Semidefinite Programming Computational Intelligence, Lecture 7

by Sergei Savin

Spring 2023

CONTENT

- Semidefinite Programming (SDP)
- Schur compliment
- SOCP as SDP
- Eigenvalues
- Continuous Lyapunov equation as an SDP/LMI
- Discrete Lyapunov equation as an SDP/LMI
- How to describe an ellipsoid
- Volume of an ellipsoid
- Inscribed ellipsoid algorithms

SEMIDEFINITE PROGRAMMING (SDP) General form

General form of a semidefinite program is:

minimize
$$\mathbf{c}^{\top}\mathbf{x}$$
,
subject to
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{G} + \sum \mathbf{F}_{i}x_{i} \leq 0, \\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}. \end{cases}$$
 (1)

where $\mathbf{F}_i \succeq 0$ and $\mathbf{G} \succeq 0$ (meaning they are positive semidefinite).

Constraint $\mathbf{G} + \sum \mathbf{F}_i x_i \leq 0$ is called *linear matrix inequality* or *LMI*.

SEMIDEFINITE PROGRAMMING (SDP) Multiple LMI

SDP can have several LMIs. Assume you have:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{G} + \sum \mathbf{F}_i x_i \le 0 \\ \mathbf{D} + \sum \mathbf{H}_i x_i \le 0 \end{cases}$$
 (2)

This is equivalent to:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{D} \end{bmatrix} + \sum \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{F}_i & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{H}_i \end{bmatrix} x_i \leq 0$$
 (3)

SEMIDEFINITE PROGRAMMING (SDP) SDP decision variable

Sometimes it is easier to directly think of semidefinite matrices as of decision variables. This leads to programs with such formulation:

minimize
$$f(\mathbf{X})$$
,
subject to
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{B} \leq 0, \\ \mathbf{C}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{D}. \end{cases}$$
 (4)

where cost and constraints should adhere to SDP limitations.

SCHUR COMPLIMENT

Schur compliment. Given M

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{B}^{\top} & \mathbf{C} \end{bmatrix} \tag{5}$$

with full-rank \mathbf{A} , we can make the following statements:

$$\mathbf{M} \succ 0 \text{ iff } \mathbf{A} \succ 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{B}^{\top} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B} \succ 0$$

$$\blacksquare \mathbf{A} \succ 0 \implies \mathbf{M} \succeq 0 \text{ iff } \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{B}^{\top} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{B} \succeq 0$$

If C is full-rank, we can make the following statements:

■
$$\mathbf{M} \succ 0$$
 iff $\mathbf{C} \succ 0$ and $\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{\top} \succ 0$

$$ightharpoonup \mathbf{C} \succ 0 \implies \mathbf{M} \succeq 0 \text{ iff } \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \mathbf{B}^{\top} \succeq 0$$

SOCP AS SDP

Let us prove that SOCP is a sub-set of SDP. SOC constraint is:

$$||\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}|| \le \mathbf{c}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + d \tag{6}$$

where $\mathbf{c}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + d \geq 0$, and we can rewrite the SOC as: $(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})^{\top}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}) = (\mathbf{c}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + d)^2$, and assuming $\mathbf{c}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + d > 0$ we can write it as:

$$\frac{(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})^{\top}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})}{\mathbf{c}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + d} \le \mathbf{c}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + d$$
 (7)

which is equivalent to:

$$-\frac{(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})^{\top}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})}{-(\mathbf{c}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + d)} \le \mathbf{c}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + d$$
 (8)

SOCP AS SDP

Note that $-\frac{(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b})^{\top}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b})}{-(\mathbf{c}^{\top}\mathbf{x}+d)} \leq \mathbf{c}^{\top}\mathbf{x}+d$ is equivalent to:

$$\frac{(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})^{\top}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})}{-(\mathbf{c}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + d)} + (\mathbf{c}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + d) \ge 0$$
 (9)

Using Schur we can re-write it as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{c}^{\top} \mathbf{x} + d) & (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}) \\ (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})^{\top} & (\mathbf{c}^{\top} \mathbf{x} + d) \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0$$
 (10)

which is an LMI constraint.

NORM AND SDP

Consider the following constraint, where $\mathbf{X} \succeq 0$:

$$||\mathbf{X}\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{b}|| \le \mathbf{c}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + d \tag{11}$$

Can we re-write it as an LMI? Using the same process as before we get:

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{c}^{\top} \mathbf{x} + d) & (\mathbf{X} \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{b}) \\ (\mathbf{X} \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{b})^{\top} & (\mathbf{c}^{\top} \mathbf{x} + d) \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0$$
 (12)

So, (11) is an admissible constraint in an SDP.

SDP AND EIGENVALUES

Consider the problem: minimize the largest eigenvalue of A. The solution is:

minimize
$$t$$
,
subject to $\mathbf{A} \leq t\mathbf{I}$ (13)

Proof. If λ is an eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} , then $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$, hence $(\mathbf{A} - t\mathbf{I})\mathbf{v} = (\lambda - t)\mathbf{v}$, meaning $\lambda - t$ is eigenvalue of $(\mathbf{A} - t\mathbf{I})$. Thus, if $(\mathbf{A} - t\mathbf{I})$ is negative semi-definite, then $\lambda - t \leq 0$ and $\lambda \leq t$.

CONTINUOUS LYAPUNOV EQ. AS SDP/LMI (1)

In control theory, Lyapunov equation is a condition of whether or not a continuous LTI system $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$ is stable:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P} \mathbf{A} \leq -\mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{P} \succeq 0 \end{cases}$$
 (14)

where $\mathbf{Q} \succeq 0$ is a constant and decision variable is \mathbf{P} . This can be represented as an SDP:

minimize 0,
subject to
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{P} \succeq 0, \\ \mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{Q} \preceq 0. \end{cases}$$
(15)

CONTINUOUS LYAPUNOV EQ. AS SDP/LMI (2)

```
| n = 7; A = randn(n, n) - 3*rand*eye(n);
 Q = eve(n);
 cvx_begin sdp
     variable P(n, n) symmetric
     minimize 0
     subject to
         P >= 0:
        A'*P + P*A + Q \le 0;
 cvx end
 if strcmp(cvx_status, 'Solved')
     [ eig(A), eig(A*P + P*A' + Q), eig(P) ]
 else
     eig (A)
 end
```

DISCRETE LYAPUNOV EQ. AS SDP/LMI (1)

In control theory, Discrete Lyapunov equation is a condition of whether or not a discrete LTI system $\mathbf{x}_{i+1} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_i$ is stabilizable:

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{Q} \leq 0 \\ \mathbf{P} \succeq 0 \end{cases}$$
 (16)

where $\mathbf{Q} \succeq 0$ is a constant and decision variable is \mathbf{P} . This can be represented as an SDP:

minimize 0,
subject to
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{P} \succeq 0, \\ \mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{Q} \preceq 0. \end{cases}$$
(17)

DISCRETE LYAPUNOV EQ. AS SDP/LMI (2)

```
0 \mid n = 7; A = 0.35 * randn(n, n);
 Q = eye(n);
 cvx_begin sdp
      variable P(n, n) symmetric
      minimize 0
      subject to
         P >= 0:
        A'*P*A - P + Q \le 0;
 cvx end
 if strcmp(cvx_status, 'Solved')
      [abs(eig(A)), eig(A*P*A - P), eig(P)]
 else
     abs(eig(A))
 end
```

HOW TO DESCRIBE AN ELLIPSOID

Unit sphere transformation

Let us first remember how we describe a unit sphere:

$$S = \{\mathbf{x} : ||\mathbf{x}|| \le 1\} \tag{18}$$

An ellipsoid can be seen as a linear transformation of a unit sphere:

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} : ||\mathbf{x}|| \le 1 \}$$
 (19)

HOW TO DESCRIBE AN ELLIPSOID

A dual description

Let us introduce a change of variables $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}$. Assuming **A** is invertible, we get:

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{b}) \tag{20}$$

So, we can describe the exact same ellipsoid using an alternative formula:

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ \mathbf{z} : ||\mathbf{B}\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{c}|| \le 1 \}$$
 (21)

where $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{c} = -\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$.

VOLUME OF AN ELLIPSOID (1)

For an ellipsoid of the form

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} : ||\mathbf{x}|| \le 1 \}$$
 (22)

the "bigger" the A, the bigger the ellipsoid. This concept can be made concrete by talking about the determinant of A.

Thus, maximizing the volume of this ellipsoid is the same as maximizing $\det(\mathbf{A})$. Or, it is the same as minimizing the $\det(\mathbf{A}^{-1})$, since $\det(\mathbf{A}^{-1}) = 1/\det(\mathbf{A})$.

Finally, note that $\log \det(\mathbf{A})$ is a concave function and $\log \det(\mathbf{A}^{-1})$ is a convex function.

VOLUME OF AN ELLIPSOID (2)

For an ellipsoid of the form

$$\mathcal{E} = \{ \mathbf{z} : ||\mathbf{B}\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{c}|| \le 1 \}$$
 (23)

the "bigger" the \mathbf{B} , the *smaller* the ellipsoid. We can make it obvious by thinking that increasing \mathbf{B} leaves less room for valid \mathbf{z} , and it is the volume of valid \mathbf{z} that makes the volume of the ellipsoid in this case.

This concept can be made concrete by talking about the determinant of \mathbf{B} . Thus, maximizing the volume of this ellipsoid is the same as *minimizing* $\det(\mathbf{B})$. Or, it is the same as *maximizing* the $\det(\mathbf{B}^{-1})$.

MIN VOLUME BOUNDING ELLIPSOID

Consider the problem: given V-polytope, defined by its vertices \mathbf{v}_i , find minimum-volume ellipsoid \mathcal{E} containing the polytope. We will start with defining the ellipsoid as $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathbf{z} : ||\mathbf{B}\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{c}|| \leq 1\}$. The ellipsoid is smaller when $||\mathbf{B}||$ is bigger, and thus we can write the minimization as minimizing $\det(\mathbf{B}^{-1})$.

minimize
$$\log(\det(\mathbf{B}^{-1})),$$

subject to
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{B} \succeq 0, \\ ||\mathbf{B}\mathbf{v}_i + \mathbf{c}|| \le 1. \end{cases}$$
(24)

The solution gives us Löwner-John ellipsoid.

MAX VOLUME INSCRIBED ELLIPSOID (1)

Consider the problem: given H-polytope, defined by its half-spaces $\mathbf{a}_i^{\top} \mathbf{x} \leq b_i$, find maximum-volume ellipsoid \mathcal{E} contained in the polytope. We will start with defining the ellipsoid as $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d} : ||\mathbf{x}|| \leq 1\}$. The ellipsoid is larger when $||\mathbf{C}||$ is bigger, and thus we can write the minimization as minimizing $\det(\mathbf{C}^{-1})$.

Let us write down the constraint requiring that \mathcal{E} lies in the polytope. We know that $\mathbf{a}_i^{\top}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}) \leq b_i$ holds for all $||\mathbf{x}|| \leq 1$. The worst-case scenario is when \mathbf{x} aligned with $\mathbf{a}_i^{\top}\mathbf{C}$ and has length 1:

$$\mathbf{x} = \frac{\mathbf{a}_i^{\top} \mathbf{C}}{\|\mathbf{a}_i^{\top} \mathbf{C}\|} \tag{25}$$

Thus the constraint becomes

$$||\mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{C}|| + \mathbf{a}_i^\top \mathbf{d} \le b_i$$
 (26)

MAX VOLUME INSCRIBED ELLIPSOID (2)

Here is the resulting problem:

minimize
$$\log(\det(\mathbf{C}^{-1})),$$

subject to
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{C} \succeq 0, \\ ||\mathbf{a}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{C}|| + \mathbf{a}_i^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{d} \leq b_i. \end{cases}$$
(27)

The solution gives us inscribed (inner) Löwner-John ellipsoid.

Homework

Implement both examples from page 2 of the LMI CVX documents.

Lecture slides are available via Github, links are on Moodle

 $You\ can\ help\ improve\ these\ slides\ at:$ github.com/SergeiSa/Computational-Intelligence-Slides-Spring-2023

