Exercise A.

1. (a)  $E = \eta \| w \|_F^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \| x_i - w s_i \|^2 + \lambda \| s_i \|_1$   $\frac{\partial E}{\partial w} = 2 \eta w + \sum_{i=1}^N 2 (x_i - w s_i) (-s_i) = 0$ .

1. (b) dE = 2 (xi-wsi)(-w) + x sign(si) = 0. Sign(si) = 1 as si>0.

DE = 2 (x; - WS;) (-W) + >1 = 0.

MLZESHT2a) 11 r; 112 = 115; 117 with 5 = g(r;)  $z = \frac{1}{2} r_i^2 = \frac{1}{2} lg(r_i)$  and  $l(r_i)^2 = (\sqrt{2} r_i^2)^2 - \frac{1}{2} r_i^2$ -7 A: 1: -7 12 (9: 12h -712h) b) (1) &1 wom is not applicable to gradient derews as it is not differentiable at 0. We can ust a marriles lot smothing) parameter & Vx2+ & to be able to perform the gradient direct. The 12 norm is differentiable at each point. (2) The 12 norm penalties directorge marrily treater of the diminishing returns when climents more closer to O but this is what we wish for in wing the thurder = 95: 03: - 8,(-)-x; my E = VIIMIL + + 3 11x1 - Md(L') 11 + y 11L'113 and 3 = x; -2; when 2 = Wg()  $\frac{\partial \hat{E}}{\partial v} = \underbrace{\underbrace{\underbrace{X}}_{i=1} (x_i - \hat{x}_i) \cdot W \cdot g^{\dagger}(\cdot) \cdot X_i}_{i=1}$ 

## Exercise 3b

## Advantages:

- it is often faster and simpler to obtain sparse representations via autoencoders
- huge reduction in parameters(example: in case of natural images)
- sparsifying non-linearity
- the estimate of the expectation E [h<sub>j</sub>(x; W, b)] is very noisy in direct optimization but autoencoder denoises the data
- easier to implement
- faster to optimize (no need to keep track of source codes)
- good initial guess for si, optimize from there --> save iterations of source optimization
- can be trained by backpropagation

## Disadvantages:

- 2 layers to train
- (more parameters)
- no control of regularization
- bad encoder --> bad decoder