

Naive Bayes



Intuition: What is Naïve Bayes?

Naïve Bayes is a **probabilistic classifier** based on **Bayes' Theorem** — but with a **"naïve" assumption** of independence between features.

Bayes' Theorem (the foundation)

$$P(y|x) = \frac{P(x|y)P(y)}{P(x)}$$

where:

- (y) = class label
- ($x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$) = feature vector
- ($P(y|x)$) = probability of class given features (**posterior**)
- ($P(x|y)$) = likelihood of features given class
- ($P(y)$) = prior probability of class
- ($P(x)$) = probability of features (normalization constant)



The "Naïve" Assumption

The **naïve** part assumes that *all features are conditionally independent* given the class label.

Mathematically:

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n|y) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i|y)$$

This drastically simplifies the computation of ($P(x|y)$).

So the model becomes:

$$P(y|x_1, \dots, x_n) \propto P(y) \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i|y)$$



In other words:

The “naïve” assumption says:

“Let’s pretend that all features are independent of each other — once we know the class.”

In math:

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n | y) = P(x_1 | y) \times P(x_2 | y) \times \dots \times P(x_n | y)$$

That’s it — this is the **Naïve Bayes assumption**.

In Plain English

Let’s say you’re predicting whether an email is **spam**.

You have features like:

- x_1 = contains “free”
- x_2 = contains “win”
- x_3 = contains “meeting”

The **naïve assumption** says:

Once we know the email is spam, whether it contains “free” has nothing to do with whether it contains “win” or “meeting.”

So instead of learning a big complex joint probability like $P(\text{free, win, meeting} \mid \text{spam})$,

We just multiply the separate probabilities:

$$P(\text{free} \mid \text{spam}) \times P(\text{win} \mid \text{spam}) \times P(\text{meeting} \mid \text{spam})$$

Why Make This Assumption?

Because it makes everything **computationally simple**.

- Without the assumption: we’d need exponential data to estimate all combinations of features.
- With the assumption: we only need a few probabilities per feature and class.

Even though the assumption is *not really true* (features do correlate),

Naïve Bayes still performs **surprisingly well** — especially for text data, where independence is *approximately true*.

Prediction Rule

We predict the class (y) that maximizes the posterior probability:

$$\hat{y} = \arg \max_y, P(y) \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i|y)$$






So it's just about computing **simple probabilities**, multiplying them, and choosing the class with the highest result.

Types of Naïve Bayes

| Type | Description | Example Use |
|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Gaussian Naïve Bayes | Assumes continuous features are distributed normally within each class | Continuous numeric data (e.g., sensor readings) |
| Multinomial Naïve Bayes | Used for count features like word frequencies | Text classification, spam filtering |
| Bernoulli Naïve Bayes | Used for binary features (0/1, yes/no) | Sentiment analysis, document classification with presence/absence features |
| Categorical Naïve Bayes | Works with discrete categorical variables | Customer segmentation, survey data |

Use Cases

Naïve Bayes shines in:

-  **Spam detection** (words like "free", "winner" → spam class)
-  **Text classification** (sentiment analysis, topic tagging)
-  **Medical diagnosis** (symptom presence → disease class)
-  **Document categorization** (news, tweets, reviews)
-  **Recommender systems** (predicting user preferences)

Essentially, it's best when:

- You have **lots of features** (like words in a vocabulary)
- Each feature gives **small independent evidence** about the class

✓ Benefits

| Benefit | Explanation |
|---|--|
| Simple and fast | Only needs frequency counts or Gaussian parameters — no iterative training |
| Works well with small data | Even limited training data can give good estimates |
| Scalable | Linear in number of features and samples |
| Performs surprisingly well in practice | Despite the "naïve" assumption, often competitive with complex models (especially in text tasks) |
| Requires little storage | Just needs to store probabilities $P(x_i y)$ |
| Handles high-dimensional data well | Works great for text where features (words) are numerous and sparse |
| Probabilistic output | Gives interpretable probabilities for each class |

⚠ Limitations

| Limitation | Why |
|--|---|
| Strong independence assumption | Real-world features often correlate (e.g., "expensive" and "luxury" words) |
| Zero-frequency problem | If a feature never occurs in training for a class, its probability becomes zero → fixed by Laplace smoothing |
| Not ideal for correlated or continuous data | Works best when features truly are conditionally independent |

🔍 Example: Spam Detection (Simplified)

Let's say you have two classes:

Spam (y=1) and Not Spam (y=0)

And features:

x_1 = "contains free", x_2 = "contains win", x_3 = "contains meeting"

Naïve Bayes would compute:

$$P(\text{spam}|x) \propto P(\text{spam}) \times P(\text{free}|\text{spam}) \times P(\text{win}|\text{spam}) \times P(\text{meeting}|\text{spam})$$

and similarly for "Not Spam," then choose whichever is larger.



Summary

| Concept | Naïve Bayes Summary |
|-----------------|--|
| Type | Generative probabilistic classifier |
| Core idea | Use Bayes' theorem + assume feature independence |
| Equation | $P(y) \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i y)$ |
| Output | Class with highest posterior probability |
| Strengths | Fast, simple, robust, effective for text |
| Weaknesses | Assumes independence, zero-frequency issue |
| Common Variants | Gaussian, Multinomial, Bernoulli |