## Problem Set 1

## $1. \oplus introduction \ rules$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Left} \ \, \frac{A,\Gamma,\to\Delta,B}{(A\oplus B),\Gamma\to\Delta,A} \\ \text{Right} \ \, \frac{\Gamma\to\Delta,A,B}{\Gamma\to\Delta,(A\oplus B)} \ \, \frac{A,B,\Gamma\to\Delta}{\Gamma\to\Delta,(A\oplus B)} \end{array}$$

Let see how we get those rules first.

For left introduction, we know  $A \oplus B \iff (A \land \neg B) \lor (B \land \neg A)$ .

$$A, \Gamma \to \Delta, B \quad B, \Gamma \to \Delta, A$$
 
$$(\neg \ left) \qquad \qquad (\neg \ left)$$
 
$$A, \neg B, \Gamma \to \Delta \quad B, \neg A, \Gamma \to \Delta$$
 
$$(\wedge \ left) \qquad \qquad (\wedge \ left)$$
 
$$A \wedge \neg B, \Gamma \to \Delta \quad B \wedge \neg A, \Gamma \to \Delta$$
 
$$(\vee \ left)$$
 
$$(A \wedge \neg B) \vee (B \wedge \neg A), \Gamma \to \Delta$$
 
$$(\wedge \ left) \qquad (A \oplus B), \Gamma \to \Delta$$

For right introduction, we know  $A \oplus B \iff (A \vee B) \wedge \neg (A \wedge B)$ .

Proof by truth table:

right introduction

2. Suppose the size of the set S of propositional clauses is n which means there are n clauses in S, from the question we know that every clause can have at most 2 literals. Then, there are at most 2n different literals.

We know that each execution of the general steps results either adding a new distinct literal to the stack, or adding a new clause to the list S'. Since every clause can have at most 2 literals, then every time we add a new a resultant clause R to list S', the resultant clause R can have at most 1 literal; hence, we have at most 2n + 1 options of adding resultant clause.

Since we only pop out literal after adding a resultant clause to S', then in the worst case, every time the procedure add a new resultant clause to list S', it has to add 2n literals to the stack first and after adding that new a resultant clause to list S', the procedure pop out all literals. Also, in the worst case the procedure added all possible resultant clauses to list S', before it generates a satisfying assignment for S or a resolution refutation. Since in the worst case, it takes  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  to add a resultant clause to S'; therefore, the worst case run time is in order  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$ .

The procedure halts in time polynomial in the size of input S.

3. (a)

According to the definition of 3 - colouring we know that  $G_n$  has a 3 - colouring iff every node only has one colour. Also, every two nodes sharing a same edge have different colours. Then based on those rules, we get our formula as follows. propositional formula:

$$A_n = \bigwedge_{i \in V_n} (\neg R_i \wedge \neg B_i \wedge Y_i) \vee (\neg R_i \wedge B_i \wedge \neg Y_i) \vee (R_i \wedge \neg B_i \wedge \neg Y_i) \wedge \bigwedge_{(x,y) \in E_n} (R_x \wedge \neg R_y) \vee (B_x \wedge \neg B_y) \vee (Y_x \wedge \neg Y_y)$$

(b)

First, let's define a set of propositional formulas  $\Phi$  as follow:

$$\Phi = \{ \bigwedge_{i \in V} (\neg R_i \wedge \neg B_i \wedge Y_i) \vee (\neg R_i \wedge B_i \wedge \neg Y_i) \vee (R_i \wedge \neg B_i \wedge \neg Y_i) \} \cup \bigwedge_{\substack{x,y \in V \wedge \\ (x,y) \in E}} (R_x \wedge \neg R_y) \vee (B_x \wedge \neg B_y) \vee (Y_x \wedge \neg Y_y) \}$$

The size of  $\Phi$  is based on V, also  $\Phi$  is a infinite set iff graph G(V, E) is a infinite graph.

Let  $V_n = \{0, 1, ...n - 1\}$  be a finite subset of V,  $E_n = E - (V \setminus V_n)$  be the corresponding edge set, then  $G_n(V_n, E_n)$  is a induced subgraph of G on the vertex set  $V_n$ .

Based on the question, we know that  $G_n$  has a 3-colouring, then let  $c:V_n \to \{R,B,Y\}$  be a 3-colouring of  $G_n$ 

Then, clearly that

$$\Phi_n = \{ \bigwedge_{i \in V_n} (\neg R_i \land \neg B_i \land Y_i) \lor (\neg R_i \land B_i \land \neg Y_i) \lor (R_i \land \neg B_i \land \neg Y_i) \} \cup \bigwedge_{\substack{(x,y) \in E_n \\ \land x \in V_n \land y \in V_n}} (R_x \land \neg R_y) \lor (B_x \land \neg B_y) \lor (Y_x \land \neg Y_y) \}$$

is satisfied by c.

Notice, it's clear that  $\Phi$  is satisfiable iff graph G(V, E) is 3-colourable, also every finite subset of  $\Phi$  is associated with a induced subgraph of G. By Propositional Compactness Theorem we know  $\Phi$  is satisfiable; hence, G has a 3-colouring.

4. Think R as negation, that is R(x,y) means  $x = \neg y$ . Then, based on this general thought, let's build our sentence.

Define sentence A as follow,

- (a)  $\forall x, y : R(x, y) \Rightarrow R(y, x)$
- (b)  $\forall x, y, z : R(y, x) \land R(z, x) \Rightarrow y = z$
- (c)  $\forall x, \exists y : R(y, x) \land \neg (y = x)$

Then, if M is a model for A, for any element a in M based on (c) there exist a pair (a,b) such that R(b,a) and  $a \neq b$ ; hence, every element in M has a pair. Therefore, we can treat M as a set of pairs and every pair has 2 distinct elements.

For any two pairs (a, b) and (c, d), which means R(b, a) and R(d, c),

If a = c, we have R(b, a) = R(b, c), then by (b) we have b = d, which means (a, b) = (c, d).

If a = d, we have R(b, a) = R(b, d) = R(d, b) then we have b = c, which means (a, b) = (c, d).

Same for b = c or b = d, we can also get (a, b) = (c, d).

On the other hand, if  $(a,b) \neq (c,d)$ , then  $a \neq b \neq c \neq d$ . In others words, every element in any two distinct pairs are distinct; hence, M has even number of element.