

Q1) let  $U = \{ (x_1, x_2, x_3) \mid x_1 x_2 x_3 = 0, x_i \in \mathbb{R} \}$

Based on thm 1.34,  $U$  is a v.s. if it is a subspace of v.s.  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .  
(let's check that :)

① Additive identity

additive id is  $(0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . and  $0 \cdot 0 \cdot 0 = 0$ . since  $(0, 0, 0) \in U$   
the condition holds ✓

② closed under addition

let  $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in U$  and  $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3) \in U$ .

this means  $a_1 a_2 a_3 = b_1 b_2 b_3 = 0$

$\Rightarrow a + b = (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, a_3 + b_3) = 0$  however this does not  
always hold. for example  $(1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1) \in U$

but  $(1, 0, 1) + (0, 1, 1) = (1+0, 0+1, 1+1) = (1, 1, 2) \notin U$

so addition is NOT closed ✗

③ closed under scalar multiplication

let  $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in U$ , and  $k \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\Rightarrow ka = k(a_1, a_2, a_3) = (ka_1, ka_2, ka_3) = 0$$

bcs at least one  $a_1, \dots, a_3$  is equal to zero, one  $ka_1, \dots, ka_3$  is also zero so,

scalar mult is closed ✓

Since  $U$  failed to satisfy all conditions, it's not a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Therefore

vector space

Q2) let  $W = \mathbb{R}^3 = \{(a, b, c) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$

we can say:  $W_1 = \{(a, b, 0) \mid a \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq W$

$$W_2 = \{(0, b, 0) \mid b \in \mathbb{R}\} \subseteq W$$

let's prove they are subspaces quick:

①  $(0, 0, 0)$  is the additive id in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and  $(0, 0, 0) \in W_1, W_2$ . ✓

②  ~~$W_1 + W_2 = \mathbb{R}^3$~~

$$(a, 0, 0) + (\tilde{a}, 0, 0) = (a + \tilde{a}, 0, 0) \in W_1 \quad \checkmark$$

$$(0, b, 0) + (0, \tilde{b}, 0) = (0, b + \tilde{b}, 0) \in W_2$$

③  $k(a, 0, 0) = (ka, 0, 0) \in W_1 \quad \checkmark$

$$k(0, b, 0) = (0, bk, 0) \in W_2 \quad \checkmark$$

So let's check if  $W_1 + W_2 \neq W$ :

$$W_1 + W_2 = (a, 0, 0) + (0, b, 0) = (a, b, 0)$$

bcs  $(0, 0, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3 = W$  but  $(0, 0, c) \notin W_1 + W_2$

then,  $W_1 + W_2 \neq W \quad \square$

Q3) ① additive id in  $V$  is  $(0,0,0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$

$$(0,0,0) \in V_1, \text{ bcs } (0,0,0) \cdot (x,y,0) = (0,0,0) \quad \checkmark$$

$$(0,0,0) \in V_2, \text{ bcs } 0 \cdot (0,y,z) = (0,0,0)$$

② let  $(x,y,0), (\tilde{x},\tilde{y},0) \in V_1$ .

$$(x,y,0) + (\tilde{x},\tilde{y},0) = ((x+\tilde{x}), (y+\tilde{y}), (0+0)), \quad x+\tilde{x}, y+\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \in \mathbb{R}^3 = V$$

let  $(0,y,z), (0,\tilde{y},\tilde{z}) \in V_2$ .

$$(0,y,z) + (0,\tilde{y},\tilde{z}) = (0+0, y+\tilde{y}, z+\tilde{z}), \quad y+\tilde{y}, z+\tilde{z} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ so } (0,y+\tilde{y}, z+\tilde{z}) \in \mathbb{R}^3 = V$$

③ let  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$kV_1 = k(x,y,0) = (kx, ky, 0), \quad kx, ky \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ so } (kx, ky, 0) \in V = \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$kV_2 = k(0,y,z) = (0, ky, kz), \text{ so } (0, ky, kz) \in V = \mathbb{R}^3.$$

\* Just realized I proved  $V_1, V_2 \subseteq V$ , not  $V_1 + V_2 \subseteq V$ . oops!

lets try that again:  $V_1 + V_2 = (x,y,0) + (0,y,z) = (x, 2y, z) = \underline{\underline{A}}$

①  $0 \cdot A = (0,0,0)$ , so  $(0,0,0) \in A \quad \checkmark$

② let  $a, \tilde{a} \in A$

$$a + \tilde{a} = (x+\tilde{x}, 2y+2\tilde{y}, z+\tilde{z}). \quad x+\tilde{x}, 2y+2\tilde{y}, z+\tilde{z} \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ so } a + \tilde{a} \in A \quad \checkmark$$

③ let  $a \in A, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

$$\lambda a = (\lambda x, 2\lambda y, \lambda z). \quad \lambda x, 2\lambda y, \lambda z \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ so } \lambda a \in A. \quad \checkmark$$

So  $A = V_1 + V_2 \subseteq V. \quad \square$

Q4) let  $V = (a, b, c)$  and  $V_1 + V_2 = (x, 2y, z)$  and  $V_1 + V_2 \subseteq V$

~~the~~  $V_1 + V_2 = V$  means  $\forall (a, b, c), \exists (x, 2y, z)$

in this case  $(x, 2y, z) = (a, b/2, c)$ .

$a, c \in \mathbb{R}$  done and assuming  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  (as  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$ ),

then any real number divided by 2 is still real so  $b/2 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

so  $a, b/2, c \in \mathbb{R}$ . so,  $V_1 + V_2 = V$   $\square$

Q5) let  $V = (1, 2, 3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

for  $V_1 + V_2 = V$ ,  $V_1 = (1, 1, 0)$  and  $V_2 = (0, 1, 3)$

but in  $V_1$ :  $x + y = 1 + 1 \neq 0$

and in  $V_2$ :  $y + z = 1 + 3 \neq 0$

So,  $(1, 2, 3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$  but  $(1, 2, 3) \notin V_1 + V_2$ . WAIT IS THAT RIGHT???

---

Q5-2)  $V_1$  can be written as  $(x, -x, 0)$

$V_2$  can be written as  $(0, y, -y)$

so  $V_1 + V_2 = \{(x, -x+y, -y) \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$

so for  $\forall (a, b, c), \exists (x, -x+y, -y)$

so  $a = x$ ,  $b = y - x$ ,  $c = -y \Rightarrow y = b + x = b + a$

$\Rightarrow c = -(b + a) \Rightarrow a + b + c = 0$

so this is a constraint, it means only  $(a, b, c)$ s that

$a + b + c = 0$  are in  $V_1 + V_2$ . for example  $(1, 1, 1)$  is not in!

So,  $V_1 + V_2 \neq V$ .  $\square$

This looks better still not sure tho.