

Due: Monday, Dec 7, beginning of lecture

General Hint: The Kleene Normal Form Theorem (KNFT) is useful for the solutions for several of the following problems.

1. Do Exercise 11, page 79 in the Notes. (A function $f(x)$ is computable iff its graph is recursively enumerable. To show the ‘if’ direction, first give an informal algorithm for computing f from an enumeration of the tuples in $\text{graph}(f)$. Then formalize the argument by showing that f is recursive, using the least number operator μ .)

Solution:

(\Rightarrow) Suppose that $f(x)$ is computable by program $\{e\}$. Then by the KNFT its graph can be defined as follows:

$$R_f(x, y) = (y = f(x)) = \exists w (T(e, x, w) \wedge U(w) = y)$$

Thus R_f satisfies the definition of r.e. using the recursive relation $S(x, y, w)$ defined by

$$S(x, y, w) = (T(e, x, w) \wedge U(w) = y)$$

(\Leftarrow) Informal proof: To compute $f(x)$, enumerate all pairs (z, y) in the graph of f , until a pair of the form (x, y) appears. Then set $f(x) = y$. Note that if $f(x)$ is not defined, then this procedure never halts (which is fine).

(\Leftarrow) Formal proof: Suppose $R_f(x, y) = (y = f(x))$ is r.e. We will show that f is recursive.

By definition of r.e. there is a recursive relation $S(x, y, w)$ such that

$$(y = f(x)) = \exists w S(x, y, w)$$

We use the pairing functions and inverses J, K, L (page 77) to show f is recursive:

$$f(x) = K(\mu z S(x, K(z), L(z)))$$

2. Let ODD be the set of all odd integers $n \geq 0$. Define

$$A = \{x \mid \text{range}(\{x\}_1) \subseteq ODD\}$$

Is A r.e.? Is A^c r.e.? Justify your answers, using the special case of the S-m-n Theorem (page 73 of the Notes.)

Solution:

First we show that A is not r.e. by showing $K^c \leq_m A$. We want a total computable f such that

$$x \in K^c \Leftrightarrow f(x) \in A$$

This is equivalent to

$$\{x\}_1(x) = \infty \Leftrightarrow \text{range}(\{f(x)\}_1) \subseteq \text{ODD}$$

We use the S-m-n Theorem to define f as follows:

$$\{f(x)\}_1(y) = g(x, y) = 0 \cdot \mu w T(x, x, w)$$

Thus if $x \in K^c$ then $\text{range}(\{f(x)\}_1) = \emptyset$ so $f(x) \in A$, and if $x \in K$ then $0 \in \text{range}(\{f(x)\}_1)$ so $f(x) \notin A$.

Now we show that A^c is r.e. Note that $x \in A^c$ iff there is at least one even number in the range of $\{x\}_1$. Therefore, by the Kleene Normal Form Theorem and the inverse pairing functions K, L (Notes page 77) we have

$$x \in A^c \Leftrightarrow \exists y (T(x, K(y), L(y)) \wedge \text{EVEN}(U(L(y))))$$

where $\text{EVEN}(z)$ holds iff z is an even number. Since the relation $R(x, y)$ defined after $\exists y$ is recursive, it follows that A^c is r.e.

3. Let $R(x, y)$ be a recursive relation. Give a primitive recursive relation $S(x, z)$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\exists y R(x, y) \Leftrightarrow \exists z S(x, z)$$

Justify your answer.

Solution:

Since R is computable, by the Kleene Normal Form Theorem there is a number e such that

$$R(x, y) = U(\mu z T_2(e, x, y, z))$$

Since the number z coding a halting computation of a Register Machine always exceeds the inputs x, y to the machine it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \exists y R(x, y) &\Leftrightarrow \exists y \exists z (T_2(e, x, y, z) \wedge U(z) = 0) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists z \exists y \leq z (T_2(e, x, y, z) \wedge U(z) = 0) \end{aligned}$$

Thus let $S(x, z) = \exists y \leq z (T_2(e, x, y, z) \wedge U(z) = 0)$.

4. Show how to handle the $\exists \leq$ case in the proof of the MAIN LEMMA (Exercise 6, page 104). (See Lemma A.)

Solution:

Suppose $A =_{syn} \exists x \leq t B(x)$ where $B(x)$ is a bounded formula, and suppose that A is in **TA**. We are to show $\mathbf{RA}_{\leq} \vdash A$; that is

$$\mathbf{RA}_{\leq} \vdash \exists x (x \leq t \wedge B(x)) \tag{1}$$

Since A is a sentence, t is a closed term (no variables), so by Lemma A, $\mathbf{RA}_{\leq} \vdash t = s_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since A is true, it follows that $B(s_k)$ is true for some $k \leq n$. Hence

by the induction hypothesis in the proof of the MAIN LEMMA (bottom of page 102), $\mathbf{RA}_{\leq} \vdash B(s_k)$. Also $\mathbf{RA}_{\leq} \vdash s_k \leq s_n$ by the base case of the induction. Hence

$$\mathbf{RA}_{\leq} \vdash (s_k \leq t \wedge B(s_k))$$

Thus (1) follows.

5. Show that $\mathbf{PA} \vdash \forall x \forall y \ x + y = y + x$. Your proof should follow the style of Example 2, page 98 of the Notes.

Solution: First we show

Lemma 1: \mathbf{PA} proves $\forall x \ 0 + x = x$.

Proof: We show $0 + x = x$ by induction on x .

BASIS: $x = 0$

$$0 + 0 = 0 \text{ by P3}$$

Induction Step: $x \leftarrow sx$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 + sx &= s(0 + x) && \text{P4} \\ &= sx && \text{Induction Hypothesis} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2: \mathbf{PA} proves $\forall x \forall y (s(y + x) = sy + x)$.

Proof: We show $s(y + x) = sy + x$ by induction on x with parameter y .

BASIS: $x = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} s(y + 0) &= sy && \text{P3} \\ &= sy + 0 && \text{P3} \end{aligned}$$

Induction Step: $x \leftarrow sx$

$$\begin{aligned} s(y + sx) &= ss(y + x) && \text{P4} \\ &= s(sy + x) && \text{Induction Hypothesis} \\ &= sy + sx && \text{P4} \end{aligned}$$

Now we show $x + y = y + x$ by induction on y , with parameter x .

BASIS: $y = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} x + 0 &= x && \text{P3} \\ &= 0 + x && \text{Lemma 1} \end{aligned}$$

Induction Step: $y \leftarrow sy$

$$\begin{aligned} x + sy &= s(x + y) && \text{P4} \\ &= s(y + x) && \text{Induction Hypothesis} \\ &= sy + x && \text{Lemma 2} \end{aligned}$$