My project orchestrates six jobs: ingest raw data from the source API/database; clean the data no missing variables and noise; engineer featuring to create column I desire for further training; train a baseline model; evaluate with core and subgroup metrics to have a better idea of the data; and generate a stakeholder report to enhance reproducibility.

The dependency flow is linear—ingest \rightarrow clean \rightarrow features \rightarrow train \rightarrow evaluate \rightarrow report.

```
{'ingest': [],
'clean': ['ingest'],
'features': ['clean'],
'train': ['features'],
'evaluate': ['train'],
'report': ['evaluate']}
```

Dag Program

Logging captures per-task start/end timestamps, parameters, row counts in/out, warnings/errors, and key metrics.

	•	<u> </u>	
checkpoint_artifact	log_messages	task	
data/raw/data.json	start/end, rows, source URI	0 ingest	0
data/processed/clean.json	start/end, rows in/out	1 clean	1
data/processed/features.parquet	params, new features created	2 features	2
artifacts/model.pkl	params, training metrics (loss, RMSE)	3 train	3
artifacts/metrics.json	to collapse the range. subgroup scores	4 eval Click	4
reports/report.md	artifact path, summary saved	5 report	5

I will automate ingest, clean, features, train, and evaluate (they are frequent and benefit most from repeatability, retries, and scheduling), while keeping the final report semi-manual to allow human interpretation, narrative edits, and audience-specific framing before distribution.