





Welcome to

Building a Firewall

Show and tell

Henrik Kramselund he/him han/ham hkj@zencurity.com @kramse  

Slides are available as PDF, kramse@Github
building-a-firewall.tex in the repo security-courses

Slides are available as PDF kramshoej@Github

Not affiliated with the OpenBSD project, but a long time and very happy user

Goal



We are building a new firewall, yay!

For this purpose I brought a lot of hardware! Really a lot!


- OpenBSD as a CPE in a network with ordinary internet traffic
- Arist router/switch in the datacenter
- Juniper EX enterprise switch
- We will discuss how to build a network with available devices
- Talk about BGP, PF and service daemons
- Mostly Juniper configuration and OpenBSD configs
- BGP to PF Tables, firewalling/NAT based on BGP updates
- OpenBSD niceness, why choosing OpenBSD made a lot of things easier
- Keywords: OpenBSD, BGP, routing, IEEE 802.1q, VLAN, IEEE802.1p, CoS/QoS, VoIP, firewalling

Thank you OpenBSD



Donating to OpenBSD also supports OpenSSH development


Overview



OpenBSD CPE: BGP, PF and service daemons




Example from earlier



- OpenBSD operating system
- Solid hardware + free operating system = reliable service
- Yes, Telenor uses ASR920 as CPE for 8Mbit SHDSL too☺

Existing infrastructure, in some places



Pretty nice heh, not always this bad, but usually not good

Building a Network with a Firewall



Vi vil nu gennemgå netværksdesign med udgangspunkt i vores setup

Vores setup indeholder:

- Routers
- Firewall
- Wireless
- DMZ
- DHCPD, BIND, BGPD, OSPFD, ...

Den kunne udvides med flere andre teknologier vi har til rådighed:

- VLAN inkl VLAN trunking/distribution, WPA Enterprise

Hvad taler for og imod - de næste slides gennemgår nogle standardsetups

En slags patterns og anti-patterns for networking

Husk følgende slides er min mening

Principle of Economy of Mechanism



Definition 14-4 The *principle of economy of mechanism* states that security mechanisms should be as simple as possible.

Simple — > fewer complications — > fewer security errors

Use WPA passphrase instead of MAC address based authentication

Principle of Complete Mediation



Definition 14-5 The *principle of complete mediation* requires that all accesses to objects be checked to ensure that they are allowed.

Always perform check

Time of check, time of use

Example Unix file descriptors - access check first, then can be reused in the future

Caching can be bad.

Principle of Fail-Safe defaults



Definition 14-3 The *principle of fail-safe defaults* states that, unless a subject is given explicit access to an object, it should be denied access to that object.

Default access *none*

In firewalls default-deny - that which is not allowed is prohibited

Newer devices today can come with no administrative users, while older devices often came with default admin/admin users

Real world example, OpenSSH config files that come with `PermitRootLogin no`

Important processes and components



- OpenBSD kernel - does routing, thank you
- OpenBSD kernel - multiple routing tables, allow drop-in replacement in networks
- OpenNTP - time keeping
- OpenBGP - BGP to get NHN prefixes
- relayd - provides failover, change default route
- OpenSSH - secure remote access
- DHCPD - dhcp service to LAN
- OpenBSD PF - awesome firewall software connecting it all nicely
- OpenBSD PF queue - allow detailed control of bandwidth
- OpenBSD PF prio into VLAN header - QoS/CoS of VoIP traffic
- NLnet Labs Unbound DNS server <https://www.nlnetlabs.nl/projects/unbound/about/>

The configurations shown in the presentation are examples from a real network

Relayd failover to 4G router



```
# cat /etc/relayd.conf
primary = "185.161.xxx.x"
secondary = "192.168.8.1"
interval 10
table <gateways> { $primary ip ttl 1 priority 10, $secondary ip ttl 1 priority 50 }
router "uplinks" {
    route 0.0.0.0/0
    forward to <gateways> check icmp
}
```

Easy to understand, easy to implement

OpenBSD queue pf.conf - from 20/20Mbit customer



```
# Queue to fix TCP originating from our smartbox, if we send more than
# bandwidth the shaping done by Telenor cause huge backoffs
queue root on em3 bandwidth 20M max 20M
```

```
# Currently used for VoIP and PatientSky Hosting
# Note: VoIP Max 25% of bandwidth, excess dropped by provider!
queue high parent root bandwidth 5M max 5M
queue normal parent root bandwidth 20M max 20M default
queue low parent root bandwidth 15M max 15M
```

```
# Download queues on inside interface to LAN
# by limiting this, we end up receiving less than max from outside
queue dn_parent on em2 bandwidth 20M max 20M
queue dn_high parent dn_parent bandwidth 20M max 20M
queue dn_default parent dn_parent bandwidth 15M max 15M default
```

```
# Wifi
queue guest_parent on em0 bandwidth 15M max 15M
queue guest_default parent guest_parent bandwidth 15M max 15M default
```

You can only limit what you send, download queues remove need for specific queue in data center for EACH customer!

OpenBSD use queueing in rules



```
table <HOSTED_NETWORKS> const { 185.60.160.0/22 }

# Rules start here
block all

# Normal would be 3 and patientsky higher priority
pass out set queue normal set prio 3
pass out to <HOSTED_NETWORKS> set queue high set prio 5

# High prio on all traffic originating from us and our <HOSTED_NETWORKS> address space
pass in on egress from <HOSTED_NETWORKS> to (egress:0) set queue dn_high set prio 5
```

- When you limit outgoing - to the LAN, results is because of TCP it limits what you receive :-)
- Not really doing queueing inside LAN, some switches not controlled, customer responsibility
- If internal LAN with gigabit switches cannot handle VoIP, expect other problems

OpenBGP download prefixes to PF Tables



```
...
# neighbors and peers
psnet="50033"
group "nhn" {
# peering to get NHN network
    remote-as $psnet
    neighbor 185.161.xxx.xx
}

# Our local network
network 172.22.xxx.0/27

# Filtering
allow from any
allow from AS 50033
match from group "nhn" community $psnet:56828 set pftable "NHN"
```

Two functions, announce our local NHN prefix, internal LAN IP

and getting a table of almost 10.000 prefixes

OpenBSD multiple routing domains are cool



with BGP running we can use the prefixes in rules, here no-NAT rule:

```
# towards end of pf.conf
# Routing Domain 1 used for LAN
anchor "inside" on rdomain 1 {
    # Allow administrative access when on-site
    pass in quick on em2 inet proto tcp from any to em2 port 34
    # Internal LAN must be allowed out
    pass in on em2
    # Guest network, no access to internal LAN or NHN
    # Prio 0 in Telenor is Best Effort
    pass in on em0 to { !(em2:network) !<NHN> } set queue low set prio 0
    # Make sure our Hosted networks have priority and NHN traffic is sent through unharmed
    pass out quick to <HOSTED_NETWORKS> nat-to (egress:0) rtable 0 set queue high set prio 5
    pass out quick to <NHN> rtable 0 set queue normal set prio 3
    pass out to !<INSIDE_NETWORKS> nat-to (egress:0) rtable 0 set queue normal set prio 3
}
```


OpenBSD priority



```
pass out quick to <HOSTED_NETWORKS> nat-to (egress:0) rtable 0 set queue high set prio 5
```

```
pass in proto tcp to port 25 set prio 2
```

```
pass in proto tcp to port 22 set prio (2, 5)
```

Prio is copied directly into IEEE 802.1q header, making it easy to use IEEE 802.1p

If the packet is transmitted on a vlan(4) interface, the queueing priority will also be written as the priority code point in the 802.1Q VLAN header. If two priorities are given, packets which have a TOS of lowdelay and TCP ACKs with no data payload will be assigned to the second one.

Hint: OpenSSH sshd_config using IPQoS can achieve the same

Junos MX config, show configuration class-of-service



```
rewrite-rules {
  ieee-802.1 telenor {
    forwarding-class assured-forwarding {
      loss-priority low code-point 101;
      loss-priority high code-point 101;
      loss-priority medium-high code-point 101;
      loss-priority medium-low code-point 101;
    }
    forwarding-class expedited-forwarding {
      loss-priority low code-point 011;
      loss-priority high code-point 011;
      loss-priority medium-high code-point 011;
      loss-priority medium-low code-point 011;
    }
  }
}
```

Pro tip: this requires traffic to already be classified into these classes.

We solved it by sending VLAN traffic with prio from OpenBSD in data center to MX

Junos outgoing, show configuration class-of-service



```
interfaces {
  ae0 {
    ...
    unit 1008 {
      classifiers {
        ieee-802.1 default;
      }
      rewrite-rules {
        ieee-802.1 telenor vlan-tag outer-and-inner;
      }
    }
  }
}
```

Note: We use double vlan-tags outer 1008 inner 100 in data center.
This ends up with VLAN 100 on ALL smartboxes/sites

Result: We have the same simple config on all smartboxes

OpenBSD niceness



Why choosing OpenBSD made a lot of things easier

- Free to install routers, firewalls, where we need them, no license
- Secure and stable, less worries, stable network yay!
- Nifty tricks with OpenBGP makes for a very elegant PF config
- PF integrated with IEEE 802.1p - on VLAN interfaces
- PF has a very readable format with syntactic sugar and dynamic constructs like `(em2:network)` the network on interface em2
- OpenBSD has stable release schedule, every 6 months

TL;DR Full control with easy transparent configs