



Technische Universität Berlin

Fakultät IV – Elektrotechnik und Informatik

Probabilistic and Bayesian Modelling in Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence

Manfred Oppel and Théo Galy-Fajou

Summer Term 2018

Problem Sheet 5

Solutions

Problem 1 – Variational inference

Assume we have n observations $D = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ generated independently from a Gaussian density $\mathcal{N}(x|\mu, 1/\tau)$, i.e.

$$p(D|\mu, \tau) = \left(\frac{\tau}{2\pi}\right)^{n/2} \exp \left[-\frac{\tau}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \right]$$

We also assume prior densities $p(\mu|\tau) = \mathcal{N}(\mu|\mu_0, (\lambda_0\tau)^{-1})$ and $p(\tau) = \text{Gamma}(\tau|a_0, b_0)$. λ_0 and μ_0 as well as a_0, b_0 are given hyper parameters.

Our goal is to approximate the posterior density $p(\mu, \tau|D)$ by a **factorising density** $q(\mu, \tau) = q_1(\mu)q_2(\tau)$ which minimises the variational free energy

$$F[q] = \int q(\mu, \tau) \ln \frac{q(\mu, \tau)}{p(\mu, \tau, D)} d\mu d\tau$$

- (a) Show that the optimal $q_1(\mu)$ is a **Gaussian density** and give expressions for the mean and variance in terms of expectations with respect to q_2 .
- (b) Show that the optimal $q_2(\tau)$ is a **Gamma density** and give expressions for the parameters in terms of expectations with respect to q_1 .

You can use the following results which follow from the derivations given in the lecture

$$q_1(\mu) \propto \exp [E_\tau [\ln p(\mu, \tau, D)]]$$
$$q_2(\tau) \propto \exp [E_\mu [\ln p(\mu, \tau, D)]]$$

We have the representation of the joint density

$$p(\mu, \tau, D) = p(D|\mu, \tau)p(\mu|\tau)p(\tau)$$

with

$$p(\mu|\tau) = \frac{(\lambda_0\tau)^{1/2}}{2\pi} \exp\left(-\frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2\lambda_0\tau}{2}\right)$$

$$p(\tau) \propto \tau^{a_0-1} e^{-b_0\tau}$$

(a) Hence

$$E_\tau [\ln p(\mu, \tau, D)] = -\frac{E_\tau[\tau]}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 - \frac{\lambda_0 E_\tau[\tau]}{2} (\mu - \mu_0)^2 + \text{const} =$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} (E_\tau[\tau](n + \lambda_0)) \mu^2 + \mu E_\tau[\tau] \left(\sum_i x_i + \lambda_0 \mu_0 \right) + \text{const}$$

Note, that the second constant differs from the first. We get a Gaussian density for $q_1(\mu)$ with

$$E[\mu] = \frac{\sum_i x_i + \lambda_0 \mu_0}{n + \lambda_0}$$

$$\text{VAR}[\mu] = \frac{1}{E_\tau[\tau](n + \lambda_0)}$$

(b) for the density of $q_2(\tau)$, we use

$$E_\tau [\ln p(\mu, \tau, D)] = \ln(\tau^{a_0+(n+1)/2-1} e^{-b_0\tau}) - \frac{\tau}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n E_\mu[(x_i - \mu)^2] - \frac{\lambda_0\tau}{2} E_\mu[(\mu - \mu_0)^2] + \text{const}$$

We get a Gamma density

$$q_2(\tau) \propto \tau^{a_n-1} e^{-b_n\tau}$$

with parameters

$$a_n = a_0 + (n + 1)/2$$

$$b_n = b_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n E_\mu[(x_i - \mu)^2] + \frac{\lambda_0}{2} E_\mu[(\mu - \mu_0)^2]$$

Knowing the form of both variational distributions we can also compute closed form solution of the expectations :

$$E_\tau [\tau] = \frac{a_n}{b_n}$$

$$E_\mu [(x - \mu)^2] = \text{Var}_\mu [\mu] + (x - E_\mu [\mu])^2$$

And proceed to coordinate ascent updates to converge to the optimal distribution.