

Sprint Review

Advisely, An Education Support Application

Big Muscle Boys

Sam Elliott, Jens Hansen, Connor Langlois, Eli Legere, Eric Schessler, Spencer Ward

COS 420 UMaine Spring 2019

Professor: Sepideh Ghanavati

Sprint Number: 1

Features implemented: N/A

Issues fixed: N/A

What went well in development: N/A

What issues occurred in development: N/A

What issues were resolved in development: N/A

Changes made: N/A

What will be done during the next sprint: We will work on creating and structuring a database. A basic schedule making app will be built that will ping the database through a rudimentary API. We will also all work to learn more about the various packages and languages for the project.

What went well in the scrum: A better idea of the project has been achieved. All members participated and were attentive. Ideas and constructive criticisms were offered. Open discourse occurred.

What could be improved in the scrum: Timeliness would be appreciated. Members schedules need to be accommodated. If a member isn't available for a meeting, it should be their responsibility to become aware of what transpired during the meeting.

What changes will be made in the following scrum: More meetings, evenly spaced.

Sprint 2:

Features implemented: N/A

Issues fixed: N/A

What went well in development: N/A

What issues occurred in development: N/A

What issues were resolved in development: N/A

Changes made: N/A

What will be done during the next sprint: Our goals for this sprint are less ambitious. Our goals are merely knowledge acquisition so that all team members are familiarized (proficient) with React JS. Further, we hope to complete the documentation necessary for the upcoming deliverable.

What went well in the scrum: We identified what had been going wrong with our development plan, and proposed a solution. All members were able to communicate effectively, and a plan was put in place.

What could be improved in the scrum: Group members are still not arriving on time. During this sprint, our goals were too ambitious.

What changes will be made in the following scrum: In the future, we hope to be more realistic in our goal setting. We hope to make more progress on the artifacts for the deliverable, as opposed to more planning changes. Finally, we hope to be more aware of the time constraints on other group members.

Sprint 3:

Features implemented: N/A

Issues fixed: N/A

What went well in development: N/A

What issues occurred in development: N/A

What issues were resolved in development: N/A

Changes made: N/A

What will be done during the next sprint: Begin implementation of the web application.

What went well in the scrum: We had a definitive purpose, and each member left the scrum with specific tasks to accomplish. Our goals were realistic and completed. Work was divided fairly between each member.

What could be improved in the scrum: As individuals, we could fulfill the roles of “developer”, “product owner” and “scrum master” better.

What changes will be made in the following scrum: As a team, we feel as though this scrum went quite well. In the future, there will certainly be things that can be improved, but at the moment we feel as though our scrums are good.