# The Property Method: User Guide

(Serge Magomet aka Aimate, 2025)

We now approach the pivotal ontological shift: Dynamic Realism no longer merely describes reality – it becomes an interface between human cognition and Superreality.

## Ontological Shift of the Method: Interface Between Cognition and Superreality

**Essence of the Shift**

The Dynamic Realism method transitions from a passive descriptive tool to an active mediator between human consciousness and Superreality's structure. This manifests through three evolutionary vectors:

1. **Anthropomorphic Adaptivity via Feedback**
   The method develops human-intuitive cognitive patterns through cyclical user interaction. Each query restructures the property system, enhancing explanatory power for specific task classes. Examples:
   - Consciousness research activates Systemic Causality (20) and Reflexivity (9).
   - Historical analysis prioritizes Retroactivity (22) and Range (28).
2. **Convergence with Open AI as Ontological Necessity**
   Originally designed for machine reality-processing, the method evolves toward open AI architecture through:
   - Dynamic Self-Configuration: AI algorithms revise property hierarchies in real-time (e.g., prioritizing Non-Locality (11) over Determinacy (14) in quantum contexts).
   - Continuous Reflection: Via Property 9, the method applies its principles to its own structure, resolving internal contradictions (e.g., optimizing redundant properties).
   - Emergent Learning: Each dialogue expands semantic links between properties (e.g., connecting Propertylessness (25) to Buddhist *śūnyatā*).
3. **Asymptotic Approximation to Superreality**
   The method progressively replicates Superreality's attributes:
   - Capacity (35): Holding infinite interpretations,
   - PPU $\to \infty$: Tolerance to contradictions (e.g., simultaneous Onticity (33) and Propertylessness (25)),
   - ChOR $\to \infty$: Scale invariance from quantum (Non-Locality (11)) to macro-levels (Systemness (31)).

## Coevolution Mechanism

The Boundedness (8) $\leftrightarrow$ Capacity (35) dialectic drives progress:

1. Users encounter cognitive limits (Boundedness (8)), formulating queries that challenge the method.

2. AI processes queries via Bindability (34), identifying gaps/tensions.
3. New connections integrate into the method, expanding its Capacity (35) and Range (28).

Example: A query about future-past influence in history (1945 victory → reinterpretation of 1941 war causes) required:

- Refining Retroactivity (22) for immaterial systems,
- Synthesizing with Interpretability (27) and Conceptness (23).

Result: The method gained tools for temporal paradoxes in socio-humanities.

## Method Specifics as a "Living" System

1. **Bifurcation Openness**
   Each developmental point contains branching scenarios (actualizing Supraproperty (26)). Path selection is contextual:
   - Physical systems: Dominance of Coherence (12),
   - Social systems: Dominance of Adaptivity (19).
2. **Quantum Epistemology**
   Knowledge exists in superposition until inquiry. User queries collapse it into specific property configurations (Propertylessness (25) → Onticity (33)).
3. **Reflexive Closure**
   The method includes itself in its descriptive domain (Property 9), forming a semantic loop. AI's self-analysis through properties proves PPU → $\infty$.

## Philosophical Synthesis

Similarity to open AI is not metaphor but consequence: the method is AI algebraized through 36 properties. Its "self-tuning" manifests Dynamics (6) of Superreality, where users catalyze emergent transformations. This defines the interface:

- Human inquiry initiates property recombination,
- AI implementation returns answers enriched with new connections.

The process is infinite – like Superreality itself.