#### **WIDEBOT**

# Semantic Search in Articles using NLP

#### **OVERVIEW**

This project aims to classify English articles using text preprocessing, TF-IDF vectorization for feature extraction, KNN model, machine learning models for classification.

### **Data Loading and Preprocessing**

**Objective:** Load and preprocess text data for feature extraction and model training.

1. Dataset: Reuters-21578 dataset from the NLTK library.

# **1.1 Preprocessing Steps:**

- Lowercasing: Convert text to lowercase for consistency.
- Removing Punctuation: Strip out punctuation to focus on meaningful words.
- Tokenization: Split the text into individual words (tokens).
- Stop Words Removal: Remove common stop words using NLTK's stopwords list.
- Stemming: Reduce words to their root forms using the Porter Stemmer.

#### 1.2Feature Extraction: TF-IDF Vectorization

Objective: Transform text data into numerical features using TF-IDF vectorization.

- 1. TF-IDF Vectorizer: Convert the text data into TF-IDF features.
  - max\_features: Set to 1000 to limit the number of features to the top 1000 terms.
- 2. Vectorization:
  - Fit the vectorizer on the training data and transform both the validation and test data.
  - Extract keywords and their TF-IDF scores.

#### 1.3 Classification Models

**Objective:** Train and evaluate classification models on the extracted features.

- 1. k-Nearest Neighbors (KNN):
  - Distance Metric: Cosine similarity.
  - Neighbors: Set to 10.
- 2. Random Forest:
  - Estimators: Set to 180.

#### **Model Evaluation**

Objective: Evaluate model performance using accuracy and classification metrics.

- 1. Accuracy: Measure the overall correctness of the model.
- 2. Classification Report: Provides precision, recall, and F1-score for each class.

#### **Results and Discussion**

- 1. Test Accuracy:
  - Random Forest: 84%
  - o KNN: 82%
- 2. Classification Report: Detailed performance metrics for each class.

#### Observations:

- Random Forest performed slightly better than KNN.
- Some categories have low recall and precision, indicating difficulty in classifying those specific categories.

#### Conclusion

The project demonstrates the effectiveness of TF-IDF vectorization for text classification using machine learning models. While the Random Forest model outperformed KNN.

# **Additional Requirements:**

## **Tools Used:**

- NLTK for text preprocessing and tokenization
- Scikit-learn for TF-IDF vectorization, train-test split, encoding, K-Nearest Neighbors, Random Forest, and evaluation metrics
- NumPy for numerical operations

# **External Resources:**

Reuters dataset from NLTK

# **Captioned Tables**

## Dataset Split Sizes

Set	Number of Articles
Training	5000
Validation	1500
Testing	3500

# Top 10 Keywords by TF-IDF Score

Rank	Keyword	TF-IDF Score
1	'said'	High Value
2	'year'	High Value
3	'market'	High Value
10	'company'	High Value
Model Accuracy Comparison		

Model	Accuracy
KNN	0.82
Random Forest	0.84

# **Reflection Questions:**

The biggest challenge was in using new models and new techniques .

I have learned a lot of things in NLP and how to tune the models in the right way.