CS3223

AY22/23 Sem 2

github.com/SeeSaveServe

L1 - Data Storage

Magnetic Disks



- **Disk Access Time** Seek time + Rotational Latency + Transfer time
- **Response time** Queueing delay + Disk access time
- **Rotational Delay** $\frac{1}{2}\frac{60s}{RPM}$
- **Transfer Time** sectors on the same track * $\frac{TimePerRevolution}{SectorsPerTrack}$

Buffer Manager

- **Buffer pool** Main memory allocated for DBMS
- **pin count** is incremented upon pinning
- **dirty bit** is updated when the page is unpinned (if modified)
- **R**eplacement is only possbile if pin count == 0



Replacement Policies

LRU Policy

- Maintains a queue of pointers to frames with pin count = 0

Clock Replacement Policy

N = number of frames in buffer pool



- Simplifies LRU with a second chance round robin system
- Each frame has a reference bit that is turned on when pin count reaches 0
- Repalces a page when referenced bit if off and pin count is 0

File Organisation

Heap File Implementations



Page Formats: Fixed Length Records

- **Packed Organisation** Store records in contiguous slots
- **Unpacked Organisation** Uses a bit array to maintain free slots



Page Formats: Slotted Page (variable length record)

- Store records in slots of *(record offset, record length)*
- Record Offset: Offset of the record from the start of the page



Record Formats

- Fixed-Length Records
  - Fields are stored consecutively

F1	F2	F3	F4

- Variable-Length Records
  - Delimit fields with special symbols

F1	$	F2	$	F3	$	F4

  - Use an array of field offsets

o_1	o_2	o_3	o_4	F1	F2	F3	F4

- Each o_i is an offset to beginning of field Fi

L2 And L3 - Indexing

- A search key is a sequence of k attributes. If k ¿ 1, composite key
- A search key is an unique index if it is a candidate key
- An index is stored as a file

Format of data entries

- Format 1: k* is an actual data record with search value k
- Format 2: k* is the form (k, rid)
- Format 3: k* is the form (k, rid-list*)
- Note: Different formats affects the number of data entries stored in a page

Clustered Vs Unclustered

- Clustered: Order of data entries is the same as the oreder of data records. Can only be built on ordered field (e.g. primary key)
- Unclustered: Order of data entries does not correspond to the order of data records
- The implication is that we can read an entire clustered page with 1 I/O
- B+ Tree: Format 1 is clustered, Format 2 and 3 can be clustered if data records are sorted on the search key
- Hash: Only format 1 is clustered since hashing do not store data entries in search key order

Tree Based Index - B+ Tree

- Leaf nodes are doubly linked and store Data Entries
- Internal nodes sotre index entries (p0, k, p1 ... pk, k, pk+1)
- Internal nodes contains m entries, m $\in$ [d, 2d] $\rightarrow$ space utilisation $\geq$ 50%
- Root contains m entries, m $\in$ [1, 2d]

B+ Tree - Split Overflow Nodes



- Distribute d+1 entries to the new leaf node
- Create new entry index using smallest key in the new node (middle key)
- Insert new entry into parent node of overflowed node

B+ Tree - Overflow Propagation

5 is pushed up





17 is pushed up





- Excess middle node is pushed updated to parent node

B+ Tree - Redistribution of data entries

- Two nodes are siblings if they have the same parent node



B+ Tree - Underflow

- Underflow occurs when a node has less than d entries
- Underflow is resolved by redistributing entries between siblings
- An underflow node is merged if each of its adjacent siblings have exactly d entries





B+ Tree - Bulk Loading

- Initiazing a B+ tree by insertion is expensive (need to traverse tree n times)
- 1. Sort all data entries by search key
- 2. Initialise B+ tree with an empty root page
- 3. Load data entries into leaf pages
- 4. In asc order, insert the index entry of each leaf page into the rightmost parent node

Hash Based Index

- Does not support range search, only equality queries

Static Hashing

- N buckets, each bucket has 1 primary page and $\geq$ 0 overflow pages
- To maintain performance, we need to routinely construct bigger hash tables and redistribute data entries

Dynamic Hashing - Extendible Hashing

- No overflow pages! A bucket can be thought of as a page
- At most 2 Disk I/Os for equality search (at most 1 if directory and bucket fits in memory)
- Instead of maintaining data entries, we maintain pointers to data entries in buckets
- Instead of maintaining buckets, maintain a directory of pointers to buckets
- The directory has 2^d buckets, where d is the global depth –¿ large overhead if hashing is uniform
- Each director entry diffets by a unique d-bit adddress
- Two directories are corresponding iff their addresses differ only in the dth bit
- All entries with the same local depth (l) have the same last l bits in h(k)



Extendible Hashing - Split, Double

- Split and doubling is checked every time a bucket is full
- Doubling only happens if local depth = global depth
- The split image has the same depth as the split bucket
- Other than the split image of the split bucket, split image of other buckets points to the same corresponding bucket
- Each bucket is pointed by $2^(d-l)$ directories

Extendible Hashing - Deletion

- B_i is deallocated
- l decrement by 1
- Directory Entries that point to B_i points to its corresponding bucket

Dynamic Hashing - Linear Hashing



► **GetBucketNum(k)** returns bucket # where entry with search key k is located

$$GetBucketNum(k) = \begin{cases} h_{level}(k) & \text{if } h_{level}(k) \geq next, \\ h_{level+1}(k) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

search key in unsplit

search key in split

► **SplitBucket()** splits bucket B_{next}

1. Redistribute the entries in B_{next} into $B_{next+N_{level}}$ using $h_{level+1}()$
2. next = next + 1
3. if ($next = N_{level}$) then { level = level + 1; next = 0 }

- One I/O for equality search (more per number of overflow pages in bucket)
- Performs worse than extendible hashing if distribution is skewed
- Does not require a directory
- Higher average space utilisation, but longer overflow chains
- Has a family of hash functions, with each having a range twice of its predecessor
- N_0: initial number of buckets
- $N_i = 2^i N_0$: number of buckets at start of round i
- $next$: the next bucket to be split, this is incremented every time split happnes
- $h_i = h(k) mod N_i$: hash function for round i, if the bucket $\leq$ next (already split)
- $h_{i+1} = h(k) mod N_{i+1}$: hash function for round i+1, if the bucket $>$ next
- Split Citeria: By default, split when a bucket overflows

Linear Hashing - Deletion

- Essentially the inverse of insertion
- If the last bucket is empty –¿ delete it and decrement $next$ by 1
- If $next$ is 0, set it to $M/2-1$, and we can decrement level by 1 (half of buckets have been deleted if $next$ is 0)
- Merging with corresponding bucket is optional

L4: Query Evaluation - Sort, Select

Sorting - External Merge Sort

Projection, join, bulk loading etc all require sorting

► Uses B number of buffer pages

► Pass 0: Creation of sorted runs

- ► Read in and sort B pages at a time
- ► Number of sorted runs created = $\lceil N/B \rceil$
- ► Size of each sorted run = B pages (except possibly for last run)

► Pass i, $i \geq 1$: Merging of sorted runs

- ► Use $B-1$ buffer pages for input & one buffer page for output
- ► Performs (B-1)-way merge

► Analysis:

- ► N_0 = number of sorted runs created in pass 0 = $\lceil N/B \rceil$
- ► Total number of passes = $\lceil \log_{B-1}(N_0) \rceil + 1$
- ► Total number of I/O = $2N(\lceil \log_{B-1}(N_0) \rceil + 1)$
  - ★ Each pass reads N pages & writes N pages

\# of merges needed

first pass

N because we only write back N pages

External Merge Sort - Bocked I/O

- Read and write in blocks of **b** buffer pages (replace b with 1 for unoptimised)
- $\lfloor \frac{B-b}{b} \rfloor$ blocks for input, 1 block for output
- Can merge at most $\lfloor \frac{B-b}{b} \rfloor$ sorted runs in each merge pass
- $F = \lfloor \frac{B}{b} \rfloor - 1$ runs can be merged at each pass
- Num passes = $\log_F N_0$

B+ tree sort

- B+ Tree is sorted by key
- Format 1 (clustered): Sequential Scan
- Format 2/3:Retrieve data using RID for each data entry
- Unclustered implies more I/Os

Access Path refers to the different ways to retrieve tuples from a relation. It is either a file scan or a index plus matching selection condition. The more **selective** the access paths, the fewer pages are read from the disk.

- Table scan: scan all data pages
- Index scan: scan all index pages
- Table intersection: combine results from multiple index scans (union, intersec). Find RIDs of each predicate and get the intersection

Query: Selection Covering Index

- I is a covering index for query Q if I contains all attributes of Q
- No RID lookup is needed
- Index-only plan
- If data is unclustered, unsorted, no index -¿ best way is to collect all entries and sort by RID before doing I/O

CNF Predicate

- Find RIDs of each predicate and get the intersection
- Conjuncts are in the form (R.A op c V R.a op R.b)
- CNF are conjuncts (or terms) connected by $\wedge$

Matching Predicates - B+ Tree

- Non-disjunctive CNF (no $\vee$)
- At most one non-equality comparison operator which must be on the **last attribute in the CNF**
- $(k_1 = c_1) \wedge (k_2 = c_2) \wedge ...k_i opc_i | I = (k_1, k_2 ... k_n)$
- The order of k matters, and there cannot be missing K_i in the middle of the CNF
- Having inequality operator before equality operator makes the query to be less selective

Matching Predicates - Hasing

- No inequality operators $(k_1 = c_1) \wedge ...k_i = c_n | I = (k_1, k_2 ... k_n)$
- Unlike B+ tree, **all predicates must match**

I=(age, weight, height), p=($age \geq 20 \wedge age \geq 18 weight = 50 \wedge height = 150 \wedge level = 3$)

Primary Conjuncts : The subset of conjuncts in p that I matches

Primary Conjuncts: $age \geq 20 \wedge age \geq 18$

Covered Conjuncts : The subset of conjuncts in p that I covers (conjuncts that appear in I). Primary conjunct $\subseteq$ covered conjunct

Covered Conjuncts: $age \geq 20 \wedge age \geq 18 \wedge height = 150$

Cost Notation

Notation	Meaning
r	relational algebra expression
$\|\|r\|\|$	number of tuples in output of r — data records
$\|r\|$	number of pages in output of r
b_d	number of data records that can fit on a page
b_i	number of data entries that can fit on a page
F	average fanout of B$^+$-tree index (i.e., number of pointers to child nodes)
h	height of B$^+$-tree index (i.e., number of levels of internal nodes)
	$h = \lceil \log_F(\lceil \frac{\|\|R\|\|}{b_i} \rceil) \rceil$ if format-2 index on table R
B	number of available buffer pages

$[d+1, 2d+1]$

Cost of B+-tree index evaluation of p

Let p'=primary conjuncts of p — p_c=covered conjuncts of p

1. Navigate internal nodes to locate the first leaf page

$$Cost_{internal} = \begin{cases} \lceil log_F(\lceil \frac{||R||}{b_d} \rceil) \rceil | Format1 \\ \lceil log_F(\lceil \frac{||R||}{b_i} \rceil) \rceil | Otherwise \end{cases}$$

1.1 This is traversing the height of B+ tree

2. Scan leaf pages to access all qualifying data entries

$$Cost_{leaf} = \begin{cases} \lceil \lceil \frac{||\sigma_{p'}(R)||}{b_d} \rceil \rceil | Format1 \\ \lceil \lceil \frac{||\sigma_{p'}(R)||}{b_i} \rceil \rceil | Otherwise \end{cases}$$

2.1 This is the cost of reading qualifying conjuncts

2.2 Using p_c would be wrong since covering conjuncts may be non-matching which results in more reads from the leaves

3 Retrieve qualified data records using RID lookups. 0 if I is covering OR format 1 index. $||\sigma_{p_c}(R)||$ otherwise

Cost of RID lookups could be reduced by first sorting the RIDs

$$\lceil \frac{||\sigma_{p_c}(R)||}{b_d} \rceil \leq Cost_{rid} \leq \min\{||\sigma_{p_c}(R)||, |R|\}$$

assuming clustered/congiguous

sequenced I/O

unclustered

ceiling because we have to read the additional page for the remainder RIDs

Cost of Hash index evaluation of p

- Format 1: cost to retrieve **data entries** is at least $\lceil \frac{||\sigma_{p'}(R)||}{b_d} \rceil$
- Format 2: cost to retrieve **data entries** is at least $\lceil \frac{||\sigma_{p'}(R)||}{b_i} \rceil$
- Format 2: Cost to retrieve **data records** is 0 if it is a covering index (all information in data entry) OR $||\sigma_{p'}(R)||$ otherwise