

**Due: Friday, October 23, beginning of tutorial**

Total marks: 35

- [5] 1. Prove the soundness of the LK rule  $\exists$  Left. That is, prove that the universal closure of the meaning of the bottom sequent is a logical consequence of the universal closure of the meaning of the top sequent.

Show where in your proof you are using the restriction that the free variable  $b$  must not occur in the conclusion.

(See pages 28-29 of the Notes. Note that the proof on page 29 for the  $\forall$ -right case is confusing, because the left and right side of  $\models$  should be replaced by the universal closures of those formulas, so neither side has any free variables, so there is no need to discuss the object assignment  $\sigma$ .)

**Solution:**

We claim that it suffices to prove

$$\forall y[\neg(A(y) \wedge \bigwedge \Gamma) \vee \bigvee \Delta] \quad \models \quad [\neg(\exists x A(x) \wedge \bigwedge \Gamma) \vee \bigvee \Delta]$$

Here the intention is that we replace occurrences of  $b$  throughout by  $y$ , and we are using the restriction that  $b$  cannot occur in either  $\Gamma$  or  $\Delta$ .

Now we simply note that the two formulas on either side of  $\models$  are equivalent: The first is a prenex form of the second.

- [10] 2. Give an LK proof of the sequent

$$\forall x(x + 0 = x) \rightarrow \forall x \forall y(x + (y + 0) = x + y)$$

You do not need to put in weakenings or exchanges. Indicate which LK equality axioms you use.

**Solution:**

The LK equality axioms are

L1:  $\rightarrow a = a$

L4:  $a = a, b + 0 = b \rightarrow a + (b + 0) = a + b$

Here is the LK proof:

L4	L1	cut
$b + 0 = b \rightarrow a + (b + 0) = a + b$		$\forall$ left
$\forall x(x + 0 = x) \rightarrow a + (b + 0) = a + b$		$\forall$ right twice
$\forall x(x + 0 = x) \rightarrow \forall x \forall y(x + (y + 0) = x + y)$		

- [5] 3. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a language consisting of a countably infinite set  $\{c_1, c_2, \dots\}$  of constant symbols and the binary predicate symbol  $P$ , and also  $=$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of sentences

$$\Gamma = \{c_i \neq c_j \mid i, j \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } i < j\}$$

Let  $A$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$  sentence such that  $\Gamma \models A$ . Prove that  $A$  has a finite model.

**Solution:**

By compactness, since  $\Gamma \models A$  it follows that  $\Gamma_0 \models A$  for some finite subset  $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$ . Let  $n$  be the largest index  $i$  such that  $c_i$  occurs in  $\Gamma_0$ .

Define a finite structure  $\mathcal{M}$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \\ c_i^{\mathcal{M}} &= i, 0 \leq i \leq n \\ P^{\mathcal{M}} &= \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\mathcal{M} \models \Gamma_0$ , and since  $\Gamma_0 \models A$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{M} \models A$ .

4. Let us call a formula  $A$  of the predicate calculus *simple* if all predicate symbols in  $A$  are unary, and  $A$  has no function symbols (and no constants).
- [10] a) Show that if  $A$  is a satisfiable simple formula with  $n$  predicate symbols, then  $A$  is satisfied by some structure whose universe has at most  $2^n$  elements. (Hint: Start with a model for  $A$  with universe  $M$ , and define a certain equivalence relation on  $M$ . Show that there are at most  $2^n$  equivalence classes. Now show how to define a model for  $A$  whose universe is the set  $M'$  of these equivalence classes. It may help to look at the proof of Lemma 2 on page 45 of the Notes.)
- [5] b) Show that the set of valid simple formulas is decidable. (That is, give an algorithm which, given a simple formula  $A$ , determines whether  $A$  is valid. Your algorithm should halt on all inputs.)

**Solution:**

REMARK: Unary predicate symbols are sometimes called monadic.

a) Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a structure with universe  $M$ , and let  $\sigma_0$  be an object assignment to  $M$  such that

$$\mathcal{M} \models A[\sigma_0] \tag{1}$$

Let  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  be the predicate symbols in  $A$ . Define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $M$  as follows:  $u \sim v$  iff for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$u \in P_i^{\mathcal{M}} \text{ iff } v \in P_i^{\mathcal{M}}$$

Thus each element in  $M$  has an associated  $n$ -tuple of bits indicating its membership in each of the  $n$  sets  $P_1^{\mathcal{M}}, \dots, P_n^{\mathcal{M}}$ . Two elements are equivalent iff their associated  $n$ -tuples are the same. Hence there are at most  $2^n$  equivalence classes.

Now define a new structure  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$  as follows. The universe  $\hat{M}$  is the set of equivalence classes under  $\sim$ . For each  $u \in M$  let  $[u]$  be its associated equivalence class. Then for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  define  $[u] \in P_i^{\hat{\mathcal{M}}}$  iff  $u \in P_i^{\mathcal{M}}$ . Notice that this definition does not depend on our choice of the representative  $u$  in the equivalence class  $[u]$ .

For each object assignment  $\sigma$  to  $M$  define the object assignment  $\hat{\sigma}$  to  $\hat{M}$  by

$$\hat{\sigma}(x) = [\sigma(x)]$$

for each variable  $x$ .

**Lemma:** *For every formula  $B$  in the language of  $A$ , and for every object assignment  $\sigma$  to  $M$ ,*

$$\mathcal{M} \models B[\sigma] \text{ iff } \hat{\mathcal{M}} \models B[\hat{\sigma}]$$

The proof is by structural induction on  $B$ . The base case is when  $B$  is an atomic formula  $P_i x$ , and the Lemma follows from our definition of  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$  and  $\hat{\sigma}$ . The induction step is straightforward from the Basic Semantic Definition.

The problem follows from the Lemma and our assumption (1).

REMARK: The Lemma above is really a simplified version of Lemma 2 in the proof of the Equality Theorem (see page 45 of the Notes). If  $=$  is interpreted as  $\sim$  in the valuation  $\sigma$ , then  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies all equality axioms for the language of  $A$ , augmented by the symbol  $=$ .

b) Notice that  $A$  is valid iff  $\neg A$  is unsatisfiable.

Given a simple formula  $A$  with  $n$  monadic predicate symbols  $P_1, \dots, P_n$ , for each structure  $\mathcal{M}$  with universe  $M = \{1, 2, \dots, 2^n\}$ , where  $\mathcal{M}$  assigns a relation  $P_i^{\mathcal{M}}$  to each predicate symbol  $P_i$  in  $A$ , determine whether  $\mathcal{M}$  satisfies  $A$ . Accept  $A$  iff every such structure satisfies  $A$ .

The correctness of the algorithm follows from the proof of part a). Note the proof of part a) can be modified to show that if  $A$  is satisfied by some structure, then it is satisfied by a structure with exactly  $2^n$  elements. (Just pad out one the equivalence classes to add more elements.)