LMI: Control design and robustness Control Theory, Lecture ??

by Sergei Savin

Spring 2023

CONTENT

- LMI
- Control design
- Robustness
- S-procedure
- Appendix A

LINEAR MATRIX INEQUALITIES (LMI)

A linear matrix inequality (LMI) is a semidefinite constraint placed on a matrix:

$$\mathbf{S} \succ 0 \tag{1}$$

We assume (and this is true!) that there exist *solvers* that can solve problems with such constraints.

Example

Given **A**, find such $\mathbf{S} \succ 0$ that $\mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} \prec 0$.

Notice that the last example is continious-time Lyapunov eq. for LTI system $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$, and if such \mathbf{S} exists the system is stable.

CONTROL DESIGN, 1

Consider a system $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}$, control $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{x}$ and a Lyapunov function $V = \mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{S} \succ 0$.

Closed-form of the system is $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K})\mathbf{x}$, and full derivative of the Lyapunov function:

$$\dot{V} = \mathbf{x}^{\top} (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{K})^{\top} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{S} (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{K}) \mathbf{x} \le 0$$
 (2)

This can be re-written as an LMI:

$$(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K})^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K}) \prec 0 \tag{3}$$

This is *not linear* in decision variables (\mathbf{S} and \mathbf{K}), and can't be solved directly using popular solvers.

CONTROL DESIGN, 2

Introducing new variable $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{S}^{-1}$ and multiplying (3) by \mathbf{P} on both sides (we can do it, as both \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{S} are full rank, and thus it is a congruence transformation which preserves definiteness, see appendix) we get:

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K})^{\top} + (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K})\mathbf{P} < 0 \tag{4}$$

Now we introduce one more variable $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{KP}$ and get an LMI constraint:

$$\mathbf{P}\mathbf{A}^{\top} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{L}^{\top}\mathbf{B}^{\top} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{L} \prec 0 \tag{5}$$

Solving (5) gives us \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{L} , from which we can compute $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{P}^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{P}^{-1}$, solving the original problem.

ROBUSTNESS, 1

Consider a system $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$, but when you don't know \mathbf{A} exactly. In other words, you don't know the model exactly. This is not to say that we know nothing about the model, but there is an uncertainty in our knowledge.

A good way to model is luck of model knowledge, this *uncertainty*, is this:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{F}\Delta\mathbf{E})\mathbf{x} \tag{6}$$

where **F** and **E** are arbitrary matrices, and Δ is a norm-bounded matrix: $||\Delta|| \leq 1$.

We can think of it this way: $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{F}\Delta \mathbf{E}$ is the true but unknown model, and the range of all possible models we can expect is bounded by the possible values of Δ .

ROBUSTNESS, 2

Lets write the Lyapunov equation for the system (6):

$$\dot{V} = \mathbf{x}^{\top} (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{F} \Delta \mathbf{E})^{\top} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{S} (\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{F} \Delta \mathbf{E}) \mathbf{x} \le 0$$
 (7)

Let us introduce a new variable $\mathbf{w} = \Delta \mathbf{E} \mathbf{x}$:

$$\dot{V} = \mathbf{x}^{\top} (\mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{w}^{\top} \mathbf{F}^{\top} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{w} \le 0$$
 (8)

Let us consider $\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{w}$:

$$\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{E}^{\top}\Delta\Delta\mathbf{E}\mathbf{x} \le \mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{E}^{\top}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{x}$$
(9)

which is true because $||\Delta|| \le 1$. In fact, the only property of the norm that we need here is that the delta inequality (9) holds.

Robustness, 3

With $\mathbf{w}^{\top}\mathbf{w} \leq \mathbf{x}^{\top}\mathbf{E}^{\top}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{x}$ we can write:

$$\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{E}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{E} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{w} \ge 0 \tag{10}$$

Which is the same as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{w} \end{bmatrix}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{E}^{\top} \mathbf{E} & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{w} \end{bmatrix} \ge 0$$
 (11)

The same way we can rewrite (8):

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{w} \end{bmatrix}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{S} \mathbf{F} \\ \mathbf{F}^{\top} \mathbf{S} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{w} \end{bmatrix} \le 0$$
 (12)

which only need to hold while (11) holds.

S-PROCEDURE

There is a way to enforce constraint $\mathbf{z}^{\top} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{z} \leq 0$ for such \mathbf{z} that $\mathbf{z}^{\top} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{z} \geq 0$. This is called *s-procedure*.

Theorem

If
$$\gamma > 0$$
 and $\mathbf{M} + \gamma \mathbf{N} \prec 0$ then $\mathbf{z}^{\top} \mathbf{N} \mathbf{z} \geq 0 \implies \mathbf{z}^{\top} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{z} \leq 0$

Robustness, 4

Using s-procedure we enforce (12) when (11) holds:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{w} \end{bmatrix}^{\top} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} + \gamma \mathbf{E}^{\top} \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{S} \mathbf{F} \\ \mathbf{F}^{\top} \mathbf{S} & -\gamma \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{w} \end{bmatrix} \le 0$$
 (13)

In LMI form this is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} + \gamma \mathbf{E}^{\top} \mathbf{E} & \mathbf{S} \mathbf{F} \\ \mathbf{F}^{\top} \mathbf{S} & -\gamma \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} \prec 0$$
 (14)

This is a condition that the system is stable for all values of Δ . The decision variables are **S** and γ .

QUADRATIC STABILITY, 1

Let us consider the following system:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \tag{15}$$

where $\mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \mathbf{A}_i$, $\alpha_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i = 1$ with known \mathbf{A}_i but unknown coefficients α_i . Is it stable for all possible values of α_i ? Note that we can't use eigenvalue analysis in this case.

Geometrically, this means **A** is in a polytope with vertices \mathbf{A}_i .

QUADRATIC STABILITY, 2

Theorem (Quadratic stability)

$$\mathbf{A}_{i}^{\top}\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S}\mathbf{A}_{i} \leq 0 \text{ implies } \dot{\mathbf{x}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}\mathbf{A}_{i}\mathbf{x} \text{ is stable, where } \alpha_{i} \geq 0,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} = 1$$

Proof:
$$\dot{V} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \mathbf{A}_i\right)^{\top} \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \mathbf{A}_i\right) \leq 0$$
 can be re-written as: $\dot{V} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\alpha_i (\mathbf{A}_i^{\top} \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A}_i)\right)$ and since $\mathbf{A}_i^{\top} \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A}_i \leq 0$ and $\alpha_i \geq 0$, then $\dot{V} \leq 0$.

QUADRATIC STABILITY - CONTROL DESIGN, 1

Let us consider the following system:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x} \tag{16}$$

where $\mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \mathbf{A}_i$, $\alpha_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i = 1$ with known \mathbf{A}_i but unknown coefficients α_i . How to design control law $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{x}$ making the system stable for all possible values of α_i ?

The closed-loop form of the system is:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \mathbf{A}_i + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{K}) \mathbf{x}$$
 (17)

QUADRATIC STABILITY - CONTROL DESIGN, 2

Let us write Lyapunov eq. for the system:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i (\mathbf{A}_i + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K})\right)^{\top} \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i (\mathbf{A}_i + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K})\right) < 0 \quad (18)$$

We can re-write it as:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \left((\mathbf{A}_i + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{K})^{\top} \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S} (\mathbf{A}_i + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{K}) \right) < 0$$
 (19)

Hence if $(\mathbf{A}_i + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K})^{\top}\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A}_i + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K}) \prec 0$, the original system is stable.

QUADRATIC STABILITY - CONTROL DESIGN, 3

From $(\mathbf{A}_i + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K})^{\top}\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A}_i + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K}) \prec 0$, we can go on to do control design. Introducing $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{S}^{-1}$, we use congruence transformation multiplying by \mathbf{P} on both sides:

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{A}_i + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K})^{\top} + (\mathbf{A}_i + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K})\mathbf{P} < 0$$
 (20)

Introducing new variable $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{KP}$ we get a problem linear in decision variables:

$$\mathbf{P}\mathbf{A}_{i}^{\top} + \mathbf{A}_{i}\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{L}^{\top}\mathbf{B}^{\top} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{L} \prec 0$$
 (21)

where the decision variables are \mathbf{P} and \mathbf{L} . The control gain matrix is found as $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{P}^{-1}$.

Lecture slides are available via Github, links are on Moodle

You can help improve these slides at: github.com/SergeiSa/Control-Theory-Slides-Spring-2023



Appendix A

Congruence transformation and definiteness

Consider matrices $\mathbf{P} \succ 0$, and $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ is full rank. We can prove that:

$$\mathbf{P} \succ 0 \implies \mathbf{V}^{\top} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{V} \succ 0 \tag{22}$$

Proof: $\mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{V}^{\top} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{z}^{\top} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{z}$, where $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x}$. Since $\mathbf{P} \succ 0$, $\mathbf{z}^{\top} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{z} \ge 0$, hence $\mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{V}^{\top} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{V} \mathbf{x} \ge 0$.

Definition

Congruence transformation preserves semi-definiteness: $det(\mathbf{V}) \neq 0, \ \mathbf{P} \succ 0 \implies \mathbf{V}^{\top} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{V} \succ 0$