## Arithmetic Geometry Problems

## Theo Koss

October 2024

## 1 Chapter 1

1. Let  $d \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ , prove  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$  is not a finitely generated abelian group.

*Proof.* Let  $d = \frac{p}{q}$  with  $p \neq q \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $q \neq 0, 1$  and  $\gcd(p,q) = 1$ . Note that subgroups of finitely generated *abelian* groups are themselves finitely generated. So consider  $\mathbb{Z}[d] < \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$ . Assume BWOC that  $\mathbb{Z}[d]$  is finitely generated, say n generators. Then we can write any element of  $\mathbb{Z}[d]$  as a linear combination of these elements. Consider

$$\frac{1}{q^{n+1}} = b_0 + b_1 d + b_2 d^2 + \dots + b_n d^n \qquad \text{(For integers } b_i\text{)}$$

$$= b_0 + b_1 \frac{p}{q} + \dots + b_n \frac{p^n}{q^n}$$

$$\implies 1 = b_0 \cdot q^{n+1} + b_1 p \cdot q^n + \dots + b_n p^n \cdot q$$

$$= q \underbrace{\left(b_0 \cdot q^n + b_1 p \cdot q^{n-1} + \dots + b_n p^n\right)}_{\in \mathbb{Z}}$$

$$\implies \frac{1}{a} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Contradiction because we have  $q \neq 1$ .

Alternate Proof: Due to a theorem (not in the book :/ ), the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is finitely generated iff x is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . We have

$$m_{\sqrt{d}.\mathbb{Z}}(x) = x^2 - d = qx^2 - p$$

Which is not monic in  $\mathbb{Z}$  because we have  $q \neq 1$  and q does not divide p.

2. Prove  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{2+i}{5}\right] \cap \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{2-i}{5}\right] \cap \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z}$ .

*Proof.* Assume, BWOC, that we have some element  $k \in \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{2+i}{5}\right]$  such that  $k \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $k = \frac{p}{q}$  with  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, q \neq 0, 1$  and gcd(p, q) = 1. We also have

$$k = a + b \cdot \frac{2+i}{5} = a + \frac{2b}{5} + \frac{bi}{5}$$

for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $k = \frac{p}{q}$  is strictly real, we must have

$$\frac{bi}{5} = 0 \implies b = 0$$

But then  $k = a + 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  contradiction. Similarly, write  $k = a + b \cdot \frac{2-i}{5} = a + \frac{2b}{5} - \frac{bi}{5}$  so  $\frac{bi}{5} = 0 \implies b = 0$  so

 $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

3. Let A be a ring, and let I, J be two coprime ideals of A. Show that,  $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{N}, I^a \text{ is coprime to } J^b.$ 

*Proof.* Since I and J are coprime, by definition we have I + J = A. Base case:  $I^1 + J^1 = A$  obviously. Fix some  $b \in \mathbb{N}$ , assume  $I^k$  is coprime to  $J^n$ , for some  $a \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$I^a + J^b = A$$

Multiply both sides by I (on the left),

$$I^{a+1} + J^b = IA = A$$

Thus  $I^{a+1}$  is coprime to  $J^b$ . Therefore the statement is true for all pairs  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ .

4. Show that in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ , the elements  $2, 3, 1 + \sqrt{-5}, 1 - \sqrt{-5}$  are irreducible, and that they are not associates.

5. Let p be a prime number. Let  $\bar{g}(x) \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[x]$  be any irreducible polynomial. Let  $g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be such that its image under the natural reduction map  $\mathbb{Z}[x] \to (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[x]$  is  $\bar{g}(x)$ . Show that the ideal (p, g(x)) is a maximal ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

*Proof.* We have that

$$\mathbb{Z}[x]/(p,g(x)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}[x]/p)/(g(x)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[x]/(g(x))$$

Then, consider the natural reduction map

$$\pi: \mathbb{Z}[x] \to (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[x]$$

And we have  $\pi(g(x)) = \bar{g}(x)$ , so  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[x]/(g(x)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[x]/(\bar{g}(x))$ . We know  $\bar{g}(x)$  is irreducible, and the ring  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[x]$  is a PID, so the ideal  $(\bar{g}(x))$  is maximal, and therefore  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[x]/(\bar{g}(x))$  is a field, (finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{p^{\deg(\bar{g}(x))}}$ ). So the ideal (p,g(x)) is maximal in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  (Because modding by it gave a field.)

6. Show that a prinicpal ideal domain has the property of unique factorization of ideals.

*Proof.* Let A be a PID, then it is also a UFD. Consider an arbitrary ideal  $I = (a) \subset A$ , then, by UFD, a can be written uniquely as a product of irreducibles,  $a = p_1 \cdots p_n$ . But, since every ideal is principal, and every element is contained in the ideal generated by it, we have

$$I=(a)=(p_1)\cdots(p_n)$$

And in a PID, ideals generated by irreducibles are maximal, and maximal = prime. So we have a unique factorization of the ideal I into prime ideals.

- 7. Let A be a commutative ring and  $I \subset A$  be an ideal.
  - (a). Let  $a_1, \ldots, a_s \in A$  and let J denote the ideal of A/I generated by the images of  $a_1, \ldots, a_s$  under the map  $A \to A/I$ . Show that

$$(A/I)/J \stackrel{\sim}{\to} A/(I, a_1, \dots, a_s)$$

*Proof.* We have the natural homomorphism  $\pi: A \to A/I$ , and we have another homomorphism  $\psi: A/I \to (A/I)/J$  which has  $\ker(\psi) = J = (\pi(a_1), \dots, \pi(a_s))$ . COME BACK!

(b). Let J be any ideal of A. Show that

$$(A/I)/(J+I/I) \cong (A/J)/(I+J/J)$$

Proof.

(c).

8. (a). Let k be any field. Let  $A := k[x_1, \ldots, x_n, \ldots]$  be the polynomial ring in countably many variables. Show that A is not Noetherian.

*Proof.* By way of contradiction, assume A is Noetherian, so every increasing chain of ideals

$$I_0 \subset I_1 \subset I_2 \subset \cdots \subset I_n = I_{n+1}$$

Stabilizes at some point. We have the ideals

$$(x_1) \subset (x_1, x_2) \subset \dots (x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1})$$

That gives  $x_{n+1} \in I_n = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . So we can write  $x_{n+1}$  as a linear combination of the elements of that ideal,

$$x_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i$$

But, consider the evaluation mapping  $\phi: A \to k$  by evaluating  $x_1, \ldots, x_n = 0$  and  $x_{n+1} = 1$ . Applying this evaluation mapping to above gives

$$1 = \phi(x_{n+1}) = \phi(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \phi(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \cdot 0 = 0$$

Contradiction.  $\Box$ 

(b). Let  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  denote an algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}$  denote the integral closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Show that  $\mathcal{O}$  is not a Noetherian ring. (Hint: find a nonstationary sequence of ideals in  $\mathcal{O}$  by taking successive roots of an integer.)

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the integral closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Then in particular,  $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathcal{O}$ , so consider  $2 \in \mathcal{O}$ . Let I = (2). Then consider the increasing chain of ideals:

$$I = (2) \subset (\sqrt{2}) \subset (\sqrt[3]{2}) \subset \cdots \subset \sqrt{I}$$

Each ideal strictly contains the next, so this is a nonstationary increasing chain of ideals. (Equivalently the radical is not finitely generated)  $\Box$ 

9. Let k be a field, and  $A := k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . Let  $\bar{k}$  denote an algebraic closure of k, and let  $B := \bar{k}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . Show that the extension B/A is integral. Note that in general, B is not a finitely generated A-module.