

Logistic Regression Q&A

1. Prove convexity of logistic loss.

Logistic loss (binary case):

For one sample:

$$\ell(\mathbf{w}) = \log(1 + e^{-y\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}})$$

where $y \in \{-1, 1\}$

Let $z = \mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}$

First Derivative: $d\ell/dz = -y / (1 + e^{yz})$

Second Derivative: $d^2\ell/dz^2 = e^{yz} / (1 + e^{yz})^2 \geq 0$

Since the second derivative is always non-negative:

- ✓ Logistic loss is convex
- ✓ Strictly convex if X has full rank

Thus, optimization has a unique global minimum.

2. Why does logistic regression not overfit as easily as high-degree polynomial regression?

Logistic Regression

- Linear decision boundary
- Limited capacity
- Few parameters
- Implicit smoothness

High-Degree Polynomial Regression

- Very flexible hypothesis space
- Can interpolate noise
- High variance
- Large parameter magnitudes

Core Reason: Overfitting depends on model capacity.

Logistic regression is a low-capacity linear classifier, whereas high-degree polynomial regression greatly increases VC dimension.

3. Derive IRLS from Newton's method.

Log-Likelihood: $\ell(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_i [y_i \log p_i + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - p_i)]$

Where: $p_i = \sigma(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i)$

Gradient: $\nabla \ell = \mathbf{X}^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{p})$

Hessian: $\mathbf{H} = -\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}$

Where: $\mathbf{W} = \text{diag}(p_i (1 - p_i))$

Newton Update

$\mathbf{w}_{\text{new}} = \mathbf{w}_{\text{old}} - \mathbf{H}^{-1} \nabla \ell$

Substituting:

$\mathbf{w}_{\text{new}} = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{z}$

Where:

$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{W}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{p})$

This method is called: Iteratively Reweighted Least Squares (IRLS)

Because each iteration solves a weighted least squares problem.

4. Compare logistic loss vs hinge loss mathematically.

Logistic Loss: $\log(1 + e^{-y f(\mathbf{x})})$

- Smooth
- Differentiable
- Probabilistic

Hinge Loss (SVM): $\max(0, 1 - y f(\mathbf{x}))$

- Not differentiable at margin
- Margin-based

Comparison table

Logistic	Hinge
Smooth	Non-smooth
Probabilistic	Margin-based
Penalizes all points	Penalizes only misclassified/margin points
Used in Logistic Regression	Used in SVM

5. What happens when data is perfectly separable?

If there exists \mathbf{w} such that:

$$\mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i > 0 \text{ for all } i$$

Then:

- Log-likelihood increases indefinitely
- $\|\mathbf{w}\| \rightarrow \infty$
- Decision boundary becomes infinitely steep

6. Why does MLE not exist under perfect separation?

$$\text{Log-likelihood: } \ell(\mathbf{w}) = \sum \log(1 + e^{\mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_i})$$

If data is separable:

$$\text{As } \|\mathbf{w}\| \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\ell(\mathbf{w}) \rightarrow 0$$

There is no finite maximizer.

Therefore:

- ✗ MLE does not exist
- ✓ Regularization is required

7. Derive logistic regression as maximum entropy classifier.

Maximum entropy principle:

Choose the distribution with:

- Maximum entropy
- Subject to feature expectation constraints

Constraint:

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}] = \text{empirical mean}$$

Solving the constrained optimization yields:

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{1} / (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{e}^{-\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}})$$

Thus: Logistic regression is the maximum entropy distribution under linear constraints.

8. Analyze asymptotic distribution of estimator.

Under regularity conditions: $\sqrt{n} (\hat{\mathbf{w}} - \mathbf{w}) \rightarrow N(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}^{-1})^*$

Where: $\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}$ (Fisher Information)

Thus:

- Estimator is consistent
- Asymptotically normal
- Variance shrinks as $n \rightarrow \infty$

9. Compare generative (Naive Bayes) vs discriminative (logistic).

Generative Model

Models: $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{y}) \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{y})$

Example: Naive Bayes

Pros:

- Works well with small datasets
- Faster training

Discriminative Model

Models: $P(y | \mathbf{x})$

Example: Logistic Regression

Pros:

- Better asymptotic performance
- Fewer assumptions

Key Difference:

Naive Bayes	Logistic Regression
Strong independence assumption	No independence assumption
Estimates joint distribution	Estimates conditional directly
Can be biased	Lower asymptotic error

10. When does logistic regression fail?

1. **Nonlinear decision boundaries**
Cannot model complex patterns without feature engineering.
2. **Perfect separation**
MLE does not exist.

3. **Multicollinearity**
Causes variance inflation.
4. **High dimensional, small sample ($p \gg n$)**
Unstable without regularization.
5. **Severe class imbalance**
Biased decision boundary.
6. **Outliers in feature space**
Affect coefficient estimates.