



Variational Autoencoders

Sergei V. Kalinin


- What are (Variational) autoencoders?
- Key notions:
 - Encoding and decoding
 - Latent distribution
 - Latent representations
 - Disentanglement of the representations
- Why invariances: rotational, translational, and shear
- Other colors of VAEs:
 - Semi-supervised
 - Conditional
 - Joint
- VAEs for real-world examples
- From VAEs to encoder-decoders (VED)
- Further opportunities:
 - Physics constraints
 - Representation learning
- Active learning: DKL

Autoencoders: Encoding




Latent distribution: Encoding the data via low dimensional vector

Autoencoders: Decoding



Latent space → Image



Latent representation: Decoding images from uniform grid in latent space

AE and Variational AE (VAE)



Geoffrey Hinton

FOLLOW

Emeritus Prof. Comp Sci, U.Toronto & Engineering Fellow, Google

Verified email at cs.toronto.edu - [Homepage](#)

machine learning psychology artificial intelligence cognitive science computer science

TITLE	CITED BY	YEAR
Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks A Krizhevsky, I Sutskever, GE Hinton Communications of the ACM 60 (6), 84-90	130318	2017
Deep learning Y LeCun, Y Bengio, G Hinton Nature 521 (7553), 436-44	62790	2015
Dropout: a simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting N Srivastava, G Hinton, A Krizhevsky, I Sutskever, R Salakhutdinov The journal of machine learning research 15 (1), 1929-1958	42078	2014
Visualizing data using t-SNE L van der Maaten, G Hinton Journal of Machine Learning Research 9 (Nov), 2579-2605	35035	2008
Learning representations by back-propagating errors DE Rumelhart, GE Hinton, RJ Williams Nature 323 (6088), 533-536	32239	1986
Learning internal representations by error-propagation DE Rumelhart, GE Hinton, RJ Williams Parallel Distributed Processing: Explorations in the Microstructure of ...	30711	1986
Schemata and sequential thought processes in PDP models. D Rumelhart, P Smolenksy, J McClelland, G Hinton Parallel distributed processing: Explorations in the microstructure of ...	28073 *	1986
Learning multiple layers of features from tiny images A Krizhevsky, G Hinton	21876	2009
Rectified linear units improve restricted boltzmann machines V Nair, GE Hinton Proceedings of the 27th international conference on machine learning (ICML ...	21050	2010
Reducing the dimensionality of data with neural networks GE Hinton, RR Salakhutdinov Science 313 (5786), 504-507	19930	2006

Reducing the dimensionality of data with neural networks

Authors Geoffrey E Hinton, Ruslan R Salakhutdinov

Publication date 2006/7/28

Journal Science

Volume 313

Issue 5786

Pages 504-507

Publisher American Association for the Advancement of Science

Description High-dimensional data can be converted to low-dimensional codes by training a multilayer neural network with a small central layer to reconstruct high-dimensional input vectors. Gradient descent can be used for fine-tuning the weights in such "autoencoder" networks, but this works well only if the initial weights are close to a good solution. We describe an effective way of initializing the weights that allows deep autoencoder networks to learn low-dimensional codes that work much better than principal components analysis as a tool to reduce the dimensionality of data.

Total citations Cited by 19930






- **Training:** pairs of the high-noise and low-noise images
- **Application:** new high noise images (from the same distribution)
- **Concern:** has to be from the same distribution


Test color images (Ground Truth)



Test gray images (Input)



Colorized test images (Predicted)



- **Training:** pairs of the grayscale and color images
- **Application:** new grayscale images (from the same distribution)
- **Concern:** has to be from the same distribution

AE and Variational AE (VAE)



Diederik P. Kingma

Other names ▾

FOLLOW

Research Scientist, [Google Brain](#)
Verified email at google.com - [Homepage](#)

Machine Learning Deep Learning Neural Networks Generative Models Variational Inference

TITLE	CITED BY	YEAR
Adam: A Method for Stochastic Optimization DP Kingma, J Ba Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Learning Representations ...	141306	2014
Auto-Encoding Variational Bayes DP Kingma, M Welling arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114	26540	2013
Semi-Supervised Learning with Deep Generative Models DP Kingma, S Mohamed, DJ Rezende, M Welling Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 3581-3589	2946	2014

- Variational Autoencoder (VAE): uses “reparameterization trick” to sample from the latent space
- Can be used for same tasks as AE
- Have a much better-behaved latent space: **disentanglement of the representations**

VAE Training

Latent manifold -> Image space







Image space -> Latent space



Conditional VAE



Note the trends in the latent representation for each digit: **disentanglement of the representations**


(R)VAE on Cards

Introduce the **cards** data set:


- Classical 4 hands (diamonds, clubs, pikes, hearts)
- Interesting similarities (pike and hearts)
- And invariances on affine transforms (e.g. diamonds)




Cards 1: Low R (12 deg)
and low S (1 deg)




Cards 2: Low R (12 deg)
and high S (20 deg)



Cards 3: High R (120 deg)
and Low S (1 deg)




Cards 4: High R (120 deg)
and high S (20 deg)




- Shear, rotations, and translations are **known** factors of variability (or traits) in data
- Can VAE disentangle representations and **discover** these factors of variability


Example of data



Latent representation




Cards 1: Low rotation (12 deg) and low shear (1 deg)




Cards 1: Low rotation (12 deg) and low shear (1 deg)

Example of data




Latent representation




Cards 2: Low rotation (12 deg) and high shear (20 deg)


Suit



Shear




Angle




Cards 2: Low rotation (12 deg) and high shear (20 deg)




Example of data



Latent representation




Cards 3: High rotation (120 deg) and low shear (1 deg)


Suit**Shear****Angle**

Cards 3: High rotation (120 deg) and low shear (1 deg)


Example of data



Latent representation




Cards 4: High rotation (120 deg) and high shear (20 deg)




Cards 4: High rotation (120 deg) and high shear (20 deg)


Example of data



Latent representation




Cards 1: Low rotation (12 deg) and low shear (1 deg)




Cards 1: Low rotation (12 deg) and low shear (1 deg)


Example of data



Latent representation




Cards 2: Low rotation (12 deg) and high shear (20 deg)




Cards 2: Low rotation (12 deg) and high shear (20 deg)


Example of data



Latent representation




Cards 3: High rotation (120 deg) and low shear (1 deg)




Cards 3: High rotation (120 deg) and low shear (1 deg)


Example of data



Latent representation



Cards 4: High rotation (120 deg) and high shear (20 deg)




Cards 4: High rotation (120 deg) and high shear (20 deg)

- (Super-brief) introduction into Neural Networks
- What are (Variational) autoencoders?
- Key notions:
 - Encoding and decoding
 - Latent distribution
 - Latent representations
- Why invariances: rotational, translational, and scale
- Other colors of VAEs:
 - Semi-supervised
 - Conditional
 - Joint
- From VAEs to encoder-decoders (VED)
- Further opportunities:
 - Physics constraints
 - Representation learning
- Active learning: DKL

What if we have multiple classes?

1. Classes are known: conditional (discrete) VAE
2. Factors of variability are known: conditional (continuous) VAE
3. Some classes are known: semi-supervised VAE
4. Number of classes are known: joint VAE

Conditional VAE



- Generative model is a function of spatial coordinate
- 3 additional latent variables to absorb rotations and shifts
- Disentangles rotations and translations from image content
- Ideal for analyzing microscopy sub-images on atomic level




ELBO

$$\begin{aligned} &= \text{Reconstruction Loss} \\ &- D_{KL}(q(z|x)\|\mathcal{N}(0,I)) \\ &- D_{KL}(q(\gamma|x)\|\mathcal{N}(0,s_\gamma^2)) \\ &- D_{KL}(q(\Delta r|x)\|\mathcal{N}(0,s_{\Delta r}^2)) \quad \text{Regular VAE} \\ &+ D_{KL}(\text{physics-based "priors"}) ? \\ &+ D \quad (\text{physics}) ? \end{aligned}$$




Rotation
Translation


MNIST: cVAE

No rotations



With rotations








- Generative model is a function of spatial coordinate (e.g., via spatial broadcasting)
- 3 additional latent variables to absorb rotations and shifts
- Disentangles rotations and translations from image content
- Learns discrete classes in unsupervised fashion
- Well-suited for analyzing microscopy (sub-)images on atomic and molecular levels

ELBO =


$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \text{Reconstruction Loss} \\
 & - \beta_c(t) |(D_{KL}(q(z|x) \parallel p(z)) + D_{KL}(q(\gamma|x) \parallel p(\gamma)) - C_z| \quad \text{Continuous} \\
 & - \beta_d(t) |D_{KL}(q(\alpha|x) \parallel p(\alpha)) - C_\alpha| \quad \text{Discrete} \\
 & + \text{physics-based "loss" ?}
 \end{aligned}$$

jVAE of MNIST

THE UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE  KNOXVILLE





Latent representation



Ensemble jVAE

Predictions from different ensemble models



Baseline: 10 epochs
Ensemble models: 8

- The unstable classes show the largest “uncertainty”
- Indication of the quality of separation and/or a guide for selection of the number of classes



Uncertainty



Mean prediction



Dispersion in predictions (“uncertainty”)




What can (unsupervised) classification give us

- Our research deals with complex data sets containing information on physics of objects we seek to understand
 - This can be spectral data sets (EELS in STEM, CITS in STM, complex spectroscopies in PFM) or single, multimodal, or hyperspectral images
 - Often, we seek approaches to reduce dimensionality and explore similarities in these data sets.
-
- When working with such data sets, two things matter: descriptors and ML method
 - In analysis of EELS or CITS data, very often our descriptor is just the spectrum at each pixel. Typical analysis will be either linear or non-linear dimensionality reduction or clustering:
 - Linear dimensionality reduction: PCA, NMF, BLU
 - Clustering: k-means, GMM
 - Manifold learning: ISO, UMAP, tSNE, DBSCAN
 - Neural nets: SOFM, AEs, VAEs
 - Typical result will be the components (representing behavior), and loading maps representing spatial variability of these behaviors. **By construct, components will not depend on the relative spatial positions of pixel.**
 - **What about images?**


Describing the building blocks

- The classical physical descriptions (symmetry, etc) can be defined locally only in Bayesian sense
- We can argue that local descriptors are simple, if not necessarily known
- And the rules that guide their emergence are also simple, if not known


Continuous translational symmetry









Atom based descriptions



Localized sub-images




Let's put it all together!



Step 1: Find all atoms (or all that you can) – use maximum finders, blob-log, or DCNNs


Step 2: Create descriptors – patches centered on atoms. Keep track on what part of image (or stack) it came from

Step 3: rVAE




Output: Latent variable corresponding to local structure of each atomic site. Can be visualized on top of the original atomically resolved image, or as 2D maps (but – not rectangular array!)

Analysis of the NiO-LSMO




Data – M. Chisholm




Let's look at latent space


Image




Angle




X Offset




Y Offset




Latent 1




Latent 2



Exploring latent distributions



Labeled image



- Classes and variability are mixed in a single latent space
- Disentangling of representation



That's where the jVAE has come from

Currently, we have variants of invariant VAE that include:








- Convolutional or dense layers (reconfigurable via `**kwargs`)
- Rotational invariance
- With and without offsets (as latent variables)
- Multilayer inputs

However, our rVAE collects everything in a single latent space. Realistically, very often we deal with system where we expect the presence of finite number of classes that may be known, partially known, or unknown, with certain continuous traits within classes.

- **Graphene and MX₂:** structural units (discrete) and strain states
- **Crystalline solids:** phases and ferroic variants, strain states
- **Plasmonic EELS:** particle spectra, off-particle spectra, edge states
- **CITS:** lattice and defects, strain states




Out of curiosity: single crystal?




VAE without Atom Finding







Ferroelectric BiFeO_3











$\text{NiO} - \text{La}_x\text{Sr}_{1-x}\text{MnO}_3$





Simple VAE



Shift VAE: Translational Invariance






Off to chemically-disordered systems






rVAE analysis at different time steps



Angle




Latent variable




There is nothing as beautiful as training VAE











Next step: skip-rVAE



Unsupervised discovery of molecules




Exploring the latent space structure




Ferroelectric domain and domain walls

Amplitude




Phase




Detecting domain walls

Canny filter

Phase Image



Gaussian Filter




Wall by Canny Filter



DCNN Prediction


Image



Predicted



Gaussian Filter




Gaussian Filter and Predicted




rVAE analysis

Latent Space




Domain Walls





rVAE latent space


Latent Space





Domain wall count



Switching degree




Average wall curvature




rVAE with time delay

Training dataset


$t - dt$



t




$t + dt$




rVAE with time delay

Latent space




Domain wall




rVAE with time delay


Latent space




Wall count







Domain convex




Switch significance





Domain wall evolution





Domain wall evolution







Forward:
 t vs $t+1$




Reverse:
 t vs $t+1$






Multilayer rVAE



Latent Space




Pinning mechanism





color scale

Encoders-Decoders




- Use encoder-decoder architecture to transform local structure to local spectra
- And spectra to images
- Predictive within the image

Latent space



Prediction

Image (Input)



Spectrum (Output)







Image (Input)




Spectrum (Output)




Plasmonic nanoparticles




NMF 1








NMF 2




NMF 3



NMF 4




R1
R2
R3
R4




Encoders-Decoders


Sub-image




Prediction vs. truth














Spectrum



Prediction




Ground truth




Dual VAE: structure-property relationships


SEM images: "Structure Information"




Hyperspectral microscope: "Property Information"



Dual VAE




Dual VAE: Latent Representations




Dual VAE: Predictions

Example


Darkfield Image



Ground Truth



Prediction



Overall Particles

