

Assignment Two

Create RMSA function

```
calculate_RMSE<-function(actual, prediction)
{
  rmsa<-sqrt(mean((actual-prediction)^2))
  return(rmsa)
}
```

1 Encode categorical variables to factors using the as.factor command

```
bikesharingbyhour$season <- as.factor(bikesharingbyhour$season)
bikesharingbyhour$yr <- as.factor(bikesharingbyhour$yr)
bikesharingbyhour$mnth <- as.factor(bikesharingbyhour$mnth)
bikesharingbyhour$hr <- as.factor(bikesharingbyhour$hr)
bikesharingbyhour$holiday <- as.factor(bikesharingbyhour$holiday)
bikesharingbyhour$weekday <- as.factor(bikesharingbyhour$weekday )
bikesharingbyhour$workingday <- as.factor(bikesharingbyhour$workingday)
bikesharingbyhour$weathersit <- as.factor(bikesharingbyhour$weathersit)
```

2 Split the dataset into a training and test sets as we did in lecture. Use a 80%-20% split.

```
index <- sample(1:nrow(bikesharingbyhour), 0.80*nrow(bikesharingbyhour))
tr_df <- bikesharingbyhour[index,]
te_df <- bikesharingbyhour[-index,]
```

3 Run a linear regression using the lm() command treating the cnt variable as the response variable.

remove casual and registered variables from training dataset since both are direct sum of the response variable

```
tr_df <- subset(tr_df , select=-c(casual,registered))
reg_model <- lm(cnt~. -instant - dteday, data=tr_df)
```

#4 Now use the summary() function on the linear model you created above. Which predictor variables have the lowest p-values, hence, are the most statistically significant? How would you interpret the results?

```
summary(reg_model)
```

Output

Call:

```
lm(formula = cnt ~ . - instant - dteday, data = tr_df)
```

Residuals:

| | Min | 1Q | Median | 3Q | Max |
|--|---------|--------|--------|-------|--------|
| | -391.26 | -61.22 | -7.87 | 51.61 | 440.90 |

Coefficients: (1 not defined because of singularities)

| | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t) |
|-------------|----------|------------|---------|--------------|
| (Intercept) | -85.195 | 7.476 | -11.395 | < 2e-16 *** |
| season2 | 37.654 | 5.434 | 6.930 | 4.41e-12 *** |
| season3 | 31.887 | 6.454 | 4.940 | 7.89e-07 *** |
| season4 | 65.281 | 5.491 | 11.890 | < 2e-16 *** |
| yr1 | 85.679 | 1.752 | 48.905 | < 2e-16 *** |
| mnth2 | 3.305 | 4.401 | 0.751 | 0.452692 |
| mnth3 | 12.737 | 4.951 | 2.573 | 0.010105 * |
| mnth4 | 3.839 | 7.316 | 0.525 | 0.599814 |
| mnth5 | 17.686 | 7.822 | 2.261 | 0.023773 * |
| mnth6 | 4.600 | 8.055 | 0.571 | 0.567986 |
| mnth7 | -15.492 | 9.055 | -1.711 | 0.087133 . |
| mnth8 | 5.156 | 8.815 | 0.585 | 0.558601 |
| mnth9 | 30.349 | 7.842 | 3.870 | 0.000109 *** |
| mnth10 | 16.076 | 7.284 | 2.207 | 0.027326 * |
| mnth11 | -9.220 | 7.011 | -1.315 | 0.188534 |
| mnth12 | -6.803 | 5.578 | -1.220 | 0.222673 |
| hr1 | -15.004 | 6.015 | -2.494 | 0.012635 * |
| hr2 | -23.711 | 6.028 | -3.933 | 8.42e-05 *** |
| hr3 | -36.343 | 6.073 | -5.984 | 2.23e-09 *** |
| hr4 | -40.214 | 6.101 | -6.591 | 4.53e-11 *** |
| hr5 | -19.597 | 6.020 | -3.255 | 0.001136 ** |
| hr6 | 36.179 | 5.980 | 6.050 | 1.49e-09 *** |
| hr7 | 170.927 | 6.022 | 28.381 | < 2e-16 *** |
| hr8 | 302.746 | 6.048 | 50.058 | < 2e-16 *** |
| hr9 | 164.835 | 6.012 | 27.416 | < 2e-16 *** |
| hr10 | 109.995 | 6.001 | 18.328 | < 2e-16 *** |
| hr11 | 136.338 | 6.105 | 22.333 | < 2e-16 *** |
| hr12 | 176.589 | 6.143 | 28.745 | < 2e-16 *** |
| hr13 | 166.974 | 6.191 | 26.969 | < 2e-16 *** |
| hr14 | 150.621 | 6.198 | 24.303 | < 2e-16 *** |
| hr15 | 163.867 | 6.241 | 26.258 | < 2e-16 *** |
| hr16 | 224.825 | 6.262 | 35.900 | < 2e-16 *** |
| hr17 | 379.984 | 6.161 | 61.675 | < 2e-16 *** |
| hr18 | 345.237 | 6.128 | 56.339 | < 2e-16 *** |
| hr19 | 241.614 | 6.123 | 39.459 | < 2e-16 *** |
| hr20 | 159.538 | 6.097 | 26.167 | < 2e-16 *** |
| hr21 | 109.938 | 6.053 | 18.164 | < 2e-16 *** |
| hr22 | 71.590 | 6.046 | 11.841 | < 2e-16 *** |
| hr23 | 33.770 | 6.015 | 5.614 | 2.01e-08 *** |
| holiday1 | -28.185 | 5.432 | -5.188 | 2.15e-07 *** |
| weekday1 | 9.741 | 3.335 | 2.921 | 0.003498 ** |
| weekday2 | 9.929 | 3.252 | 3.053 | 0.002267 ** |
| weekday3 | 11.560 | 3.249 | 3.559 | 0.000374 *** |
| weekday4 | 10.971 | 3.240 | 3.386 | 0.000712 *** |
| weekday5 | 17.264 | 3.246 | 5.318 | 1.07e-07 *** |
| weekday6 | 15.559 | 3.219 | 4.834 | 1.35e-06 *** |
| workingday1 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| weathersit2 | -10.978 | 2.164 | -5.072 | 3.98e-07 *** |
| weathersit3 | -68.103 | 3.646 | -18.679 | < 2e-16 *** |
| weathersit4 | -63.493 | 59.078 | -1.075 | 0.282517 |
| temp | 123.064 | 32.310 | 3.809 | 0.000140 *** |
| atemp | 126.201 | 33.452 | 3.773 | 0.000162 *** |
| hum | -81.880 | 6.221 | -13.162 | < 2e-16 *** |
| windspeed | -27.631 | 7.873 | -3.510 | 0.000450 *** |

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 102 on 13850 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.6853, Adjusted R-squared: 0.6841
F-statistic: 579.9 on 52 and 13850 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

Hour, Season and weathersit, hum variables has got lowest p-values which is less than 2e-16

5 Using the calculate_RMSE function you created in the first part of this assignment, calculate the RMSE against the test set corresponding to the linear model. How accurate is your model?

```
# prediction on the test set using our model  
# remove casual and registered variables from test dataset since both are direct sum of the  
# response variable
```

```
te_df <- subset(te_df, select=-c(casual,registered))  
preds <- predict(reg_model, newdata = te_df)  
rmsa <- calculate_RMSE(te_df$cnt, preds)  
print(rmsa)
```

RMSA= 100.9349, when I compare the actual and predicted value it look like that the model did not accurate, there is significant difference between the actual and predicted values which resulted larger RMSA value.