

Group #	18		
Number of students in team	5		
Project stream identified as	Software		
Deliverables certificate submitted?	Yes		
# students on deliverables certificate	5		
Total marks	11.59	HD	
Out of	13.00		
Section	Awarded Mark	Out Of	Comments
Revised Project Plan	0.15	0.25	Good that you included handover requirements! Still nothing on conflict resolution, however. This is important!
Revised Scoping/Requirements	0.1	0.1	Much better, good job on acting on our feedback. The client feedback section is very short - is that all they really had to say? Surely there is more info you could have included here.
Not well-presented, spelling mistakes, language not businesslike			up to -1
Deliverables certificate not included?			if not included, -0.5
List of Assumptions	0.14	0.15	Much stronger! I'm not sure if some of your assumptions are necessary, like no one breaking COVID protocols. But overall this is stronger and more client-friendly.
Prototype/MVP/Project Output	5.5	5.5	Great action on client feedback, and good to see it embedded throughout the document. Makes it easy to see the work you've been doing!
Initial Section Subtotal	5.89	6	

Analysis and Design Documents			
Use case diagram	0.2	0.3	Not bad. I wonder if there is more to be said here about the questionnaire? What about the client's interaction with the system?
Use Case descriptions and User Stories (Well-structured, sensible, complete)	0.3	0.4	Same feedback remains - the user stories are just re-stating other information. You make a note about the fact personas don't alter the experience, but you can still draw from the types of users the client is trying to attract in your creation of a user story.
System Design Document	0.4	0.4	As before - well detailed.
Design – includes UML models, structure charts, Report Layouts	0.4	0.5	Again, some more information such as report layouts on user data would be good.
User Interface Layouts, Screen Navigation	0.2	0.2	As before, well illustrated, well done!
Data Definitions/Schemas/ER	0.2	0.2	Well done fleshing all this content out based on feedback!
User Manual	1.8	2	Not bad, but very descriptive! Some sort of FAQ or troubleshooting would be good.
Penalties and Deductions		0	
Analysis and Design Subtotal	3.5	4	
Test Specification			
Test Plan	1	1.75	It is not true that testing with the agile methodology means you don't need a test schedule. Often, testing plans are created in earlier sprints and then implemented later. You are also very vague about what kind of UX testing you are doing. It is not enough to just say you will do it - how will you do it? How will you plan for it?
Test-case specifications	1.2	1.25	Much stronger than last time. I'd like to have seen some results by now, however!
Penalties and Deductions		0	
Test Specification Subtotal	2.2	3	