

# DECISION TREE – Theoretical Questions

## 1. Why are Decision Trees Non-Parametric Models?

A parametric model assumes a fixed functional form with a fixed number of parameters (e.g., linear regression).

Decision trees:

- Do not assume a fixed functional form.
- The number of parameters (nodes, splits) grows with data.
- Model complexity adapts to dataset size.

Thus, trees are non-parametric because their capacity increases with data rather than being fixed.

## 2. Compare Entropy vs Gini Mathematically

Let class probabilities be  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_K$ .

Entropy:

$$H = - \sum_{k=1}^K p_k \log p_k$$

Gini Impurity:

$$G = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^K p_k^2$$

Comparison:

- Both measure node impurity.
- Both minimized when node is pure.
- Entropy grows logarithmically.
- Gini is quadratic.

For binary case ( $p, 1-p$ ):

$$H(p) = -p \log p - (1 - p) \log (1 - p)$$

$$G(p) = 2p(1 - p)$$

Gini is computationally simpler. Entropy is more sensitive to class imbalance.

### 3. Why Are Trees Unstable?

Decision trees are unstable because:

- Small changes in data may change the best split.
- Greedy splitting amplifies early decisions.
- Axis-aligned splits are sensitive to noise.

Thus, high variance  $\rightarrow$  unstable model.

### 4. Computational Complexity of Training

At each node:

- Try  $p$  features.
- Sort values:  $O(n \log n)$ .

Total complexity:  $O(pn \log n)$

Worst case (deep tree):  $O(pn^2)$

### 5. Why Greedy Splitting Does Not Guarantee Global Optimum

Tree building solves:  $\min_T \sum \text{impurity}(T)$

But greedy splitting:

- Chooses best local split at each node.
- Does not consider future splits.
- Problem is combinatorial and NP-hard.

Thus, greedy optimization  $\neq$  global optimization.

### 6. How Does Tree Depth Relate to VC Dimension?

For a tree of depth  $d$ :

- Maximum number of leaves =  $2^d$

VC dimension grows roughly as:  $VC \approx O(2^d)$

Thus:

- Deeper tree  $\rightarrow$  larger capacity.
- Higher depth  $\rightarrow$  greater overfitting risk.

## 7. Why Do Trees Overfit Small Datasets?

Trees:

- Keep splitting until pure nodes.
- Small data  $\rightarrow$  random patterns appear meaningful.
- High flexibility  $\rightarrow$  low bias, high variance.

Thus small datasets cause overfitting.

## 8. Compare CART vs ID3

Property	CART	ID3
Split type	Binary	Multi-way
Criterion	Gini	Entropy
Handles regression	Yes	No
Pruning	Yes	No (originally)

CART is more general and practical.

## 9. Derive Pruning as Regularization

Tree objective:  $R(T) = R_{emp}(T)$

Pruning adds penalty:  $R_\alpha(T) = R_{emp}(T) + \alpha |T|$

where:

- $|T|$  = number of leaves
- $\alpha$  = complexity penalty

This is equivalent to regularization:

Balance fit vs complexity.

## **10. Why Are Trees Invariant to Monotonic Transformations?**

Splits depend on ordering, not absolute values.

If transformation:  $x' = f(x)$

where  $f$  is monotonic:

Ordering preserved.

Thus, split decisions remain identical.

Hence trees are invariant to monotonic transformations.