

# Assignment 4

*Seongming Lee (01247436), Yuxuan Luo (01376247) and Nina Hauser (01418616)*

## Question 2

- (a) There are 16 rows with NA values in total. After remove these rows and 'ID' column, the dimension of this dataset is (683,10).

```
## The dimension of BreastCancer dataset is: 699 11
```

```
## The number of rows having 1 or more NA values: 16
```

```
## After removing NA values, the dimension of BreastCancer dataset is: 683 10
```

- (b) Linear kernel: the cost parameter chosen by cross-validation is 0.1, with an accuracy rate of 97.08%, sensitivity score of 98.21% and specificity score of 94.92%.

```
## The confusion matrix is:
```

```
##           Reference
## Prediction  benign malignant
##   benign      110         3
##   malignant    2         56
```

```
## The accuracy rate is: 0.9707602
```

```
## The sensitivity score is: 0.9821429
```

```
## The specificity score is: 0.9491525
```

- (b) Polynomial kernel of degree 2: the cost and gamma parameters chosen by cross-validation are 0.1 and 0.5 respectively, with an accuracy rate of 97.08%, sensitivity score of 98.21% and specificity score of 94.92%.

```
## The confusion matrix is:
```

```
##           Reference
## Prediction  benign malignant
##   benign      110         3
##   malignant    2         56
```

```
## The accuracy rate is: 0.9707602
```

```
## The sensitivity score is: 0.9821429
```

```
## The specificity score is: 0.9491525
```

- (c) Polynomial kernel of degree 3: the cost and gamma parameters chosen by cross-validation are 0.0001 and 3 respectively, with an accuracy rate of 96.49%, sensitivity score of 97.35% and specificity score of 94.83%.

```
## The confusion matrix is:
```

```
##           Reference
## Prediction  benign malignant
##   benign      110         3
##   malignant    3         55
```

```
## The accuracy rate is: 0.9649123
```

```
## The sensitivity score is: 0.9734513
```

## The specificity score is: 0.9482759

- (c) Gaussian kernel: the cost and gamma parameters chosen by cross-validation are 10 and 0.01 respectively, with an accuracy rate of 96.49%, sensitivity score of 97.35% and specificity score of 94.83%.

## The confusion matrix is:

##		Reference	
##	Prediction	benign	malignant
##	benign	110	3
##	malignant	3	55

## The accuracy rate is: 0.9649123

## The sensitivity score is: 0.9734513

## The specificity score is: 0.9482759

### Question 3

Suppose we have a set of data points  $X = [d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots, d_n]$  with  $c$  number of clusters. The K-means algorithm should be, first, random initialize  $c$  cluster centers; second, calculate the distance of each data point to its cluster center. Before the calculation, we perform a mapping from the input space  $X$  to a high dimensional feature space. The distance calculation can be written as

$$D[(\pi_c)_{c=1}^k] = \sum_{c=1}^k \sum_{d_i \in \pi_c} \|\phi(d_i) - mean_c\|^2,$$

where  $mean_c = \frac{\sum_{d_i \in \pi_c} \phi(d_i)}{|\pi_c|}$ , which equals to

$$\phi(d_i)\phi(d_i) - \frac{2\sum_{d_j \in \pi_c} \phi(d_i)\phi(d_j)}{|\pi_c|} + \frac{\sum_{d_j, d_l \in \pi_c} \phi(d_j)\phi(d_l)}{|\pi_c|^2}.$$

As we know that every algorithm in which input vectors appear only in dot products with other input vectors can be kernelized, along with formula  $K(x_i, x_j) = \phi(x_i) \cdot \phi(x_j)$ , the distance formula can be re-written as

$$K(d_i, d_i) - \frac{2\sum_{d_j \in \pi_c} K(d_i, d_j)}{|\pi_c|} + \frac{\sum_{d_j, d_l \in \pi_c} K(d_j, d_l)}{|\pi_c|^2}.$$

### Question 4

The equation of the kernelized ridge regression can be re-written as follows:

$$\text{minimise}(w) : \frac{1}{2} \|y - xw\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} w^T w$$

And the optimal solution(w) mapping to the higher dimension through  $\phi(x)$  is

$$w = (\phi^T \phi + \lambda I)^{-1} \phi^T y.$$

Using the hint:

$$(P^{-1} + B^T R^{-1} B)^{-1} B^T R^{-1} = P B^T (B P B^T + R)^{-1},$$

after setting  $P = \frac{1}{\lambda} I, R = I, B = \phi$ , we can firstly plug it in the LHS, which gives

$$(\phi^T \phi + \lambda I)^{-1} \phi^T.$$

The result is the same as w excluding  $y$ . And then, we can convert it to  $\phi^T(\phi\phi^T + \lambda I)^{-1}$  through the hint formula. Now, we can re-formulate  $w$  as  $w = \phi^T(\phi\phi^T + \lambda I)^{-1}y$ . The decision function can be re-written as

$$f(x) = w^T \phi(x) = y(\phi^T \phi + \lambda I_n)^{-1} \phi^T \phi(x),$$

which includes the kernel function  $K(x_1, x_2) = \phi^T(x_1)\phi(x_2)$ .