

## Microeconometrics

4<sup>th</sup> Tutorial: Maximum Likelihood Estimation(continued), Variance Estimation

Tomas Repasky

WS 2016/17

Technische Universität Berlin Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre und Wirtschaftsrecht FG Ökonometrie und Wirtschaftsstatistik



### From the last Tutorial

#### MLE of $\pi$

Your task was to compute the Maximum Likelihood Estimator of  $\pi := \mathbb{P}(Y_i = 1)$  of a bernoulli random variable  $Y_i$  and check the SOC making sure that you have indeed found the maximum.

The respective Log-Likelihood looks like:

$$\mathcal{L}(\pi, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \{ y_i * log(\pi) + (1 - y_i) * log(1 - \pi) \}$$
 (1)

The respective FOC:

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i - n\hat{\pi}}{\hat{\pi}(1-\hat{\pi})} = 0 \tag{2}$$



### From the Last Tutorial II

### MLE of $\pi$

The corresponding Maximum is thus given by:

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i = \hat{\pi} = \bar{y}$$
 (3)

And finally the SOC:

$$\frac{\partial \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i \frac{1}{\pi} + (1 - y_i) \frac{1}{1 - \pi} (-1)\right)}{\partial \pi} =$$
 (4)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} -\frac{y_i}{\hat{\pi}^2} - \frac{(1-y_i)}{(1-\hat{\pi})^2} < 0$$
 (5)



# Today's Task: Plot the $\mathscr{L}$ , FOC as well as SOC as a function of $\hat{\pi}$

- ► Look at the functions and define the parameters on which they depend.
- Start off with the  $\mathscr{L}$ . How would you compute the values of this function for different  $\hat{\pi}$  and which parameters would you need to do so?
- ► This function is already programmed in the uploaded R-code.
- Review the code and do the same for the FOC and SOC as given by the maximization problem's solution.
- ► For the SOC, plot the function for three cases: the number of working spouses in the sample is 1.) 100, 2.) 428, 3.) 600.



- $\blacktriangleright$  For  $\mathscr{L},$  discuss the resulting graph. How can the graph be interpreted?
- ▶ Programm/produce the plot of the FOC( $\hat{\pi}$ ) and explain the *intuition* behind the graph.
- ▶ Plot the SOC for all the three cases into one graph. What can you learn from the difference between those cases?



## Repetition

I Maximum Likelihood Estimation

You have learned in the lecture that the asymptotic variance of the MLE Estimator can be computed in the following ways:

$$\mathbf{A} = \left\{ -\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\beta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta} \partial \boldsymbol{\beta}'} \right] \right\}^{-1} = \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{I}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \right] \right\}^{-1}$$

Where the observed Information Matrix equals to minus the Hessian.

$$\mathbf{I} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} \mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{y})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta} \partial \boldsymbol{\theta}'} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{H}_{i}(\boldsymbol{\theta}; y_{i})$$

What follows from that and how does it help you to explain the difference in the last task (comparing the differences between SOC given # of 1's is 100, 428, and 600)?



### Variance Estimators in the Probit Model:

#### estimated Hessian matrix

$$\hat{Avar}_1(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n -\mathbf{H}_i(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \right\}^{-1} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i * \left[ \lambda_i + \mathbf{x}_i' \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \right] * \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' \right\}^{-1}$$
 (6)

outer product of the score vector

$$\hat{Avar}_2(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{s}_i(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_i) \mathbf{s}_i(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_i)' \right\}^{-1} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 * \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' \right\}^{-1}$$
(7)

estimated conditional Hessian matrix

$$\hat{Avar}_3(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\phi(\mathbf{x}_i'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})^2}{\Phi(\mathbf{x}_i'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) * [1 - \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})]} * \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' \right\}^{-1}$$
(8)

where:

$$\lambda_{i} = \frac{\phi(\mathbf{x}_{i}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) * [Y_{i} - \Phi(\mathbf{x}_{i}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})]}{\Phi(\mathbf{x}_{i}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) * [1 - \Phi(\mathbf{x}_{i}'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})]}$$
(9)



- ▶ Begin by estimating the  $\lambda$ , which will be a vector consisting of individual  $\lambda_i$
- ▶ Why it is easier this way (in R)?
- Estimate it!



# $\hat{Avar}_1(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$

- It is easier to deal with Matrices in R.
- ▶ We need to express the  $\hat{Avar}_1(\hat{\beta})$  in the Matrix form.
- Hint: The easiest way would be to search for the same formula in the matrix form.
- You could implement the formula exactly as it is using for-loops but that would be burdensome.
- ▶ We can use that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' = X'X$  but we still have to include the term before the vectors that also depends on i in the Matrix.
- ▶ How can we do that?



# $\hat{Avar}_1(\hat{\beta})$ in Matrix form I:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' =$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ x_{1i} \\ x_{2i} \\ x_{3i} \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{1i} & x_{2i} & x_{3i} \\ \end{bmatrix} \right\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{1i} & x_{2i} & x_{3i} \\ x_{1i} & x_{1i}^2 & x_{1i}x_{2i} & x_{1i}x_{3i} \\ x_{2i} & x_{2i}x_{1i} & x_{2i}^2 & x_{2i}x_{3i} \\ x_{3i} & x_{3i}x_{1i} & x_{3i}x_{2i} & x_{3i}^2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

$$=\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1 & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{2i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{3i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1i}^{2} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1i}x_{2i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1i}x_{3i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{2i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{2i}x_{1i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{2i}^{2} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{2i}x_{3i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{3i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{3i}x_{1i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{3i}x_{2i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{3i}^{2} \end{bmatrix}$$



# $\hat{Avar}_1(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$ in Matrix form I:

Define 
$$c_i := \lambda_i * \left[ \lambda_i + \mathbf{x}_i' \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \right]$$
 and note that  $c_i$  is a scalar. 
$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i * \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_i' =$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i & \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_{1i} & \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_{2i} & \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_{3i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_{1i} & \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_{1i}^2 & \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_{1i} x_{2i} & \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_{1i} x_{3i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_{2i} & \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_{2i} x_{1i} & \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_{2i}^2 & \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_{2i} x_{3i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_{3i} & \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_{3i} x_{1i} & \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_{3i} x_{2i} & \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_{3i}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$



# $\hat{Avar}_1(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$ in Matrix form II:

### Matrices X and X'X:

$$\mathbf{X'X} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_{11} & x_{12} & x_{13} & \dots & x_{1n} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} & x_{23} & \dots & x_{2n} \\ x_{31} & x_{32} & x_{33} & \dots & x_{3n} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{11} & x_{21} & x_{31} \\ 1 & x_{12} & x_{22} & x_{32} \\ 1 & x_{13} & x_{23} & x_{33} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{1n} & x_{2n} & x_{3n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$=\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1 & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{2i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{3i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1i}^{2} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1i}x_{2i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1i}x_{3i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{2i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{2i}x_{1i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{2i}^{2} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{2i}x_{3i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{3i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{3i}x_{1i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{3i}x_{2i} & \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{3i}^{2} \end{bmatrix}$$



# $\hat{Avar}_1(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$ in Matrix form III:

# But we want to multiply every $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{mi}x_{ki}$ by the respective $c_i$ !

- ▶ We thus multiply every row of the matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  by the respective  $\sqrt{c_i}$ . Note that every row (except for the  $1^{st}$  one) contains all x-values for the respective observation i.
- ▶ How we can get there? Premultiply the Matrix X with a diagonal Matrix with the vector  $c^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  on the maindiagonal.