## Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman eq., Riccati eq., Linear Quadratic Regulator Control Theory, Lecture 8

by Sergei Savin

Spring 2022

#### CONTENT

- Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation
  - Definitions
  - ► Cost, optimal cost
  - ▶ Differentiating optimal cost
- Algebraic Riccati equation
  - HJB for LTI
  - Linear Quadratic Regulator
  - Numerical methods

# HAMILTON-JACOBI-BELLMAN EQUATION Definitions

Let us define dynamics:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \tag{1}$$

with initial conditions  $\mathbf{x}(0)$ .

Additionally we define *control policy* as:

$$\mathbf{u} = \pi(\mathbf{x}, t) \tag{2}$$

To connect with the previous ways we talked about control, we can say that choosing different control gains and different feed-forward control amounts to choosing a different control policy.

# HAMILTON-JACOBI-BELLMAN EQUATION

Cost, optimal cost

Let J be an additive cost function:

$$J(\mathbf{x}_0, \pi(\mathbf{x}, t)) = \int_0^\infty g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) dt$$
 (3)

where  $g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u})$  is instantaneous cost and  $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{x}(0)$  is the initial conditions. Notice that J depends on  $\mathbf{x}_0$  rather than  $\mathbf{x}(t)$ , since initial conditions and control policy completely define the trajectory of the system  $\mathbf{x}(t)$ .

Let  $J^*$  be the optimal (lowest possible) cost. In other words:

$$J^*(\mathbf{x}_0) = \inf_{\pi} J(\mathbf{x}_0, \pi(\mathbf{x}, t))$$
 (4)

Optimal cost is attained when optimal policy is attained:  $\pi = \pi^*(\mathbf{x}, t)$ 

## HAMILTON-JACOBI-BELLMAN EQUATION

With this, we can formulate Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation (HJB):

$$\min_{\mathbf{u}} \left[ g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) + \frac{\partial J^*}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \right] = 0$$
 (5)

This can be loosely interpreted as follows: the value in square brackets is  $\dot{J}(\mathbf{x}_0,\pi)$ , which is equal to 0 when  $\pi=\pi^*(\mathbf{x},t)$ , and is positive otherwise (in the small vicinity of  $\pi^*$ ), as  $J(\mathbf{x}_0, \pi^*)$  is smaller than any  $J(\mathbf{x}_0, \pi), \ \pi^* \neq \pi$ .

We can find control that delivers minimum to the function (5):

$$u^* = \underset{\mathbf{u}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \left[ g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) + \frac{\partial J^*}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \right]$$
 (6)

## ALGEBRAIC RICCATI HJB for LTI

For LTI, dynamics is:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u} \tag{7}$$

We can choose quadratic cost:

$$g(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}^{\top} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{u}$$
 (8)

Then HJB becomes:

$$\min_{\mathbf{u}} \left[ \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}^{\top} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{u} + \frac{\partial J^{*}}{\partial \mathbf{x}} (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}) \right] = 0$$
 (9)

where 
$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}^{\top} \geq 0$$
 and  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}^{\top} > 0$ .

# Algebraic Riccati

#### HJB for LTI, part 2

There is a theorem that says that for LTI with quadratic cost,  $J^*$  has the form:

$$J^* = \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{S} \mathbf{x} \tag{10}$$

where  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}^{\top} \geq 0$ .

Then HJB becomes:

$$\min_{\mathbf{u}} \ \left[ \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}^{\top} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{S} (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u}) + (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u})^{\top} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{x} \right] = 0$$

Simplifying, we get:

$$\min_{\mathbf{u}} \left[ \mathbf{u}^{\top} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{x}^{\top} (\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{S}) \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}^{\top} \mathbf{B}^{\top} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{x} \right] = 0$$

#### Algebraic Riccati

#### Linear Quadratic Regulator

Finding partial derivative of the HJB with respect to  $\mathbf{u}$  and setting it to zero (as it is an extreme point) we get:

$$2\mathbf{u}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{R} + 2\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{B} = 0 \tag{11}$$

This expression can be transposed and  ${\bf u}$  separated:

$$\mathbf{u} = -\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{x} \tag{12}$$

This is the desired control law. We can see that it is *proportional*. We can re-write it as:

$$\mathbf{u} = -\mathbf{K}\mathbf{x} \tag{13}$$

where  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S}$  is the controller gain. This control law is called Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR).

#### ALGEBRAIC RICCATI

Substituting found control law into the HJB, we find:

$$\min_{\mathbf{u}} \left[ \mathbf{x}^{\top} (\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{S}) \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{R} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{B}^{\top} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{B}^{\top} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{x} \right] = 0$$

$$(14)$$

Simplifying, we get:

$$\mathbf{x}^{\top}(\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{S}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^{\top}\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{S}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{\top}\mathbf{S})\mathbf{x} = 0$$
 (15)

which would hold for all x iff:

$$\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{S}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{S} = 0 \tag{16}$$

This is the Algebraic Riccati equation.

### Algebraic Riccati

#### Numerical methods

There are a number of ways to solve LQR:

- In MATLAB there is a function [K,S,P] = lqr(A,B,Q,R), where P=eig(A-B\*K)
- In Python, there is S = scipy.linalg.solve\_continuous\_are(A,B,Q,R)
- In Drake (by MIT and Toyota Research) there is a function (K,S) = LinearQuadraticRegulator(A,B,Q,R)

#### THANK YOU!

Lecture slides are available via Moodle.

You can help improve these slides at: github.com/SergeiSa/Control-Theory-Slides-Spring-2022

Check Moodle for additional links, videos, textbook suggestions.

