

Chapter 5: Eigenvalues, Eigenvectors, and invariant subspaces

April 14, 2024

Contents

A: Invariant Subspaces	3
------------------------	---

A: Invariant Subspaces

Problem 1

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and U is a subspace of V .

- (a) Prove that if $U \subset \text{null } T$, then U is invariant under T .
- (b) Prove that if $\text{range } T \subset U$, then U is invariant under T .

Proof. (a) Let $u \in U$. Then $T(u) \in \text{null } T$ because $u \in \text{null } T$. Therefore, $T(u) \in U$.

(b) Let $u \in U$. Then $T(u) \in U$ because $T(u) \in \text{range } T \subset U$. □

Problem 2

Suppose $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ are such that $ST = TS$. Prove that $\text{null } S$ is invariant under T .

Proof. We have $ST(\text{null } S) = T(S(\text{null } S)) = T(0) = 0$. This implies that $T(\text{null } S) \subset \text{null } S$. □

Problem 3

Suppose $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ are such that $ST = TS$. Prove that $\text{range } S$ is invariant under T .

Proof. Pending. □

Problem 4

Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and U_1, \dots, U_m are subspaces of V invariant under T . Prove that $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is invariant under T .

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} T(U_1 + \dots + U_m) &= T(U_1) + \dots + T(U_m) \\ T(U_1) &\subset U_1 \subset U_1 + \dots + U_m \\ &\vdots \\ T(U_m) &\subset U_m \subset U_1 + \dots + U_m \end{aligned}$$

Since $U_1 + \dots + U_m$ is still a subspace, $T(U_1 + \dots + U_m) \subset U_1 + \dots + U_m$. □

Problem 5

Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Prove that the intersection of every collection of subspaces of V that are invariant under T is invariant under T .

Proof. Every invariant subspace contains $\{0\}$, and also which is the smallest one. Hence, the intersection is $\{0\}$ and is trivially invariant under T . \square

Problem 6

Prove or give a counterexample: if V is a finite-dimensional vector space and U is a subspace of V that is invariant under every operator on V , then $U = \{0\}$ or $U = V$.

Proof. Because every operator on V leaves $\{0\}$ invariant, the question turns to prove the existence of an operator under which only $\{0\}$ and V is invariant.

The case where $\dim V \leq 1$ is trivial. Suppose $\dim V \geq 2$. We could always construct such an operator U . Suppose there exists an invariant subspace U_1 of V under an operator T that is neither $\{0\}$ nor V for which $\dim U_1 < \dim V$. Define T which rotates U_1 to W , where $W \oplus U_1 = V$. $\forall u \in U_1, T(u)$ have some component in W , which is not in U_1 . Thus, U is not invariant under U , which is a contradiction. Therefore, $U = \{0\}$ or $U = V$. \square

Problem 8

Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{F}^2)$ by

$$T(w, z) = (z, w).$$

Find all eigenvalues and eigenvectors of T .