma_{p'}(R)|}{b_d} \rceil |Format 1| \\ \lceil \frac{|\sigma_{p'}(R)|}{b_i} \rceil |Otherwise| \end{cases}$$

- 2.1 This is the cost of reading qualifying conjuncts
- 2.2 Using p_c would be wrong since covering conjuncts may be non-matching which results in more reads from the leaves
- 3 Retrieve qualified data records using RID lookups. 0 if I is covering OR format 1 index. $|\sigma_{p_c}(R)|$ otherwise

Cost of RID lookups could be reduced by first sorting the RIDs

$$\lceil \frac{|\sigma_{p_c}(R)|}{b_d} \rceil \leq Cost_{rid} \leq \min\{|\sigma_{p_c}(R)|, |R|\} \quad \text{assuming clustered/conjuncts} \quad \text{sequenced I/O}$$

ceiling because we have to read the additional page for the remainder RIDs

Cost of Hash index evaluation of p

- Format 1: cost to retrieve **data entries** is at least $\lceil \frac{|\sigma_{p'}(R)|}{b_d} \rceil$
- Format 2: cost to retrieve **data entries** is at least $\lceil \frac{|\sigma_{p'}(R)|}{b_i} \rceil$
- Format 2: Cost to retrieve **data records** is 0 if it is a covering index (all information in data entry) OR $|\sigma_{p'}(R)|$ otherwise

L5:Query Evaluation - Projection and Join

- $\pi^*(R)$ refers to projection without removing duplicates

- $\pi(R)$ involves 1.Removing unwanted attributes 2. Removing duplicates
- Sorting is better if we have many duplicates or if hte distribution is nonuniform(overflow more likely for hashing partitions)
- Sorting allows results to be sorted
- If $B > \sqrt{|\pi_L^*(R)|}$, then both sorting and hashing has similar I/O costs ($\lceil \frac{|R|}{B} \rceil \rightarrow |R| + 2 * |\pi_L^*(R)|$)

Approach 1: project based on sorting

- **Naive:** Extract attributes L from records $\rightarrow \pi_L^*(R) \rightarrow$ Sort attributes \rightarrow Remove duplicates
- Cost: Cost to scan records ($|R|$) + Cost to output to temporary result ($|\pi_L^*(R)|$) \rightarrow cost to sort records ($2|\pi_L^*(R)| \log_m(N_0) + 1$) \rightarrow Cost to scan data records ($|\pi_L^*(R)|$)
- **Optimisation:** Create Sorted runs with attributes L only (Pass 0) \rightarrow Merge sorted runs and remove duplicates $\rightarrow \pi_L(R)$

Approach 2: project based on hashing

- Build a main-memory hash table to detect and remove duplicates. Insert to the hashtable if then entry is not already in it.
- 1. Partition R into $R_1, R_2 \dots R_{B-1}$, hash on $\pi_L(t)$ for $t \in R \leftarrow (\pi_L^*(R_i) \text{ does not intersect } \pi_L^*(R_j), i! = j)$
- 1.1 Use 1 buffer for input and (B-1) for output
- 1.2 Read R 1 page at a time, and hash tuples into B-1 partitions
- 1.3 Flush output buffer to disk when full
- 2. Eliminate duplicates in each partition $\pi_L^*(R_i)$
- $\pi_L(R) = \cup_i^{B-1} (\pi_L^*(R_i))$
- 2.1 For each partition, Initialise an in-memory hash table and insert each tuple into B_j if $t \notin B_j$

Parition overflow: Hash table $\pi_L^*(R_i)$ is larger than available memory buffers.

Solution: Recursively apply hash-based partitioning to overflowed partitions.

Analysis: Effective (no overflow) when B

$$> \frac{|\pi_L^*(R)|}{B-1} * f \approx \sqrt{f * \pi_L^*(R)}$$

If no partition overflow: (partition) $|R| + \pi_L^*(R)$ + (duplicate elimination) $|\pi_L^*(R)|$

Index based projection: Do index scan if the wanted attribtues \subseteq search key

Join $R \bowtie_{\theta} S$, where R is the outer relation and S is the inner relation

- **Optimal join**
 - Cost: $|R| + |S|$
 - load smaller relation into memory
 - requires: $|S| + 2$ buffers
- **Tuple-based**
 - Cost: $|R| + |R| * |S|$
 - for each tuple r in R
 - for each tuple s in S
 - if (r matches s) then output (r, s) 4 to result
- **Page-based**
 - Load P_R and P_S to main memory
 - Cost: $|R| + |R| * |S|$
 - for each page P_R in R
 - for each page P_S in S
 - for each tuple $r \in P_R$
 - for each tuple $s \in P_S$
 - if (r matches s) then output (r, s) 4 to result
- **Block nested-loop**
 - Allocate 1 page for S, 1 for output, B-2 for R
 - $|R| \leq |S|$
 - Cost: $|R| + (\lceil \frac{|R|}{B-2} \rceil * |S|)$
 - $|R| \leq |S|$
 - while Scanning R
 - read next (B-2) pages of R to buffer
 - for P_S in S
 - read P_S into Buffer
 - for $r \in \text{buffer} \wedge s \in P_S$
 - if (r matches s) then output (r, s) 4 to result
 - Without materialisation: $\lceil \frac{|R|}{B-2} \rceil * |T|$
- **Index Nested Loop Join**
 - There is an index on the join attributes of S
 - Uniform distribution: r joins $\lceil \frac{|S|}{|\pi_{B_j}(S)|} \rceil$ tuples in S
 - format 1

- B+Tree: $|R| + |R| * |J|$
- Assuming unclustered:
 - J = height of tree + reading leaf pages + RID Look up
 - $J = \log_F(\lceil \frac{|S|}{b_d} \rceil)$ (tree traversal)+ $\lceil \frac{|\pi_{p'}(S)|}{b_i} \rceil$ (search leaf nodes) + RID lookup
 - for $r \in R$
 - use r to probe S's index to find matching tuples
- **Sort-Merge Join**
 - Sort R and S on join attributes and merge
 - Cost: $2|\pi_L^*(R)|(\log_{B-1}(\frac{|R|}{B}) + 1) + 2|\pi_L^*(S)|(\log_{B-1}(\frac{|S|}{B}) + 1) + |\pi_L^*(R)| + |\pi_L^*(S)|$
 - merging cost is $|R| + |R| * |S|$ if each tuple of R requires a full scan of S
 - Optimisation: $B \geq N(R, i) + N(S, i) + 1 \geq \sqrt{|R| + |S|}$
 - We can choose which relation to partition again if this is not met
 - Cost: cost of getting R, S + k(write out $(|R| + |S|)$) + m(merge $(|R| + |S|)$)
 - If sorted on join column: $|R| + |S|$
- **Grace Hash Join**
 - Partition into $B - 1$ partitions
 - If no partition overflow ($B > \sqrt{f * |S|}$):
 - k(Cost to partition R, S) + Cost of probe phase
 - Partition cost = cost of getting R + cost to write partitions($|R|$)
 - Probe cost = $|R| + |S|$

L6: Query Optimisation

1. Search space: Queries considered

- **Search Place** Queries being considered
- **Linear** if at least one operand for each join is a base relation, bushy otherwise
- **Left-deep** if every right join operand is a base relation
- **Right-deep** if every left join operand is a base relation

2. Plan enumeration - for joins between 2 tables

Conflicting actions - WW, WR

Detect deadlocks

Snapshot Isolation (SI)

- Each Xact has a snapshot of the database at the start of the Xact and sees only versions from that snapshot and **its own writes**
- FWW** T needs to acquire X-lock on O (if not - wait), and if O has been updated by a concurrent T' then T aborts
- FCW** (no locks) before committing T checks if O has been updated, abort if it has been updated
- Write-skew anomaly**, not MVSS:
 $R_1(X_0), R_2(X_0), R_1(Y_1), R_2(Y_2), W_1(X_1),$
 $Commit1, W_2(Y_2), Commit2$
- Read-only anomaly**, not MVSS:
 $R_1(b), R_2(a), W_1(b), C_1, R_2(b), W_2(a), R_3(a), R_3(b),$

Transaction Dependencies

- WW** from T1 to T2: T1 commits some version of X and T2 writes the immediate successor
- WR** from T1 to T2: T1 commits some version of X which is read by T2

Checkpointing

- Normal(no ECPLR): CPLR's TT, empty DPT
- Fuzzy: BeginCPLR's TT and BCPLR's DPT