**Format for Intermediate Reports on DSP Optimizations**

**Group Name** : Speech group 5

**Team member 1** : Koen Goetshalckx

**Team member 2** : Seppe Iven

Selfie(s). Illustrating who is who.

Seppe: Koen:

 

# Report 1

**Lab date : 22/04, 2016**

**Submission time : 23/04**

## Logs:

We have found and fixed some bugs that occurred when implementing another group’s crypto part. We installed and configured CCS 5 and went through the given slides. We made the code slightly more modular. We profiled the code running on the DSP-simulator for a first time. We tried to speed up convolve, but no significant change was realized (see discussion below).

## Profile results:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Major Optimization** | **Functions Effected** | **Total Cycles\*** | | **Change (in %)** |
| **Before** | **After** |
| Base Code |  |  | 21,8M |  |  |
| Session 1 | Hardcoded filter in unrolled inner loop of convolve for filter2 and filter3 | Convolve 🡪 convolveFilter2Odd, convolveFilter2Even, convolveFilter3Odd, convolveFilter3Even | 21,8M | 21,7M | 0,5 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Function Name | File Name | Total no. of calls | Exclusive Count Total\* | | | Inclusive Count Total\* | | | Optimization carried out |
| Before  (Previous Session) | After  (This Session) | % Change | Before  (Previous Session) | After  (This Session) | % Change |
| Convolve  🡪  Convolve,  convolveFilter2Odd, convolveFilter2Even, convolveFilter3Odd, convolveFilter3Even | Analysis.c | 3840 | 3,5M | 3,4M | 3 | 13,5M | 13,4M | 1 | Hardcoded filter coefficients in an unrolled inner loop |

## Discussion (important)

The inner loop of the convolve function is the most used code of the program. Before the DSP, this inner loop was already sped up by a factor of 2, using the profiler of Visual Studio and the default windows C compiler. Now, an attempt to speed it up even more was made by unrolling this inner loop and hardcoding the filter coefficients in it. Some noticeable gain was expected, considering unrolled code improves pipelining and the hardcoded coefficients should require less data accesses. However, only a very small gain in speed was realized. Therefore, and because of the unreadability of the manually unrolled code (manually to hardcode the coefficients), the changes were reverted. (Only filter2 and filter3 were hardcoded. This should be enough to test the impact of the change.)

The amount of cycles is for an input file of 2986 samples

# Report 2

Lab date:

Submission date:

Submission deadline:

## Logs:

Describe what you have done. (Around 5 lines)

Some shorts to chars (lengths and offset) 🡪 21.6M. A lot of variables in main.c declared ‘near’, no noticeable change 🡪 reverted for cleanliness

Tried using some constants, almost no speed up 🡪 reverted

## Profile results:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Function Name | File Name | Total no. of calls | Exclusive Count Total\* | | | Inclusive Count Total\* | | | Optimization carried out |
| Before  (Previous Session) | After  (This Session) | % Change | Before  (Previous Session) | After  (This Session) | % Change |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

A little explanation, if needed.

Bar charts and/or line charts are welcomed, for better illustrating the profile results.

## Discussion (important)

* Point1
* Point2