

# Production Approach Workshop@IDE-JETRO

## Session 1

Yoichi Sugita

Keio University

March 26, 2024

# Markup

- Price markup over marginal costs

$$\mu_{it} = \frac{P_{it}}{MC_{it}}$$

- A measure of firm's market power
  - Efficiency
  - Income distributions

# Production Approach to Markup Estimation

- A traditional approach to estimating markups is to estimate a demand function (demand approach)
- Recently, another “production” approach has become popular in trade and macroeconomics.
  - Firm-level production data on output and inputs
  - De Loecker and Warzynski (2012)(1880 google citations)
- Discrepancy between theory and applications
  - Theory: firm-level data on output quantity
  - Many applications: data on revenue, not quantity
  - Non-identification of markup with revenue data: Bond, Hashemi, Kaplan and Zoch (2020)

# Agenda

- Session 1: Production Approach with Output Quantity Data
- Session 2: Production Approach with Revenue Data: Kasahara and Sugita (2023)
- Session 3: Estimation Codes of Kasahara and Sugita (2023)

# Markup

- Firm's maximization problem

$$\min_{Y_{it}} P_t(Y_{it}, z_{it}) Y_{it} - C_t(Y_{it})$$

$z_{it}$  : vector of demand shifters

- FOC leads to markup formula

$$\mu_{it} = \frac{P_{it}}{MC_{it}} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon_{it}^D}\right)$$

where  $\epsilon_{it}^D \equiv - \left( \frac{\partial P_t}{\partial Y_{it}} \frac{Y_{it}}{P_{it}} \right)^{-1}$  : demand elasticity

# Demand Approach

- Estimate a demand system and a demand elasticity  $\epsilon_{it}^D$  under oligopoly
  - Berry, Levinsohn and Pakes (1995); Gandhi and Nevo (2021)(survey)
- Requirement
  - Data on price and quantity sold in many markets
  - Instrument variable for price
  - Specify the functional form of the demand function
  - Specify the market structure (e.g. Bertrand competition)

# Production Approach

- A firm produces a good by using material  $M_{it}$ , capital  $K_{it}$ , and labor  $L_{it}$ :

$$Y_{it} = F_{it}(M_{it}, K_{it}, L_{it})$$

- $M_{it}$ : flexible input (no adjustment cost) and perfect competition
- $K_{it}, L_{it}$ : determined at  $t - 1$

# Production Approach

- Firm  $i$  chooses material  $M_{it}$  given  $(K_{it}, L_{it}, Y_{it})$  to minimize its cost:

$$C_{it}(K_{it}, L_{it}, Y_{it}) \equiv \min_{M_{it}} P_t^M M_{it}$$
$$\text{s.t. } Y_{it} \leq F_{it}(M_{it}, K_{it}, L_{it})$$

- FOC

$$P_t^M = \lambda_{it} \frac{\partial F_{it}}{\partial M_{it}}$$

where the Lagrange multiplier is

$$\lambda_{it} = \frac{\partial C_{it}(K_{it}, L_{it}, Y_{it})}{\partial Y_{it}} = MC_{it}$$



# Production Approach

- Price markup over marginal cost

$$\frac{P_{it}}{\lambda_{it}} = \frac{\partial F_{it}}{\partial M_{it}} \frac{P_{it}}{P_t^M} = \frac{\partial F_{it}}{\partial M_{it}} \frac{M_{it}}{Y_{it}} \frac{P_{it} Y_{it}}{P_t^M M_{it}}$$

- Markup formula

$$\mu_{it} = \frac{P_{it}}{\lambda_{it}} = \frac{\theta_{it}^M}{\alpha_{it}^M}$$

where

$$\theta_{it}^M \equiv \frac{\partial F_{it}}{\partial M_{it}} \frac{M_{it}}{Y_{it}} : \text{material elasticity}$$

$$\alpha_{it}^M \equiv \frac{P_t^M M_{it}}{P_{it} Y_{it}} : \text{material expenditure share in revenue}$$

# Production Approach to Markup Estimation

- Estimate a production function  $F_{it}$ , calculate a material elasticity  $\theta_{it}^M$  and  $\alpha_{it}^M$  in data
- Requirement
  - Production data: output and inputs, short panel data
  - Assumptions for production function estimation

## Constant Material Elasticity Case

- E.g., Cobb-Douglas production function

$$\theta_{it}^M = b$$

- $1/\alpha_{it}^M$  itself identifies markup up to scale.

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_{it}^M} = \frac{\mu_{it}}{b}$$

- Relative markups can be identified

$$\frac{\mu_{it}}{\mu_{jt}} = \frac{\alpha_{jt}^M}{\alpha_{it}^M} \text{ and } \frac{\mu_{it}}{\mu_{it-1}} = \frac{\alpha_{it-1}^M}{\alpha_{it}^M}.$$

## Constant Material Elasticity Case

- $\beta$ : causal impact of  $X$  on a markup change can be identified:

$$\ln \mu_{it} = \alpha + \beta X_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$
$$\Rightarrow \ln \frac{1}{\alpha_{it}^M} = (\alpha - b) + \beta X_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$$

## Application: Marginal Cost

- We can estimate marginal costs with price data:  $MC_{it} = P_{it}/\mu_{it}$ 
  - Price pass-through of tariffs on inputs (De Loecker, Goldberg, Khandelwal and Pavcnik, 2016)

## Application: Wage Markdown

- $w_{it}(L_{it})$ : inverse labor supply curve for firm  $i$

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{L_{it}, M_{it}} & R_{it}(Y_{it}) - w_{it}(L_{it})L_{it} - P_{it}^M M_{it} \\ \text{s.t. } & Y_{it} = F_{it}(M_{it}, L_{it}, K_{it}) \end{aligned}$$

- FOCs

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial R_{it}}{\partial Y_{it}} \frac{\partial F_{it}}{\partial M_{it}} &= P_{it}^M \\ \frac{\partial R_{it}}{\partial Y_{it}} \frac{\partial F_{it}}{\partial L_{it}} &= w_{it} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon_{it}^{LS}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

## Application: Wage Markdown

- Wage markdown (Lu, Sugita and Zhu (2019))

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{it} &= \frac{w_{it}}{\frac{\partial R_{it}}{\partial Y_{it}} \frac{\partial F_{it}}{\partial L_{it}}} = \frac{w_{it}}{p_{it}^M} \frac{\frac{\partial F_{it}}{\partial M_{it}}}{\frac{\partial F_{it}}{\partial L_{it}}} \\ &= \frac{w_{it} L_i}{p_{it}^M M_{it}} \frac{\frac{\partial F_{it}}{\partial M_{it}} \frac{M_{it}}{Y_{it}}}{\frac{\partial F_{it}}{\partial L_{it}} \frac{L_{it}}{Y_{it}}} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_{it}^L \theta_{it}^M}{\alpha_{it}^M \theta_{it}^L}\end{aligned}$$

## Application: Labor Share

- Labor share in value-added (Lu, Sugita and Zhu (2019))

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{w_{it}L_{it}}{VA_{it}} &= \alpha_{it}^L \left( \frac{R_{it}}{VA_{it}} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{\frac{\alpha_{it}^L}{\alpha_{it}^M} \frac{\theta_{it}^M}{\theta_{it}^L}}{\frac{\theta_{it}^M}{\alpha_{it}^M}} \right) \theta_{it}^L \left( \frac{R_{it}}{VA_{it}} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{\text{wage markdon}_{it}}{\text{price markup}_{it}} \right) \theta_{it}^L \left( \frac{R_{it}}{VA_{it}} \right)\end{aligned}$$



# Production Function Estimation with Quantity data

- Production data
  - $Y_{it}$ : output
  - $(M_{it}, K_{it}, L_{it})$ : inputs (materials, capital, labor)
  - (Short) panel data
- Single product firm
- Notation
  - $y_{it} = \ln Y_{it}, m_{it} = \ln M_{it}, l_{it} = \ln L_{it}$

# Setting

- Inverse demand for firm  $i$

$$\begin{aligned} p_{it} &= \psi \left( y_{it}, z_{it}^d, a_t \right) \\ &= \psi_t \left( y_{it}, z_{it}^d \right) \end{aligned}$$

- $z_{it}^d$ : firm-level demand shifters
  - In an oligopoly setting,  $z_{it}$  should include other firms' choice variables (price in Bertrand, quantity in Cournot)
  - Demand shocks, quality difference, etc.
- $a_t$ : industry-level demand shifters that the firm
  - E.g., market size; aggregate price (quantity) index under monopolistic competition

# Input Endogeneity

- Log production function with total factor productivity (TFP)  $\omega$

$$y_{it} = f(m_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it}) + \omega_{it}$$

- Input endogeneity
  - $(m_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it})$  are correlated with  $\omega_{it}$
  - With persistent  $\omega_{it}$ , past inputs  $(m_{it-1}, k_{it-1}, l_{it-1})$  are correlated with  $\omega_{it}$

## Dynamic panel approach

- Arellano and Bond (1991); Arellano and Bover (1995); Blundell and Bond (1998, 2000)
  - Olley and Pakes (1996); Levinsohn and Petrin (2003); Akerberg et al. (2015)
- Model a dynamic process of (persistent)  $\omega_{it}$ , e.g., first order Markov process:

$$\omega_{it} = h(\omega_{it-1}) + \eta_{it}$$

- $\eta_{it} \sim G_{\eta}$ : i.i.d. shock
- $h$  may include control variables (e.g., ownership; past export status; past R&D investment)

# Dynamic panel approach

- Production function

$$\begin{aligned}y_{it} &= f(m_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it}) + h(\omega_{it-1}) + \eta_{it} \\ &= f(m_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it}) + h[y_{it-1} - f(m_{it-1}, k_{it-1}, l_{it-1})] + \eta_{it}\end{aligned}$$

- Search for variables uncorrelated with  $\eta_{it}$  instead of  $\omega_{it}$

# Dynamic panel approach

- Predetermined variables
  - $(y_{it-1}, m_{it-1}, k_{it-1}, l_{it-1})$  are determined at  $t - 1$  and uncorrelated with  $\eta_{it}$
  - $k_{it}$  and  $l_{it}$  are often assumed to be determined at  $t - 1$  because of adjustment costs.
- $m_{it}$  is a main endogenous variable correlated with  $\eta_{it}$ 
  - $m_{it-s}$  ( $s = 2, \dots$ ) may be used for an IV for  $m_{it}$  under certain conditions.

# Control function approach

- Profit maximization

$$\max_m \exp(p_t + y_{it}) - \exp(p_t^m + m_{it})$$

$$\text{s.t. } y_{it} = f(m_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it}) + \omega_{it}$$

$$p_{it} = \psi_t(y_{it}, z_{it}^d)$$

- Material demand function

$$m_{it} = \mathbb{M}_t(\omega_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it}, z_{it}^d)$$

## Proxy variable approach

- Assume  $\mathbb{M}_t(\omega_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it})$  is increasing in  $\omega_{it}$  and take its inverse:

$$\omega_{it} = \mathbb{M}_t^{-1}(m_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it}, z_{it}^d)$$

- A (unknown) function of inputs and demand shifters may control for productivity
- Proxy variable (control function) approach
  - Early studies ((Olley and Pakes, 1996; Levinsohn and Petrin, 2003)) aimed to use this idea to identify some parameters of  $f$ .
  - Akerberg, Caves and Frazer (2015): not possible except special circumstances.



# Proxy variable approach

- Production function

$$\begin{aligned}y_{it} &= f(m_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it}) + \mathbb{M}_t^{-1} \left( m_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it}, z_{it}^d \right) \\ &= \phi_t \left( m_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it}, z_{it}^d \right)\end{aligned}$$

- We can only identify  $\phi_t(m_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it}, z_{it})$  but not  $f(m_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it})$  separately.

## De Loecker and Warzynski (2012)(DLW)

- Step1: Remove a measurement error  $\epsilon_{it}$  in  $y_{it}$  (Akerberg et al., 2015)

$$\begin{aligned}y_{it}^{Data} &= y_{it} + \epsilon_{it} \\ &= \phi_t \left( m_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it}, z_{it}^d \right) + \epsilon_{it}\end{aligned}$$

- Step2: identify a production function

$$y_{it} = f(m_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it}) + h[y_{it-1} - f(m_{it-1}, k_{it-1}, l_{it-1})] + \eta_{it}$$

by using past  $m_{it-s}$  as an IV for  $m_{it}$

# Discussion

- Myth: “no need to specify the demand function and the market structure”
  - True only if  $z_{it}$  includes all demand shifters and all rival firms' choice variables, which is impossible.
  - No unobserved demand shocks
- De Loecker and Warzynski (2012)

$$\mathbb{M}_t^{-1}(m_{it}, k_{it}, wage_{it}, export_{it})$$

- Implicitly assumption: monopolistic competition with a demand function

$$p_{it} = \psi_t(y_{it}, export_{it})$$

## Discussion

- In many applications,  $z_{it}$  is not included.

$$\omega_{it} = \mathbb{M}_t^{-1}(m_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it})$$

- Gandhi, Navarro and Rivers (2020)(GNR):  $m_{it-1}$  is a weak instrument for  $m_{it}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} m_{it} &= \mathbb{M}_t(\omega_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it}) \\ &= \mathbb{M}_t(h(\omega_{it-1}) + \eta_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it}) \\ &= \mathbb{M}_t(h(y_{it-1} - f(m_{it-1}, k_{it-1}, l_{it-1})) + \eta_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it}). \end{aligned}$$

- When  $(k_{it}, l_{it}, y_{it-1}, m_{it-1}, k_{it-1}, l_{it-1})$  are conditioned,  $m_{it-2}$  cannot affect  $m_{it}$ .

# Discussion

- Suppose another variable  $w_{it}$  affects the material demand

$$\begin{aligned}m_{it} &= \mathbb{M}(\omega_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it}, w_{it}) \\ &= \mathbb{M}_t(h(y_{it-1} - f(m_{it-1}, k_{it-1}, l_{it-1})) + \eta_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it}, w_{it}).\end{aligned}$$

- If  $w_{it}$  is correlated with  $w_{it-2}$ , then  $m_{it-2}$  may correlate with  $m_{it}$ .
  - E.g., demand shifters  $z_{it}^d$ ; material price  $p_{it}^m$  that varies across firms
  - $w_{it}$  may be unobservable
- Note: to use  $\mathbb{M}_t^{-1}(m_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it}, w_{it})$  in step 1, all  $w_{it}$  must be observable and uncorrelated with  $\eta_{it}$ .

## Address the GNR critique

- Three approaches are proposed to address the GNR critique.
- They all transform the problem into

$$y_{it} = g(k_{it}, l_{it}) + h[y_{it-1} - g(k_{it-1}, l_{it-1})] + \eta_{it},$$

which has no endogenous variable.

## Akerberg, Caves and Frazer (2015)

- Akerberg, Caves and Frazer (2015) consider a structural value-added function

$$y_{it} = \min \{ c(m_{it}), g(k_{it}, l_{it}) + \omega_{it} \},$$

which implies

$$y_{it} = g(k_{it}, l_{it}) + \omega_{it}.$$

- However, this production function is not differentiable with respect to  $m_{it}$ .

- FOC for material under perfect competition

$$\alpha_{it}^m = \frac{\partial f}{\partial m}(m_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it})$$

- Identify  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial m}(m_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it})$  from regression of  $\alpha_{it}^m$  on  $(m_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it})$ .
  - They also analyze imperfect competition but assume a common markup  $\mu_t$



- Integrate

$$\begin{aligned}f(m_{it}, k_{it}, l_{it}) &= f(m_0, k_{it}, l_{it}) + \int_{m_0}^{m_{it}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial m}(m, k_{it}, l_{it}) dm \\&\equiv g(k_{it}, l_{it}) + \int_{m_0}^{m_{it}} \alpha^m(m, k_{it}, l_{it}) dm\end{aligned}$$

- Defining  $\mathcal{Y}_{it} \equiv y_{it} - \int_{m_0}^{m_{it}} \alpha^m(m, k_{it}, l_{it}) dm$ , we have

$$\mathcal{Y}_{it} = g(k_{it}, l_{it}) + \omega_{it}$$

## Flynn, Gandhi and Traina (2019)

- Flynn, Gandhi and Traina (2019) proposes imposing constant returns to scale.
- E.g., Cobb-Douglas case

$$y_{it} = (1 - \theta_k - \theta_l) m_{it} + \theta_k k_{it} + \theta_l l_{it} + \omega_{it}$$
$$y_{it} - m_{it} = \theta_k (k_{it} - m_{it}) + \theta_l (l_{it} - m_{it}) + \omega_{it}$$

- Define  $\tilde{y}_{it} \equiv y_{it} - m_{it}$ ,  $\tilde{k}_{it} \equiv k_{it} - m_{it}$ ,  $\tilde{l}_{it} \equiv l_{it} - m_{it}$ ,

$$\tilde{y}_{it} = \theta_k \tilde{k}_{it} + \theta_l \tilde{l}_{it} + \omega_{it}$$

- They also analyze general production functions.

# Production approach with quantity data

- Approach 1: DLW approach with Flynn, Gandhi and Traina (2019) in Step 2
  - Assume constant returns to scale.
  - Step1 is the same as DLW: to remove the measurement error in  $y_{it}$
  - Step 2 estimate as Flynn, Gandhi and Traina (2019).
- Step 1 implicitly specifies the market structure and the demand function

## Production approach with quantity data

- Approach 2: dynamic panel approach (or DLW approach without step 1)
  - Assume no measurement error in  $y_{it}^{Data}$  as for other variables
  - Assume some unobserved and serially correlated  $w_{it}$  that correlates with  $m_{it}$
  - Past  $m_{it-2}$  can be used for an IV
- Weaker assumptions on the market structure and the demand function

# Issues

- Multi-product firms
  - Output quantity may be observed at product-level, but inputs are rarely observed at product-level.
  - De Loecker, Goldberg, Khandelwal and Pavcnik (2016); Orr (2022)
- Labor- and capital-augmenting productivity
  - Automation, PC, AI, etc.
  - Demirer (2022)
- Quality
  - Production of high quality products sometimes require more inputs than that of low quality products.
  - Orr (2022)

**Akerberg, Daniel A, Kevin Caves, and Garth Frazer**, “Identification Properties of Recent Production Function Estimators,” *Econometrica*, 2015, 83 (6), 2411–2451.

**Arellano, Manuel and Olympia Bover**, “Another look at the instrumental variable estimation of error-components models,” *Journal of Econometrics*, 1995, 68 (1), 29–51.

— **and Stephen Bond**, “Some tests of specification for panel data: Monte Carlo evidence and an application to employment equations,” *Review of Economic Studies*, 1991, 58 (2), 277–297.

**Berry, Steven, James Levinsohn, and Ariel Pakes**, “Automobile Prices in Market Equilibrium,” *Econometrica*, 1995, 63 (4), 841–890.

**Blundell, Richard and Stephen Bond**, “Initial conditions and moment restrictions in dynamic panel data models,” *Journal of Econometrics*, 1998, 87 (1), 115–143.

— **and —**, “GMM estimation with persistent panel data: an application to production functions,” *Econometric Reviews*, 2000, 19 (3), 321–340.

**Bond, Stephen, Arshia Hashemi, Greg Kaplan, and Piotr Zoch**, “Some Unpleasant Markup Arithmetic: Production Function Elasticities

and Their Estimation from Production Data,” NBER Working Paper w27002 2020.

**Demirer, Mert**, “Production function estimation with factor-augmenting technology: An application to markups,” *working paper*, 2022.

**Flynn, Zach, Amit Gandhi, and James Traina**, “Measuring Markups with Production Data,” Unpublished 2019.

**Gandhi, Amit and Aviv Nevo**, “Empirical models of demand and supply in differentiated products industries,” in “Handbook of industrial organization,” Vol. 4, Elsevier, 2021, pp. 63–139.

—, **Salvador Navarro, and David A Rivers**, “On the identification of gross output production functions,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 2020, 128 (8), 2973–3016.

**Levinsohn, James and Amil Petrin**, “Estimating Production Functions Using Inputs to Control for Unobservables,” *Review of Economic Studies*, 2003, pp. 317–341.

**Loecker, Jan De and Frederic Warzynski**, “Markups and Firm-Level Export Status,” *American Economic Review*, 2012, 102 (6), 2437–71.

- , **Pinelopi K Goldberg, Amit K Khandelwal, and Nina Pavcnik**, “Prices, Markups, and Trade Reform,” *Econometrica*, 2016, 84 (2), 445–510.
- Lu, Yi, Yoichi Sugita, and Lianming Zhu**, “Wage and Markdowns and FDI Liberalization,” Technical Report, Hitotsubashi Institute for Advanced Study, Hitotsubashi University 2019.
- Olley, G Steven and Ariel Pakes**, “The Dynamics of Productivity in the Telecommunications Equipment Industry,” *Econometrica*, 1996, pp. 1263–1297.
- Orr, Scott**, “Within-firm productivity dispersion: Estimates and implications,” *Journal of Political Economy*, 2022, 130 (11), 2771–2828.