Deep Generative Models

Lecture 12

Roman Isachenko

Ozon Masters

Spring, 2022

Recap of previous lecture

Let take some pretrained image classification model to get the conditional label distribution $p(y|\mathbf{x})$ (e.g. ImageNet classifier).

Evaluation of likelihood-free models

- Sharpness $\Rightarrow$ low $H(y|\mathbf{x}) = -\sum_y \int_{\mathbf{x}} p(y, \mathbf{x}) \log p(y|\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$.
- Diversity $\Rightarrow$ high $H(y) = -\sum_y p(y) \log p(y)$.

Inception Score

$$IS = \exp(H(y) - H(y|\mathbf{x})) = \exp\left(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}} KL(p(y|\mathbf{x}) || p(y))\right)$$

Frechet Inception Distance

$$D^2(\pi, p) = \|\mathbf{m}_\pi - \mathbf{m}_p\|_2^2 + \mathrm{Tr}\left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\pi + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_p - 2\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_\pi \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_p}\right).$$

FID is related to moment matching.

Salimans T. et al. Improved Techniques for Training GANs, 2016
Heusel M. et al. GANs Trained by a Two Time-Scale Update Rule Converge to a Local Nash Equilibrium, 2017

Recap of previous lecture

- $\mathcal{S}_\pi = \{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^n \sim \pi(\mathbf{x})$ – real samples;
- $\mathcal{S}_p = \{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^n \sim p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$ – generated samples.

Embed samples using pretrained classifier network (as previously):

$$\mathcal{G}_\pi = \{\mathbf{g}_i\}_{i=1}^n, \quad \mathcal{G}_p = \{\mathbf{g}_i\}_{i=1}^n.$$

Define binary function:

$$f(\mathbf{g}, \mathcal{G}) = \begin{cases} 1, \text{if exists } \mathbf{g}' \in \mathcal{G} : \|\mathbf{g} - \mathbf{g}'\|_2 \leq \|\mathbf{g}' - \text{NN}_k(\mathbf{g}', \mathcal{G})\|_2; \\ 0, \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Precision}(\mathcal{G}_\pi, \mathcal{G}_p) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}_p} f(\mathbf{g}, \mathcal{G}_\pi); \quad \text{Recall}(\mathcal{G}_\pi, \mathcal{G}_p) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{G}_\pi} f(\mathbf{g}, \mathcal{G}_p).$$



(a) True manifold (b) Approx. manifold

Kynkäänniemi T. et al. Improved precision and recall metric for assessing generative models, 2019

Recap of previous lecture



2014 2015 2016 2017 2018

- **Self-Attention GAN** allows to make huge receptive field and reduce convolution inductive bias.
- **BigGAN** shows that large batch size increase model quality gradually.
- **Progressive Growing GAN** starts from a low resolution, adds new layers that model fine details as training progresses.
- **StyleGAN** introduces mapping network to get more disentangled latent representation.

Outline

1. Neural ODE

2. Continuous-in-time normalizing flows

Outline

1. Neural ODE

2. Continuous-in-time normalizing flows

Neural ODE

Consider Ordinary Differential Equation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{z}(t)}{dt} = f(\mathbf{z}(t), \boldsymbol{\theta}); \quad \text{with initial condition } \mathbf{z}(t_0) = \mathbf{z}_0.$$

$$\mathbf{z}(t_1) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(\mathbf{z}(t), \boldsymbol{\theta}) dt + \mathbf{z}_0 = \text{ODESolve}(\mathbf{z}(t_0), f, t_0, t_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

Euler update step

$$\frac{\mathbf{z}(t + \Delta t) - \mathbf{z}(t)}{\Delta t} = f(\mathbf{z}(t), \boldsymbol{\theta}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{z}(t+\Delta t) = \mathbf{z}(t) + \Delta t f(\mathbf{z}(t), \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

Residual block

$$\mathbf{z}_{t+1} = \mathbf{z}_t + f(\mathbf{z}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- It is equavalent to Euler update step for solving ODE with $\Delta t = 1$!
- Euler update step is unstable and trivial. There are more sophisticated methods.



Chen R. T. Q. et al. Neural Ordinary Differential Equations, 2018

Neural ODE

Residual block

$$\mathbf{z}_{t+1} = \mathbf{z}_t + f(\mathbf{z}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

In the limit of adding more layers and taking smaller steps, we parameterize the continuous dynamics of hidden units using an ODE specified by a neural network:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{z}(t)}{dt} = f(\mathbf{z}(t), t, \boldsymbol{\theta}); \quad \mathbf{z}(t_0) = \mathbf{x}; \quad \mathbf{z}(t_1) = \mathbf{y}.$$





Chen R. T. Q. et al. Neural Ordinary Differential Equations, 2018

Neural ODE

Forward pass (loss function)

$$L(\mathbf{y}) = L(\mathbf{z}(t_1)) = L\left(\mathbf{z}(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(\mathbf{z}(t), \boldsymbol{\theta})dt\right)$$
$$= L\big(\text{ODESolve}(\mathbf{z}(t_0), f, t_0, t_1, \boldsymbol{\theta})\big)$$

Note: ODESolve could be any method (Euler step, Runge-Kutta methods).

Backward pass (gradients computation)

For fitting parameters we need gradients:

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{z}}(t) = \frac{\partial L(\mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{z}(t)}; \quad \mathbf{a}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) = \frac{\partial L(\mathbf{y})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}(t)}.$$

In theory of optimal control these functions called **adjoint** functions. They show how the gradient of the loss depends on the hidden state $\mathbf{z}(t)$ and parameters $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.

Chen R. T. Q. et al. Neural Ordinary Differential Equations, 2018

Neural ODE

Adjoint functions

$$\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{z}}(t) = \frac{\partial L(\mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{z}(t)}; \quad \mathbf{a}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) = \frac{\partial L(\mathbf{y})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}(t)}.$$

Theorem (Pontryagin)

$$\frac{d\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{z}}(t)}{dt} = -\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{z}}(t)^T \cdot \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{z}(t), \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \mathbf{z}}; \quad \frac{d\mathbf{a}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t)}{dt} = -\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{z}}(t)^T \cdot \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{z}(t), \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}.$$

Do we know any initilal condition?

Solution for adjoint function

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}(t_0)} = \mathbf{a}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_0) = -\int_{t_1}^{t_0} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{z}}(t)^T \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{z}(t), \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}(t)} dt + 0$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{z}(t_0)} = \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{z}}(t_0) = -\int_{t_1}^{t_0} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{z}}(t)^T \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{z}(t), \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \mathbf{z}(t)} dt + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{z}(t_1)}$$

Note: These equations are solved back in time.

Chen R. T. Q. et al. Neural Ordinary Differential Equations, 2018

Neural ODE

Forward pass

$$\mathbf{z}(t_1) = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(\mathbf{z}(t), \boldsymbol{\theta})dt + \mathbf{z}_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{ODE Solver}$$

Backward pass

$$\left.\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}(t_0)} &= \mathbf{a}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t_0) = -\int_{t_1}^{t_0} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{z}}(t)^T \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{z}(t), \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}(t)} dt + 0 \\
\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{z}(t_0)} &= \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{z}}(t_0) = -\int_{t_1}^{t_0} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{z}}(t)^T \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{z}(t), \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \mathbf{z}(t)} dt + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{z}(t_1)} \\
\mathbf{z}(t_0) &= -\int_{t_1}^{t_0} f(\mathbf{z}(t), \boldsymbol{\theta})dt + \mathbf{z}_1.
\end{aligned}\right\} \Rightarrow \text{ODE Solver}$$

Note: These scary formulas are the standard backprop in the discrete case.



Chen R. T. Q. et al. Neural Ordinary Differential Equations, 2018

Outline

1. Neural ODE

2. Continuous-in-time normalizing flows

Continuous Normalizing Flows

Discrete Normalizing Flows

$$\mathbf{z}_{t+1} = f(\mathbf{z}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta}); \quad \log p(\mathbf{z}_{t+1}) = \log p(\mathbf{z}_t) - \log \left| \det \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{z}_t, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \mathbf{z}_t} \right|.$$

Continuous-in-time dynamic transformation

$$\frac{d\mathbf{z}(t)}{dt} = f(\mathbf{z}(t), \boldsymbol{\theta}).$$

Assume that function f is uniformly Lipschitz continuous in $\mathbf{z}$ and continuous in t. From Picard's existence theorem, it follows that the above ODE has a **unique solution**.

Forward and inverse transforms

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{z}(t_1) = \mathbf{z}(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(\mathbf{z}(t), \boldsymbol{\theta}) dt$$

$$\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{z}(t_0) = \mathbf{z}(t_1) + \int_{t_1}^{t_0} f(\mathbf{z}(t), \boldsymbol{\theta}) dt$$

Papamakarios G. et al. Normalizing flows for probabilistic modeling and inference, 2019

Continuous Normalizing Flows

To train this flow we have to get the way to calculate the density $p(\mathbf{z}(t))$.

Theorem (Fokker-Planck)

if function f is uniformly Lipschitz continuous in $\mathbf{z}$ and continuous in t, then

$$\frac{\partial \log p(\mathbf{z}(t))}{\partial t} = -\text{trace}\left(\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{z}(t), \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \mathbf{z}(t)}\right).$$

Note: Unlike discrete-in-time flows, the function f does not need to be bijective, because uniqueness guarantees that the entire transformation is automatically bijective.

Density evaluation

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log p(\mathbf{z}) - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \text{trace}\left(\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{z}(t), \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \mathbf{z}(t)}\right) dt.$$

Adjoint method is used to integral evaluation.

Chen R. T. Q. et al. Neural Ordinary Differential Equations, 2018

Continuous Normalizing Flows

Forward transform + log-density

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z} \\ \log p(\mathbf{z}) \end{bmatrix} + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \begin{bmatrix} f(\mathbf{z}(t), \boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ -\mathrm{trace}\left(\frac{\partial f(\mathbf{z}(t), \boldsymbol{\theta})}{\partial \mathbf{z}(t)}\right) \end{bmatrix} dt.$$

- Discrete-in-time normalizing flows need invertible f. It costs $O(d^3)$ to get determinant of Jacobian.
- Continuous-in-time flows require only smoothness of f. It costs $O(d^2)$ to get trace of Jacobian.





Grathwohl W. et al. FFJORD: Free-form Continuous Dynamics for Scalable Reversible Generative Models, 2018

FFJORD

	Method	One-pass Sampling	Exact log-likelihood	Free-form Jacobian
	Variational Autoencoders	✓	✗	✓
	Generative Adversarial Nets	✓	✗	✓
	Likelihood-based Autoregressive	✗	✓	✗
Change of Variables	Normalizing Flows	✓	✓	✗
	Reverse-NF, MAF, TAN	✗	✓	✗
	NICE, Real NVP, Glow, Planar CNF	✓	✓	✗
	FFJORD	✓	✓	✓

Density estimation (forward KL)

	POWER	GAS	HEPMASS	MINIBOONE	BSDS300	MNIST	CIFAR10
Real NVP	-0.17	-8.33	18.71	13.55	-153.28	1.06*	3.49*
Glow	-0.17	-8.15	18.92	11.35	-155.07	1.05*	**3.35***
FFJORD	**-0.46**	**-8.59**	**14.92**	**10.43**	**-157.40**	**0.99*** (1.05†)	3.40*

Flows for variational inference (reverse KL)

	MNIST	Omniglot	Frey Faces	Caltech Silhouettes
IAF	$84.20 \pm .17$	$102.41 \pm .04$	$4.47 \pm .05$	$111.58 \pm .38$
Sylvester	$83.32 \pm .06$	$99.00 \pm .04$	$4.45 \pm .04$	$104.62 \pm .29$
FFJORD	$\mathbf{82.82 \pm .01}$	$\mathbf{98.33 \pm .09}$	$\mathbf{4.39 \pm .01}$	$\mathbf{104.03 \pm .43}$

Grathwohl W. et al. FFJORD: Free-form Continuous Dynamics for Scalable Reversible Generative Models, 2018

Summary

- Residual networks could be interpreted as solution of ODE with Euler method.
- Adjoint method generalizes backpropagation procedure and allows to train Neural ODE solving ODE for adjoint function back in time.
- Fokker-Planck theorem allows to construct continuous-in-time normalizing flow with less functional restrictions.
- FFJORD model makes such kind of flows scalable.